



Note: This initial draft template document is being provided for consultation purposes with the Nutrient Work Group. This is a preliminary document for review and may undergo changes based on Nutrient Work Group input. Additionally, this template is based on requirements outlined in draft Circular DEQ-15. Any applicable changes to Circular DEQ-15 will be incorporated into this template.

HOW TO USE THIS ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT PLAN (AMP) TEMPLATE

Per Circular DEQ-15, permittees who choose to enter the adaptive management program are required to collect instream nutrients and response variables data and implement a nutrient reduction strategy to support beneficial uses. This template outlines all aspects of data collection including field methods, data collection timeframes, sample handling, and quality assurance and quality control measures. This template also outlines key components for implementing a successful nutrient reduction strategy:

- AMP watershed identification and description
- Identify, quantify, and characterize all sources of nutrient contributions in the AMP watershed (watershed-scale phase)
- Identify partners (watershed-scale phase)
- Identify load reduction goals and action items for reduction of nutrients in the watershed (watershed-scale phase)
- Demonstration of ability to fund and implement the plan
- Continued data collection
- Timeline for completing above components and annual reporting
- Outreach strategy and communication plan

This template contains both required and suggested language. Users should carefully review all language to ensure that only information that is relevant to their watershed is included in the AMP. Permittees may modify and adapt this template based on their needs, with approval from the Montana DEQ Adaptive Management Program Scientist. Permittees are encouraged to work with the Adaptive Management Program Scientist throughout development of an AMP to ensure current Department guidance is followed. The most current information and adaptive management program contacts can be found on Montana DEQ's website at: <https://dev-deq.mt.gov/water/Programs/amp>. Please refer to the website to ensure you have the most current version of this template.

Template Key:

- Black text is used throughout the document to indicate required information that should generally remain intact, such as section headings, table titles and column headings, and some boilerplate language.
- Text between brackets indicates the type of information to be inserted. The brackets (i.e., []) are to be deleted once populated.

-
-
- **Red text** is used to provide instructions to the template user, especially brief explanations of what type of information should be included in each section. This red font information should be deleted from your final document.
 - **Blue text** is used to provide example language in some sections, especially those where there tends to be more uniformity among AMPs such as quality assurance and data management. This language may be included or modified as the author deems appropriate and relevant to the AMP.
 - **Yellow-highlighted** items are to be completed by DEQ. The highlighting will be removed when this template is made final.

Delete this page before finalizing your document.

DRAFT

Adaptive Management Plan [Watershed Name and Entity Name(s)]

Insert a relevant photo here, if desired.

[Month] [Year (YYYY)]

AMP ID: [ID]

The AMP ID will be assigned by the DEQ Adaptive Management Program Scientist

Prepared by:

[Plan Author Name(s)]

[Entity Name]

[Entity Address]

Approved by:

[Name], Montana DEQ Adaptive Management Program Scientist

Date

[Name], Montana DEQ MPDES Permitting Section Supervisor

Date

This Adaptive Management Plan (AMP) applies to the Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (MPDES) permits listed in the below table.

Applicable MPDES Permits:

MPDES Permit Number	Facility Name	Receiving Waterbody(ies)

Suggested Citation: [Author]. [Year Published (YYYY)]. [Document Title]. [City, State Abbreviation where published]: [Publishing Company or Entity Name].

The Author in the suggested citation can be an individual or a company/entity name. An example citation DEQ uses is as follows:

Montana DEQ. 2020. Madison Sediment and Temperature TMDLs and Water Quality Improvement Plan. Helena, MT: Montana Dept. of Environmental Quality.

DRAFT

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

Revision No.	Date	Modified By	Sections Modified	Description of Changes

DRAFT

This page intentionally left blank

DRAFT

To update the table of contents, right click on the table and then select “Update Field” and then “Update entire table.”

Table of Contents

List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	iii
Acronyms	iv
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Problem Definition and Background.....	1
1.2 [Watershed Name] Watershed Description	2
1.2.1 Location and Boundaries	2
1.2.2 Hydrology.....	2
1.2.3 Climate	2
1.2.4 Land Cover and Land Uses	2
2.0 Nutrient Water Quality Standards.....	3
2.1 Stream Classifications and Beneficial Uses.....	3
2.2 Narrative Nutrient Standards.....	4
2.3 Nondegradation Provisions.....	4
3.0 Objectives and Sampling Design	4
3.1 Monitoring Objectives	4
3.2 Sampling Design.....	5
3.3 Natural Background Loading.....	5
3.4 Monitoring Locations.....	6
3.5 Monitoring Timeframe and Schedule	9
3.6 Parameters.....	9
4.0 Monitoring Team and Responsibilities	10
5.0 Field Procedures.....	10
5.1 Order of Operations.....	10
5.2 Field Forms and Sample Labels.....	11
5.3 Data Collection Procedures.....	11
5.4 Changes to the Field Sampling Plan.....	12
5.5 Field Health and Safety Procedures.....	13
6.0 Sample Handling and Laboratory Analysis.....	13
6.1 Sample Handling and Delivery	13
6.2 Chain of Custody	13
6.3 Laboratory Analytical Requirements	14

7.0 Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) 16

 7.1 Training and Qualifications 16

 7.2 Instrument Calibration and Maintenance..... 16

 7.3 Data Quality Indicators 16

 7.4 Laboratory Quality Control 19

8.0 Data Management and Record Keeping 19

 8.1 Data Review and Validation 19

 8.2 Data Management 20

9.0 Data Analysis and Reporting 20

 9.1 Data Analysis 20

 9.2 Reporting..... 20

10.0 Implementing Nutrient Reductions at the Facility..... 21

 10.1 Optimization 21

 10.2 Facility Improvements..... 21

11.0 Implementing Nutrient Reductions in the [Watershed Name] Watershed 22

 11.1 Nutrient Source Contributions in the [Watershed Name] Watershed 22

 11.2 Effects of Excess Nutrients on Beneficial Uses 22

 11.3 Significant Nutrient Sources and Source Quantification Methods 23

 11.4 Nutrient Source Assessment by Stream 23

 11.5 Partners Assisting with Implementing Nutrient Reductions..... 23

 11.6 Action Items for the Reduction of Nutrients in the [Watershed Name] Watershed..... 23

 11.7 Ability to Fund and Implement This Plan..... 24

12.0 Future Data Collection 24

13.0 Timeframes for Implementing This Plan and Annual Reporting..... 24

14.0 Outreach Strategy and Communication Plan 24

15.0 References 25

Appendix A – Field Forms 27

Appendix B – Equipment and Supplies 27

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. Nutrient Impaired Waterbodies and their Impaired Beneficial Uses in the [Watershed Name] Watershed

Table 3-1. Monitoring Locations

Table 3-2. WQX Station Information

Table 3-3. Monitoring Schedule

Table 3-4. Water Quality Parameters

Table 4-1. Project Team Roles and Responsibilities

Table 6-1. Effluent Monitoring Parameter Suite, Sample Handling, Analysis, & Preservation

Table 6-2. Instream Monitoring Parameter Suite, Sample Handling, Analysis, & Preservation

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3-1. Map of Proposed Monitoring Locations

DRAFT

ACRONYMS

Expand the provided table to list all acronyms and abbreviations that appear in the document and their meaning.

Acronym	Definition
AFDW	Ash-free dry weight
AMP	Adaptive Management Plan
ARM	Administrative Rules of Montana
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
Chl <i>a</i>	Chlorophyll <i>a</i>
COC	Chain-of-Custody
DEQ	Department of Environmental Quality (Montana)
DQI	Data Quality Indicator
EDD	Electronic Data Deliverables
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EQuIS	Environmental Quality Information System
EtOH	Ethanol
GIS	Geographic Information System
GPS	Global Positioning System
H ₂ SO ₄	Sulfuric acid
HAZWOPER	Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response
HDPE	High-density polyethylene
HUC	Hydrologic Unit Code
L	liter
LQAP	Laboratory Quality Assurance Program
MCA	Montana Code Annotated
MEANSS	Method for Estimating Attenuation of Nutrients from Septic Systems
mL	milliliter
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
MPDES	Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
MS/MSD	Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate
MT-eWQX	Montana EQuIS Water Quality Exchange
NAD 1983	North American Datum of 1983
NELAC	National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference
NO ₂₊₃	Sum of Nitrate and Nitrite
QA/QC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
SRP	Soluble Reactive Phosphorus
SVC	Site Visit Code
TKN	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen
TN	Total Nitrogen
TP	Total Phosphorus
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
WQX	Water Quality Exchange
µg/L	parts per billion

Acronym	Definition
μm	micrometers
ΔDO	Change in Dissolved Oxygen

DRAFT

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This document presents an analysis of nutrient water quality information in the [watershed name] watershed and establishes an implementation strategy for reducing nutrient loads to support beneficial uses. In addition, this document also outlines all aspects of data collection including field methods, data collection timeframes, sample handling, and quality assurance and quality control measures.

This section provides background information and context to clarify the motivations for the data collection and explains the problem statement or need that the monitoring and implementation described throughout this plan will support.

1.1 PROBLEM DEFINITION AND BACKGROUND

In this section, introduce the monitoring purpose and goals:

- Provide background information to provide context for the monitoring. State whether you are in the initial Adaptive Management Plan (AMP) phase (i.e., only upstream and downstream near field site monitoring) or watershed-scale phase of an AMP.
- State the reason you are conducting water quality monitoring and describe your monitoring goals, including any decisions to be made or outcomes to be achieved. Goals are the big picture desired outcomes of your project and can be broadly stated (e.g., evaluate current conditions, establish a baseline for future comparisons, identify sources of pollution, analyze trends over time, evaluate if a project effectively improved water quality).
- Describe if there are specific problems, needs, or data gaps motivating the monitoring.
- Consider including other past or current monitoring efforts that are related to this plan.

Consider including or modifying the following introductory background language:

Per 75-5-321, Montana Code Annotated (MCA), Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) adopted rules allowing for the use of an adaptive management program when implementing narrative nutrient water quality standards. The adaptive management program is an incremental, watershed-based approach for protecting and maintaining water quality affected by excess nutrients. An important element of the adaptive management program is that it allows different nutrients (phosphorus vs. nitrogen) and nutrient sources to be addressed separately and incrementally over time by incorporating flexible decision-making which can be adjusted as management actions and other factors become better understood in each watershed. Per **Section 5.0** of Circular DEQ-15, permittees operating under the adaptive management program are required to collect instream nutrients and response variables data.

Per **New Rule I(2)(b)(iii)** each facility is required to examine all possible pollutant minimization activities which may reduce nutrient concentrations in the effluent. These activities shall include, but are not limited to: **(A)** documentation of process control strategies identified and implemented through optimization; **(B)** ongoing training of operations staff in advanced operational strategies; **(C)** and minor changes to infrastructure to complement and further advance operational strategies. A permittee may achieve nutrient reductions at the facility through conventional capital improvements or through additional work via Montana's optimization program. This plan describes optimization efforts and facility improvements for reducing nutrients in the effluent.

1.2 [WATERSHED NAME] WATERSHED DESCRIPTION

This watershed description provides a general overview of the physical and social characteristics of the [watershed name] watershed.

At a minimum, the following elements need to be included. The DEQ Adaptive Management Program Scientist will provide additional guidance on how to obtain the Geographical Information System (GIS) layers needed to compile this information, which may be incorporated as an appendix to this template.

1.2.1 Location and Boundaries

In this section, describe the AMP watershed location and boundary where monitoring and implementation will take place. Include:

- The geographic location and size (in square miles and acres) of the watershed, including counties, major cities/towns, and a general upstream and downstream watershed context
- The watershed Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) and name within which the monitoring will occur
 - If monitoring will occur in a watershed that combines multiple 8-digit HUCs, contact the DEQ Adaptive Management Program Scientist for further instruction
- Corresponding Montana TMDL Planning Area(s)
- The waterbody or waterbodies targeted during the project, noting which are impaired for nutrient-related causes (search the most recent cycle of DEQ's Clean Water Act Information Center found at: <https://deq.mt.gov/water/resources>).
- Include a location map(s)
- Key features of the project area (e.g., major mountain ranges bounding the watershed and natural characteristics that influence water quality such as geology, elevation, and ecoregion).
 - Per **Section 4.1** of Circular DEQ-15: Ecoregions must be based on the 2002 version (version 2) of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) map which is found at: <https://www.epa.gov/eco-research/ecoregion-download-files-state-region-8#pane-24>.

1.2.2 Hydrology

Provide the following information:

- General hydrologic cycle of the watershed, including typical spring runoff months and base flow months
- Note any dams in the watershed and their type of release
- Note any United States Geological Survey (USGS) gaging stations (include gage number and name)
- Include a map showing major tributaries and any USGS gage stations

1.2.3 Climate

Provide the following information:

- Average precipitation by elevation and temperatures (highest/lowest average temperatures)
- Peak precipitation months
- Optional: discussion on climate trends
- Note any FWP-identified dewatered streams

1.2.4 Land Cover and Land Uses

Provide the following information, where applicable:

- Vegetation types and their percent cover
- Percent of private vs public lands
- Agricultural land uses (crop types, irrigation methods, irrigation ditch networks, grazing acreage, etc.)
- Years and locations of wildfires
- Types of mining activity
- Recreational activities that may affect water quality or quantity (e.g., note any golf courses)

2.0 NUTRIENT WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

Those water quality standards that apply to this document are reviewed briefly below. More detailed descriptions of Montana’s water quality standards may be found in the Montana Water Quality Act (75-5-301 and 302 MCA) and Montana’s Surface Water Quality Standards and Procedures (Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.30.601 through 670).

2.1 STREAM CLASSIFICATIONS AND BENEFICIAL USES

Stream classification is the assignment of a group of beneficial uses to a waterbody based on the potential of the waterbody to support those uses. Beneficial uses are the valuable characteristics of a stream or river resource that, directly or indirectly, contribute to human welfare. They are established in law and reflect the societal values embodied in those laws. Montana waters are classified for multiple uses. Streams and lakes within the [watershed name] watershed are classified as [classification] (ARM 17.30.[subchapter]). Waters classified as [classification] are to be maintained suitable for [list beneficial uses] (ARM 17.30.[subchapter]). **Table 2-1** shows the waterbody segments in the [watershed name] watershed not fully supporting their beneficial uses due to nutrient related impairment causes.

Per **Section 5.4** of Circular DEQ-15: “Permittees should refer to Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.30.607 through 613 and identify their receiving waterbody’s use classification, and then review the associated beneficial uses provided in ARM 17.30.621 through 631. A proposed AMP must describe the applicable use class of the waterbody, which ecoregion zone (western or eastern) best applies to them, and which response variables will be measured, along with a justification; this is subject to department review and approval.” Please review all of **Section 4.1 and Section 5.2-5.4.3** in Circular DEQ-15 when determining your watershed boundary, applicable ecoregions, and which response variables to monitor.

Note: stream classification can be found at Montana’s Clean Water Act Information Center and by using the “Use Class Map,” both found here: <https://deq.mt.gov/water/resources>

Table 2-1. Nutrient Impaired Waterbodies and their Impaired Beneficial uses in the [Watershed Name] Watershed

Waterbody & Location Description	Assessment Unit ID	Nutrient-Related Impairment Cause	Impaired Use(s)*
Example Creek, Headwaters to mouth (X Creek)	[ID]	Total Nitrogen	Aquatic Life Primary Contact Recreation
		Total Phosphorus	Aquatic Life Primary Contact Recreation

Table 2-1. Nutrient Impaired Waterbodies and their Impaired Beneficial uses in the [Watershed Name] Watershed

Waterbody & Location Description	Assessment Unit ID	Nutrient-Related Impairment Cause	Impaired Use(s)*
----------------------------------	--------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------

* A full summary of beneficial use support information for each waterbody can be found in Montana’s [most recent IR year] Integrated Report

2.2 NARRATIVE NUTRIENT STANDARDS

Montana’s water quality standards include numeric and narrative criteria that protect the beneficial uses described above. For nutrients, only narrative water quality standards apply in the [watershed name] watershed (**Note: DEQ has written this statement as what will be true at the time this template is first used. As of August 2022, this statement is known to be incorrect**). The narrative standards found at ARM 17.30.637(1) – “State surface waters must be free from substances attributable to municipal, industrial, and agricultural practices or other discharges that will: (e) create conditions which produce undesirable aquatic life” – are the primary narrative standards Montana DEQ uses to regulate the impacts of excess phosphorus and nitrogen in state waters. These narrative nutrient standards now apply to all state surface waters, including those previously covered under Circular DEQ-12A.

2.3 NONDEGRADATION PROVISIONS

Nondegradation is addressed via the Nondegradation Policy within Montana state statute (75-5-303, MCA) and via Montana’s nondegradation rules (ARM 17.30.7). The Nondegradation Policy states that existing uses of state waters and the level of water quality necessary to protect those uses must be maintained and protected. Montana’s nondegradation rules apply to any new or increased point or nonpoint source resulting in a change of existing water quality occurring on or after April 29, 1993 (ARM 17.30.702). **If nondegradation may apply to you, please consult with the Adaptive Management Program Scientist before proceeding, as different standards and methods will apply.**

3.0 OBJECTIVES AND SAMPLING DESIGN

This section states the monitoring objectives, describes key elements of the sampling design such as monitoring locations and the timing of monitoring events, and outlines information about each parameter that will be monitored.

3.1 MONITORING OBJECTIVES

In this section, articulate the monitoring objective(s). Monitoring objectives should be very specific and measurable. Clearly articulated objectives explain why you are monitoring and often begin with the word “to” and contain four elements: parameter, location, timing, and context. For example, “To collect nutrient samples (Total Nitrogen [TN], Total Phosphorus [TP] and Nitrate plus Nitrite [NO₂₊₃]) at five sites along the entire length of Anywhere Creek during the summertime growing season from July 1 - September 30 when ecoregional nutrient concentration ranges apply” This section ensures that the plan developer is considering sample analysis, size, and locations specific to addressing all the project goals from Section 1.1. Provide both descriptive text and a bulleted list of objectives.

3.2 SAMPLING DESIGN

In this section, describe the sampling design that will be used. According to EPA (EPA QA/G5, 2002), there are two classes of sampling designs to consider: probability-based and judgmental. Strong statistical conclusions are available with probability-based designs but not with judgmental designs. Key questions to consider include:

- Is this project to be comparable with previous sampling or analytical efforts, or with a regulation standard?
- Is the objective of the sample to estimate an average or to find a hot spot?
- Will a reference or background population be used as a comparison to the target population?
- What considerations will be used in the field to confirm sampling locations are appropriate or adequate? What will be done if a site becomes inaccessible?
- Will you use a network of sampling sites that will be visited periodically or where sampling will be performed continuously?
- Do all the samples need to be taken during a similar timeframe? Is sequencing important, upstream to downstream or vice versa? Note: **Per Section 5.1 and 5.4.1** of Circular DEQ-15: Sampling events for a specific parameter must be within the defined index period, at the minimum frequency described in the permit, and may not exceed 24 hours between near field upstream and downstream sample collection.
- What are the minimum data requirements?
- Will samples need to be composited?

Note: If you are simply conducting near field upstream and downstream monitoring around a facility (in the initial AMP phase), you do not need to be too detailed here, as “upstream versus downstream comparison” is your basic design. However, the above list of questions still needs to be considered.

3.3 NATURAL BACKGROUND LOADING

Naturally occurring conditions are the conditions or materials present from runoff or percolation over which man has no control or from developed land where all reasonable land, soil and water conservation practices have been applied (Suplee et al., 2005). Reference sites are used to characterize naturally occurring conditions and reflect a group of waterbodies’ greatest potential for water quality.

Identifying reference sites is an outgrowth of the reference condition concept. The reference condition concept asserts that there exist for any group of waterbodies relatively undisturbed examples that can represent the natural biological, physical, and chemical integrity of a region; therefore, reference stream sites are those that represent the reference condition. Reference sites help the Department interpret narrative water-quality standards (Suplee et al., 2005).

Further discussion of this topic can be found in *Benchmark Biology of Montana Reference Streams* (Bahls et al., 1992) here: [Benchmark biology of Montana reference streams](#) and *Identification and Assessment of Montana Reference Streams: A Follow-up and Expansion of the 1992 Benchmark Biology* is located here: [Standards | Montana DEQ](#).

In this section, state the total number of reference sites and include them in **Table 3-1**.

3.4 MONITORING LOCATIONS

In this section, state the total number of sites that will be visited and include them in **Table 3-1** which identifies each site's name, description, and latitude and longitude coordinates. For each site:

- Summarize which parameters will be collected throughout the sampling period and their associated thresholds.
- Describe the rationale for site selection. Where applicable, include references to:
 - applicable monitoring objectives
 - site access comments
 - features that may influence water quality such as tributaries, springs, return flows and withdrawals, suspected sources of pollution, ecoregion boundaries, slope, geology, etc.

Include a map displaying your monitoring sites within your project area.

Describe how sites will be located in the field (e.g., Global Positioning System [GPS], visual observations).

Note: Always use the GPS coordinate system datum North American Datum (NAD) 1983 and record coordinates in decimal degrees, to at least the third decimal.

Note: Monitoring locations should be carefully selected to represent conditions of the waterbody or reach you are monitoring. When selecting monitoring locations, consider aspects that may impact the parameters that you are sampling, such as tributaries; springs; irrigation withdrawals or diversions; suspected pollution hotspots; roads/bridges; landowner access issues; changes in ecoregion, slope, or geology.

Per **Section 5.2** of Circular DEQ-15, "Sampling site locations in a submitted AMP are subject to department review and approval. At a minimum, an AMP must comprise one near field site upstream and one near field site downstream of each point source discharge (**Figure 5-1**). The department expects the permittee to establish the sampling sites in an approved AMP as long-term monitoring locations." Please review **Section 5.2** on near field sites and **Section 8.1** on far field sites in Circular DEQ-15 before selecting your sampling locations. Additionally, **Section 5.2** of the Guidance Document for the Implementation of Narrative Nutrient Standards provides additional help in selecting monitoring locations.

Table 3-1. Monitoring Locations*

Station ID	Latitude	Longitude	Parameters to Collect	Rationale for Site Selection	Threshold Value

*These are proposed sampling locations which may change due to unforeseen access or other issues. To translate the narrative nutrient water quality standard described in **Section 2.2**, the threshold values listed in **Table 3-1** will be used. The values are derived from the table of ranges provided in Circular DEQ-15 (DEQ, 2022).

Response variable thresholds are defined in **Tables 5-3 and 5-4** of Circular DEQ-15. The DEQ AMP Scientist will provide you with the direct translation of TP and TN values derived from the ranges found in **Table 4-2** of Circular DEQ-15.

Table 3-2. WQX Station Information

Station ID	Station Name	Station Description

Insert a map of monitoring locations above the **Figure 3-1** heading.

Figure 3-1. Map of Proposed Monitoring Locations

When populating the above tables and entering data into Water Quality Exchange (WQX), please use the Montana Environmental Quality Information System (EQiS) Water Quality Exchange (MT-eWQX) Guidance Manual (found at: <https://deq.mt.gov/water/Programs/sw>) for guidance on Station IDs, Station Names, Station Descriptions, and Latitude and Longitude Coordinates.

Additional WQX Guidance

Project Name, Description, and ID

- Use the Montana EQiS MT-eWQX Guidance Manual (found at: <https://deq.mt.gov/water/Programs/sw>) for guidance on Project Name, Project ID, and Project Description.
- The Project Name and Project ID must include the abbreviation “AMP.”

-
- The Project Description must include “Adaptive Management Plan.”

WQX Organization

All data entered for this monitoring plan should be entered under the WQX Organization:

MDEQ_MPDES_WQX

DRAFT

3.5 MONITORING TIMEFRAME AND SCHEDULE

Describe when monitoring events will occur:

- Include a statement that identifies the timeframe within which all sampling described within this AMP will occur (e.g., One year or multiple years? During certain months or hydrologic periods?)
- State the frequency of sampling per parameter. For example, nutrients will be collected twice during the index period with a minimum of six weeks between sampling events or macroinvertebrates will be collected once annually during the index period.
- Note that per Circular DEQ-15, “Sampling events for a specific parameter must be within the defined index period, at the minimum frequency described in the permit, and may not exceed 24 hours between upstream and downstream sample collection.” Refer to **Tables 5-3 and 5-4 of Circular DEQ-15 for minimum sampling requirements. Section 5.1** of the Guidance Document for the Implementation of Narrative Nutrient Standards provides information on when the index period may need to be adjusted, subject to DEQ review and approval.

Include a table listing each sampling event:

- Explain the rationale for the timing/frequency (e.g., meeting the minimum sampling requirements per Circular DEQ-15 (state what they are); seasonal or flow conditions targeted such as baseflow, runoff, algal growing season/index period (July 1 to September 30), before irrigation withdrawals).
- Summarize which parameters will be collected during each sampling event, especially if it varies.
- Note: the “Date of Sampling Event” in the below table can be a date range, such as Mid-July or First week of May.

Table 3-3. Monitoring Schedule

Date of Sampling Event	Parameters	Rationale for Timing/Frequency

3.6 PARAMETERS

List each parameter that will be collected and, for each:

- Briefly summarize the approach that will be used to collect each type of data (e.g., “measured via water samples analyzed by a lab” or “measured in situ with a field meter” or “collected by deployment of continuous dataloggers”).
- Include a brief explanation and justification for including each parameter in the study design (i.e., what is it and why is it relevant). For example, discharge (flow) is necessary to pair concentrations with flow data to calculate loads, Total Suspended Solids (TSS) can help evaluate nutrient patterns, and photos can help track benthic algae conditions. Note that your “Justification for Collecting” in **Table 3-4** below should not be “required by Circular DEQ-15.”

Table 3-4. Water Quality Parameters

Parameter or Data Type	Collection Approach	Justification for Collecting

4.0 MONITORING TEAM AND RESPONSIBILITIES

In this section, identify individuals involved with the major aspects of the monitoring and specify each person’s affiliation, roles, and responsibilities:

- Examples of roles may include monitoring plan leader, field team leader, field personnel, equipment technician, database manager, data technicians, contractors, modelers, etc.
- Examples of responsibilities may include develop monitoring plan, oversee field personnel, provide training, provide equipment, calibrate equipment, review field forms, lab coordination, sample shipping or delivery, data quality review, validate and upload data into MT-eWQX database, perform data analysis, etc.

For more complex projects with multiple partners, consider including an organizational chart.

Table 4-1. Project Team Roles and Responsibilities

Role	Individual(s)	Affiliation	Responsibilities

5.0 FIELD PROCEDURES

This section cites or describes each field procedure that will be applied while collecting data during this project and references the field forms that will be used to record data and sample collection activities.

5.1 ORDER OF OPERATIONS

In this section, list the order in which each monitoring-related task will be conducted to serve as a guide for field personnel.

Consider including or modifying the following language if it is relevant to your monitoring:

The following sequence illustrates the order of operations applied for each site visit:

1. Prepare for the field (e.g., review sampling plan, pack equipment and supplies, inspect and calibrate instruments, obtain ice/dry ice, confirm site access and permissions).
2. Navigate to the proposed monitoring site location using the coordinates provided in the AMP, a handheld GPS unit, maps, and site descriptions.
3. Initiate field forms:
 - Record station information on site visit form.
 - Fill in header on field forms.
 - Fill in header and account information on analytical laboratory chain-of-custody (COC) form.
4. Collect data at site (Note: collect data types that are most sensitive to disturbance first):

-
- Collect chemistry data and samples (e.g., *in situ* field measurements, water samples, data logger deployment)
 - Layout sampling frame and collect biological samples (e.g., benthic algae, macroinvertebrates, periphyton)
 - Collect physical information (e.g., measure or estimate total discharge, take site photos)
5. Wrap-up site visit; review field forms for completeness and accuracy; complete COC forms.
 6. Deliver samples to the laboratory.

5.2 FIELD FORMS AND SAMPLE LABELS

In this section, provide a list of field forms that will be used during this project; include the official name of the form and any stipulations for when each form should or should not be filled out. Include the actual field forms in an Appendix at the end of this document and reference that Appendix in this section.

Describe the sample labels that will be used to identify each collected sample and provide instructions for filling out or affixing sample labels to sample bottles.

Consider including or modifying the following language:

All field forms should be printed on water resistant all-weather paper and filled out using pencil (preferable) or permanent fine-line marker.

The field forms used during this project (**Appendix A**) include:

- A site visit form designed for this AMP will be used to record site visit information (e.g., date, time, personnel, weather condition, and temperature), site information (e.g., station ID, waterbody name, station description, latitude, and longitude), instantaneous field measurements, sample collections and other data collection activities performed during the site visit.
- All samples to be submitted for analysis by an analytical laboratory or biological contractor will be recorded on the respective laboratory's COC form.
- Additional DEQ-approved field forms will be used to record information during the site visit: **List each field form that will be used.**

All field forms must be reviewed by the field crew prior to departure from each site to verify completeness and accuracy.

Prior to collecting samples at each site, all sample containers will be labeled, at a minimum, with the site visit code (SVC), waterbody name, sample collection date/time, and personnel performing the sampling, as well as any other information requested on the label (e.g., filtration, transect number, method type). Labels will be filled out with pencil or permanent fine-point marker, affixed to the sample container and covered completely with clear plastic tape to protect the label from being damaged during storage.

5.3 DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURES

In this section, cite or write the standard operating procedures (SOPs) that will be used to collect each type of data during this project:

-
- If a documented SOP exists which accurately describes the field procedure intended for use during the project, it is preferable to simply identify and cite that SOP. Because many SOP documents are compilations of multiple procedures, clearly identify the exact procedure to be followed (e.g., citation plus section or page numbers). **Table 5-2 of the Guidance Document for the Implementation of Narrative Nutrient Standards outlines existing DEQ SOPs that apply to nutrient data collection.**
 - If no documented SOP that can be cited exists, write detailed step-by-step instructions and any other relevant guidance for the procedure in this section. These instructions should be very detailed to instruct field personnel through the exact procedures to be followed.

Use third-level sub-headings (5.3.1, 5.3.2, and so on) to organize this section, for example:

- **Instantaneous in situ measurements** (include type of field meter, which parameters will be measured, necessary calibrations, etc.)
- **Continuous Dataloggers** (include type of datalogger, process for deploying, checking, cleaning and retrieving, averaging period, data upload process, etc.)
- **Water and Biological Sampling** (include the type of samples to be collected, the method of collection, rinsing or other decontamination, filtration, preservation and sample storage, etc.)
- **Flow/discharge** (include guidance for where to measure, which meter or alternate method will be used, etc.)
- **Photos** (include the minimum number of photos to take per site, what subject matter should be targeted, instructions for repeat photo points if applicable, etc.)

Include a list of equipment and supplies needed to conduct all data collection activities associated with this AMP in Appendix B.

If applicable, cite or write any decontamination procedures that will be conducted by field personnel, including equipment decontamination procedures (McCarthy, 2014) as well as aquatic invasive species decontamination (Esquivel and McWilliams, 2017).

5.4 CHANGES TO THE FIELD SAMPLING PLAN

In this section, describe what will be done if modifications must be made to the AMP while in the field. Describe what corrective actions will be taken, for example:

- If a site becomes inaccessible.
- If a sampling event must be cancelled.
- If an instrument is lost or malfunctions.

Consider including or modifying the following language if it is relevant to your monitoring:

As conditions in the field may vary, it may become necessary to implement minor modifications to sampling as presented in this plan. Field personnel will clearly document any modifications made to the approved plan and will communicate these modifications, preferably before or as soon as possible after, with the team leader. If, for any reason, field staff feel that conditions are unsafe for collecting samples (e.g., swift waters, weather or ice conditions, other site hazards) they are not to collect the samples. Field personnel will make reasonable effort to reschedule any missed sampling events in consultation with the team leader, or to replace samples that are lost or broken during the sampling event. If field personnel suspect that an instrument is malfunctioning or giving inaccurate readings, they will add a

comment to the site visit form explaining the issue and will communicate the issue to the team leader and equipment technician. Team leaders will acknowledge modifications in the document revision history table and in annual AMP progress reports submitted to the DEQ Adaptive Management Program Scientist.

5.5 FIELD HEALTH AND SAFETY PROCEDURES

In this section, describe any measures that will be taken to ensure field health and safety.

Consider modifying the following language:

Field personnel are required to adhere to all health and safety protocols applicable to travel, chemical safety, water safety, site access, and other field data collection activities as required by their sponsoring entity.

6.0 SAMPLE HANDLING AND LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This section contains information pertaining to sample handling, COC, and laboratory analysis.

6.1 SAMPLE HANDLING AND DELIVERY

In this section:

- Describe the procedures that will be followed to ensure that samples retain their original physical form and chemical composition through collection to final disposal.
- Describe the process that will be used to store samples between collection and receipt by the lab.
- Describe the process that will be used to deliver samples to the laboratory. For example, samples may be delivered in-person “by hand” to the lab or, in some cases, samples must be shipped. If samples are to be shipped, specify the mode of shipment and specific shipping instructions to guide field personnel.

Consider including or modifying the following language if it is relevant to your monitoring:

In the field, samples will be stored according to the preservation requirements shown in **Table 6-1** and **Table 6-2**. Care will be taken to maintain appropriate temperatures (e.g., adequate air circulation or ice supply), and coolers will be drained frequently to avoid contamination from melted ice. Storage time between sample collection and delivery to the lab will be minimized and samples will be received by the lab within the holding times specified in **Table 6-1** and **Table 6-2**.

Samples will preferably be delivered by hand to the lab. If samples must be shipped, the method of delivery (USPS, FedEx, or UPS) will be indicated on the site visit form and packing instructions provided by the lab will be followed. Upon delivery of samples at the laboratory, [entity] will keep the original site visit forms with COC signatures in place and the laboratory will keep a photocopy.

6.2 CHAIN OF CUSTODY

In this section, describe the procedures that will be followed to maintain a record of COC for all samples collected under this AMP.

Consider including or modifying the following language if it is relevant to your monitoring:

A record of COC will be maintained for each sample collected under this AMP so that physical possession is tracked at all points from sample collection through laboratory analysis. The COC form provided by each analytical laboratory or biological contractor will be used to record signatures, dates and times when samples are relinquished and received during transfers among people including laboratory staff. If samples are shipped, custody seals will be used on the shipping container to ensure that custody is maintained and that samples are not tampered with while in transit.

6.3 LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REQUIREMENTS

In this section, specify which analytical laboratories and/or biological contractors you will send each type of sample to.

Table 6-1 shows the laboratory analytical requirements for required effluent monitoring parameters.

Table 6-1. Effluent Monitoring Parameter Suite, Sample Handling, Analysis, & Preservation

Parameter	Required Method ¹	Required Reporting Value (µg/L unless noted otherwise)	Holding Time (days unless noted otherwise)	Container	Preservative
TP	EPA 365.1	3	28	250 mL HDPE	Cool ≤ 6°C, H ₂ SO ₄ to pH < 2
TN	Calculated: TKN+NO ₃ +NO ₂	N/A			
TKN	EPA 350.1	225	28	250 ml HDPE	Cool ≤ 6°C, H ₂ SO ₄ to pH < 2
Nitrate (NO ₃) - as N	EPA 300.0 and 300.1	20	28		
Nitrite (NO ₂) - as N		10			
NO ₃ +NO ₂ - as N	EPA 353.2	20	28		
Soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP)	EPA 365.1	1	48 Hours		Filter to 0.45 µm, freeze

¹Methods must be consistent with those listed in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 136.

Table 6-2 shows the laboratory analytical requirements for required instream monitoring (response variables and nutrient concentrations).

Table 6-2. Instream Monitoring Parameter Suite, Sample Handling, Analysis, & Preservation

Parameter	Applicable Ecoregional Zone	Required Method	Required Reporting Value (µg/L unless noted otherwise)	Holding Time (days unless noted otherwise)	Container	Preservative
Response Variables						
Benthic Algal Chlorophyll α (Chlα)	Western	A 10200 H	Variable	45	Petri dishes or centrifuge tubes (template method); centrifuge tubes (core method); zip-loc bags (hoop)	Freeze, keep in dark, wrap in foil
Reach Average Benthic Algal AFDW		A 10300 C (5)				
% Bottom cover by filamentous algae, reach average		Visual Assessment Form				
ΔDO	Western and Eastern	Luminescence-Based Sensor or DO Electrode	In-situ (data logger)			
Macroinvertebrates		Variable (based on contractor)			1 L wide-mouth HDPE	95% EtOH
Nutrient Concentrations						
TP	Western and Eastern	EPA 365.1	3	28	250 mL HDPE	Cool ≤ 6°C, H ₂ SO ₄ to pH < 2
TN		A4500-N C	70			Cool ≤ 6°

7.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC)

This section describes the quality assurance and quality control elements applicable to this AMP.

7.1 TRAINING AND QUALIFICATIONS

In this section, describe the approach that will be used to ensure that field personnel are adequately trained to successfully complete the monitoring in this plan.

- Identify any corrective actions that may be taken as needed to address mistakes.
- Specify any additional qualifications or certifications that field personnel must possess. **Note:** If sampling will be performed in an exclusion or contaminant reduction zone of a hazardous waste site, sampling personnel are required to have Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER) training. Industrial facilities such as refineries or mines may also have specific training requirements.

Consider including or modifying the following language if it is relevant to your monitoring:

Before sampling commences, all field personnel conducting monitoring under the requirements of this plan will receive training from the Adaptive Management Program Scientist or other DEQ technical staff. Each participant will be provided with a copy of this AMP, applicable SOPs, and field forms, will be required to review them, and must keep these copies with them in the field during all sampling events for reference. Whenever feasible, the Adaptive Management Program Scientist and other DEQ technical staff will accompany inexperienced staff during initial sampling events until each field personnel demonstrates proficiency. If mistakes are identified throughout the sampling period, efforts will be made to provide supplemental training and clarify guidance documents to prevent further issues.

7.2 INSTRUMENT CALIBRATION AND MAINTENANCE

In this section, describe the plan for calibration and maintenance for each instrument that will be used. Identify the make and model of the instrument, the procedures for calibrations, including those done pre-field, in-field, and post-field, and indicate the frequency at which calibrations will be performed. Include detailed instructions in this document if not documented elsewhere.

7.3 DATA QUALITY INDICATORS

Data quality indicators (DQIs) are attributes of samples that allow data users to assess data quality.

Precision

Precision is a measure of agreement among repeated measurements of the same property under identical, or substantially similar conditions (EPA, 2002).

Note: DEQ generally requires field duplicate samples to be collected at a rate of 10% of the total number of samples collected per analyte. That is, one field duplicate sample should be collected for every ten routine samples collected per sampling event.

Include or modify the following language if it is relevant to your monitoring:

Field duplicates are two samples of ambient water (i.e., a routine sample and a duplicate or replicate sample) collected from a waterbody as close as possible to the same time and place by the same person and carried through identical sampling and analytical procedures. Field duplicate samples are labeled, collected, handled, and stored in the same way as the routine samples and are sent to the laboratory at the same time.

Field duplicates will be submitted to the analytical lab for each water sample parameter monitored for this project at a rate of at least 10% of the total number of routine samples collected. Duplicates may be collected at any of the monitoring locations in **Table 2-1**. Analytical requirements for field duplicates are shown in **Table 6-1** and **Table 6-2**.

Field duplicates are used to determine field precision (e.g., to ensure that proper procedures are followed consistently). For each set of field duplicates, the relative percent difference will be calculated:

$$\text{Relative Percent Difference (RPD)} = ((D1 - D2) / ((D1 + D2)/2)) \times 100$$

where: D1 = routine sample result value
D2 = duplicate sample result value

Precision will be assessed by ensuring that relative percent difference (RPD) between duplicates is less than 25%. If the RPD of field duplicates is greater than 25% and the parent and duplicate result values are greater than five times the lower reporting limit, the result values will be flagged with a “J”.

Bias

Bias is the systematic or persistent distortion of a measurement process that causes errors (EPA, 2002). This project will apply standard operating procedures for data collection which are designed to minimize bias (**Section 5.3**). If the lab’s matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate % recovery is above or below the lab limits, J flag the associated results with a Result Comment such as “matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) failed low (84/81%), expect low bias.”

Accuracy

Accuracy is a measure of the overall agreement of a measurement to a known value (EPA, 2002).

Note: For most projects, DEQ requires one field blank to be prepared and submitted to the lab per analyte for each batch of samples submitted to the laboratory.

Include or modify the following language if it is relevant to your monitoring:

Field Blanks

Field blanks are samples of analyte-free, laboratory-grade deionized water poured into a sample container in the field using the same method, container, and preservation as routine samples, and shipped to the lab along with other field (i.e., routine and duplicate) samples. All labeling, rinsing, preservation, and storage requirements applied for routine and duplicate samples are applied to field blanks; the only difference is that the water is deionized water rather than ambient stream water.

One set of field blanks (one blank per analyte) will be submitted with each batch of samples delivered to the laboratory. Field blanks must be prepared while in the field. Field blanks will be prepared at or near the end of each sampling event and submitted to the laboratory alongside the other routine and duplicate samples from that trip. Analytical requirements for blanks are shown in **Table 6-1** and **Table 6-2**.

Field blanks are used to assess potential sources of contamination such as field personnel's handling of samples and the condition of the sample containers supplied by the laboratory. Accuracy will be assessed by ensuring that field blanks return values less than the lower reporting limit (i.e., non-detects). If an analyte is detected in a field blank, all result values for that analyte from that batch of samples associated with the field blank will be qualified with a "B" flag. The exception is that data with a value greater than 10 times the detected value in the blank does not need to be qualified.

Trip Blanks

Trip blanks are provided by the laboratory for certain parameters that are especially sensitive to exposure to the atmosphere such as ultra-low-level mercury, volatile organic compounds, or semi-volatile organic compounds. Trip blanks are samples of analyte-free, laboratory-grade deionized water prepared by analytical laboratory staff, carried through the sampling event and stored alongside other samples but not opened, and resubmitted to the laboratory alongside other samples.

Equipment Rinsate Blanks

When field equipment decontamination procedures are followed, an equipment rinsate blank will be collected following field equipment decontamination and submitted to the laboratory. Rinsate blanks are analyzed for the target analyte to ensure that decontamination protocols are sufficient to avoid contamination that could stem from the reuse of sampling equipment.

Representativeness

Representativeness is the degree to which data accurately and precisely represent a characteristic of a population, parameter variations at a sampling point, a process condition, or an environmental condition (EPA, 2002).

Describe how your monitoring design achieves spatial and temporal representativeness. For example:

- **Spatial:** Were monitoring sites chosen to capture variability in land use, flow or other watershed characteristics that may be influencing water quality? Will a specific distance between locations be required to achieve sample independence? Do monitoring sites represent the entire waterbody, or a specific reach? Will samples be composited?
- **Temporal:** Will sampling be conducted from downstream to upstream? Will samples be collected at approximately the same time of day at each site? Will a specific amount of time be allowed to pass between sampling events to achieve sample independence? Will certain hydrologic periods or flow conditions be targeted or avoided?

Comparability

Comparability is a measure of confidence that one data set can be compared to another and can be combined when making decisions.

Describe how your monitoring design achieves comparability.

- For example, will standard operating procedures be followed? Will the same data types be collected as was collected during previous years' monitoring efforts? Will the same analytical requirements apply as previous monitoring efforts? Will field personnel receive training to promote consistency?

Completeness

Completeness is a measure of the amount of valid data needed to be obtained (EPA, 2002).

State your overall monitoring completeness goal as a percentage and describe how your monitoring design achieves completeness.

- For example, will completeness be verified for samples and field measurements prior to departure from each site? Will cancelled sampling events be rescheduled? Will damaged or lost samples be recollected? Will lab reports be reviewed in a timely manner? Will the planned number of samples meet minimum data requirements for the intended analyses?

Sensitivity

Sensitivity is a measure of the amount of valid data needed to be obtained.

Include or modify the following language if it is relevant to your monitoring:

Required reporting limits are specified for this project at a level that are adequately low to enable comparison to the thresholds of interest or to other comparable datasets. The laboratory routinely checks sensitivity (e.g., method blanks, continuing calibration blanks, and laboratory reagent blanks) per their quality management plan.

Result Qualifiers

Result qualifiers approved for use in this project are specified in the most current [MT-eWQX Electronic Data Deliverable \(EDD\) Guidance available on DEQ's Lakes, Streams & Wetlands webpage](#) under "Submit Data": <https://deq.mt.gov/water/Programs/sw>.

7.4 LABORATORY QUALITY CONTROL

Include or modify the following language if it is relevant to your monitoring:

Analytical laboratories shall prepare and analyze the samples in accordance with the COC forms and the methods specified in the analytical requirement table in **Section 6.3 (Table 6-1 and Table 6-2)**.

Laboratory SOPs must be controlled under a Laboratory Quality Assurance Program (LQAP) with sufficient rigor for the lab facility to hold a current certification under the State of Montana/EPA Region 8 drinking water certification and/or National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Conference (NELAC) program. Results from laboratory QC samples (e.g., instrument blanks, method blanks, laboratory control samples, sample matrix spikes) are submitted with the laboratory data report.

8.0 DATA MANAGEMENT AND RECORD KEEPING

This section describes the process for managing data and maintaining records associated with this AMP.

8.1 DATA REVIEW AND VALIDATION

Include or modify the following language if it is relevant to your monitoring:

Analytical laboratories will prepare and analyze the samples in accordance with the COC forms and analytical methods specified in **Table 6-1 and Table 6-2**. The lab will supply the entity that submitted samples (i.e., permittee) with laboratory analytical reports and EDD spreadsheets. Instructions for preparing, validating, and submitting the EDD to MT-eWQX must be followed (available at <https://deq.mt.gov/water/Programs/sw>). For example, steps include:

- Compiling data (including site information, field measurements and lab results),

-
- Transforming the data into the required format,
 - Performing a thorough quality control check of the data to correct errors, qualify problematic sample result values with data flags, etc.,
 - Validating the data, and
 - Submitting EDDs to MT-eWQX.

8.2 DATA MANAGEMENT

In this section, explain which database(s) will be used to store each type of data that will be collected throughout this project, including the quantitative result values measured in the field or received as electronic data deliverables from the analytical labs as well as systems used to manage other data types such as photos, qualitative data such as habitat evaluations, written field observations, and field forms.

Include or modify the following language if it is relevant to your monitoring:

All site information, field measurements and analytical results from laboratories for this project will be uploaded into DEQ's EQUIS MT-eWQX. Data uploaded to MT-eWQX is submitted to EPA's National WQX Warehouse and accessible via the Water Quality Portal. All data submitted to DEQ for this project from analytical laboratories and others must adhere to the most current EDD and submittal requirements published in the MT-eWQX EDD Guidance available on DEQ's Lakes, Streams & Wetlands webpage under "Submit Data": <https://deq.mt.gov/water/Programs/sw>.

9.0 DATA ANALYSIS AND REPORTING

This section describes the intended data analyses to be performed using data produced by this AMP.

9.1 DATA ANALYSIS

In this section, describe how each type of data produced by this project will be analyzed. For example, describe thresholds or statistical analyses that will be used to evaluate result values. Discuss how the proposed data analyses will help to achieve the AMP goals and objectives stated in **Sections 1.0 and 3.0**. Also, describe any other data (internally- or externally-collected) that will be used in your analyses in addition to the data proposed for collection in this plan; verify how other available data will be accessed and evaluated for data quality. Note: **Section 7.0** of Circular DEQ-15 outlines options for how near field response variable data may be evaluated to determine if beneficial uses are protected and narrative nutrient standards are achieved. Additionally, **Section 5.0** of the Guidance Document for the Implementation of Narrative Nutrient Standards contains guidance on determining compliance with permit limits.

9.2 REPORTING

In this section, describe how the data, results and decisions of this AMP will be shared and reported. Indicate who the audience is for each proposed product and, if possible, comment on the expected timeline for completion of these products.

10.0 IMPLEMENTING NUTRIENT REDUCTIONS AT THE FACILITY

A permittee may achieve nutrient reductions at the facility through conventional capital improvements or through additional work via Montana's optimization program. This section describes optimization efforts and facility improvements for reducing nutrients in the effluent.

10.1 OPTIMIZATION

Per **New Rule I(2)(b)(iii)** each facility is required to examine all possible pollutant minimization activities which may reduce nutrient concentrations in the effluent. These activities shall include, but are not limited to: **(A)** documentation of process control strategies identified and implemented through optimization; **(B)** ongoing training of operations staff in advanced operational strategies; **(C)** and minor changes to infrastructure to complement and further advance operational strategies.

Include or modify the following language if it is relevant to your optimization:

A strong optimization effort begins with monitoring of the influent, effluent and internal points within the system such as between cells, tanks, or zones. This chemistry can inform decision making regarding nitrification or denitrification (modify anaerobic and aerobic zones) in the system. For lagoons, regular sludge depth recording and sludge removal when needed to ensure proper health and function of the lagoon can increase retention time and thus treatment effectiveness.

Note: The department recommends consultation with our technical assistance staff through the department's optimization program or with qualified third-party wastewater optimization experts.

Provide the following information:

- Monitoring parameters (i.e., ammonia, nitrate, nitrite, dissolved oxygen, alkalinity, pH, and oxidation-reduction potential)
- How realized optimization will be maintained (e.g., training new operators)
- Recommendations from optimization support staff
- Changes to wastewater treatment process (e.g., cycling blowers on and off [include details such as duration])
- General timeline for expected nutrient load reductions
- Level of documentation in the Operations and Maintenance Manual

10.2 FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS

Provide the following information:

- Timeline for completion of facility improvements
- Planned facility improvements
- Expected nutrient reductions
- Capital costs

11.0 IMPLEMENTING NUTRIENT REDUCTIONS IN THE [WATERSHED NAME] WATERSHED

This section describes the various watershed nutrient reduction strategies such as partnerships, trading, and timeline for completion for implementing nutrient reductions on a watershed scale. This section is required in the following situations:

- P-prioritization was found to be inappropriate or,
- After AMP phase 2: P-prioritization, the narrative nutrient standards are not being met or,
- The permittee chooses to work with stakeholders in the earlier phases of the AMP

Note: If one of the situations listed above does not apply, you may remove this section, however, you may find this section will need to be added back in at a later date during the watershed-scale phase of the adaptive management program.

11.1 NUTRIENT SOURCE CONTRIBUTIONS IN THE [WATERSHED NAME] WATERSHED

This section focuses on nutrients as a cause of water quality impairment in the [watershed name] watershed and describes: 1) how excess nutrients impair beneficial uses, 2) significant sources of nutrients in the watershed and the methods used to quantify those sources, and 3) a nutrient source assessment by waterbody.

11.2 EFFECTS OF EXCESS NUTRIENTS ON BENEFICIAL USES

Phosphorus and nitrogen are naturally occurring elements required for healthy functioning of aquatic ecosystems. Streams are dynamic systems that depend on a balance of nutrients, which can enter streams from various sources. Healthy streams strike a balance between organic and inorganic nutrients from sources such as natural erosion, groundwater discharge, and instream biological decomposition. Eutrophication is the over enrichment of a waterbody by phosphorus and nitrogen, leading to increased plant and algae growth and decay, and all the consequential changes to the water quality and biology that occur as a result of this enrichment. Enrichment becomes detrimental when the effects manifested in a waterbody are undesirable relative to the uses of the waterbody. Human influences may alter nutrient cycling, damaging biological stream function and degrading water quality.

Excess phosphorus and nitrogen from human sources can cause excess algal growth, which in turn depletes the supply of dissolved oxygen, harming or killing fish and other aquatic life. Excess nutrient concentrations in surface water can create nuisance algae blooms including blue-green algae blooms (Prisco 1987), which can produce toxins lethal to aquatic life, wildlife, livestock, and humans. Aside from the toxicity effects of blue-green algae, nuisance algae can reduce water clarity and shift the structure of macroinvertebrate communities, which may also negatively affect the fish that feed on macroinvertebrates (U.S. EPA 2010). Additionally, changes in water clarity, fish communities, and aesthetics can harm recreational uses, such as fishing, swimming, and boating (Suplee et al. 2009). Nuisance algae can also increase the cost of treating drinking water or pose health risks if ingested in drinking water (World Health Organization 2003). Where instream nutrient concentrations are grossly elevated over naturally occurring concentrations, net primary production may lead to anoxic (low-oxygen) conditions in the water column.

11.3 SIGNIFICANT NUTRIENT SOURCES AND SOURCE QUANTIFICATION METHODS

Provide the following information in level three subsections (e.g., 11.3.1, 11.3.2):

- A list of information sources
- Overview of methods used to quantify nutrient loading (add detailed appendices, if needed)
- Description of each significant nutrient source category (e.g., livestock grazing, residential development and subsurface wastewater disposal, irrigated and dryland cropping, silviculture, mining, MPDES point sources)

11.4 NUTRIENT SOURCE ASSESSMENT BY STREAM

Provide the following information for each waterbody/stream (each waterbody should be its own level three subsection):

- Overview of water quality data and comparison to narrative translation values (include tables of data and boxplots of nutrient concentrations)
- Point vs nonpoint contributions (parsed out by source type, including septic loading estimates, if applicable)
 - Estimate septic densities using the Method for Estimating Attenuation of Nutrients from Septic Systems (MEANSS) model
- Seasonal variability for lakes and reservoirs, if applicable. See Circular DEQ-15 **Section 4.4**.
- Include a map showing sampling sites and significant sources (e.g., grazing allotments, septic densities, wildland fire boundaries, cultivated crops, pasture/hay, mines, MPDES point sources, etc.)

11.5 PARTNERS ASSISTING WITH IMPLEMENTING NUTRIENT REDUCTIONS

Provide the following information:

- A list of participants and their roles. Refer to **Section 8.2** of the Guidance Document for the Implementation of Narrative Nutrient Standards for assistance identifying stakeholders.
- Stakeholder engagement strategy

11.6 ACTION ITEMS FOR THE REDUCTION OF NUTRIENTS IN THE [WATERSHED NAME] WATERSHED

Provide the following information:

- List all nonpoint source projects to be implemented in the AMP watershed. Include timeline for completion, timeline for expected nutrient load reductions, costs, partners, expected life of the project, and project maintenance plans
- List all trades applicable to nutrient reductions in your watershed
- Include a table of milestones, addressing timeframes for completion of implementation activities (e.g., project implementation/completion, vegetation survival rate monitoring, riparian density and vigor restored, etc.) and subsequent nutrient reductions
- Provide a map showing all project locations

11.7 ABILITY TO FUND AND IMPLEMENT THIS PLAN

Per **Section 8.4** of Circular DEQ-15, permittees who choose to invest in nonpoint source projects in the watershed to reduce nutrient loading must provide funding documentation in the AMP. This documentation may include memorandums of agreement, contracts, or other written agreements that document a commitment to fund, implement, and complete projects with stakeholders. The documentation must identify all stakeholders participating, include cost estimates, assign specific contribution amounts to each stakeholder, and identify timelines for project completion that include responsibilities for each project implementation step. The contract or agreement must also specify the period nonpoint source controls will be maintained.

In this section, please include:

- A table summing all action items listed in **Section 6.0** and show total expected nutrient reductions for the watershed, cost for each project, funding secured, and timeline for completion
- Include Memorandum of Agreements (MOAs)/contracts/landowner agreements for projects listed in Section 6.0 in an appendix

12.0 FUTURE DATA COLLECTION

Include the following information:

- New monitoring sites and parameters identified for the AMP. This might include:
 - Data collection for monitoring nonpoint source projects listed in **Section 11.6** of this document
 - Additional far field sites to monitor beneficial use support
- Monitoring sites to be discontinued in the AMP
- Include a map and table of all new and discontinued sites; include latitudes and longitudes in the table

13.0 TIMEFRAMES FOR IMPLEMENTING THIS PLAN AND ANNUAL REPORTING

This section should include a table of timelines for completing any incomplete items in **Sections 11.6, 11.7, and 12.0** of this template document. Also include a statement that annual reports will be submitted to the DEQ Adaptive Management Program Scientist by January 31st of each year in a format provided by the department. Annual reports will follow the annual report template that will be included as an appendix to this document (Note: the annual report template has not yet been developed).

14.0 OUTREACH STRATEGY AND COMMUNICATION PLAN

Per ARM 17.30.1372, DEQ will conduct public notice and will hold a public hearing for all draft permits prepared under ARM 17.30.1370. At this time, this will not include noticing AMPs; however, they will be posted to the DEQ website.

This section should outline:

-
- How you intend to involve the public, including any schedules for public meetings as future modifications are made to this plan, how public noticing will occur (e.g., if notices will be run in newspapers, identify the specific papers to be used), and how public comment will be incorporated

A strategy for continued stakeholder engagement as projects are implemented, the plan is modified, and monitoring continues

15.0 REFERENCES

Use Chicago style citations to list all resources referenced throughout this monitoring plan. If retained from the recommended language included in this template, include the following:

Bahls, L.L., Bukantis, B., and Tralles, S. 1992. Benchmark biology of Montana reference streams. Montana Department of Health and Environmental Science, Helena. December 1992.

DEQ, 2022. Circular DEQ-15: Draft 3. Implementation of Narrative Nutrient Standards and Implementation of the Adaptive Management Program. December 2022 Edition. Helena, MT.

[Environmental Protection Agency \(EPA\). 2002. Guidance for Quality Assurance Project Plans. EPA QA/G-5. Washington DC: Environmental Protection Agency Office of Environmental Information.](#)

EPA. 2010. Using Stressor-Response Relationships to Derive Numeric Nutrient Criteria. Washington, DC: Office of Science and Technology, Office of Water, EPA. EPA-820-S-10-001.

[Esquivel, Robert and Elizabeth McWilliams. 2017. Standard Operating Procedure: Aquatic Invasive Species Decontamination. WQDWQBPM-05, Version 1.0. Helena, MT: Montana Department of Environmental Quality, Water Quality Planning Bureau.](#)

[McCarthy, M. 2014. Standard Operating Procedure: Field Equipment Decontamination. Document WQPBWQM-028. Helena, MT: Montana Department of Environmental Quality Water Quality Planning Bureau.](#)

Priscu, John C. 1987. Environmental Factors Regulating the Dynamics of Blue-Green Algal Blooms in Canyon Ferry Reservoir, Montana. Bozeman, MT: Montana Water Resources Research Institute. Report # 159.

Suplee, M.W., Sada de Suplee, R., Feldman, D., and Laidlaw, T. 2005. Identification and Assessment of Montana Reference Streams: A Follow-up and Expansion of the 1992 Benchmark Biology Study. Montana Department of Environmental Quality, Helena. November 2005.

Suplee, Michael W., Vicki Watson, Mark E. Teply, and Heather McKee. 2009. How Green Is Too Green? Public Opinion of What Constitutes Undesirable Algae Levels in Streams. Journal of the American Water Resources Association. 45(1): 123-140.

Suplee, Michael W., Vicki Watson. 2013. Scientific and Technical Basis of the Numeric Nutrient Criteria for Montana's Wadeable Streams and Rivers – Update 1. May 2013. Helena, MT. Department of Environmental Quality.

DRAFT

APPENDIX A – FIELD FORMS

APPENDIX B – EQUIPMENT AND SUPPLIES

DRAFT