## NOTICE OF PUBLICATION OF CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION CITY OF MISSOULA, MONTANA S. 14<sup>TH</sup> STREET W. – GARFIELD TO RUSSELL STREETS WATER MAIN REPLACEMENT PROJECT

December 10, 2025

The Montana Department of Environmental Quality has reviewed the above-named project. The project consists of installing approximately 1,250 linear feet of 12-inch Class 350 ductile iron pipe and will be installed in S. 14<sup>th</sup> Street West between Garfield and Russell Streets. The project also includes installing one fire hydrant and all necessary appurtenances to the water main, including valves, blowoff assembly, fittings, and water service connections, and surface repair at this location. The proposed project will replace aging and undersized water mains in this location.

The project construction specifications note the potential to encounter contaminated soil or water within the project site and include language on the procedures to follow if this were to occur. Pursuant to ARM 17.40.318, the Department has concluded that the proposed project meets the Categorical Exclusion criteria of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the Montana Environmental Policy Act (MEPA). The Categorical Exclusion may be revoked if the project is not initiated within the time period specified in the planning documents, a new or modified application is submitted, new evidence demonstrates serious local or environmental issues exist, or state, local, tribal, or federal laws are violated.

The documentation for the Categorical Exclusion is available for public review at <a href="http://deq.mt.gov/public/publicnotice">http://deq.mt.gov/public/publicnotice</a> and at the following locations:

Department of Environmental Quality State Revolving Fund Program 2401 Colonial Drive Helena, MT 59601 Missoula City Hall 435 Ryman Missoula, MT 59802

Sincerely,

Sandie Koenig, PE

DWSRF Program Manager

Engineering Bureau

Montana Department of Environmental Quality

## Missoula S14th Garfield to Russell PCS Review



12/2/2025, 7:35:02 AM

S 14th Garfield to Russell\_L

Montana Source Water Regions


Montana State Superfund Unit Facilities

Underground Storage Tank Facilities

Underground Storage Tanks

Montana Hazardous Waste
 Petroleum Tank Substance Releases





Source: Esri, Maxar, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community, Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap

## CHAPTER IV - ATTACHMENT A DOCUMENTATION OF CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION CHECKLIST

Project Name	e / Brief Descripti	on:
Project Numl	ber:	
MCA, is exclor environme	luded from the rec	Fitle 75, chapter 5, part 11 and or chapter 6, part 1 or 2, quirement to prepare an environmental assessment (EA) ment (EIS) if the application for department review is for
drinking wa sewer overfl	ter supply systen ow systems that i	ing infrastructure systems such as sewer systems, ins, and stormwater systems, including combined involve:  ers "no", an EA or EIS must be completed. If any
answer is <u>yes</u>	s, skip to (b).]	
1. minor upg	rading:	
Yes	No No	
2. minor exp	ansion of system	capacity;
Yes	No	
3. rehabilitat components;	ion (including fur	nctional replacement) of the existing system and system
Yes	No	
existing facil	ities; or	ancillary facilities adjacent to or on the same property as
Yes	No	
systems, prov the volume o relocate exist	vided that the new f discharges or in ing discharges.	nunities involving the replacement existing on-site on-site systems do not result in substantial increases in loadings of pollutants from existing sources, and do not
Yes	No	
		nay <u>not</u> be granted for a department action if: ers " <u>no</u> ", skip to (c). If any answer is <u>yes</u> , an EA or EIS

6. the action would authorize facilities that will provide a new discharge or relocate an existing discharge to ground or surface waters;

Yes No

must be completed.]

7. the action would result in an increase above permit levels established for the facility under the Montana pollutant discharge elimination system or Montana ground water pollution control system for either volume of discharge or loading rate of pollutants to receiving waters;			
Yes No			
8. the action would authorize facilities that will provide capacity to serve a population at least 30% greater than the existing population;  Yes  No			
9. the action is not supported by the state, or other regional growth plan or strategy; Yes No			
10. the action directly or indirectly involves or relates to upgrading or extending infrastructure systems primarily for the purposes of future development;  Yes  No			
11. the department has received information indicating that public controversy exists over the project's potential effects on the quality of the human environment;  Yes  No			
12. the department determines that the proposed project that is the subject of the state action shows some potential for causing a significant effect on the quality of the human environment, based on ARM 17.4.608, or might possibly affect:			
<ul><li>(i) sensitive environmental or cultural resource areas; or</li><li>(ii) endangered or threatened species and their critical habitats.</li><li>Yes</li><li>No</li></ul>			
(c) If the proposed project meets the conditions above in determining use of a CATEX, the reviewer will then complete items 13 - 17 as follows:  [Once all steps 1- 17 are complete, reviewer shall sign and date at bottom. If revocation becomes necessary, reviewer shall initiate an EA or EIS as appropriate.]			
13. Project meets the above Categorical Exclusion criteria.			
14. DEQ determination of Categorical Exclusion.			
15. DEQ distributes the Notice of Determination.			
16. Notice of Publication and cover letter (containing revocation language below) is delivered to recipient.			
17. Notice of Publication published in local newspaper by recipient and evidence of publication provided to reviewer.			

(d) The department may revoke a categorical exclusion if: [Only complete the steps below 18 – 21 if revocation of a previously implemented CATEX becomes necessary.]
18. the project is not initiated within the time period specified in the facility plan, or a new or modified application is submitted;
19. the proposed action no longer meets the requirements for a categorical exclusion because of changes in the proposed action;
20. new evidence demonstrates that serious local or environmental issues exist; or
21. state, local, tribal, or federal laws may be violated.
STATE PREPARER
A-K
STATE REVIEWER
COMPLETION DATE