MT DEQ Petroleum Tank Cleanup Section Release Closure Plan (7 Mar 201 for the Investigation, Cleanup, Monitoring & Closure of Petroleum Releases											
	Part 1: Site Summary & Remedial Investigation (RI) Results										
	reference: MT DEQ Remedial Investigation (RI) Guidance for Petroleum Releases										
	Consultant:			Date:		DEQ PM:					
	Facility Name / Address:										
	Facility ID:		Release:		WP ID:						
_	Release Cause, Source(s) & Petroleum Types:										
tior	other releases onsite and nearby:										
mat	Site Use(s) Former, Current & Planned:										
for	Surface Conditions & Access:										
<u>l</u> u	former Petroleum Tank Systems:										
Site	current Petroleum Tank Systems:										
•••	Other:										
	Stratigraphic sequence - layers & thicknesses:										
ace	Stratigraphic Continuity - Lateral Variation(s):										
urfa	Groundwater Depth & Flow Direction(s):										
bsi	Aquifer(s) unconfined, confined, perched:										
e Su	Receptor Depth/Location (basements, utilities):										
	Other:										
	Petroleum Types, Age & NAPL Mobility:										
pn	Surface Soil Impacts (0 to 2 ft bgs):										
anit	Vadose-Zone Soil Impacts:										
Mac	Smear-Zone Soil Impacts:										
8	Groundwater Impacts:										
ent	Surface Water Impacts:										
Ĭ	Petroleum Vapor Impacts:										
	Other:										
S	RI and Monitoring Reports & Dates:										
ort	Pilot Tests & Results:										
(ep	Results from Cleanup(s):										
	Other:										
	What currently prevents Release Closure?										
	additional information required for PMZ Closure:										
	Information & Data Gaps:										
	Recommendations and comments:										

MT DEQ Petroleum Tank Cleanup Section Release Closure Plan (7 Mar 2018)									
for the Investigation, Cleanup, Monitoring & Closure of Petroleum Releases Part 2: Concentual Site Model (CSM) - Evaluation of Exposure Pathways									
reference: MT DEQ Risked-Based Corrective Action (RBCA) Guidance for Petroleum Releases									Iways
Consultant: 0 Date: 1/0/1900 DEQ PM: 0									0
Facility Name: 0 Complete Description for All Receptors									
Petr	Facility in	D: U Aff	fected		Release:	: 0 WP ID:		0	Describe why a Receptor is not threatened or impacted; and
Sou	urce(s)	Me	dium	Exposure Medium / Point		Exposure Route		Receptor	for each threatened or impacted Receptor.
		(sɓq	\rightarrow	Soil	\rightarrow	Ingestion Dermal	\rightarrow	Resident and/or Worker	
		- 2 ft	\rightarrow	Soil	\rightarrow	Leaching	\rightarrow	Groundwater	
	\rightarrow	Soil ((\rightarrow	Dust/Vapors	\rightarrow	Inhalation	\rightarrow	Resident and/or Worker	
lease		Surface	\rightarrow	Surface Erosion to Surface Water and Sediment	\rightarrow	Ingestion Dermal	\rightarrow	Recreator, Ecological Receptor ¹	
um Re		s)	\rightarrow	Soil	\rightarrow	Ingestion Dermal	\rightarrow	Construction Worker ²	
olei		bgs	\rightarrow	Soil	\rightarrow	Leaching	\rightarrow	Groundwater	
s) of Petr	,	oil (> 2 ft	\rightarrow	Indoor Air	\rightarrow	Inhalation	\rightarrow	Commercial or Residential Indoor Air	
)aurce(\rightarrow	face S	\rightarrow	Dust/Vapors	\rightarrow	Inhalation	\rightarrow	Construction Worker	
the So		ıb-Sur	\rightarrow	Buried Water Line	\rightarrow	Ingestion Dermal	\rightarrow	Resident and/or Worker	
otion of		SL	\rightarrow	Buried Utility Line	\rightarrow	Inhalation of Indoor Air	\rightarrow	Indoor Resident and/or Worker	
scrip			\rightarrow	Groundwater	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	\rightarrow	State water ³	
ete De			\rightarrow	Indoor Air ⁴	\rightarrow	Inhalation of Indoor Air	\rightarrow	Resident and/or Worker	
d: Comple		er	\rightarrow	Groundwater and Vapors	\rightarrow	Ingestion Dermal Inhalation	\rightarrow	Construction Worker ²	
equired	\rightarrow	ndwati	\rightarrow	Drinking Water	\rightarrow	Ingestion Dermal	\rightarrow	Resident and/or Worker	
Re		Grou	\rightarrow	Surface Water and/or Sediment	\rightarrow	Ingestion Dermal Inhalation	\rightarrow	Recreator, Ecological Receptor	
			\rightarrow	Buried Water Line	\rightarrow	Ingestion Dermal	\rightarrow	Resident and/or Worker	
			\rightarrow	Buried Utility Line	\rightarrow	Inhalation of Indoor Air	\rightarrow	Indoor Resident and/or Worker	
	Data	Gaps:							<u></u>
Re	commenda	tions:	<u> </u>						
1. Ecolo 2. Cons	 Ecological Receptors (e.g. plants and animals) can be added as a separate line associated with surface soil but it is not common for PTC sites. Construction worker covers excavations conducted for building construction, utility installation and repair, as well as residents planting trees, etc. 								

Standard or RBSL exceedence are a complete pathway to a receptor, which is state water (or groundwater).
 Indoor Air is the exposure medium for a potential or known vapor intrusion setting where a resident or an employee of a business may breathe petroleum vapor from the release.

MT DEQ Petroleum Tank Cleanup Section Release Closure Plan (7 Mar 2018) for the Investigation, Cleanup, Monitoring & Closure of Petroleum Releases										
	Part 3: Evaluation of Cleanup Alternatives reference: MT DEQ Remedial Alternatives Analysis (RAA) Guidance for Petroleum Releases									
		Consultant:				1/0/1900	DEQ PM:	0		
		Facility Name / Address:	0							
		Facility ID:	Release: 0 WP ID: 0							
A	dmin	istrative Rules of Montana 17.56.605(3) requires	Enter appropriate site-specific Cleanup Methods that are based on RI results & CSM							
screening and selection of cleanup methods to develop a matrix evaluation of cleanup alternatives. A cleanup plan requires information on all alternatives and an explanation why any alternative was selected.			No Action*	e.g. Excavation	e.g. Excavation & ORC	e.g. SVE & AS	fill-in as needed or leave blank	fill-in as needed or leave blank	fill-in as needed or leave blank	
		Estimated Costs								
		Protective of Human Health & Environment (e. g. residences, utilities, water supply, future use)								
		Method-specific regulatory requirements (e. g. disposal of impacted soil & water, access agreements)								
eria	rformance	Method-specific feasibility requirements (e.g. pilot tests, treatability studies)								
ion Crit	Pel	Contaminant-specific requirements (e. g. method achieves soil & GW RBSLs & DEQ-7 standards)								
Evaluat		Location-specific requirements (e. g. potential historical, cultural, or ecological significance, or site near wetlands, floodplains, surface water, endangered species / migratory bird habitat)								
		Reliability Short Term								
		Reliability Long Term								
		Implementation Issues & Limitations								
		Safety Issues								
	Ef	fects on Public Health and Environment (includes Receptors)								
		Other site-specific criteria & issues:								
		Advantages of Cleanup Method:								
		Disadvantages of Cleanup Method:								
	E	st. Years to Complete Cleanup Method:								
		Cleanup Recommendations:								
		Information & Data Gaps:								
	Recommendations and comments:									
* No	* Note: Cleanup technologies may be removed or added as appropriate for each Release; however, the 'No Action' alternative must be evaluated for comparison at every Release.									

MT DEQ Petroleum Tank Cleanup Section Release Closure Plan (7 Mar 20								(7 Mar 2018)		
	for the Investigation, Cleanup, Monitoring & Closure of Petroleum Releases									
Part 4: Compliance Monitoring reference: MT DEQ Remedial Alternatives Analysis (RAA) Guidance for Petroleum Releases										
	Consultant:	0		Date: 1/0/1900		DEQ PM: 0				
	Facility Name / Address:	0								
	Facility ID:	0	Release:	0	WP ID: 0					
	Compliance & Operation	Monitoring	Ionitoring Methods to Evaluate Effectiveness of each Cleanup Alternative Listed in Part 3							
A re sche	dministrative Rules of Montana 17.56.605(6) quires the cleanup plan to include a plan and edule for compliance monitoring to evaluate the effectiveness of cleanup activities.	No Action*	e.g. Excavation	e.g. Excavation & ORC	e.g. SVE & AS	fill-in as needed or leave blank	fill-in as needed or leave blank	fill-in as needed or leave blank		
	Confirmation Sampling									
	Borings/ Monitoring Wells (MWs)									
	GW Monitoring (freq., wells, years)									
dnu	System O/M (frequency & years)									
Clear	Petroleum Vapor Monitoring (freq., locations, years)									
lo u	Receptor Monitoring									
atio	Waste Management									
alu	Other site-specific monitoring:									
Ě	Method(s) to Evaluate Interim Results and Optimize Cleanup:									
	Est. Years to Complete all Monitoring:									
	Estimated costs for O/M & monitoring:									
	Estimated Total Years to Closure:									
Le	Natural Attenuation Trends:									
nso	What currently prevents Closure?									
Ö	Is this a PMZ Closure Candidate?									
	Other:									
Information & Data Gaps:										
	Recommendations and comments:									
* Not	e: Cleanup technologies may be removed or added a	s appropriate for	each Release; however	, the 'No Action' alternation	ve must be evaluated for	or comparison at every F	Release.			