Nutrient Work Group

October 16, 2023



Welcome!

- This meeting is a webinar
- NWG members will be panelists
- Members of the public can raise their hand or use the Q&A feature to ask questions during the public comment portion of the meeting
- *9 raises your hand if you're on the phone
- State your name and affiliation before providing your comment

Welcome to Q&A Questions you ask will show up here. Only host and panelists will be able to see all questions.
Type your question here
Se Who can see your questions?
Chat Raise Hand Q&A









Leave

Roll Call Nutrient Work Group Members

Interest Group	Representative	Substitute
Point Source Discharger: Large Municipal Systems (>1 MGD)	Louis Engels	
Point Source Discharger: Middle-Sized Mechanical Systems (<1 MGD)	Shannon Holmes	
Point Source Discharger: Small Municipal Systems with Lagoons	Rika Lashley	
Point Source Discharger: Non-POTW	Alan Olson	
Municipalities	Kelly Lynch	
Mining	Matt Vincent	
Farming-Oriented Agriculture	Karli Johnson	
Livestock-Oriented Agriculture	Ellie Brighton	
Conservation Organization - Local	Kristin Gardner	
Conservation Organization – Regional	Sarah Zuzulock	
Conservation Organization – Statewide	David Brooks	
Environmental Advocacy Organization	Guy Alsentzer	
Water or Fishing-Based Recreation	Pete Cardinal	
Federal Land Management Agencies	Andy Efta	
Federal Regulatory Agencies	Tina Laidlaw	
State Land Management Agencies	Jeff Schmalenberg	
Water Quality Districts / County Planning Departments	Nick Banish	
Soil & Water Conservation Districts – West of the Continental Divide	Samantha Tappenbeck	
Soil & Water Conservation Districts – East of the Continental Divide	Dan Rostad	
Wastewater Engineering Firms	Scott Buecker	
Timber Industry	Julia Altemus	



DEQ Updates



Agenda

Meeting Goal: Discuss the Adaptive Management Program process, the translator and response variables, and an update on the draft Circular DEQ-15.

Preliminaries

- Nutrient Work Group Roll Call
- **Overview of the Translation of Narrative Nutrient Standards**
- Response variables and thresholds

Overview of the Implementation of the Adaptive Management Program

- Permitting basics
- Case study permit example
- Nonpoint source load reduction estimates
- **Update on Circular DEQ-15**
- **Public Comment & Close of Meeting**
- Public comment





Narrative Nutrient Standards Translator



Regulatory Background

Key MT statutes and rules related to the narrative translator

- 75-5-321(2)(c), MCA: Directs DEQ to identify "the appropriate response variables affected by nutrients and associated impact thresholds in accordance with the beneficial uses of the water body."
- ARM 17.30.637(1) "State surface waters must be free from substances attributable to municipal, industrial, agricultural practices or other discharges that will:
 - (e) create conditions which produce undesirable aquatic life."

Proposed NEW RULE I "Translation of Narrative Nutrient Standards" ties to this narrative

• <u>Recreation</u> and <u>fishes and associated aquatic life</u> are included in all waterbody beneficial use classes across Montana



New Rule 1 – Translation of Narrative Nutrient Standards

Used to determine if narrative nutrient standards are met

Combined criterion approach

- response variables
- causal variables

Emphasizes biological response

Applied across many MTWQA/CWA programs (303(d), TMDL, NPS, MPDES, etc.)











Translator: Wadeable Streams and Medium Rivers Per Circular DEQ-15, Draft 3 (December 2022)

			Response Variable (threshold)			
Ecoregional Zone and Stream Gradient	Associated Benefical Use	Nutrient Causal Variables (<i>see</i> nutrient concentration ranges, by ecoregion)	DO Delta	Benthic Chla ; AFDW	% filamentous algae bottom cover	Macroinvertebrates
Western and transitional ecoregions, <u>all</u> streams and medium rivers	Recreation	х		 X (150 mg Chla/m²; 35 g AFDM/m²) 	X (30% cover)	
Western and transitional ecoregions, streams and medium rivers with ≤1% water surface gradient	Aquatic Life	х	X (TBD)			X (metrics, thresholds TBD)
Western and transitional ecoregions, streams and medium rivers with >1% water surface gradient	Aquatic Life	х				X (metrics, thresholds TBD)
Eastern ecoregions, <u>all</u> streams and medium rivers	Aquatic Life	х	X (5.3 mg DO/L)			X (metrics, thresholds TBD)

HUC 8 Watersheds and Ecoregions

X in translator means required parameter





Why Dissolved Oxygen Delta (Δ) and Macroinvertebrate Metrics?

Reflect floral and faunal characteristics

<u>DΟ Δ</u>

Excessive DO Δ is linked to fish and aquatic macroinvertebrate impacts (MN, MT, OH)

Daily DO swings reflect degree of primary productivity

Aquatic Macroinvertebrates

DEQ directly interprets them in Montana's waterbody beneficial uses as part of "fish and associated aquatic life"

- Most widely assessed biological assemblage among States, Tribal Nations
- Limited migration, or are sessile— good for assessing local impacts
- High biodiversity suitable for biological assessment
- Responsive on early and long-term time scales (weeks to years)



Dissolved Oxygen Delta

DO Δ is the daily DO change from low to high measured by instrument, driven by flora



Macroinvertebrate Metrics

Quantitative descriptions of the aquatic insect community

- Metrics for taxa (e.g., % Odonata)
- Metrics for taxa groups (e.g., number of mayflies, stoneflies, and caddisflies (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera—EPT taxa)
- Biotic indices (e.g., HBI, Becks, Margalef's)
 - Constructed by biologists to reflect water pollution impacts on the aquatic insect community
- DEQ has standardized sampling method since 2005

















If 75% of reference sites had metric and total nitrogen values in a given colored region, the denoted point of change in the curve was considered the candidate threshold point



What is Beck's Biotic Index?

Developed in 1950s by William Beck—1st biotic index



- Developed by sampling upand downstream of wastewater point sources
- Quantifies changes from desirable to undesirable aquatic life
- Version 3 (Becks3) has modified computation
 Includes class III



Questions so far?





Mountains: Macroinvertebrate Metrics

Becks3 Biotic Index is the bes



○ Reference O Test

Across all regions, TN provided the strongest correlations to macroinvertebrate metrics

Mountains: Macroinvertebrate Metrics

Becks3 Biotic Index is the best representative metric



Data points:

• Reference o Test

TN shows the strongest correlations to macroinvertebrate metrics—but TP is important too

Low Valleys and Transitional: Macroinvertebrate Metrics



Plains: Macroinvertebrate Metrics

No macroinvertebrate metric proposed

- Logistic relationships shown
- Reference sites scattered across plots







Low Valleys and Transitional: Dissolved Oxygen Δ

Initial investigation identified DO Δ threshold protective of aquatic life—2023 work (just completed) will augment findings



The most meaningful macroinvertebrate metrics show changes suggesting a draft threshold at 3.5 mg/L.

*Cross-hatch filled dot is the reference site



Low Valleys and Transitional: Dissolved Oxygen Δ

Spring Creeks: naturally occurring macrophyte beds increase DO Δ by ~3 mg/L above the proposed threshold, and have Becks3 scores below the threshold





Elk Springs Creek



<u>Plains</u>: Dissolved Oxygen Δ (and DO Minima)

Montana Plains Region, Non-Drought Periods (2013-2017)

Ohio EPA -applies a DO ∆ of 6.5 mg/L





Figure From Miltner (2010)



Plains: Dissolved Oxygen Δ and Drought

Montana Plains Region, Non-drought Periods (2013-2017)

Drought alone increases DO Δ in Plains streams



.

- Plains: Proposed DO Δ threshold = 6.0 mg/L
 - 87% of reference site data achieve this in non-drought periods
- Translator includes option to exclude data from drought periods



DEQ's Proposed Translator—Wadeable Streams and Medium Rivers (OCT 2023)

Benefical Use and Applicable Zone			Causal Variable	Response Variable (threshold)			
Beneficial Use	Stream Slope Zone*	Macroinvertebrate Zone*	TP, TN (<i>see</i> ecoregional nutrient concentration ranges in DEQ-15)	DO Delta †	Benthic Chla ; AFDW	% filamentous algae bottom cover	Macroinvertebrates
Recreation	Western and transitional ecoregions, <u>all</u> stream/medium river water surface slopes	n/a	х		 X (150 mg Chla/m²; 35 g AFDM/m²) 	X (30% cover)	
Aquatic Life	Western and transitional ecoregions, streams/medium rivers with >1% water surface slope	Mountains	х				X Becks3 Biotic Index (35.1)
Aquatic Life	Western and transitional ecoregions, streams/medium rivers with ≤1% water surface slope ^a	Low Valleys and Transitional ^a	x	X (<i>draft</i> =3.5 mg DO/L)			X Becks3 Biotic Index (18.7)
Aquatic Life	Eastern ecoregions, <u>all</u> streams/medium rivers	Plains	х	X (6.0 mg DO/L ^b)			

*Ecoregions comprising these zones are provided in **Table 2-2**.

[†] The allowable exceedance rate of a dataset of weekly average DO Δ values is 10% in the Low Valleys and Transitional and 15% in the Plains.

³ Spring creeks have naturally-occuring macrophyte beds which cause DO Δ and Becks3 values that do not attain the thresholds; therefore, they may be exempted from

this narrative translation. See endnote 1 for a list of spring creeks. Unlisted but verified spring creeks may be evaluated on a case-by-case basis.

^b Data collected during drought periods may be excluded from analysis. See department guidance for definition of drought.



X in translator means required parameter

A response variable in action



at this Silver Bow Cr site in 2003



Questions?

<u>DO Δ</u>

Thresholds:

- Low Valleys and Transitional: 3.5 mg DO/L (draft—to be finalized early 2024)
- Plains: 6.0 mg/L (non-drought periods)

<u>Macroinvertebrates</u>

Becks3 Biotic Index Thresholds:

- Mountains: 35.1
- Low Valleys and Transitional: 18.7 (spring creeks exempt)
- Plains: n/a





Meeting surface water quality standards through MPDES permitting.



Who needs an MPDES permit?

DISCHARGING FACILITY

WATER OF THE UNITED STATES

All point sources discharging pollutants into waters of the United States. CWA § 301a and 40 CFR 122.2(b)



MPDES permits establish **limits** protective of water quality **standards**.



Limitations must control all pollutants or pollutant parameters...which the **Director determines may** be discharged at a level which will cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above any state water quality standards, including state narrative criteria for water quality. 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(i)



MPDES permits establish **limits** protective of water quality **standards**.



"State surface waters must be free from substances attributable to municipal, industrial, agricultural practices or other discharges that will: (e) create conditions which produce undesirable aquatic life."

ARM 17.30.637(1)

















35

MONTAN



Case Study



Case Study Facility:





AMP MPDES Considerations:





AMP MPDES Considerations:





POTW discharging to a medium river:





Permit Cycle 1

facility performance & optimization (where appropriate)

Permit Cycle 2

facility and/or watershed load reduction estimates

Permit Cycle 3*

meeting the narrative standard

Identify, plan, &/or begin implementation of NPS projects Implementation, monitoring, & assessment of P prioritization

Continued implementation and monitoring of watershed projects.

* In some circumstances, AMPs may occur over four permit cycles (20 years) Collect causal and response variable data through permit term:

Nutrient concentrations

ΔDO

Macroinvertebrate metric



Permit Cycle 1: facility optimization





Permit Cycle 2 : -28 lbs/day NPS



MONTANA





Permit Cycle 3b : narrative standard not met



45

MONTANA







MONTAN



NPS Load Reduction Estimates



AMP Milestones

Long-term goal = instream attainment of beneficial uses









Short-term compliance = AMP milestones

- 1. Quantify nutrient sources
- 2. Identify partners and prioritize nutrient reduction projects
- 3. Submit signed contracts with project load reduction estimates to meet a specified goal
- 4. Verify project implementation (photos, site tours, etc.)
- 5. Annual monitoring & reporting



NPS Project Implementation

- "As soon as possible" is in the best interest of all
- Many BMPs address N and P; provide estimates for both
- Projects addressing N encouraged during P-prioritization phase

Resources:

- DEQ's 2016 Load Reduction Estimation Guide
- MEANSS model
- Consultation with DEQ AMP Scientist
- Other DEQ-approved models or methods



LOAD REDUCTION ESTIMATION GUIDE

A Guide for Estimating Pollutant Load Reductions Achieved Through Implementation of Best Management Practices

Revised April 18, 2016

Prepared by: Water Quality Planning Bureau Montana Department of Erwironmental Quali 1520 E. Sixth Avenue P.O. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620-0901





Milestones for Permit Cycle 1

Nutrient source ID, partners, monitor, ranked project list:

- 1. Cattle corral relocation
- Riparian cattle fencing/offchannel watering
- 3. Road BMPs
- 4. Subdiv. onsite connections
- 5. Restore channels
- 6. Row crop BMPs

Milestones for Permit Cycle 2

Monitor, implement to reduce >28 lbs/day of P* using ranked project list

Continue project ID, secure contracts & owner agreements Milestones for Permit Cycle 3

Meet narrative standard by the end of the cycle

Additional implementation:

* Estimates are secondary to response variable data – implementation above and beyond minimum is in permittee and watershed's best interest



Milestones for Permit Cycle 1

Nutrient source ID, partners, monitor, ranked project list:

- 1. Cattle corral relocation
- Riparian cattle fencing/offchannel watering
- 3. Road BMPs
- 4. Subdiv. onsite connections
- 5. Restore channels
- 6. Row crop BMPs

Milestones for Permit Cycle 2

Monitor, implement to reduce >28 lbs/day of P* using ranked project list:

- 1. Riparian cattle fencing/offchannel watering
- 2. Subdiv. onsite connections

Continue project ID, secure contracts & owner agreements Milestones for Permit Cycle 3

Meet narrative standard by the end of the cycle

Additional implementation:

* Estimates are secondary to response variable data. Implementation above and beyond minimum is in permittee and watershed's best interest. Additional measures of conservatism may be applied to project load reduction estimates after consultation w/ DEQ.

For permit cycle #2, (4) riparian cattle fencing/off-channel watering projects and a subdivision onsite connection will be implemented.



27 Acres 25 Cows 30 Days

> 37 Acres 25 Cows 40 Days



Riparian Fencing & Off-Channel Watering

Landscape modifications:

- 35-foot wide (2 acres) livestock exclusion buffer
- Wells, stock tanks, water lines
- Controlled cattle crossings
- ~12,700' of exclusion fencing









27 Acres 25 Cows 30 Days

> 37 Acres 25 Cows 40 Days

> > 37 Acres 25 Cows 40 Days

Riparian Fencing & Off-Channel Watering

Load Reduction = (number of animals) x (days on original pasture) x (daily P production) x (buffer acreage/total pasture acreage) x (conversion factor)

P Load Reduction	N Load Reduction
7.73 lbs/day	5.91 lbs/day

Combined with (3) similar projects, estimates are:					
P Load Reduction	N Load Reduction				
30.92 lbs/day	23.64 lbs/day				





Subdivision Onsite Connections

- 69-home subdivision w/ individual onsite systems to be connected to POTW.
- After optimization, the plant is achieving 75% N removal and 95% P removal.
- Calculation: (total load entering stream from septic systems) – (total load entering stream from the same sources under centralized treatment)

Pollutant	Load from onsite (lbs/day) ¹	% Removed – onsite	Load Entering Stream – onsite (lbs/day)	% Removed– POTW	Total Load Entering Stream – POTW (Ibs/day)	Load Reduction Credit (lbs/day)
TN	5.77	57.1%	2.47	75%	1.44	1.03
ТР	1.22	94.78%	0.06	95%	0.06	0



Milestones for Permit Cycle 1

Nutrient source ID, partners, monitor, ranked project list:

- 1. Cattle corral relocation
- Riparian cattle fencing/offchannel watering
- 3. Road BMPs
- 4. Subdiv. onsite connections
- 5. Restore channels
- 6. Row crop BMPs

Milestones for Permit Cycle 2

Monitor, implement to reduce >28 lbs/day of P* using ranked project list:

- 1. Riparian cattle fencing/offchannel watering
- 2. Subdiv. onsite connections

Cont. project ID, secure contracts & owner agreements Milestones for Permit Cycle 3

Meet narrative standard by the end of the cycle

Additional implementation:

- 1. Cattle corral relocation
- 2. Road BMPs
- 3. Restore channels
- 4. Row crop BMPs

* Estimates are secondary to response variable data. Implementation above and beyond minimum is in permittee and watershed's best interest. Additional measures of conservatism may be applied to project load reduction estimates after consultation w/ DEQ.

For permit cycle #3, a cattle corral relocation and several channel restoration projects have been contracted. Future eligibility and potential for additional implementation to be evaluated.





Recap of DEQ's work: (Lindsey)



Actions to Date:

- EPA approved DEQ's 2014 scientific rationale for numeric criteria
- SB358 did not repeal EPA's approval of numeric criteria for Montana
- DEQ developed its current proposal through 42 NWG meetings and multiple listening sessions and focus groups.
- DEQ now presents scientific rationale demonstrating that combined criteria incorporating response variables are more representative and protective of Montana's waters than the numeric criteria previously approved by EPA.
- DEQ developed an adaptive management program allowing dischargers to reduce nonpoint nutrient sources in the watershed instead of immediately investing in tertiary treatment.
- DEQ is prepared to begin implementing these rules in 2024.



Where are we now?

DEQ will share draft rules prior to the November 2023 NWG meeting DEQ will begin rulemaking in January 2024

Deliverables:

Rules

- Translation of Narrative Nutrient Standards
- Implementation of the Adaptive Management Program
- DEQ-12A repeal

Circular DEQ-15

 "Translation of Narrative Nutrient Standards and Implementation of the Adaptive Management Program"

Guidance Document(s)





Upcoming Meeting



Upcoming Meeting Schedule

- Last meeting until after rulemaking
 - November 14, 2023 9 11:00 a.m.





Public Comment



Questions/ Comments

- Raise hand (*9 if on the phone) or type questions into the Q&A
- DEQ will unmute you if you wish to provide your comment orally
- If calling by phone, press*6 to unmute
- State your name and affiliation before providing your comment









Leave

Thanks for Joining Us

Contact: Kyle Milke <u>kyle.milke@mt.gov</u>

To submit comments or questions

Submit Comments or Questions



https://deq.mt.gov/water/Councils

