



Supplier of Information:

Date of Review:

Project Permit Number:

Reviewer:

Name

Signature

Visual Clearance paperwork review:

- Does the visual clearance meet the requirements of ARM 17.74.357(3)(a)(i) in observing the removal of all waste, dust, and debris from the work area?
- Is the provider of the visual clearance the same individual as provided on the initial paperwork submission?
- Does the final visual inspection include a dually signed written statement attesting to the completion per ARM 17.74.357(3)(g)?
- Is the supervisor signature the same individual as provided on the initial paperwork submission?
- Does the visual clearance performance date fall within the project permit dates as required under ARM 17.74.357(6)?
- Per ARM 17.74.357(3)(f) is the address and permit number included in the report?

Air Clearance paperwork review:

- Have all the conditions under ARM 17.74.357(3) been met for the visual clearance? See the Visual review segment.
- Has the air sampling followed a visual clearance?
- Has the air sampling been conducted using aggressive techniques per ARM 17.74.357(3)(b)?
- Is the quantity of samples collected appropriate under the conditions of ARM 17.74.357(3)(c)?
- Did the volume of air meet or exceed the flow of 1199 liters under ARM 17.74.357(4)(a)?
- Does the flow rate not exceed 10 LPM for TEM or 16 LPM for PCM cassettes as per ARM 17.74.357(4)(a)?
- Does the individual performing the clearance currently hold Montana accreditation as a worker or contractor/supervisor as stipulated under ARM 17.74.357(11)(a)?
- For PCM analysis, has the individual performing the air clearance provided proof of NIOSH 582 or 582E sample collection training as per ARM 17.74.357(8)?
- If PAT round results were requested by DEQ under ARM 17.74.357(7), have they been reviewed for individual proficiency and current participation?

Project Design paperwork review:

- Does the Project Designer currently hold accreditation within the state of Montana as required under ARM 17.74.362?
- Does the provided project design meet the description of the work being performed on the initial paperwork submission?

Waste manifest paperwork review:

- Does the manifest reflect that a Montana-accredited worker or contractor/supervisor transported the material as indicated in ARM 17.74.369(1)?
- Does the receiving facility match the intended facility identified on the project permit?
- Does the waste shipment record identify the information required under 40 CFR Part 61 subpart M and include the project permit number as specified under ARM 17.74.369(3)(b)(v)?
- Has the Generator received a signed copy within 35 days of initial transport of arrival at the disposal site?
- If in excess of 45 days has elapsed from initial transport without a copy of the waste shipment record being received by the generator, has a written report been submitted to the NESHAP administrator?

Inspection sampling paperwork review:

- Is the site of the asbestos inspection clearly identified?
- Is the scope and purpose of the inspection and how it corresponds to the extent of the planned renovation or demolition activity included?
- Is the date of the asbestos inspection listed and does this information match the permit application information?
- Is the signature of the accredited inspector that conducted the asbestos inspection provided?
- Is the inspector's Montana accreditation number and expiration date provided?
- Has an inventory of all assumed asbestos-containing and sampled materials been provided?
- Are all sample locations identified?
- Does the report identify where ACM is located by type?
- Are the areas where friable material is assumed to be ACM and areas where non-friable material is assumed to be ACM identified?
- Does the copy of the bulk sample analytical report include the name and address of each laboratory performing an analysis, the date of analysis, and the name and signature of the person performing the analysis as specified in 17.74.354(4)(c)?
- Is information provided on whether it will be necessary to remove any ACM before an activity begins that would break up, dislodge, or similarly disturb the material?

Contract paperwork review:

- Does the contract identify associated and non associated costs? If not, does the project permit fee reflect the total contract volume as specified under ARM 17.74.401(2)?
- Is the location of performance consistent with the inspection survey, project permit location, and the final visual and air clearance location?

Comments: _____

