

**Forest View Estates  
Public Water Supply  
PWSID # MT0003594**

*SOURCE WATER DELINEATION AND  
ASSESSMENT REPORT*

**Date of Report:**

**06 November 2001**

*Jeff McCleary,*

**Certified Operator**

*10624 Oral Zumwalt Way*

*Missoula, MT 59803*

**phone: 406-273-3336**

# Table of Contents

## [Acknowledgments and Introduction](#)

## [Chapter 1, Background](#)

## [Chapter 2, Delineation](#)

## [Chapter 3, Inventory](#)

## [Chapter 4, Susceptibility Assessment](#)

## [References](#)

## **Appendices**

### APPENDIX A:

[A1 LOCATION MAP](#)

[A2 FOREST VIEW H. O. A. MAP](#)

[A3 VICINITY MAP](#)

### APPENDIX B PWS SITE PLAN:

[B1 FOREST VIEW SYSTEM DIAGRAM](#)

[B2 FOREST VIEW PUMP HOUSE](#)

### APPENDIX C GEOLOGIC MAP:

[C1 FLORENCE AREA GEOLOGICAL MAP](#)

[C2 GENERALIZED GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTION OF  
FLORENCE AREA](#)

### APPENDIX D [GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION MAP](#)

### APPENDIX E TIME-OF-TRAVEL CALCULATIONS:

[E1 FOREST VIEW ESTATES TIME OF TRAVEL  
CALCULATIONS](#)

[E2 CALCULATED DISTANCE TRAVELED IN 3 YEARS AND 1 YEAR](#)  
[E3 HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY CONVERSIONS](#)

APPENDIX F BASE MAP:

[F1 FOREST VIEW ESTATES INVENTORY BASE MAP](#)  
[F2 FOREST VIEW ESTATES DELINEATION MAP](#)

APPENDIX G [LAND USE MAP](#)

APPENDIX H [INVENTORY SHEETS](#)

APPENDIX I WELL LOG:

[I1 WELL LOG #1](#)  
[I2 WELL LOG #2](#)  
[I3 WELL LOG #3](#)  
[I4 WELL LOG #4](#)

APPENDIX J HAZARD AND SUSCEPTIBILITY WORKSHEETS:

J1 [WORKSHEET 2a](#)  
J2 [WORKSHEET 3a](#)

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This Delineation and Assessment Report was completed by Jeff McCleary, contractor for Midwest Assistance Program (MAP) and Judy Sass, Rural Development Specialist with MAP.

*Forest View Estates, Ravalli County*

*PWSID #03594*

*Jeff McCleary, 10624 Oral Zumwalt Way, Missoula, MT 59803 406-273-3336*

# INTRODUCTION

This report is intended to meet the technical requirements for the completion of the delineation and assessment report for Forest View Estates as required by the Montana Source Water Protection Program and the federal Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA).

The Montana Source Water Protection Program is intended to be a practical and cost-effective approach to protecting public drinking water supplies from contamination. A major component of the Montana Source Water Protection Program is termed delineation and assessment. The emphasis of this delineation and assessment report is identifying significant potential contaminant threats to public drinking water sources and providing the information needed to develop a source water protection plan for Forest View Estates.

Delineation is a process whereby areas that contribute water to aquifers or surface waters used for drinking water, called source water protection areas, are identified on a map. Geologic and hydrologic conditions are evaluated in order to delineate source water protection areas. Assessment involves identifying locations or regions in source water protection areas where contaminants may be generated, stored, or transported and then determining the potential for contamination of drinking water by these sources.

Delineation and assessment is the foundation of source water protection plans, the mechanism Forest View Estates can use to protect their drinking water source. Although voluntary, source water protection plans are the ultimate focus of source water delineation and assessment. This delineation and assessment report is written to encourage and facilitate the Forest View Estates operator and the community to complete a source water protection plan that meets their specific needs.

## **Limitations**

This report was prepared to assess the threats to the Forest View Estates public water supply (PWS), and is based on published information and information obtained from local residents familiar with the community. The terms "drinking water supply" or "drinking water source" refer specifically to the source of the Forest View Estates public water supply and not any other public or private water supply. Also, not all potential or existing sources of groundwater or surface water contamination in the area of the Forest View Estates public water supply are identified. Only potential sources of contamination in areas that contribute water to its drinking water source are considered.

The terms "contaminant" and "toxin" are used in this report to refer to constituents for which maximum concentration levels (MCLs) have been specified under the national primary drinking water standards, and to certain constituents that do not have MCLs but are considered to be significant health threats.

# CHAPTER 1

## BACKGROUND

### The Community

The Forest View Estate Homeowners Association water system has 23 service connections and a population of 85 persons. The unincorporated community of Florence has an estimated population of 625. Florence is predominantly a bedroom community with most residents working in Missoula or other areas. The only industrial activities are two (2) lumber mills located on the east side of Highway 93. The major transportation routes are Highway 93 (4-lane to Missoula; 2-lane south of Florence), old Highway 93 (2-lane between Florence and 2 miles north of the Missoula county line) and the Eastside Highway (2-lane south to Hamilton).

Forest View Estates Homeowners Association public water supply (PWS) water use averages 11,000 gallons per day, with nearly triple that amount used during the summer months.

The Florence-Carlton School is probably the largest water user in the community. A new car wash has been constructed in Florence. Their water consumption, at this time, would be a guess/estimate. The majority of the commercial establishments in the "community" are bars, restaurants, grocery store and offices. The community is not sewered and all homes, businesses, etc. rely on individual septic systems. However, the Florence County Water and Sewer District has a completed wastewater facility plan and is seeking funding for a community sewer system.

### Geographic setting

The community of Florence is located on the west side of the Bitterroot Valley in Ravalli County in Western Montana about 30 miles north of Hamilton and 20 miles south of Missoula. The Bitterroot River flows south to north approximately ½ mile east of the community. The elevation in Florence is about 3280 feet. The community is located on a terrace roughly 20-40 feet above the valley floor. Local vegetation consists of evergreens, grasses and shrubs.

The development known as Forest View Estates is located on the northwest side of the community just south of Tie Chute Creek on the east side of Old Highway 93 and west of New Highway 93.

### **Physiography and Climate**

Florence is located at the base of the Bitterroot mountains on the west side of the Bitterroot River valley at an elevation of about 3280 feet above mean sea level (MSL).

Natural vegetation consists of evergreens, grasses and shrubs. The project area is drained by Tie Chute and One Horse Creeks, tributaries to the Bitterroot River.

Climatic data for Stevensville, MT about 10 miles south of Florence are summarized in the following table. Mean annual precipitation at Stevensville is about 12.5 inches, about 40% of which occurs as snowfall during the winter months.

<b>Table 1. Period of Record Monthly Climate Summary - Stevensville, MT (247894).</b>													
<b>Period of Record : 8/23/1911 to 4/30/1998</b>													
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual
<b>Average max. Temperature (F)</b>	33	40	49	59	68	75	85	83	72	59	43	34	58.3
<b>Average min. Temperature (F)</b>	15	19	25	31	37	44	47	45	38	31	23	17	30.9
<b>Average total Precipitation (in.)</b>	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.8	1.5	1.6	0.9	0.9	1.1	0.9	1.1	1.1	12.49
<b>Average total Snowfall (in.)</b>	12	9.9	6.8	1.6	0.5	0	0	0	0	1	6.6	11	48.8
<b>Average snow depth (in.)</b>	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1

McCurdy, G., 1998. Western Regional Climate Center, gmwrcc@dri.edu.

### General Description of the Source Water

The geology of the Florence study area is characterized by a thick deposit of undifferentiated material generally made up of clay, sand, and gravel overlain by relatively young alluvial layers. These layers are made up of sand, gravel, and boulders and are roughly fifty feet thick based on descriptions of the well logs of wells drilled in the area. These formations appear to have water-bearing seams at various levels that interact with one another

## **The Public Water Supply (PWS)**

The Forest View Homeowners Association public water supply (PWS) system serves 23 service connections with a population of 85 persons. It is classified as a Community PWS.

The system is served by one (1) main well located at the east side of the development near New Highway 93 next to the system's pump house. This well is 84 feet deep with an 8 inch casing. The casing is finished at the bottom with slotted screen from 69 feet to 84 feet. It is equipped with a 7.5 hp submersible pump rated at 90 gallons per minute. This well pumps water directly into an aeration system that is used to raise the pH of the water, which in turn inhibits the leaching of copper from the homeowners' copper pipes. After passing through the aeration system, the water flows through an ultra violet light disinfection system that prevents bacteriological contamination. After the UV system, the water flows into the distribution system. Five (5) 40-gallon hydropneumatic tanks pressurize the system.

A second well is connected to the system and is used as a backup during high water usage or in the event that the pump in the main well fails. This well is 72 feet deep with an 8 inch casing. It is unknown if the well is grouted. It is unknown how the casing is finished. The well is equipped with a 1.5 hp submersible pump. Six (6) 40-gallon hydropneumatic tanks are located in the pit next to this well. A fire hose connection is also located in the pit allowing this well to supply water for fire fighting.

Another well has been drilled in the northwest corner of the subdivision, but has never been connected to the system and currently has no pump. This well is 58 feet deep with an 8 inch casing. It is grouted to a depth of 20 feet. A Site Facilities Map is found in Appendix [B1](#) and [B2](#).

## **Water Quality**

Sampling records of local public water supplies indicate that the ambient water quality generally meets standards set forth by the Safe Drinking Water Act. The water quality parameter shown on the table below reflect a water of generally good aesthetic quality and is generally representative of water quality in the area. The pH, calcium and conductivity suggest a corrosive nature that has been confirmed by the exceedance of action level for copper (1.33 mg/L) by the Community and Non-Transient Non-Community systems in the Florence area.

Bacteriological detections occurring in area public water supplies have been traced to human error and/or construction problems rather than contamination of the aquifer. Random bacteriological sampling of private wells in the area performed by Midwest Assistance Program in 1997 found no coliform positive samples. No enforcement action from Montana DEQ has been required for this PWS.

No chemical contamination has been documented. However, the "Groundwater Evaluation, Florence, MT" done in 1998 by Peter Norbeck and Katherine MacDonald states, " Analysis of analytical data for nitrate and chloride in ground water at Florence suggests that ground water is being degraded by septic tank effluent. No exceedances of drinking water standards were discovered in any of the test wells sampled to date. Additional development will likely result in nitrate concentrations exceeding the primary drinking water standard of 10 mg/L at some time in the future."(Norbeck and McDonald 1998)

The following table is representative of local ground water characteristics:

**Table A Local Groundwater Characteristics Table**

<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Result</b>
PH	6.5
Conductivity	119 umhos
Calcium	14 mg/L
Magnesium	2.5mg/L
Sodium	6.6mg/L
Iron	<.01
Manganese	<.005 mg/L
Sulfate	6.6 mg/L
Nitrate	1.98 mg/L

mg/L = milligrams per liter or parts per million

# CHAPTER 2

## DELINEATION

The source water protection area, the land area that contributes water to Forest View Estates is identified in this chapter. Three (3) management areas are identified within the source water protection area. These three (3) regions are the Control Zone, Inventory Region, and Recharge Region. The Control Zone, also known as the exclusion zone, is an area at least 100-foot radius around the well. The Inventory Region represents the zone of contribution of the well, which approximates a 3-year groundwater time-of-travel. Analytical equations describing ground water flow using estimates of pumping and aquifer characteristics and simple hydrogeologic mapping are used to calculate groundwater time-of-travel distance. The Recharge Region represents the entire portion of the aquifer that contributes water to the Forest View Estates water system.

### Hydrogeologic Conditions

The geology of the Florence study area is characterized by thick deposits of Tertiary and Quaternary valley fill overlying Middle Proterozoic to Eocene bedrock. Several investigators have described the geology in this area including Ross (1952), McMurtry and others (1972), Noble and others (1982), and Lonn and Sears (1997). The work of Lonn and Sears (1997) provides the most comprehensive description of the surficial geology surrounding the study area and is the basis for much of the summary below.

Bedrock is exposed at the higher elevations in the mountains that border the Bitterroot Valley. The Sapphire Mountains to the east are underlain by Proterozoic sedimentary rocks of the Belt Supergroup and to a lesser extent, Cretaceous intrusives and associated metamorphics. The Bitterroot Mountains to the west are underlain predominately by Cretaceous intrusive rocks and associated metamorphosed Proterozoic sedimentary rocks. Early Tertiary volcanics occur locally along the edge of the mountains (McMurtrey and others, 1972).

Unconsolidated to partially consolidated Tertiary deposits overlie bedrock throughout the valley and are best exposed on the broad terraces that flank the Bitterroot River. In the Florence area, these deposits are differentiated into those derived from the ancestral Bitterroot River and those formed as alluvial fans (Lonn and Sears, 1997). The ancestral Bitterroot River deposits (Oligocene to Late Miocene) consist of well-sorted, well-rounded, well-stratified sand, pebbles, and cobbles with interbedded light tan clay and silt. A boulder dominated facies is present to the southwest of Florence and forms flat surfaces outlying bedrock at the higher elevations. The alluvial fan deposits (Pleistocene?) form gently sloping terraces perched 200 feet above the valley bottom. These deposits consist of poorly-sorted, moderately stratified, boulders and cobbles in a sandy silt matrix with abundant interbedded massive, micaceous silt layers. The Tertiary alluvial fan deposits generally occur as interfluvial remnants separated by younger Quaternary fan and outwash terrace deposits.

The Quaternary deposits, including fan and outwash terrace deposits, flood-plain alluvium, older boulder fans, and minor colluvium mantle Tertiary sediments in most of the study area. The fan and outwash terrace deposits lie 5-25 feet above the valley floor and consist of well-rounded, unweathered cobbles and boulders in a matrix of sand and gravel. These deposits average 40 feet in thickness and are differentiated into older (Pleistocene) and younger (Late Pleistocene) units (Lonn and Sears, 1997). The younger fans lie between and below and dissected remnants of older fans and underlie much of the study area, including the town of Florence. Older boulder fans (Pleistocene) deposited by debris flows are present southwest of Florence and consist of huge angular boulders up to 10 feet across in a poorly sorted matrix of gravel, sand, and silt. Minor colluvium and talus (Holocene) are present on the steeper slopes and consists of unconsolidated, unsorted, locally derived accumulations of angular boulders, cobbles, pebbles, sand, and silt.

East of Florence, the valley bottom is underlain by approximately 40 feet of Quaternary (Holocene) alluvial and flood plain deposits. These deposits consist of well-rounded, well-sorted gravel and sand with minor silt and clay. Clast lithologies represent rock types of the entire drainage basin and were derived from reworked Tertiary and Pleistocene deposits. The Quaternary alluvium overlies approximately 2000 feet of Tertiary valley fill (Noble and others, 1982).

There is little consistency among the static water levels of the wells reviewed. Static water levels range from 10 to 40 feet deep, with the most uniformity occurring with two (2) wells finished at a depth of 60 and 63 feet having static water levels of 18 and 17 feet respectively. These conditions seem to be consistent with the nature of an unconfined aquifer that has multiple water-bearing layers interacting with each other.

Ground water flow patterns based on measured water-level elevations in wells and on perennial stream elevations, are generally from east to west toward the Bitterroot River. This suggests that recharge occurs in topographically high areas, with discharge occurring to streams, wetlands and the Bitterroot River flood plain. Precise locales of ground-water discharge to streams are difficult to determine due to the complexity of conditions. Measurement of stream gain or loss gave a variety of results."

A preliminary GUDISW (groundwater under the direct influence of surface water) assessment was performed on both of these wells by Bill Engle of South Hills Environmental Consultants on 02/22/00. This preliminary study indicates that neither well should be considered under the direct influence of surface water.

It is anticipated that some south to north influence may occur during times of runoff in the spring. However this influence is more likely to be most noticeable in the valley floor and should not significantly influence the general west to east groundwater flow in the specific area of the study.

Groundwater flow direction for the predominately unconfined aquifer is assumed to follow the ground surface topographic relief. As such groundwater flow is depicted on the Groundwater Flow Direction Map found in [Appendix D](#).

This aquifer can be classified as being a moderately sensitive source water because the valley fill sediments are semi-consolidated and the alluvium is predominately unconfined to semi-confined. Aquifer susceptibility are further discussed further in Chapters 3 & 4 of this document.

**Table 1.** List of geologic or hydrogeologic investigations near the Florence area.

Title of Project	Period of Project	Area Covered	Project Purpose
Ground-water Evaluation Florence, Montana	July, 1996 - October, 1999	Sections 2,3,10,11,14 and 15, T.10 N, R 20 W	Study conducted with a view to community wastewater system
MBMG -No Title	February - July 1980 est.	Sections9,10,11,12,13,14,15, 16 T 10 N, R20W	Attempt to locate site for community water supply

**Table 2.** List of geologic or hydrogeologic maps available for the Florence area.

Title or Description	Date	Area Covered	Reference
Figure 7 Florence Area Geologic Map	October, 1999	Florence area, Range 20W	Ground-water Evaluation, Florence, MT by Peter Norbeck and Katherine McDonald

### Conceptual Model and Assumptions

A review of the well logs of wells in the study area shows aquifer material consistent with that of an unconfined aquifer. The Ground-water Evaluation, Florence, Montana by Norbeck and McDonald includes a geologic map which shows the study area predominantly overlain with younger alluvial deposits, with older alluvial deposits in a small area along One Horse Creek, immediately west of Florence. This material is generally 40 to 50 feet in depth, with undifferentiated valley fill materials (sand, gravel, cobbles, silt and clay) lying beneath.

The aquifer is bounded on the north by Tie Chute Creek, on the south by One Horse Creek and on the west by the Bitterroot Mountains. According to Norbeck and McDonald "Ground water near Florence is recharged by direct infiltration of precipitation, and infiltration from streams, ditches and applied irrigation water. Ground water discharges to wells, springs, and streams and to the atmosphere by evaporation from free water bodies fed by ground water and by transpiration by plants.

Water-bearing material appears to be present from depths of 10 to 65 feet. There is probably hydraulic interaction throughout this formation.

Assumptions for this model are that little if any influence from the south-north flow of the valley floor aquifer is realized by the wells in the project area. However, due to the fact that the elevation of the Bitterroot River is 3195 feet and the lowest static water level among wells reviewed for the project is 3225 feet, this assumption seems reasonable.

It has also been assumed that available well log information is reasonably accurate. It cannot be known with certainty if all significant formations were recorded when the wells were drilled. Nor can it be accurately determined if the descriptions of the formations are consistent since one man's cobbles is another man's boulders. Nevertheless, the information gleaned from the well logs seems to provide information consistent with the characteristics of an unconfined aquifer.

### Methods and Criteria

The Source Water Protection Control Zone for Forest View Estates is the area enclosed by a 100 foot radius circle around each PWS well. The Delineation Map in Appendix [F1](#) and [F2](#) shows the estimated 1-year time-of-travel boundary, the 3- year time-of-travel boundary, and the boundary of the Recharge Region.

### Well(s) Information

**See Appendix I - Well Logs ([I1](#)) ([I2](#)) ([I3](#)) ([I4](#))**

**Table 3.** Source well information for Forest View Estates

<b>Information</b>	<b>1. Well #1</b>	<b>• Well #2</b>	<b>• Well #3</b>
<b>PWS Source Code</b>	002	003	004
<b>Well Location (T, R, Sec)</b>	10N 20W 11	10N 20W 11	10N 20W 11
<b>MBMG #</b>	-	64009	64024
<b>DNRC Water Right #</b>	-	Not reported	Not Reported
<b>Date Well was Completed</b>	Unknown	6/14/1983	7/7/1989
<b>Total Depth</b>	72 feet	84 feet	58 feet
<b>Perforated Interval</b>	Open Bottom	69-84 feet	38-58 feet
<b>Static Water Level</b>	Unknown	37 feet	20 feet
<b>Pumping Water Level</b>	Unknown	80 feet	Unknown
<b>Drawdown</b>	Unknown	43 feet	Unknown
<b>Test Pumping Rate</b>	150 gpm	100 gpm	Unknown
<b>Specific Capacity</b>	Unknown	2.33 gpm/ft	Unknown

MBMG = Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology

DNRC = Montana Department of Natural Resources & Conservation

gpm = gallons per minute

gpm/ft = gallons per minute per foot

## Model Input

**Table 4.** Estimates of input parameters used to delineate the source water protection area.

Input Parameter	Range of Values and units	Values Used		
		Well #1*	Well #2	Well #3
<b>PWS Source Code</b>	-	002	003	004
<b>Transmissivity</b>	600 -6400	6240 ft <sup>2</sup> /day	6240 ft <sup>2</sup> /day	6240 ft <sup>2</sup> /day
<b>Thickness</b>	0 -100 feet	37 feet	48 feet	69 feet
<b>Hydraulic Conductivity</b>	Table Values (Freeze & Cherry, 1979)	100 ft/day	100 ft/day	100 ft/day
<b>Hydraulic Gradient</b>	Topographical Gradient	0.01 ft./ft.	0.01 ft./ft.	0.01 ft./ft.
<b>Flow Direction</b>	Follows Topography	West to East	West to East	West to East
<b>Effective Porosity</b>	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
<b>Pumping Rate</b>	20 gpd per person	3850 ft <sup>3</sup> /day	3850 ft <sup>3</sup> /day	3850 ft <sup>3</sup> /day
<b>1-Year TOT*</b>	1825 ft.	1825 ft.	1825 ft.	1825 ft.
<b>3-Year TOT*</b>	5475 ft.	5475 ft.	5475 ft.	5475 ft.

\*Time of Travel

\* Well #3 is included in this table since it is considered a viable source which may be used at some point in the future. It is not presently connected to or used by the PWS.

Transmissivity and hydraulic conductivity are based on lognormal means suggested in Norbeck and McDonald's Ground Water Evaluation, Florence, MT, page 18 for wells located in 10N 20W Section 11 (see references). Hydraulic gradient and flow directions are based on logical topographical information. Porosity is based on the standard estimated porosity of sand and gravel aquifers. The pumping rate is based on national averages of per user water use. The figures for these components are based on the best available information at the time of the assessment.

## Delineation Results

See Forest View Estates Delineation Maps -Appendix [F1](#) and [F2](#)

### Limiting Factors

Since specific data is not available on aquifer conditions throughout the entire delineation/inventory area, assumptions are made based on available information. For example, the porosity of the soils in the immediate area of the wells studied is probably not consistent throughout the delineation/inventory area, especially at higher elevations. However, locally known information should provide sufficient information for the conservative estimates presented. Estimates made regarding hydraulic conductivity, aquifer thickness, porosity, hydraulic gradient and hydraulic boundaries appear to be consistent with the characteristics of an unconfined aquifer as suggested by the conceptual model. Pumping rates are based on national averages of per person water use.

# CHAPTER 3

## INVENTORY

An inventory of potential sources of contamination was conducted for Forest View Estates within the Control Zone and Inventory Region. Potential sources of all primary drinking water contaminants and Cryptosporidium were identified, however, only significant potential contaminant sources were selected for detailed inventory. The significant potential contaminants in the Forest View Estates Inventory Region are nitrate, pathogens, and fuels.

The inventory for Forest View Estates focuses on all activities in the Control Zone, municipal and private facilities in the Inventory Region, and general land uses and large facilities in the Recharge Region.

### Inventory Method

A windshield survey of the Control Zone and Inventory/Recharge Region was performed by Judy Sass (MAP Staff Person and area resident), Margie O'Brien (member of the Florence Water and Sewer District Board) and Jeff McCleary (PWS O & M Contractor).

Available databases were searched to identify businesses and land uses that are potential sources of regulated contaminants in the Inventory Region. The following steps were followed:

Step 1: Urban and agricultural land uses were identified from the U.S. Geological Survey's Geographic Information Retrieval and Analysis System (<http://nris.state.mt.us/gis/datalist.html>). Sewered and unsewered residential land use were identified from boundaries of sewer coverage obtained from municipal wastewater utilities.

Step 2: EPA's Envirofacts System (<http://www.epa.gov/enviro/>) was queried to identify EPA regulated facilities located in the Inventory Region. This system accesses facilities listed in the following databases: Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System (RCRIS), Biennial Reporting System (BRS), Toxic Release Inventory (TRI), and Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Information System (CERCLIS). The available reports were browsed for facility information including the Handler/Facility Classification to be used in assessing whether a facility should be classified as a significant potential contaminant source.

Step 3: The Permit Compliance System (PCS) was queried using Envirofacts (<http://www.epa.gov/enviro/>) to identify Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations with MPDES permits. The water system operator or other local

official familiar with the area included in the Inventory Region identified animal feeding operations that are not required to obtain a permit.

Step 4: Databases were queried to identify the following in the Inventory Region: Underground Storage Tanks (UST) (<http://webdev.deq.state.mt.us/UST/>), hazardous waste contaminated sites (DEQ hazardous waste site cleanup bureau), landfills (<http://nris.state.mt.us/gis/datalist.html>), abandoned mines (<http://nris.state.mt.us/gis/datalist.html>) and active mines including gravel pits. Any information on past releases and present compliance status was noted.

Step 5: A business telephone directory was queried to identify businesses that generate, use, or store chemicals in the Inventory Region. Equipment manufacturing and/or repair facilities, printing or photographic shops, dry cleaners, farm chemical suppliers, and wholesale fuel suppliers were targeted by SIC code.

Step 6: Major road and rail transportation routes were identified throughout the Inventory Region (<http://nris.state.mt.us/gis/datalist.html>).

Step 7. All land uses and facilities that generate, store, or use large quantities of hazardous materials were identified within the Recharge Region and identified on the base map.

Potential contaminant sources are designated as significant if they fall into one of the following categories:

- ▶ Large quantity hazardous waste generators.
- ▶ Landfills.
- ▶ Underground storage tanks.
- ▶ Known groundwater contamination (including open or closed hazardous waste sites, state or federal Superfund sites, and UST leak sites).
- ▶ Underground injection wells.
- ▶ Major roads or rail transportation routes.
- ▶ Cultivated cropland greater than 20 % of the Inventory Region.
- ▶ Animal feeding operations.
- ▶ Wastewater treatment facilities, sludge handling sites, or land application areas.

- ▶ Septic systems.
- ▶ Sewer mains.
- ▶ Storm sewer outflows.
- ▶ Abandoned or active mines.

#### Inventory Results/Control Zone

The land where Forest View Estates' wells are located is rural/residential in nature. The Control Zone for Well # 1 extends nearly to State Highway 93 and a paved walking path passes through it. No significant potential sources of contamination were found within this Control Zone. However, septic systems of neighboring homes are located just beyond the 100 foot Control Zone.

The Control Zone for Well # 2 eclipses a portion of Old Highway 93 immediately to the west of the well. A portion of the septic drain field of the home located to the east of the well passes through the 100-foot radius of the Control Zone downgradient of the well. No other significant sources of contamination were found in this Control Zone.

#### Inventory Results - Inventory Region/Recharge Region

The Inventory Region and Recharge Region were combined for the purposes of the inventory. The Inventory/Recharge Region can be logically divided into two (2) main areas - a light commercial area along Highway 93 and a rural-residential area, which dominates the study area.

In the light commercial area, there is a "bus barn" where school buses are maintained and parked. The oil from oil changes performed on the buses is disposed of in a used oil furnace.

A small auto body shop is located in the residential area where small amounts of paint and solvent are used and stored. These could be a potential source of VOCs. However, the owner is nearly retired and not much work of that sort is still carried on.

A taxidermy shop is located within the Inventory/Recharge Region. All hides are sent away to be tanned and no tanning is done on site at the taxidermy shop.

Another minor potential source is the dentist office, which may be a source of metals from dental amalgams.

There are also two (2) small beauty shops in the area.

Due to the size and scope of these businesses, they should probably not be considered to be significant threats to groundwater, but nevertheless, worthy of mentioning in this study.

Significant sources of potential contaminants are the two (2) gas stations that have underground storage tanks. These tanks represent a significant potential contaminant source for VOCs since there is a potential for large volume leaks or spills.

Another significant potential source of contamination are three (3) storm drains located at various points in the Inventory/Recharge Region. These drains have been identified as meeting the definition of Class V injection wells. They discharge unknown and unmonitored substances poured or washed from the ground surface to the soils below the ground surface with the potential of entering the aquifer with little impediment.

The most prevalent potential source of contamination is the septic systems located throughout the community. These are sources for coliform, fecal coliform and nitrate contamination. As the community grows the increased volume of additional septic systems and discharge from them is almost certain to negatively impact the local groundwater.

**Table 5.** Significant potential contaminant sources for Forest View Estates.

Source	Contaminants	Description
UST	Gasoline	2 gas stations located south of the system on Hwy. 93 may pose a threat from spills or leaks
Septic Systems	Pathogens and Nitrates	In the absence of a community sewer system the system is surrounded by its own septic tanks and the septic tanks and drainfields of other residences and businesses.
Class V Injection Well	Various organic chemicals	At least three (3) Class V injection wells in the form of storm drains that discharge into the ground are located south of the system along highway 93.

### Inventory Update

The certified operator will update the inventory every year. Changes in land uses or potential contaminant sources will be noted and additions made as needed. The complete inventory will be submitted to DEQ every five years to ensure re-certification of the source water delineation and assessment report.

### Inventory Limitations

Since not every residence in the Inventory/Recharge Region could be investigated, there remains the possibility that some potential contaminants could be unaccounted for. No

large volume producers of known contaminants were found or indicated in the investigation. No doubt some backyard oil changing and auto body work goes on. In a rural/residential setting such as this, it is likely that some herbicides might be used, as well as some over-the-counter pesticides. However, no use of any significant volume of either of these was indicated by the inventory.

# CHAPTER 4

## SUSCEPTIBILITY ASSESSMENT

Susceptibility is the potential for a public water supply to draw water contaminated by inventoried sources at concentrations that would pose concern. Susceptibility is assessed in order to prioritize potential pollutant sources for management actions by local entities, in this case Forest View Estates.

The goal of Source Water Management is to protect the source water by 1) controlling activities in the Control Zone, 2) managing significant potential contaminant sources in the Inventory Region, and 3) ensuring that land use activities in the Recharge Region pose minimal threat to the source water. Management priorities in the Inventory Region are determined by ranking the significant potential contaminant sources identified in the previous chapter according to susceptibility. Alternative management approaches that could be pursued by the Forest View Estates to reduce susceptibility are recommended.

Susceptibility is determined by considering the hazard rating for each potential contaminant source and the existence of barriers that decrease the likelihood that contaminated water will flow to Forest View Estates' wells (Table 5). Hazard is rated by the proximity of a potential contaminant source to the well(s). Susceptibility ratings are presented individually for each significant potential contaminant source and each associated contaminant (Table 6). The susceptibility of each well to each potential contaminant source is assessed separately.

**Table 6.** Relative susceptibility to specific contaminant sources as determined by hazard and the presence of barriers.

Presence Of Barriers	Hazard Rating		
	High	Moderate	Low
No Barriers	Very High Susceptibility	High Susceptibility	Moderate Susceptibility
One Barrier	High Susceptibility	Moderate Susceptibility	Low Susceptibility
Multiple Barriers	Moderate Susceptibility	Low Susceptibility	Very Low Susceptibility

**Table 7.** Susceptibility assessment for significant potential contaminant sources in the Control Zone and Inventory Region.

Source	Contaminant	Hazard	Hazard Rating	Barriers	Susceptibility	Management
UST (Underground Storage Tanks)	Gasoline	Leaking UST, spills	Low to Moderate	1-2	Low Susceptibility	Monitoring, spill prevention, engineered barriers
Septic Systems	Pathogens and Nitrates	Infiltration Recharge	High	None	Very High Susceptibility	Monitoring, maintenance, potential for joining community system
Large Capacity Septic Systems	Pathogens and Nitrates	Infiltration Recharge	Moderate	None	Moderate to Low Susceptibility	Monitoring, maintenance, potential for joining community system
Class V Injection Wells	Various Organic Chemicals	Infiltration Recharge	Low to Moderate	None	High Susceptibility	Monitoring, potential for removal of these drains and joining a community storm system

The following items are the significant potential contaminants found in the Inventory/Recharge Region of the Forest View Estates. Susceptibility of contamination for these potential contaminants has been ranked quite conservatively (with the possible exception of the Large Capacity Septic Systems) and should not be used to make any regulatory decisions regarding the Forest View Estates public water supply without a more enhanced assessment. It is worthy of note that a community wastewater system with a well-documented and practiced management plan would no doubt greatly reduce the susceptibility of contamination from the potential contaminants listed. While the system currently monitors for contaminants that may result from these potential contaminant sources, monitoring only identifies the problem after the fact and does nothing towards preventing it.

### ***UST (Underground Storage Tanks)***

Hazard is ranked low to moderate for UST sites located within the Inventory/Recharge Region. Compliance with DEQ leak detection and/or leak prevention requirements constitutes an engineered barrier for all UST sites. Consequently, susceptibility is ranked low for UST sites since there are probably multiple barriers and the USTs are located between the 1 and 3 year time-of-travel distance from the PWS.

### ***Septic Systems***

The portion of the Inventory Region where Forest View Estates is located has greater than 50 and less than 300 septic systems per square mile. While doing the inventory for this system, it was discovered that the drain field of the septic system belonging to one of the homes in Forest View Estates passes through the Control Zone of Well # 1 approximately 70 feet down-gradient of the wellhead. In addition, Well # 2 is located at the lowest elevation in the subdivision, with all the subdivision's individual septic systems being located up-gradient of the well. Since no barriers are in place, it is necessary to rate the hazard as high and the susceptibility of the PWS to this source of contamination as very high. A well-managed community wastewater system would lessen the susceptibility by preventing leaching of the resident's septic systems directly into the ground near the PWS wells.

### ***Large Capacity Septic Systems***

The two (2) nearby large capacity septic systems located to the north of the Forest View Estates pose low to no threat to the system's water supply. Ground water flow in the area is predominantly west-to-east and possibly south-to-north in times of run-off or groundwater saturation. In this situation where the large capacity septic systems pose a moderate hazard, the northerly location of the large capacity septic systems may provide a natural barrier between these sources and the PWS. As such, the susceptibility of this PWS to these sources is considered to be moderate to low.

### *Class V Injection Wells*

Class V Injection Wells (these are generally storm drains that appear to directly recharge the aquifer) are located within the Inventory Region. Because these injection wells are located both upgradient and downgradient of the PWS, they have a high hazard rating (a threat) to the Forest View Estates PWS wells. Unfortunately, there are no barriers in place. A majority of these drains are located south of the Forest View Estates PWS well and should only pose a threat during periods of high ground water when the dominant west to east flow may be somewhat influenced by south to north groundwater flows predominant within the valley alluvium. However, since no barriers are present, it is considered to be in the best interest of the system's water supply to make a conservative assessment. With the hazard rated as moderate to high and without barriers present, the susceptibility of the PWS to this source of contamination is high to very high. Connecting these drains to a community wastewater system should decrease the susceptibility to the public water supplies in the Florence area.

## References

Freeze, R. Allan and Cherry, John A., Groundwater, 1979 Prentice-Hall, Inc.

Internet source of graphical and tabular information provided by Montana State Library - Natural Resource Information Service: <http://nris.state.mt.us/mapper/>

Internet source of tabular well information at the Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Information Service:

<http://mbmgsun.mtech.edu/> & <http://mbmgwic.mtech.edu/>

McDonald, Katherine and Norbeck, Peter M., Groundwater Evaluation, Florence, Montana Bureau of Mines & Geology, October 1999

Montana Department of Environmental Quality, Permitting & Compliance Division and the Drinking Water Assistance Program - Montana Water Center: Ground Water Manual for Small Water Systems, January 1999

Montana Department of Environmental Quality, 1999. Montana Source Water Protection Program, Approved by EPA in November 1999, inclusive of personal communications with Joe Meek & Jeffrey F. Herrick.

United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA), *Manual of Small Public Water Supply Systems*, US EPA Office of Water (WH-550), EPA 570/9-91-003, May 1991