DEQ		WATER PROTECTION BUREAU	Agency Use Permit No.: $MTGO10280$ Date Rec'd 2 · 23 · \mathcal{H} Amount Rec'd Θ
of Environmen	tal Quality		Rec'd By Frif
FORM NOI-NMP CAFO	FORM NOI-NMP CAFONotice of Intent (NOI) and Nutrient Management Plan (NMP) Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation General Permit MTG010000		
This application form is comprised of the NOI (Sections $1-5$) and the NMP (Sections $6-10$). Before completing the NOI-NMP form, Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) operators must read the CAFO General Permit. CAFO operators are also advised to read the attached NOI-NMP instructions before completing this form. You must print or type legibly; forms that are not legible, not complete, or unsigned will be rejected. You must maintain a copy of the completed NOI-NMP form for your records.			
CAFO Status and Fe	e		
Permit Authorization Number: M Select Appropriate Fee:		0 1 0 2 8 0 oplication: \$1200 al Application: \$600 Modification: \$600	

Sections 1 through 5 consist of the NOI. The application form is to be completed by the owner or operator of a Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO).

Section 1 - Facility/Site Information	
Facility Name	Hidden Valley Colony
Location (Physical address or Directions)	South Gildford
Nearest City or Town	Gild ford
Zip Code, County	59525, Hill
Facility Latitude, Longitude	48:3421026, 5110.239692
Date facility began operation	<u>21-Jan-2015</u> 48.4198874, -110.217608
Status of Applicant	□ Federal □ State □ No ⊠ Private □ Other
Located on Tribal Lands?	\square No \square Yes (If yes, obtain the permit through EPA, not DEQ)
	RECEIVED
	Continue to Page 2 FEB 2 3 2024
	DEQ WATER QUALITY DIVISION
Text in blue added 2/12/25 by HN	in response to correspondence from permittee received 2/6/25.

Section 2 – Representatives

2.1 Applicant (Owner/Operator)

The owner/operator assumes all liability for signatory/responsible official must meet co	or site discharges and compliance with the te ertification requirements listed in the Certific	erms and conditions of the permit. The cation Section at this end of this form.			
Dwner/Operator Formal Name Samuel A. Hofer - Hiddon Valley Colony					
Mailing Address	PO Rox 187				
City, State, Zip Code	Gild Ford, MT 595	125			
Signatory/Responsible Official	Name Samuel Hofer	Title Pres			
Contact Information	Phone 406-376-3165 Ema	ilstevehofer20@gmail.com			
2.2 Authorized Representative (Note: Sam Hofer does not have an email address. Listed address is for his son, Stephen Hofer) For future reports (including NetDMR) to be signed by anyone other than the signatory/responsible official, a duly authorized individual(s) or position must be identified. If one is not designated, than all reports must be signed by the signatory until such designation is made in writing [ARM 17.30.1232(2)].					
Select Appropriate Box:					
 No authorized representative for this per I designate the following duly authorized 	armit is designated at this time (continue to S and representative for this permit (provide the	Section 3) e information below):			
Authorized Representative Information: Authorized Representative N	lame Joe Carleton	Title Consultant			

Section 3 – Business Description		
Contact Information	Phone 405-788-0653	Email dryfrekjoe @ 9 mail . Oan
City, State, Zip Code	Ledger, MT 50	9015 8
Mailing Address	301 Main St	
Company Name	Dry Fork Ag	
Authorized Representative	Manie Obe Carretos	The CANSO HART

3.1 SIC Codes and NAICS Codes

Provide at least one Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code and one North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code which best reflects the products or services provided by the CAFO.

SIC C	Code	Description	
(1)	252	Chicken Esos	
(2)	213	17295	
(3)			
(4)			

SIC Code Examples:

- 211 Beef Cattle Feedlots
- 212 Beef Cattle, Except Feedlots
- 213 Hogs
- 214 Sheep and Goats
- 241 Dairy Farms
- 251 Broiler, Fryer and Roaster Chickens
- 252 Chicken Eggs
- 253 Turkeys and Turkey Eggs
- 254 Poultry Hatcheries
- 259 Poultry and Eggs, not elsewhere classified (Ducks)
- 272 Horses and other Equines

NAICS Code Description (1) //234 ehrchan Eggs (2) //221 Hogs (3) (4) (4)

NAICS Code Examples:

- 112112 Cattle Feedlots
- 112111 Beef Cattle Ranching and Farming
- 11221 Hog and Pig Farming
- 11240 Sheep Farming
- 11212 Dairy Cattle and Milk Production
- 11232 Broilers and other Meat-Type Chickens
- 11234 Chicken Egg Production
- 11233 Turkey Production
- 11234 Poultry Hatcheries
- 112390 Other Poultry Production
- 112920 Horses and other Equine Production

3.2 Facility or Operation Description

Provide a brief description of the nature of the facility (feedlot, stockyard, sale barn, etc.)

Chicken egg, Sow Isoing

3.3 Existing or Pending Permits, Certification, or Approvals

□ None

MPDES CAFO

CRCRA
Other

PSD (Air Emissions)_____

Other

404 Permit (Dredge and Fill)

Section 4 – Outfalls

4.1 Receiving Water

For each outfall, provide the latitude and longitude (to the nearest decimal degree) and the name of the receiving water. If the receiving water/drainage is unnamed, indicate the closest named drainage it flows into (i.e., "unnamed tributary to Clear Creek"). Attach additional sheets if necessary for more outfalls. This section must not be left blank, and "N/A" is not acceptable.

Outfall	Latitude	Longitude	Name of Receiving Water
001	48.4186110	-111,217556	Halfway Coulee to Sage Cr
002	48.3466664	-110,235014	Louiseure Lako Halfway Coulee to Sage Cr
No. of Control of Cont			

Section 5 – Characteristics

5.1 Impaired Waters 303(d)

Identify whether the receiving water is impaired for nutrients. Check the Clean Water Act Information Center database at <u>https://deq.mt.gov/water/resources</u> to determine if the receiving water is impaired for nutrients (total nitrogen and/or total phosphorus).

□ The receiving water is impaired for nutrients

The receiving water is NOT impaired for nutrients

5.2 Animal Confinement

Report the maximum number of each type of animal confined at any one time in open confinement and/or housed under a roof.

Animal type	Number in Open Confinement	Number Housed Under Roof
Mature Dairy Cows	0	0
Veal Calves	D	0
Cattle including dairy Heifers	0	0
Swine 55 lbs. or over	0	1500
Swine 55 lbs. or under	0	0
Horses	0	Ô
Sheep or Lambs	0	0
Turkeys	0	6
Chicken broilers -includes juveniles	0	0
Chicken layers -includes juveniles	0	47,000
Ducks	0	0
Other Specify:	0	0
Other Specify:	0	0

5.3 Rain Gage Location

Identify the nearest gage station or onsite rain gage. Provide either the Station ID of the gage or a latitude and longitude.

5.4 Containment Structures

Were the containment structures built after February 2006?

Skip the following 3 questions and continue to the table below.

 \Box No. Complete the questions and table below.

Do the livestock waste control facilities have 10 feet of separation between the pond bottom and any bedrock formations?

Do the waste containment structures have 4 feet of separation from the pond bottom to any ground water?

Do the livestock waste control facilities comply with the applicable well setbacks?

Type of Containment/Storage	Total Capacity	Units (gallons or tons)	Days of Storage
Anaerobic Lagoon			
Storage Pond #1			
Storage Pond #2			
Storage Pond #3			
Storage Pond #4			
Storage Pond #5			
Above Ground Storage Tank #1	4,400,000	Gollons	365
Above Ground Storage Tank #2			
Above Ground Storage Tank #3			
Underfloor Pits	1,009,870	Gellons	365
Below Ground Storage Tank			
Roofed Storage Shed	c		
Concrete Pad	4000	ton	730
Impervious Soil Pad			
Other Specify:			
Other Specify:			

Identify the type of containment/storage, the total capacity with units, and the number of days of storage in each:

5.5 Sage Grouse Habitat

Visit the Montana Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program (Program) website at https://sagegrouse.mt.gov/ to determine if the proposed operation is located in designated sage grouse core, general, or connectivity habitat.

□ Yes. Submit an application to the Program and attach the required consultation letter.

No. No additional information is required.

5.6 New Source/Operation

Is this a new source and/or operation? New sources must obtain analyses from the <u>Montana Natural Heritage Program</u> (MTNHP) and <u>Montana State Historic Preservation Office</u> (SHPO) demonstrating possible impacts to wildlife and cultural resources, respectively.

□ Yes. Attach project review analyses from MTNHP and SHPO.

No. No additional information is required

Sections 6 through 10 consist of the Nutrient Management Plan (NMP). These sections are intended to help CAFO operators develop a site-specific NMP required by the CAFO General Permit. Your NMP must be kept at the operation. Attach additional pages as necessary, indicating the corresponding section number on this NMP form.

Section 6 - NMP Minimum Elements

Facility Photos and Maps

Facilities must attach photos and maps depicting the following:

- The production area that shows the locations of all animal confinement structures described in the Animal Type, Storage Location, and Generation Rates Table.
- The flow direction of storm water and wastewater for all animal confinement structures described in the Animal Type, Storage Location, and Generation Rates Table.
- Manure and wastewater handling and storage areas
- Raw material handling and storage areas
- Storage and disposal areas of chemicals or other contaminants handled on site
- All land application areas (include topography and soil types)
- Environmentally sensitive areas (sinkholes, wells, drinking water sources, tile drain outlets, etc.) for the production area
- Illustrate the facility/activity boundaries, receiving water, and major drainage patterns
- Identify the specific location of the production area and the land application area(s)
- I have attached photos and maps (aerial and topographic) that meet the above requirements. See FACTS

6.1 Ensure Adequate Storage Capacity

Complete the table below: Be sure to identify each type of animal confined at this facility. This could include animals of a given species, weight class, or housed for a specific purpose.

Livestock Statistics and Manure, Litter, and Process Wastewater Generation Rates					
Animal Type	Waste Storage Location	Maximum Number of Animals at Any Time	Number of Days/Year on Site	Annual manu process w produ Dry	re, litter, and astewater ction Liquid
				(tons/yr)	(ganons/yr)
1. Chreten (layers)	concrete pad	48,000	365	1500	
2. Hoss	above seasond dank	1500	365		3,000,000
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.			ļ		
10.					
11.					

Methods for estimating animal manure, litter, and process wastewater production

Describe the methods used for estimating animal manure, litter, and process wastewater production: Include all formulas, factors, references to tables, and other resources used to calculate manure, litter, and wastewater production. Be sure to account for soiled bedding materials.

preutous yea	rs production.	+ similar operations	a }		
Manure handling: Identify manure, litter, and	process wastewater h	andling at the CAFO. Mark all that a Direct pipe to liquid impoundment	pply:		
 Stored on stacking pa Composting on site Other 	d ⊠≪	Stored under floor pit Separator			
Frequency of manure remo D Bi-annually M Annually	val from confinement	t areas: As needed Other 11 Her overy 2 yrs			
Is the manure, litter, or pro ☑ No. □ Yes. Explain how and	cess wastewater temp	orarily stored in any location other th	an the produc	ction area?	
Is dry manure and/or litter □ No. ☑ Yes. Describe the typ	stored on an impervic e and characteristics o	ous surface? of this surface <u>concerte</u> and			
Waste control structures:					
Provide the 24-hr-25-yr sto in the instructions.	orm event at your faci	lity location. Refer to the map provide	ed	3,0	in/hr
Provide the annual precipit mid-October to mid-April)	ation during critical v	vinter storage period (180 days from		8.0	in
Provide the area within cle used for clean water divers hr-25-yr storm event and th	an water diversions. T ions and is used to ca he volume of your crit	This is the area that is inside the BMP lculate volume required to hold the 24 tical storage period.	s 1- 	2.0	acres
Check all the surface types correct units.	within the clean wate	er diversion area and provide the cove	erage in acres	or ft ² . Be sure	to circle the
🛛 Dirt 2.0	cres or ft ² (circle co	rrect unit)			
Concrete	acres or ft ² (circle co	rrect unit)			
□ Paved	acres θ^2 (simple as	mast unit) shack if must fin not nor	t of alaan wa	ton DMDa	
Gravel	acres or ft ² (circle co	arect unit) – check in runoir is not par	t of clean wa	CI DIVIFS	
Pasture	acres or ft^2 (circle co	prrect unit)			
□ Other		acres or ft ² (circle one	e)		

Use the Table below to identify and describe all production area waste control structures for the production area of each animal type identified in the table "Livestock Statistics and Manure, Litter, and Process Wastewater Generation Rates" above (Section 6.1). Waste control structures may include but are not limited to: manure lagoons, manure ponds, evaporation ponds, wastewater retention ponds, contaminated runoff retention ponds, settling basins, underground storage tanks, underfloor pits, manure solids stacking pads, vegetative treatment strips, composting facilities, and dry stack facilities. Berms, dikes, concrete curbs, ditches, and waste transfer pipelines are also waste control structures and must be listed, though some of the requested measurements may not apply.

Production Area Waste Control Structures Description				
Production area Waste Control Structure (For Corresponding Animal Type Identified in Table Above)	Volume (gal if liquid) (ft ³ if dry)	Number of days of storage	Winter storage depth (ft)	The 24hr-25 yr storm event depth (ft)
1. Concrete pad	4000 ton	730	5.0	6.0
2. Above ground fank	4,400,000	365	6.0	9,0
3. Under Floor pit	1,009,870	365	20	2.0
4.				<i>i</i>
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				
11.				

6.2 Mortality Management

Check the box that describes how mortalities are disposed of at this CAFO.

🛛 Burial

🗆 Landfill

Composted

Contractor removal

□ Incineration

□ Other____

Provide the location where mortalities are disposed of, if part of the production area:

composted in dry stack

6.3 Clean Water Diversion Practices

Check all that apply for how clean water is diverted from the production area.

□ Ditches

- Site grading
- Earthen berms
- Culverts

Gutters and spouts

Other _____

Check all that apply for how an	imals and wastes are prohibited from direct contact with sate waters.
☐ Fencing☐ Wall	Inside building Other
6.5 Chemicals and Contamin	ants
List all major chemicals or othe pesticides, herbicides, animal d contaminant. Ensure a correspo	er contaminants handled on site as part of your CAFO operation, including, but not limited to: ips, disinfectants, etc. Specify the method of disposal and location stored for each anding map has been attached, as required in Section 6, Facility Photos and Maps.
None	
6.6 Conservation Practices	
Check all temporary, permanent production area. Be sure to in schedule for implementation of descriptions. Attach additional	at, and structural BMPs which will be used to control runnoff of pollutants from the facility's clude them on the map described above in Section 6. If BMPs are not installed, include a f each of the following measures. Provide details and specifications to suplement the BMP sheets if necessary.
□ Ditches	Site grading
Earthen berms	Gutters and spouts
Culverts and pipes Buffers	□ Other
67 Sampling and Analysis P	Procedures for Manure, Litter, Process Wastewater, and Soil
Representative samples of ma nitrogen and total phosphorus. used to determine rates for man description if you select "other	nure, litter, and process wastewater must be analyzed a minimum of once per year for total Results should be reported in lbs/ton for solids and lbs/1000 gal for liquids. Results will be nure, litter, and process wastewater. Indicate your method for samping. Be sure to provide a
Sample collection will oc	cur according to CAFO General Permit Section II.D.
	Continue to Page 10

Section 7 - NMP Land Application

Identify whether manure will be land applied to land that is owned, rented, or leased by the owner or operator of the facility.

No. Explain how animal waste will be managed by the operation, including protocol for transfers of manure, litter, and process wastewater. Skip to Section 10.

Yes. Continue below.

7.1 Land Application Photos and Maps

Facilities that land apply must attach photos/maps clearly identify the following items. If an item is not applicable, check the box "None."

- Individual field boundaries for all planned land application areas
- A name, number, letter or other means of identifying each individual land application field
- The soil type(s) present and their locations within the individual land application field(s)
- The location of any downgradient surface waters
- The specific manure/waste handling or nutrient management restrictions associated with each land application field i.e. setbacks
- Buffers and setbacks around state surface waters, well heads, etc.
- Any downgradient open tile line intake structures
 None. Not included on map
- Any downgradient sinkholes
 - None. Not included on map
- Any downgradient agricultural well heads
 None. Not included on map
- All conduits to surface waters
- All temporary, permanent, and structural BMPs used to control runoff of pollutants from the land application area

I have attached photos and maps of the site where manure is to be applied.

7.2 Protocols to Land Apply Manure, Litter, or Process Wastewater

Check all temporary, permanent, and structural BMPs which will be used to control runoff of pollutants from the CAFO's land application area. If not already in use, include a schedule for implementation of each of these measures. You may supplement this description by attaching details and specifications.

- Image: Buffers
 Image: Buffers

 Image: Constructed wetlands
 Image: Conservation tillage
- M Infiltration field
- Setbacks
- □ Other

7.3 Soil Phosphorus Sampling and Analysis

Representative soil (composite) samples from the top 6 inches layer of soil for each field where manure will be applied must be analyzed for phosphorus content at least once every three years. Analyses will be conducted by a qualified laboratory, using the Olsen P test. Results will be reported in parts per million (ppm) and will be used in determining application rates for manure, litter, and process wastewater.

Sample collection will occur according to Part II.D of the CAFO General Permit.

Other (describe)

7.4 Soil Nitrogen Sampling and Analysis

Representative composite soil samples for total nitrogen and nitrate must be collected for each field where manure will be applied. Composite samples for total nitrogen must be collected from a soil depth of 0 to 6 inches and must be analyzed at least once every 3 years. Composite samples for nitrate must be collected from a soil depth of 6 to 24 inches and must be analyzed at least once every 3 years. All samples must be analyzed according to method code 4H2al-3 in NRCS Soil Survey Laboratory Methods Manual, Soil Survey Investigation Report No. 42. Results must be reported as mg/kg total nitrogen and pounds per acre will be used in determining application rates for manure, litter, and process wastewater.

Sample collection will occur according to Part II.D of the CAFO General Permit.

□ Other _____

Section 8. NMP Application Rates

The applicant has 2 ways in which to report how manure or process wastewater application rates can be reported to DEQ. Select one:

- Linear Approach. Expresses rates of application as pounds of nitrogen and phosphorus. Complete Section 8.1, then continue to Section 9. See page 8 of the NOI-NMP Instructions for guidance on the Linear Approach.
- ☑ Narrative Rate Approach. Expresses a narrative rate of application that results in the amount, in tons or gallons, of manure, litter, and process wastewater to be land applied. Complete Section 8.2, then continue to section 9. See page 9 of the NOI-NMP Instructions for guidance on the Narrative Rate Approach. See attached

8.1 Linear Approach

Expresses rates of application as pounds of nitrogen and phosphorus. CAFOs selecting the linear approach to address rates of application must include in the NMP submitted to the Department the following information for each crop, field, and year covered by the NMP:

- 1. The maximum application rate (pounds/acre/year of nitrogen and phosphorus) from manure, litter, and process wastewater.
- 2. The outcome of the field-specific assessment of the potential for phosphorus transport from each field. The Department does not have an N transport risk assessment, therefore the NMP must document any basis for assuming that nitrogen will be fully used by crops. The CAFO must specify any conservation practices used in calculating the risk rating.
- 3. The crops to be planted or any other uses of a field such as pasture or fallow fields.
- 4. The realistic annual yield goal for each crop or use identified for each field.
- 5. The nitrogen and phosphorus recommendations from Department acceptable sources for each crop or use identified for each field.
- 6. Credits for all residual nitrogen in each field that will be plant available.
- 7. Consideration of multi-year phosphorus application. For any field where nutrients are applied at a rate based on the crop phosphorus requirement, the NMP must account for single-year nutrient applications that supply more than the crop's annual phosphorus requirement.
- 8. All other additions of plant available nitrogen and phosphorus (i.e., from sources other than manure, litter, or process wastewater or credits for residual nitrogen).
- 9. The form and source of manure, litter, and process wastewater to be land-applied.
- 10. The timing and method of land application. The NMP also must include storage capacities needed to ensure adequate storage that accommodates the timing indicated.
- 11. The methodology that will be used to account for the amount of nitrogen and phosphorus in the manure, litter, and wastewater to be applied.
- 12. Any other factors necessary to determine the maximum application rate identified in accordance with this Linear Approach.

see Nutrent Budget examples in Facts Budgets are and prior to application

Section 9 - NMP Phosphorus

Phosphorus Risk Assessment: The permittee shall assess the risk of phosphorus contamination of state waters. An assessment shall be conducted for each field, under the control of the operator, to which manure, litter or process wastewater will or may be applied. If a new field is added in the future, then the permittee must submit a revised (modified) NMP. The permittee has the option of using Method A or Method B (below) to complete the assessment, unless the receiving water is impaired for nutrients, then you must use method B below for phosphorus risk assessment. Copies of all tables and calculations used to complete the assessments, as well as the results of the assessments, shall be submitted to the Department and copies shall be maintained onsite at the facility and available for Departmental review. The results of the assessments shall be used to determine the appropriate basis for land application of wastes from the facility.

Indicate which method will be used to determine phosphorus application:

Method A – Representative Soil Sample. Complete Section 9.1, then continue to Section 10.

□ Method B – Phosphorus Index. Complete Section 9.2, then continue to Section 10.

9.1 Method A – Representative Soil Sample

Obtain one or more representative soil sample(s) from the field per ARM 17.30.1334

Have the sample analyzed for phosphorus by a qualified lab. The "Olsen P test" must be used for the analysis, and the result must be reported in parts per million (ppm). Using the results of the Olsen P test, determine application basis according to the Table below.

Olsen P Soil Test Results (ppm)	Application Basis
<25.0	Nitrogen Needs of Crop
25.1 - 100.0	Phosphorus Needs of Crop
100.0 - 150.0	Phosphorus Needs up to Crop Removal Rate
>150.0	No Application allowed

Oisen P Test Result: < 25.0 ppm

End of Method A. Continue to Section 10

Using the calculated Total Phosphorus Index Value, assign the overall site/field vulnerability to phosphorus loss according to the table below.

Total Phosphorus Index Value	Site Vulnerability to Phosphorus Loss
<11	Low
11-21	Medium
22-43	High
>43	Very High

Using the calculated Site Vulnerability to Phosphorus Loss, determine the appropriate application basis according to the table below.

Site Vulnerability to Phosphorus Loss	Application Basis
Low	Nitrogen Needs
Medium	Nitrogen Needs
High	Phosphorus Need Up to Crop Removal
Very High	Phosphorus Crop Removal or No Application

Phosphorus Index Value:

Section 10 - NMP Guidance

Land Application Equipment Calibration

Describe the type of equipment used to land apply wastes and the calibration procedures: Injection system w/ flow we ter, littler spreader for DEG-9

Implementation, Operation, Maintenance and Recordkeeping

The permittee is required to develop protocols for implementation of the NMP, proper operation and maintenance of the livestock waste control facilities, and recordkeeping as described in Part 2 of the permit.

Have protocols been developed for the operation? 🛛 Yes 🗖 No

The documents below are maintained:

Implementation of the NMP:	Ø	Yes		No
Facility operation and maintenance:	X	Yes		No
Recordkeeping and reporting	网	Yes		No
Sample collection and analysis	X	Yes		No
Manure transfer		Yes	X	No

If your answer to any of the above question is no, provide explanation:

NO MONTE transfer accurs

Provide date and location of most recent documentation:

Date: Dec-2024

Location: From Manger office

NOI-NMP Certification

The NOI Form certification must be completed by the applicant (owner/operator) responsible for the authorization as identified in Section C. Certification of this NOI is certification that the applicant will comply with the applicable terms of the CAFO General Permit.

Permittee Information: This form must be completed, signed, and certified as follows:

- For a corporation, by a principal officer of at least the level of vice president;
- For a partnership or sole proprietorship, by a general partner or the proprietor, respectively; or
- For a municipality, state, federal, or other public facility, by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official.

All Permittees Must Complete the Following Certification:

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information; including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations. [75-5-633, MCA].

Certification of this form indicates conformance with the CAFO General Permit.

Name (Type or Print)	
Samuel A. Hofer	
Title (Type or Print)	Phone Number
President	406-376-3165
Signature	Date Signed
Samuel A. Hope	12-27-23
DEQ will not process this form until all the requested information is supplied, and	nd the appropriate fees are paid.
Return this NOI-NMP-CAFO Form and the applical	ble fee payment to:
Department of Environmental Qual Water Protection Bureau PO Box 200901	ity
Helena, MT 59620-0901 (406) 444-5546	

RECEIVED

FEB 2 3 2024

DEQ WATER QUALITY DIVISION



Microsoft product screen shot(s) reprinted with permission from Microsoft Corporation

Ag Leader Technology SMS Advanced



2025-02-02 11:29:28 AM Microsoft product screen shot(s) reprinted with permission from Microsoft Corporation



Microsoft product screen shot(s) reprinted with permission from Microsoft Corporation

Hidden Valley Colony Inc. (Updated 12/16/2024)	USDA SOIL SURVEY 2023 CAFO PERMIT	Agrological Solutions Inc. steve.ellery@aglogical.com 406.262.0230
	REC By TH	TEIVED Deresa Froehlich at 9:07 am, Feb 10, 2025
		ALLEY COLONY INC. Soil Symbol 8 ac - 3.1%) 7 ac - 0.1%)
	 13A (16B (171C (171C (124b (171C (1224b (128a (22909A (309A (311B (71 331B (44 334B (28 33A (14 36A (837A (29 402A (51 421C (1,01 503B (3,57 62C (661 ($7 \ ac - 0.1\%)$ $0 \ ac - 1.3\%)$ $1 \ ac - 0.2\%)$ $2 \ ac - 1.0\%)$ $4 \ ac - 8.5\%)$ $8 \ ac - 5.3\%)$ $5 \ ac - 3.4\%)$ $6 \ ac - 1.7\%)$ $7 \ ac - 6.1\%)$ $4 \ ac - 12.0\%)$ $5 \ ac - 42.4\%)$ $6 \ ac - 0.8\%)$
0 2600ft	AGROLOGICAL SOLUTIONS 965B (4 965B (4 966B (33 98B (5	$\begin{array}{c} 7 & ac & - & 0.6\% \\ 9 & ac & - & 1.3\% \\ 7 & ac & - & 2.6\% \\ 7 & ac & - & 0.6\% \\ 7 & ac & - & 4.0\% \\ 1 & ac & - & 0.6\% \end{array}$

Microsoft product screen shot(s) reprinted with permission from Microsoft Corporation.

Ag Leader Technology SMS Advanced



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey

		SO	IL TEST REPORT			N	
Soil Analysis I (http://w Northwoo Benson:	by Agvise Laborator www.agvise.com) d: (701) 587-6010 (320) 843-4109	FIELD ID h SAMPLE ID h FIELD NAME COUNTY TWP SECTION PREV. CROP N	nome place 4 nome place 4 RANGE QTR ACRE fustard	s o	V		E
SUBN TEW PLACE FARM	1ITTED FOR: s	TEW PLACE	SUBMITTED BY: TE3	882		S	
GILDFORD, MT	59525	GILDFORD, N) 4T 59525	REF =	# 21289 # NW98	301 BOX # 13	4810
Date Sampled 03	3/18/2024		Date Received 03/2	20/2024		Date Reported	03/21/2024
Nutrient I	n The Soil	Interpretation	1st Crop Choice	2nd Cro	p Choice	3rd Cro	p Choice
		VLow Low Med High	Wheat-Spring	Wheat	-Spring	Wheat	-Spring
0-6"	12 lb/acre	*****	YIELD GOAL	YIELD	GOAL	YIELD	GOAL
			25 BU	35	BU	45	BU

LINES	
LINES	
LINES	
CATION	
CATION Ind ter)*	
CATION Ind ter)*	
ınd ter)*	
and ter)*	
-	
and	
ter)*	
icast	
Trial)	
(Trial)	
nge)	
% Na % H	
(0-5)	

General Comments: Medium-textured (CEC: 11-30 meq)

Crop 1: 35 lb potassium chloride (0-0-60-50Cl) = 16 lb chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 24 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury.* May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P2O5 = 16 K2O = 9 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.

Crop 2: 35 lb potassium chloride (0-0-60-50Cl) = 16 lb chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 24 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury.* May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P205 = 22 K20 = 13 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.

Crop 3: 35 lb potassium chloride (0-0-60-50Cl) = 16 lb chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 24 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury.* May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P205 = 28 K20 = 17 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.



	SUIL LEST REPORT	
LABORATORIES	FIELD ID home place 2 SAMPLE ID	
Soil Analysis by Agvise Laboratories (http://www.agvise.com) Northwood: (701) 587-6010 Benson: (320) 843-4109	FIELD NAME COUNTY TWP RANGE SECTION QTR ACRES PREV. CROP Mustard	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
SUBMITTED FOR: TEW PLACE FARMS	SUBMITTED BY: TE38 TEW PLACE FARMS	82 S
GILDFORD, MT 59525	GILDFORD, MT 59525	REF # 21289302 BOX # 4810 LAB # NW9814
Date Sampled 03/18/2024	Date Received 03/20	0/2024 Date Reported 03/21/202

COTI TECT DEDODT

N

Nutrient In The Soil		Interpretation			1st Crop Choice				2nd Crop Choice				3rd Crop Choice				
		VLow Low Med		ow Low Med High			-Malting			Wheat	-Spring			Barley	-Malting		
0-6"	12 lb/acre	*****	*			YIELD GOAL				YIELD GOAL				YIELD	GOAL		
						40	BU			35 BU				60	BU		
					SUGO	GESTED	GUIDELIN	NES	SUGO	GESTED	GUIDELINE	S	SUG	GESTED	GUIDEL	INES	
Nitrate						Ba	and		Band					Ba	and		
					LB/A	CRE	APPLICA	TION	LB/ACRE		APPLICAT	ION	LB/	LB/ACRE		APPLICATION	
Olsen Phosphorus	20 ppm	*****	*****	** *****	N	38			N	71			N	69			
Potassium	350 ppm	*****	***** ****	** *****	P ₂ O ₅	15	Band (Starte	d r)*	P ₂ O ₅	15	Band (Starter))*	P2O5	15	Ba (Star	nd ter)*	
Chloride	12 15/ 8010	****			K20	10	Ban (Starte	d r)*	K ₂ O	10	Band (Starter))*	K20	10	Ba (Star	nd ter)*	
0-6"	18 lb/acre	*****	*****		CI	16	Broadca	ast	CI	16	Broadcas	st	CI	16	Broad	lcast	
Sulfur					S	7	Band (Ti	rial)	S	7	Band (Tria	al)	S	7	Band (Trial)	
Boron	0.5 ppm	*****	**		B	0		,	B	0			B	0		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Zinc	1.47 ppm	*****	*****	** ***	-	•			-	•			-	•			
Iron	17.2 ppm	*****	****	** *****	Zn	0			∠n	0			Zn	0			
Manganese	2.9 ppm	*****	*****	** **	Fe	0			Fe	0			Fe	0			
Copper	0.59 ppm	*****	*****		Mn	0			Mn	0			Mn	0			
Magnesium	313 ppm	*****	*****	** **	Cu	1	Band (Ti	rial)	Cu	1	Band (Tria	al)	Cu	1	Band (Trial)	
Calcium	3637 ppm	*****	*****	** *****	Mg	0			Mg	0			Mg	0			
Sodium	24 ppm	****			Lime				Lime				Lime				
Org.Matter	1.4 %	*****								0/4 Bac		turatio	n (Typ	ical Par	200)		
Carbonate(CCE)	0.5 %	***			Soil p	DH B	uffer pH	Cati	Capacit	nange v	% Co	0/	Ma				
0-6"	0.24 mmho/cm	*****							21.0		% Cd	/0		70 K	-70 Nd	70 F	
Sol. Salts					0-6" 7	7.7			21.8 me	q	(65-75) (15 83.4 1		-20) 2.0	(1-/) 4.1	(U-5) 0.5	(0-5) 0.0	

General Comments: Soil texture is not estimated on high pH soils.

.

Crop 1: 35 lb potassium chloride (0-0-60-50Cl) = 16 lb chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 24 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury.* May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P205 = 19 K20 = 20 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.

Crop 2: 35 lb potassium chloride (0-0-60-50Cl) = 16 lb chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 24 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury.* May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P205 = 22 K20 = 13 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.

Crop 3: 35 lb potassium chloride (0-0-60-50Cl) = 16 lb chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 24 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury.* May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P205 = 28 K20 = 30 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.

			S	OIL TEST	REPORT					
	BORATORIES	FIELD ID SAMPLE ID	bin site 2							
Soil Analysis I (http://w Northwoo Benson:	FIELD NAM COUNTY TWP SECTION PREV. CRO	QT P Wheat-Wint	RANGE R ACRE	w			—— E			
SUBN TEW PLACE FARM 25210 RD 90 GILDFORD, MT	1ITTED FOR: s 59525		TEW PLAC 25210 RD GILDFORE	SUBMITTI EE FARMS 90 D, MT	ED BY: TE3 59525	8882	REF # LAB #	212893 NW981	S 305 BOX # 7	4895
Date Sampled 03	3/18/2024			Date F	Received 03/	20/202	24	[Date Reporte	d 03/21/2024
Nutrient I	n The Soil	Inter	rpretation	1st Cro	op Choice	2r	nd Crop Cl	hoice	3rd Cro	op Choice
		VLow Lo	ow Med Hig	h Barle	y-Malting		Barley-Malti	ng	Barle	y-Malting
0-6"	10 lb/acre	*****		YIEL	.D GOAL		YIELD GOA	L	YIEL	.D GOAL
				40	BU		50 BU		60	BU
				SUGGESTE	D GUIDELINES	SUG	GESTED GUII	DELINES	SUGGESTE	D GUIDELINES

						40	BU		50 BU			60 BU				
					SUGGESTED GUIDELINES				SUGGESTED GUIDELINES			S	SUG	IGESTEI	o guidei	LINES
Nitrate						Band			Band				В	and		
					LB/A	CRE	APPLICA	TION	LB/A	CRE	APPLICAT	ION	LB/	ACRE	APPLICATION	
Olsen	19 ppm	*****	*****	*****	N	42			N	58			N	73		
Potassium	383 ppm	*****	*****	*****	P2O5	15	Ban	d r)*	P2O5	15	Band	*	P2O5	15	Ba	and tor)*
0-6''	4 lb/acre	*						.).			(Starter)	,			(Star	
Chloride					K ₂ O	10	(Starte	a r)*	K ₂ O	10	(Starter))*	K20	10	Ba (Star	ina ter)*
0-6"	16 lb/acre	*****	*****		CI	32	Broadc	ast	CI	32	Broadcas	st	CI	32	Broad	dcast
Sulfur Boron	0.6 ppm				S	7	Band (Ti	rial)	S	7	Band (Tria	al)	S	7	Band ((Trial)
Zinc	0.86 ppm	*****	*****	****	В	0			В	0			В	0		
Iron	13.6 ppm	*****	*****	*****	Zn	0			Zn	0			Zn	0		
Manganese	2.3 ppm	*****	*****	*****	Fe	0			Fe	0			Fe	0		
Copper	0.63 ppm	*****	*****	**	Mn	0			Mn	0			Mn	0		
Magnesium	312 ppm	*****	*****	*****	Cu	1	Band (Ti	rial)	Cu	1	Band (Tria	al)	Cu	1	Band ((Trial)
Calcium	3334 ppm	*****	*****	*****	Mg	0			Mg	0			Mg	0		
Sodium	27 ppm	****			Lime				Lime				Lime			
Org.Matter	1.4 %	*****	ĸ				-	Cati	on Evel		% Bas	ie Sa	turatio	on (Tyr	pical Rai	nae)
Carbonate(CCE)	0.6 %	****			Soil p	H E	Buffer pH	cau	Capacit	ange y	% Ca	%	Mg	% K	% Na	% H
0-6"	0.28 mmho/cm	*****	* *		0.61 7	-		:	20.4 me	q	(65-75)	(15	-20)	(1-7)	(0-5)	(0-5)
Sol. Salts					0-6"	./					81.8 1		2.8	4.8	0.6	0.0

General Comments: Soil texture is not estimated on high pH soils.

Crop 1: 70 lb potassium chloride (0-0-60-50Cl) = 32 lb chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 20 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury.* May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P205 = 19 K20 = 20 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.

Crop 2: 70 lb potassium chloride (0-0-60-50Cl) = 32 lb chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 20 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury.* May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P205 = 24 K20 = 25 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.

Crop 3: 70 lb potassium chloride (0-0-60-50Cl) = 32 lb chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 20 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury.* May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P205 = 28 K20 = 30 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.

AGVISE	FIELD ID middle section 4								
LABORATORIES	SAMPLE ID								
Soil Analysis by Agvise Laboratories	FIELD NAME								
(http://www.agvise.com)	COUNTY								
Northwood: (701) 587-6010	TWP RANGE	VV E							
Benson: (320) 843-4109	SECTION QTR ACRES 0								
	PREV. CROP Lentils								
SUBMITTED FOR:	SUBMITTED BY: TE3882								
TEW PLACE FARMS	TEW PLACE FARMS	S							
25210 RD 90	25210 RD 90								
	GILDFORD, MT 59525	REF # 21289310 BOX # 4895							
GILDFORD, MT 59525		LAB # NW9810							
Date Sampled 03/18/2024	Date Received 03/20/20	24 Date Reported 03/21/20							

SOTI TEST REPORT

Ν

Nutrient In The Soil			Interpretation				1st Crop Choice				2nd Crop Choice				3rd Crop Choice					
	VLow Low Med			High	Mustard			Mustard												
0-6"	10 lb/acre	*****	c			YIELD GOAL				YIELD GOAL				YIELD GOAL						
							500	LBS			1000 LBS				1500	LBS				
						SUGO	GESTED	GUIDELIN	NES	SUG	GESTED	GUIDELINE	S	SUGGESTED GUIDELINE						
Nitrate							В	and			Ba	ind								
						LB/A	CRE	APPLICA	TION	LB/ACRE		APPLICAT	ION	LB/	B/ACRE APPLI		CATION			
Olsen	25 ppm	*****	*****	*****	*****	N	20			N	40			N	75					
Potassium	383 ppm	*****	*****	*****	*****	P ₂ O ₅	10	Ban (Starte	d r)*	P2O5	10	Band (Starter)	*	P2O5	10	Ba (Star	nd ter)*			
0-6''	3 lb/acre	*				K ₂ O	0			K ₂ O	0			K ₂ O	0					
Chloride 0-6"	10 lb/acre	*****	***			CI		Not Availat	: ble	CI		Not Availabl	e	CI		Not Av	ailable			
Sulfur	0.2					S	9	Band (Ti	rial)	S	9	Band (Tria	al)	S	9	Band	(Trial)			
Zinc	0.3 ppm	****				В	1	Broadca	ast	В	1	Broadcast		adcast B		1 Broadc				
Iron	38 9 ppm	*****	****		*****	Zn	1	Band	1	Zn	1	Band		Band Zn		1 Band				
Manganese	7.2 ppm	*****	*****	*****	*****	Fe	0			Fe	0			Fe	0	-				
Copper	0.72 ppm	*****	*****	****		Mn	0			Mn	0			Mn	0					
Magnesium	255 ppm	*****	*****	*****		Cu	0			Cu	0			Cu	0					
Calcium	1511 ppm	*****	*****	*****		Mg	0			Mg	0			Mg	0					
Sodium	14 ppm	**				Lime	0			Lime	0			Lime	0					
Org.Matter	1.4 %	*****							Cati	ntion Exchange Capacity		% Base S		aturation (Typical P		oical Ra	nge)			
Carbonate(CCE)	0.2 %	*				Soil p	H B	Buffer pH				% Ca	Ca % M		Mg % K		% H			
0-6"	0.19 mmho/cm	****				0-6" 6	.5		:	11.6 me	q	(65-75) 64.9	(15	-20) 8.3	(1-7) 8.4	(0-5) 0.5	(0-5) 7.9			
Jon Juns						L			1											

General Comments: Medium-textured (CEC: 11-30 meq) Percent hydrogen is estimated from water pH, CEC corrected for exchangeable acidity.

.

Crop 1: Limited data on crop response to chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 20 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury.* Previous crop nitrogen credit: 10 lb/acre N. Previous crop nitrogen credit may be adjusted for local conditions. May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P205 = 9 K20 = 5 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.

Crop 2: Limited data on crop response to chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 20 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury.* Previous crop nitrogen credit: 10 lb/acre N. Previous crop nitrogen credit may be adjusted for local conditions. May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P205 = 18 K20 = 9 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.

Crop 3: Limited data on crop response to chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 20 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury.* Previous crop nitrogen credit: 10 lb/acre N. Previous crop nitrogen credit may be adjusted for local conditions. May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P205 = 27 K20 = 14 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.

GILDFORD, MT 59525		LAB # NW9811
	GILDEORD MT 59525	REF # 21289311 BOX # 4895
25210 RD 90	25210 RD 90	
TEW PLACE FARMS	TEW PLACE FARMS	5
SUBMITTED FOR:	SUBMITTED BY: TE3882	
	PREV. CROP MUSTAR	
Benson: (320) 843-4109	SECTION QTR ACRES O	
Northwood: (701) 587-6010	TWP RANGE	
(http://www.agvise.com)	COUNTY	W F
Soil Analysis by Agvise Laboratories	FIELD NAME	
LABORATORIES	SAMPLE ID	
AGYIDE	FIELD ID middle 3 with manure	

SOIL TEST REPORT

Date Sampled 03/18/2024

Date Received 03/20/2024

Date Reported 03/21/2024

Ν

Nutrient In The Soil		Interpretation				1st Crop Choice				2nd Crop Choice				3rd Crop Choice					
			VLow Low Med H			Barley-Malting				Barley-	Malting		Barley-Malting						
0-6"	12 lb/acre	*****	*			YIELD GOAL					YIELD	GOAL		YIELD GOAL					
						40 BU				50 BU				60 BU					
						SUGO	GESTE	O GUIDELIN	NES	SUGGESTED GUIDELINES				SUGGESTED GUIDELINES					
Nitrate							В	and		Band									
						LB/A	CRE	APPLICA	TION	LB/A	CRE	E APPLICATION			LB/ACRE		APPLICATION		
Olsen	26 ppm	*****	*****	*****	*****	N	38			N	54			N	69				
Potassium	461 ppm	*****	*****	*****	* *****	P ₂ O ₅	15	Ban (Starte	d r)*	P ₂ O ₅	15	Band (Starter))*	P2O5	15	Ba (Star	nd ter)*		
0-6 Chloride	8 lb/acre	***				K ₂ O	10	Ban	d ะ)*	K ₂ O	10	Band	*	K20	10	Ba	ind		
0-6"	18 lb/acre	*****	*****	*		CI	24	Broadca	ast	CI	24	Broadcas	st	CI	24	Broa	lcast		
Sulfur						S	7	Band (Ti	rial)	S	7	Band (Tria	al)	S	7	Band	Trial)		
Boron	0.8 ppm	*****	****			В	0			В	0		-	В	0				
Linc	1.78 ppm	*****	*****	*****	*****	Zn	0			Zn	0			Zn	0				
Manganese	18.1 ppm	*****	*****	*****	*****	Fe	0			Fe	0			Fe	0				
Copper	6.3 ppm	*****	*****	*****	*****	Mn	0			Mn	0			Mn	0				
Magnesium	387 nnm	*****	*****	*****	*****	Cu	0			Cu	0			Cu	0				
Calcium	3660 ppm	*****	*****	*****	*****	Ma	0			Ma	0			Ma	0				
Sodium	38 ppm	*****				Lime				Lime				Lime					
Org.Matter	1.6 %	*****																	
Carbonate(CCE)	1.3 %	****	*			Soil p	H E	I Buffer pH Cat		Cation Exchange		% Base Sa		aturation (Typ		ical Range)			
0-6"	0.36 mmho/cm	*****	**				_			22.9 meg		(65-75)	(15	-20)	-/0 K	(0-5)	(0-5)		
Sol. Salts						0-6" 7				-		80.0	1	4.1	5.2	0.7	0.0		

General Comments: Soil texture is not estimated on high pH soils.

Crop 1: 52 lb potassium chloride (0-0-60-50Cl) = 24 lb chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 24 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury.* May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P205 = 19 K20 = 20 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.

Crop 2: 52 lb potassium chloride (0-0-60-50Cl) = 24 lb chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 24 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury.* May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P205 = 24 K20 = 25 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.

Crop 3: 52 lb potassium chloride (0-0-60-50Cl) = 24 lb chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 24 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury.* May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P205 = 28 K20 = 30 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.

	SOIL IEST REPORT	
AGYISE	FIELD ID sheerer 960 SAMPLE ID	
Soil Analysis by Agvise Laboratories	FIELD NAME	
(http://www.agvise.com) Northwood: (701) 587-6010	TWP RANGE	W
Benson: (320) 843-4109	SECTION QTR ACRES 0	
	PREV. CROP Mustard	
SUBMITTED FOR: TEW PLACE FARMS	SUBMITTED BY: TE3882 TEW PLACE FARMS	S
25210 RD 90	25210 RD 90	
	GILDFORD, MT 59525	REF # 21289313 BOX # 4895
GILDFORD, MT 59525		LAB # NW9805
Date Sampled 03/18/2024	Date Received 03/20/20	Date Reported 03/21/2024

COTI TECT DEDODT

N

Nutrient In The Soil		Interpretation				1st Crop Choice				2nd Crop Choice				3rd Crop Choice					
			VLow Low Med High			Barley-Malting			Barley-Malting				Barley-Malting						
0-6"	11 lb/acre	*****	c				YIELD GOAL				YIELD GOAL				YIEL	GOAL	GOAL		
							40	BU			50	BU		75 BU					
						SUG	GESTED	GUIDELIN	NES	SUGO	GESTED	GUIDELINE	S	SUG	INES				
Nitrate							Ba	and			Band				В	ind			
						LB/A	CRE	APPLICA	TION	LB/A	CRE APPLICATION		ION	LB/ACRE		APPLIC	CATION		
Olsen Phosphorus	30 ppm	*****	*****	*****	*****	N	40			N	56			N	94				
Potassium	370 ppm	*****	*****	******	*****	P2O5	15	Ban (Starte	d r)*	P ₂ O ₅	15	Band (Starter))*	P2O5	15	Ba (Star	nd ter)*		
Chloride	14 lb/acre	*****	c			K ₂ O	10	Ban (Starte	d r)*	K ₂ O	10	Band (Starter))*	K20	10	Ba (Star	nd ter)*		
0-6"	46 lb/acre	*****	*****	*****	*****	CI	12	Broadca	ast	CI	12	Broadca	st	CI	12	Broad	cast		
Sulfur						S	0			c	0			S	0				
Boron	0.3 ppm	****					•			5									
Zinc	0.58 ppm	*****	*****	k		В	1	Broadca	ast	В	1	Broadcas	st	В	1	Broad	lcast		
Iron	45.6 ppm	*****	*****	*****	*****	Zn	0			Zn	0			Zn	0				
Manganese	14.1 ppm	*****	*****	*****	*****	Fe	0			Fe	0			Fe	0				
Copper	0.82 ppm	*****	*****	******		Mn	0			Mn	0			Mn	0				
Magnesium	368 ppm	*****	*****	*****	****	Cu	0			Cu	0			Cu	0				
Calcium	1455 ppm	*****	*****	*****		Mg	0			Mg	0			Mg	0				
Sodium	21 ppm	***				Lime	0			Lime	0			Lime	0				
Org.Matter	1.7 %	*****						0/ Door 0			a Sa	turatio	n (Typ	ical Par	nde)				
Carbonate(CCE)	0.1 %	*				Soil p	Soil pH Buffer pH Catio		tion Exchange Capacity										
0-6"	0.19 mmho/cm	****								12.4 mag		(65-75)	(15-20)		(1-7)	(0-5)	(0-5)		
Sol. Salts						0-6" 6	.2			13.4 116	· Y	54.2	2	2.9	7.1	0.7	15.2		

General Comments: Medium-textured (CEC: 11-30 meq) Percent hydrogen is estimated from water pH, CEC corrected for exchangeable acidity.

.

Crop 1: 26 lb potassium chloride (0-0-60-50Cl) = 12 lb chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 22 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury. * May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P205 = 19 K20 = 20 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.

Crop 2: 26 lb potassium chloride (0-0-60-50Cl) = 12 lb chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 22 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CA UTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury. * May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P2O5 = 24 K2O = 25 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.

Crop 3: 26 lb potassium chloride (0-0-60-50Cl) = 12 lb chloride. Soil nitrate for 0-24 inch depth is estimated 22 lb/acre nitrate-N. *CAUTION: Seed-placed fertilizer can cause injury.* May respond to starter P & K, even on high soil tests. Crop nutrient removal: P205 = 35 K20 = 38 AGVISE Band guideline will build P & K test levels to the medium range over several years.