

NOTICE OF PUBLICATION OF CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION
CITY OF KALISPELL, MONTANA
LEAD SERVICE LINE REPLACEMENT PROJECT – PHASE 2

January 14, 2026

The Montana Department of Environmental Quality has reviewed the above-named project. The lead service line replacement project will replace about 22 galvanized steel service lines that had the potential to be impacted by lead. The work will occur within a 1-mile radius of downtown Kalispell, on either side of South Main Street (Highway 93). The work will occur in two schedules, in the following areas:

- Schedule 1: West of Main St/Hwy 93 between 2nd St W and 9th St W and between 7th Ave W and 1st Ave W
- Schedule 2: East of Main St/Hwy 93 mostly between E Center St and 14th St E and between 1st Ave E and 8th Ave E. A few services will be replaced north of E Idaho St/Hwy 2 from Wyoming St to E Idaho St and between N Main St and 6th Ave East N

Pursuant to ARM 17.40.318, the Department has concluded that the proposed project meets the Categorical Exclusion criteria of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the Montana Environmental Policy Act (MEPA). The Categorical Exclusion may be revoked if the project is not initiated within the time period specified in the planning documents, a new or modified application is submitted, new evidence demonstrates serious local or environmental issues exist, or state, local, tribal, or federal laws are violated.

The documentation for the Categorical Exclusion is available for public review at <https://deq.mt.gov/public/publicnotice> and at the following locations:

Department of Environmental Quality
State Revolving Fund Loan Program
2401 Colonial Drive
Helena, MT 59601


City of Kalispell
201 1st Avenue East
Kalispell, MT 59901

Sincerely,




Sandie Koenig, PE
DWSRF Program Manager
Engineering Bureau
Montana Department of Environmental Quality

Potential Contaminant Source Review Kalispell Ph 2



12/29/2025, 7:38:57 AM



Source: Esri, Vantor, Earthstar Geographics, and the GIS User Community,
Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, © OpenStreetMap

CHAPTER IV - ATTACHMENT A
DOCUMENTATION OF CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION
CHECKLIST

Project Name / Brief Description: _____

Project Number: _____

Date: _____

Preparer: _____

The Department action under Title 75, chapter 5, part 11 and or chapter 6, part 1 or 2, MCA, is excluded from the requirement to prepare an environmental assessment (EA) or environmental impact statement (EIS) if the application for department review is for any of the following projects:

(a) Projects relating to existing infrastructure systems such as sewer systems, drinking water supply systems, and stormwater systems, including combined sewer overflow systems that involve:

[Answer yes or no. If all answers "no", an EA or EIS must be completed. If any answer is yes, skip to (b).]

1. minor upgrading;
 Yes No
2. minor expansion of system capacity;
 Yes No
3. rehabilitation (including functional replacement) of the existing system and system components;
 Yes No
4. construction of new minor ancillary facilities adjacent to or on the same property as existing facilities; or
 Yes No
5. projects in unsewered communities involving the replacement existing on-site systems, provided that the new on-site systems do not result in substantial increases in the volume of discharges or in loadings of pollutants from existing sources, and do not relocate existing discharges.
 Yes No

(b) A categorical exclusion may not be granted for a department action if:

[Answer yes or no. If all answers "no", skip to (c). If any answer is yes, an EA or EIS must be completed.]

6. the action would authorize facilities that will provide a new discharge or relocate an existing discharge to ground or surface waters;
 Yes No

7. the action would result in an increase above permit levels established for the facility under the Montana pollutant discharge elimination system or Montana ground water pollution control system for either volume of discharge or loading rate of pollutants to receiving waters;

Yes No

8. the action would authorize facilities that will provide capacity to serve a population at least 30% greater than the existing population;

Yes No

9. the action is not supported by the state, or other regional growth plan or strategy;

Yes No

10. the action directly or indirectly involves or relates to upgrading or extending infrastructure systems primarily for the purposes of future development;

Yes No

11. the department has received information indicating that public controversy exists over the project's potential effects on the quality of the human environment;

Yes No

12. the department determines that the proposed project that is the subject of the state action shows some potential for causing a significant effect on the quality of the human environment, based on ARM 17.4.608, or might possibly affect:

(i) sensitive environmental or cultural resource areas; or

(ii) endangered or threatened species and their critical habitats.

Yes No

(c) If the proposed project meets the conditions above in determining use of a CATEX, the reviewer will then complete items 13 - 17 as follows:

[Once all steps 1- 17 are complete, reviewer shall sign and date at bottom. If revocation becomes necessary, reviewer shall initiate an EA or EIS as appropriate.]

13. Project meets the above Categorical Exclusion criteria. _____

14. DEQ determination of Categorical Exclusion. _____

15. DEQ distributes the Notice of Determination. _____

16. Notice of Publication and cover letter (containing revocation language below) is delivered to recipient. _____

17. Notice of Publication published in local newspaper by recipient and evidence of publication provided to reviewer. _____

(d) The department may revoke a categorical exclusion if:

[Only complete the steps below 18 – 21 if revocation of a previously implemented CATEX becomes necessary.]

18. the project is not initiated within the time period specified in the facility plan, or a new or modified application is submitted; _____


19. the proposed action no longer meets the requirements for a categorical exclusion because of changes in the proposed action; _____

20. new evidence demonstrates that serious local or environmental issues exist; or _____

21. state, local, tribal, or federal laws may be violated. _____



STATE PREPARER



STATE REVIEWER

1/13/26

COMPLETION DATE