# TMDL Post – 2014 Prioritization (DRAFT; 2-21-14)

## **TMDL PROGRAM GOALS**

- 1. Facilitate nonpoint source implementation via engaged stakeholders.
- 2. Assist with MPDES permit discharge limit development where appropriate.
- 3. Address areas of high growth concern (both point and nonpoint sources).
- 4. Continue to reduce overall size of the 303(d) List (approximately 900 TMDLs remaining after 2014).
- 5. Provide assistance for TMDL Implementation Evaluations.
- 6. Update existing documents if needed for MPDES permit clarification or to reflect change in standards, etc.
- 7. Obtain input from internal DEQ programs, STAG and key stakeholders (e.g., state & federal agencies, watershed groups).
- 8. Continue with the watershed approach, particularly for pollutant groupings, to ensure highest level of efficiency. Exceptions may be necessary, particularly for large rivers or lakes or MPDES permit support.

# **TMDL Schedule Considerations**

- 1. One to two years of pre-TMDL monitoring and assessment is desirable. Monitoring and Assessment Section (MAS) priorities are thus linked to TMDL priorities.
- Water quality standards development and/or assessment method development/refinement often linked to TMDL development. Water Quality Standards section priorities and support is thus linked to TMDL priorities and schedule.

# **EXISTING POST-2014 TMDL PRIORITY PROJECTS**

## **Madison Watershed TMDLs**

- a. Risk-based watershed assessment underway for 2 years via MAS
- b. High level of stakeholder interest with a functioning watershed group
- c. Important economic resource (fishing, tourism, ranching)
- d. Some local areas of high growth (ski industry)

## Flathead Lake Phase II Nutrients

- a. Significant resources expended to date
- b. High level of stakeholder interest
- c. WWTP permit limit implications; area of growth

# **Tongue-Powder-Rosebud**

- a. Ongoing Otter Creek TMDL development
- b. Significant coal and CBM development; cumulative impacts concerns
- c. Significant historical DEQ and EPA modelling and standards development activity
- d. High level of local interest

#### Musselshell

- a. Area with local interest in water quality
- b. Ongoing activities linked to recent Musselshell River flooding
- c. Working on coordinated approach with wetlands program
- d. Standards and assessment method activities necessary.

# POST 2014 TMDL LIST OF POTENTIAL PRIORITIES (NOT ALL INCLUSIVE).

# NOTES:

- Potential projects are not listed in any specific order of priority.
- Bold indicates some recent or ongoing monitoring and/or assessment activity.

## 1. Yellowstone River (nutrients, arsenic, others)

- a. 14 Nutr; 6 Sed; 3 Salt; 12 Mtls (natural As issues?)
- b. Recent nutrient standards development.
- c. Significant point source discharges.

## 2. Holter Tribs

- a. 2 Nutr; 10 Mtls; 3 Sed; 2 Temp
- b. Recent data collection and updated assessments completed for nutrients and metals.
- c. Consider expanding to sediment and temperature for complete watershed approach.
- d. Could help address desire to keep TMDL pace after 2014
- e. Local streams, important Missouri River tributaries.
- f. Level of stakeholder implementation interest is uncertain

#### 3. Beaverhead Metals and Nutrients

- a. 17 Nutr; 15 Mtls; (also 1 remaining Temperature)
- b. High level of stakeholder interest in implementation
- c. Watershed scale sediment TMDLs currently provide implementation opportunities
- d. Could help address desire to keep TMDL pace after 2014

## 4. Missouri River above Canyon Ferry

- a. 1 Nutr; 2 Sed; 4 Mtls
- b. Recent nutrient standards development.
- c. Significant point source discharges involved.
- d. Local and visibly important resource

#### 5. Red Rock

- a. 3 Temp; 18 Sed; 11 Nutr; 8 Mtls
- b. Could help address desire to keep TMDL pace after 2014 using existing approaches
- c. Some stakeholder interest
- d. Good opportunity for a MAS, WMS and WQP coordinated team approach

## 6. Paradise

- a. 3 Nutr; 3 Sed; 2 Temp (5 of 8 on Billman Cr. from Bozeman Pass)
- b. Existing watershed group (status?)
- c. Important Yellowstone River tributaries fisheries, irrigation, aesthetics, tourism
- d. Area of high population growth

#### 7. Lake Kookanoosa Selenium

- a. Anticipated development impacts mining
- b. State interest in water quality protection significant water resource

#### 8. Bitterroot River Protective Nutrient TMDLs

- a. Area of increasing growth (septic, small rural acreages)
- b. Modeling work partially complete
- c. High level of stakeholder interest
- d. Can complement existing Clark Fork River nutrient TMDLs

## 9. Clark Fork River Nutrient TMDL Updates

- a. Add Deer Lodge waste load allocation consistent with variance process
- b. Integrate with tributary TMDLs

## 10. Flathead River TMDLs (near mouth at Clark Fork River)

a. Some ongoing monitoring work for court order.

## 11. Flathead Lake PCB and Mercury TMDLs

- a. Potential PCB sampling this summer
- 12. Kootenai River Temperature TMDLs
  - a. Endangered species implications
  - b. Dam operational complications
- 13. Jefferson River Nutrients/Sediment TMDLs
  - a. Need nutrient assessment method for Jefferson River (?)
  - b. Sediment method for larger river not well developed
- 14. Upper Jefferson Watershed TMDLs Tributary TMDL Completion
  - a. 9 Nutr; 2 Sed; 1 Temp
  - b. Some stakeholder interest
  - c. Metals and most sediment TMDLs will be complete by 2014

#### 15. Shields Phase II

- a. Only 1 existing pollutant impairment (Sed) not previously addressed via TMDL
- b. Recent nutrient data collected, more needed for full watershed analysis
- c. Watershed group support for more TMDLs uncertain
- 16. Missouri River Near Great Falls (4 segments)
  - a. 2 Nutr; 7 Sed; 1 Temp; 12 Mtls; 4 PCBs?
  - b. Great Falls interest (?)
  - c. Need to complete nutrient standards development for large river
- 17. Lake Basin (salinity issues mainly)
  - a. USFWS interest; recent watershed characterization regarding dam removal
  - b. Reclassification probably needed (approach conceptually evaluated via Mike Suplee)

## 18. Ruby Phase II

- a. 24 Nutr; 11 Mtls; 1 Temp
- b. High level of stakeholder interest in implementation
- c. Existing sediment & temperature TMDLs being implemented by stakeholders
- d. Could help address desire to keep TMDL pace after 2014