## **RBCA Process**

- Release Confirmation
- Remedial Investigation
- Cleanup & Monitoring
- Evaluate Priority / Closure





### **Recap of RBCA Tables**

#### GUIDANCE

#### Risk Based Corrective Action Guidance (RBCA)

- Table 1: Release Confirmation Soil RBSLs
- Table 2: All Tier 1 Soil RBSLs
- Table 3: Tier 1 Groundwater RBSLs and Standards
- Table 4 (a): Calculated Tier 2\*\*Soil RBSLs for Direct Contact Residential Receptor
- Table 4 (b): Calculated Tier 2\*Soil RBSLs for Direct Contact Commercial Receptor
- Table 4 (c): Calculated Tier 2\*Soil RBSLs for Direct Contact Construction Receptor

### **Release Confirmation**

- •Soil Samples Exceed Tier 1 RBSLs.
  - Table 1
- Groundwater Sample Exceeds RBSLs.





### Responding to a Petroleum Release

- •Immediate Threat emergency response
  - Vapors
  - Drinking Water Wells
  - •Aquatic Life (surface water)
  - Direct Contact to Soils
- No immediate threat RI





### **Remedial Investigation**

- Site Assessment / History
- Petroleum Source Extent / Magnitude
- Petroleum Contaminants / Receptors
- Potential Transport Pathways



Remedial Investigation Guidance, on DEQ PTCS website under the Guidance Tab.





### Conceptual Site Model (CSM)

- •Relevant Site Features
- •Source, Receptors, Exposure Pathways.
- Continuously Updated





## Receptors

- Receptors:
  - Residential
  - Commercial
  - Construction

#### • Environmental Media:

- Surface soil
- Groundwater
- Air





### Exposure Pathways

- 5 elements of a pathway
  - •Source
  - Affected media
  - •Exposure medium/point
  - •Exposure route
  - Receptor





	Part 2:	Con	ceptua	anup, Monitoring & C al Site Model (C	SM)	- Evaluatio	on of E		nways
- 1			EQ Riske	d-Based Corrective Act	tion (RB				1.
<b>F</b> ==	Consulta cility Nam					Date:	1/0/1900	DEQ PM:	0 Complete Description for All Receptors
	Facility I				elease:	0	WP ID		
	roleum		ected		elease:	0	WP ID	. U	Describe why a Receptor is not threatened or impacted; and Describe proposed Investigation, Cleanup, and/or Monitoring Metho
	irce(s)		dium	Exposure Medium	/ Point	Exposure	Route	Receptor	for each threatened or impacted Receptor.
		s)		Soil		Ingestion		Resident and/or	
		ftbg	$\rightarrow$		$\rightarrow$	Dermal	$\rightarrow$	Worker	
		Soil (0 - 2 ft bgs)	$\rightarrow$	Soil	$\rightarrow$	Leaching	$\rightarrow$	Groundwater	
	$\rightarrow$	Soil	$\rightarrow$	Dust/Vapors	$\rightarrow$	Inhalation	$\rightarrow$	Resident and/or Worker	
		ace		Surface Erosion to		Ingestion		Recreator,	
2		Surface	$\rightarrow$	Surface Water	$\rightarrow$	Dermal	$\rightarrow$	Ecological	
		s		and Sediment				Receptor <sup>1</sup>	
2			_	Soil	<u> </u>	Ingestion	_	Construction	
		(sb	-	Soil	-	Dermal Leaching		Worker <sup>2</sup> Groundwater	
		ft þ	$\rightarrow$	501	$\rightarrow$	Leaching	$\rightarrow$	Commercial or	
2		~ 2		Indoor Air	~	Inhalation		Residential	
5		Soil (> 2 ft bgs)	-		-		-	Indoor Air	
	$\rightarrow$	ace S	$\rightarrow$	Dust/Vapors	$\rightarrow$	Inhalation	$\rightarrow$	Construction Worker	
		Sub-Surface	$\rightarrow$	Buried Water Line	$\rightarrow$	Ingestion Dermal	$\rightarrow$	Resident and/or Worker	
		Su	$\rightarrow$	Buried Utility Line	$\rightarrow$	Inhalation of Indoor Air	$\rightarrow$	Indoor Resident and/or Worker	
			$\rightarrow$	Groundwater	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	$\rightarrow$	State water <sup>3</sup>	
2			$\rightarrow$	Indoor Air <sup>4</sup> –	$\rightarrow$	Inhalation of Indoor Air	$\rightarrow$	Resident and/or Worker	
		-	$\rightarrow$	Groundwater and Vapors	$\rightarrow$	Ingestion Dermal Inhalation	$\rightarrow$	Construction Worker <sup>2</sup>	
		Groundwater	$\rightarrow$	Drinking Water	$\rightarrow$	Ingestion Dermal	$\rightarrow$	Resident and/or Worker	
2	-	Ino		Surface Water		Ingestion		Recreator,	
		G	$\rightarrow$	and/or	$\rightarrow$	Dermal Inhalation	$\rightarrow$	Ecological Receptor	
			$\rightarrow$	Buried Water Line	->	Ingestion Dermal	$\rightarrow$	Resident and/or Worker	
			$\rightarrow$	Buried Utility Line	->	Inhalation of Indoor Air	$\rightarrow$	Indoor Resident and/or Worker	
tnot	commenda tes:								
Cons	struction wor	rker cov	ers excava		ling cons	truction, utility in	stallation an	d repair, as well as re	ot common for PTC sites. sidents planting trees, etc.



### Table B

### Testing Procedure for Soil and Water

Petroleum Product	VPH	EPH Screen	EPH Fractionation	EPH for PAHs	RCRA Metals + Zinc	EPA Method 8260B – Oxygenates /VOCs	Lead Scavengers
Gasoline/Aviation Gasoline	R						SS
Diesel (#1 & #2)	R	R	Х	SS			
#1 - #2 Heating Oils	R	R	Х	SS			
#3 - #6 Fuel Oils		R	Х	Х			
Used/Waste Oil	R	R	Х	Х	SS	R	SS
Kerosene, Jet Fuel (Jet- A, JP-4, JP-5, JP-8, etc.)	R	R	х	SS			
Mineral/Dielectric Oils		R	Х				
Heavier Wastes		R	Х	Х			
Crude Oil	R	R	Х	Х			
Unknown Oils/Sources	R	R	х	Х	SS	R	SS

#### Table B - Testing Procedures for Soils and Water

R - required analysis

X - analysis to be run if the EPH screen concentration in is >200 mg/kg TEH or >1,000 µg/L TEH in soil and water, respectively.

SS - Site-specific determination.

### Tier 1 Evaluation

- •Start here.
- •Initial data collected is compared to Tier 1 RBSLs.
  - •Need for additional evaluation.
  - •Ready for release closure.





### Tier 1 Evaluation

- Adequate number of samples and sample locations.
- If all analytes below Tier 1 RBSLs in Tables 2 and 3 move to closure.
- If not, proceed to Tier 2 evaluation.





### Table 2: All Tier 1 RBSLs

- Site specific information
  - Site use
  - Depth of high groundwater
  - Depth of each soil sample
- Organized into 2 exposure routes
  - Leaching
  - Direct contact





# Leaching

Vertical Distance Between Soil Sample and Shallowest Groundwater

0-10' to groundwater
>10-20' to groundwater
>20' to groundwater





### **Direct Contact**

Site Use and Sample Depth

Residential (0-2' bgs)
Commercial (0-2' bgs)
Construction (0-10' bgs)





#### Table 2

#### All Potential Tier 1 Risked-Based Screening Levels\* (RBSLs) for Soil, mg/kg

Leaching RBSLs: require vertical distance (feet) from base of petroleum-contaminated soil sample to groundwater.

Direct Contact RBSLs: require depth below ground surface (feet bgs) to petroleum-contaminated soil sample.

	Leachi	ng RBSLs,	mg/kg		rect Contact		
	Distance (fe	eet) from Soil	Sample to	Carcinoge	ic Effects, Recep	otors, and Depth	Intervals
Chemical / Analyte /		Groundwater		carcinogenic	Residential	Commercial	Construction
Compound	0-10 feet	10-20 feet	>20 feet	non-carcinogenic	0 - 2 feet bgs	0 - 2 feet bgs	0 - 10 feet bgs
For Gasoline & Light Hydrocarbon	s measured us	ing the Montan	a Method for	/olatile Petroleum H	drocarbons (VPH)		
MTBE	0.078	0.16	0.25	с	67	310	9,100
Benzene	0.07	0.21	0.33	c/n	1.7 <sup>c</sup>	7.6 <sup>c</sup>	190 <sup>n</sup>
Toluene	21	65	100	n	630	6,300	14,000
Ethylbenzene	26	84	130	с	8.4	38	1,200
Xylenes	320	1,000	1,600	n	75	330	1,900
Naphthalene	12	40	62	c/n	2.9 <sup>c</sup>	13 <sup>c</sup>	120 <sup>n</sup>
C9-C10 Aromatics	130	470	720	n	60	300	4,000
C5-C8 Aliphatics	220	770	1,200	n	90	450	2,000
C9-C12 Aliphatics	11,000	40,000	60,000	n	160	800	3,000
Lead Scavengers							
1,2-Dichloroethane (DCA)	0.019	0.052	0.079	с	0.67	3.0	100
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.000086	0.00022	0.00033	с	0.05	0.24	7.3
For Diesel & Heavy Hydrocarbons	measured usin	ng Montana Met	thod for Extra	table Petroleum Hy	rocarbons (EPH)		
C9-C18 Aliphatics	53,000	170,000	270,000	n	290	1,600	6,000
C19-C36 Aliphatics	Cor	nsidered Immo	bile	n	25,000	330,000	1,600,000
C11-C22 Aromatics	370	1,300	2,000	n	540	6,200	33,000

### Cumulative Data Tables

#### Soil

- Sample Id
- Sample Depths (ft bgs)
- Direct Contact Receptors and/or potential future use (Residential, Commercial, Construction)
- Site-appropriate Leaching to Groundwater RBSLs
- Highlight any concentrations that exceed the applicable RBSL or Standard





### Cumulative Data Tables

#### Groundwater

- Well number
- Sample ID Collection Date
- Sample Depth (ft bgs)
- Highlight any concentrations that exceed an applicable RBSL or Standard.





### **Cumulative Data Tables**

Table 1. Cun	nulative Soil Sample Labora	atory Ana	l Results (	mg/kg)																		Not Use	ed for V	PH & EF	PH Data		
Facility Name:						Fa	cility ID:				Release:				L	.ead	Extract	ible Petro	leum Hyd	rocarbon	s (EPH),	Lab Ana	alytes pre-	1999: TP	H / TEH	estimated	09-018&
	Sample Information and Fig	eld Data				Vo	latile Pet	roleum H	ydrocarl	bons (VPH	) compo	unds, mg	kg		Scav	/engers			mg/kg			1	DRO / GR	0, mg/kg			per RBCA4
Sample ID	Location from Source Area	Sample Depth, ft bgs	PID, ppm	Date	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl-be nze ne	Xylenes	Na phthalen e	C9-C10 Aromatics	C5-C8 Aliphatics	C9-C12 Aliphatics	ТРН	DCA	EDB	EPH Screen	C9-C18 Aliphatics	C19-C36 Aliphatics	C11-C22 Aromatics	тен	НЧ	GRO	тен	DRO	C9-C18 Aliphatics	C11-C22 Aromatics
	DEQ Tier 1	I RBSLs <sup>1</sup> , L	eaching	0-10 ft to GW	0.078	0.07	21	26	320	12	130	220	11,000	NE	0.019	0.000086	NE	53,000	NE	370	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	53,000	370
	DEQ Tier 1 RBSLs <sup>1</sup> , Direct Co	ntact Const	truction,	0 to 10 ft bgs	9,100	190	14,000	1,200	1,900	120	4,000	2,000	3,000	NE	100	7.3	NE	6,000	1,600,000	33,000	NE	NE	NE	NE	NE	6,000	33,000
	Calculated Tier 2 Direct Contact Construction (0-10 feet)*												15,000					30,000								30,000	
June 1990 UST I	UST Removals: 6,000-gallon diesel and 10,000-gallon gasoline																										
Diesel UST	North of Building, East end of tank	11		June 1990																		11,300	Excavate	d 2003		4,520	6,780
	North of Building, West end of tank	11		June 1990																		3,500	Excavate	d 2003		1,400	2,100
Gasoline UST	North of Building, East end of tank	11		June 1990																		590	Excavate	d 2003		236	354
	North of Building, West end of tank	11		June 1990																		76	Excavate	d 2003			
1993 UST Remo	vals: 6,000-gallon diesel and 4,000-g	allon gasol	ine, Nortl	h of building																							
Diesel UST	North End	11		05/05/93						Excavate	d 2003											13,000	Excavate	d 2003		5,200	7,800
Diesel UST	South End	11		05/05/93						Excavate	d 2003											8,800	Excavate	d 2003		3,520	5,280
Gasoline UST	North End	11		05/05/93		<20 <sup>2</sup>	62	38	218	Excavate	d 2003			1,300													
Gasoline UST	South End	11		05/05/93		<20 <sup>2</sup>	33	28	189	Excavate	d 2003			1,200													
Waste Oil UST		8		05/06/93		< 0.2 <sup>2</sup>	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2					72													
September 1993	to May 1994 RI: Wells GMW-2 to GM	IW-4 remov	ed in July	y 1996	•	·																					

### Tier 2 Evaluation

- •Adjustments to DC soil RBSLs based on site-specific information.
- •Contaminant concentrations are now compared to Tier 2 RBSLs.
- Tables 4 A-C.







# What you need to do a Tier 2 Calculation

- Lab data
- Sample ID
- Sample depth (ft bgs)
- Current or potential site use
  - Residential
  - Commercial
  - Construction





## EQUATION

Tier 1 RBSL X 10/ (#Non-Carcinogenic OR # Carcinogenic) Analytes > Tier 1 DC RBSLS

### Tier 2 DC RBSL

\*\*Only applies to direct contact RBSLs



### Table 4c

### Calculated Tier 2\*\* Soil RBSLs\* for Direct Contact Construction Receptor

Effecte				0.1				0.11		171.4				
Effects	Tier 1 Soil RBSLs,	mg/kg		Calc	culated lier	2 RBSLS 1	or Subsurfa	ce Soil Exc	ceedances	of Lier 1	RBSLS, r	ng/kg		
genic cinogenic	Direct Contact Construction Rece		Tier 2 RBSL =	: Tier 1 RBSL X 1	0 / (number of N	Non-Carcinogen	nic Analytes >Tie	r 1 RBSLs)	Tier 2 RBSL	= Tier 1 RBSL	X 10 / (num 1 RBS		nogenic Ana	lytes >Tier
can -can	Chemical / Analyte /		Nu	umber of Non-	Carcinogenio	c Analytes >	Tier 1 RBSLs		Num	ber of Carc	inogenic /	Analytes >	Tier 1 RBS	SLs
c: carc n: non	Compound	0 - 10 feet bg:	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	6		
	For Gasoline & Light Hydrocart	oons measure	sing the Monta	na Method for V										
C	MTBE	9,100							91,000	45,500	30,333	22,750	18,200	15, <mark>1</mark> 67
n	Benzene	190	1,900	950	633	475	380	317			-	-	-	
n	Toluene	14,000	140,000	70,000	46,667	35,000	28,000	23,333						
C	Ethylbenzene	1,200							12,000	6,000	4,000	3,000	2,400	2,000
n	Xylenes	1,900	19,000	9,500	6,333	4,750	3,800	3,167						
n	Naphthalene	120	1,200	600	400	300	240	200						
n	C9-C10 Aromatics	4,000	40,000	20,000	13,333	10,000	8,000	6,667						
n	C5-C8 Aliphatics	2,000	20,000	10,000	<mark>6,667</mark>	5,000	4,000	3,333						
n	C9-C12 Aliphatics	3,000	30,000	15,000	10,000	7,500	6,000	5,000						



0 - 10 feet bgs

### Tier 3 Evaluation

- Risk Assessor
- Lots of different methods
  - Modeling
  - Statistical analysis
  - Organ specific analysis (NC)





### **Corrective Action**

- If concentrations of COCs exceed Tier 2 RBSLs, move to cleanup phase.
- Release Closure Plan (RCP)
  - Evaluate different cleanup strategies based on:
    - Site Specific Information
    - Effectiveness
    - Cost
- Choose a remediation strategy.





МТ	DE	Q Petroleum Tank Cleanup Se he Investigation, Cleanup, Monitoring &	ction Rele	ase Closure Plant	an				(7 Mar 2018)					
	F	Part 3: Evaluation of Cleanu	p Alternati	Ves reference: MT	DEQ Remedial Alter	matives Analysis (R/	AA) Guidance for Pe	troleum Releases						
		Consultant:	0		Date:	1/0/1900	DEQ PM:	0						
		Facility Name / Address:	0											
		Facility ID:	0	Release:	0	WP ID:	0							
А	dmini	strative Rules of Montana 17.56.605(3) requires	Enter appro	priate site-speci	fic Cleanup Meth	ods that are ba	sed on RI resul	ts & CSM						
m	atrix e	g and selection of cleanup methods to develop a valuation of cleanup alternatives. A cleanup plan information on all alternatives and an explanation why any alternative was selected.	No Action*	e.g. Excavation	e.g. Excavation & ORC	e.g. SVE & AS	fill-in as needed or leave blank	fill-in as needed or leave blank	fill-in as needed or leave blank					
		Estimated Costs												
		Protective of Human Health & Environment (e.g. residences, utilities, water supply, future use)												
	Method-specific regulatory       requirements (e. g. disposal of impacted soil & water, access agreements)       Method-specific feasibility requirements													
eria	Bege     Method-specific feasibility requirements (e. g. pilot tests, treatability studies)       Contaminant-specific requirements (e.													
<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	Per	Contaminant-specific requirements (e. g. method achieves soil & GW RBSLs & DEQ-7 standards)												
Evaluat		Location-specific requirements (e.g. potential historical, cultural, or ecological significance, or site near wetlands, floodplains, surface water, endangered species / migratory bird habitat)												
		Reliability Short Term												
		Reliability Long Term												
		Implementation Issues & Limitations												
		Safety Issues												
	Eff	ects on Public Health and Environment (includes Receptors)												
		Other site-specific criteria & issues:												
		Advantages of Cleanup Method:												
		Disadvantages of Cleanup Method:												
	E	st. Years to Complete Cleanup Method:												
		Cleanup Recommendations:												
		Information & Data Gaps:												
		Recommendations and comments:												
* Not	te: Cl	eanup technologies may be removed or added as ap	propriate for each R	elease; however, the 'No	Action' alternative must	be evaluated for compa	rison at every Release.							





# Example 1 - 4C

<b>T</b> 11 4 A			1.0.1		/ II \	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-												
Table 1. Cun	mulative Soil Sample Labora	itory Ar	lalytical	Results /	(mg/kg)	 														
Facility Name:	: Fairmont Hot Springs						Facility ID:	Consultant	's Day 2025		Release:	41825			L	ead				
	Sample Information and Fiel	id Data					Volatile F	Petroleum H	lydrocarbor	ıs (VPH) (	compounds	i, mg/kg			Scav	vengers	Extrac	tible Petrole	eum Hydrocarb	oons <mark>(EPH)</mark> , I
Sample ID	Sample   Depth, PID, H Sample ID Location from Source Area ft bgs ppm Date						Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes	Naphthalene	C9-C10 Aromatics	C5-C8 Aliphatics	C9-C12 Aliphatics	трн	DCA	EDB	EPH Screen	C9-C18 Aliphatics	C19-C36 Aliphatics	C11-C22 Aromatics
	DEQ Tier 1	RBSLs <sup>1</sup> ,	Leaching 0	0-10 ft to GW	0.078	0.07	21	26	320	12	130	220	11,000	NE	0.019	0.000086	NE	53,000	NE	370
	DEQ Tier 1 RBSLs <sup>1</sup> , Direct Col	ntact Con	struction 0	to 10 ft bgs	9,100	190	14,000	1,200	1,900	120	4,000	2,000	3,000	NE	100	7.3	NE	6,000	1,600,000	33,000
	Calculated Tier 2 Direct Contact Construction (0-10 feet)*																			
SB1						212	2,400	1,400	3,585	144	4,632	2,410	2,547					5,400	2,000	3,100
1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1	· ·								•								



# Analytes that exceed

# Split analytes up based on effect

- Benzene
- Toluene
- Ethylbenzene
- Xylenes
- Naphthalene
- C9-C10 Aromatics
- C5-C8 Aliphatics

- Benzene
- Toluene
- Ethylbenzene
- Xylenes
- Naphthalene
- C9-C10 Aromatics
- C5-C8 Aliphatics

#### 1 Carcinogen, 5 Non-Carcinogens



# Example 1 – 4C

Table 1. Cur	mulative Soil Sample Labora	atory Ar	nalytica	al Results	(mg/kg)															
Facility Name:	e: Fairmont Hot Springs					1	Facility ID:	: Consultant's	s Day 2025	1	Release:	41825			L	ead				
	Sample Information and Field	id Data					Volatile F	Petroleum Hy	ydrocarbor	us <mark>(VPH)</mark> /	compounds	s, mg/kg			Scav	vengers	Extrac	tible Petrol	eum Hydrocarb	oons (EPH),
Sample ID	Location from Source Area	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes	Naphthalene	C9-C10 Aromatics	C5-C8 Aliphatics	C9-C12 Aliphatics	трн	DCA	EDB	EPH Screen	C9-C18 Aliphatics	C19-C36 Aliphatics	C11-C22 Aromatics			
	DEQ Tier 1	RBSLs <sup>1</sup> , '	Leaching	g 0-10 ft to GW	0.078	0.07	21	26	320	12	130	220	11,000	NE	0.019	0.000086	NE	53,000	NE	370
	DEQ Tier 1 RBSLs <sup>1</sup> , Direct Con	ntact Con	struction	0 to 10 ft bgs	9,100	190	14,000	1,200	1,900	120	4,000	2,000	3,000	NE	100	7.3	NE	6,000	1,600,000	33,000
	Calculated Tier 2 Direct																			
SB1	Calculated Tier 2 Direct Contact Construction (0-10 feet)* B1 Source Area 4 5,					212	2,400	1,400	3,585	144	4,632	2,410	2,547					5,400	2,000	3,100



#### Table 4c

Calculated Tier 2 RBSLs for Subsurface Soil Exceedances of Tier 1 RBSLs, mg/kg Effects Tier 1 Soil RBSLs, mg/kg carcinogenic non-carcinogenic Direct Contact Tier 2 RBSL = Tier 1 RBSL X 10 / (number of Carcinogenic Analytes >Tier Tier 2 RBSL = Tier 1 RBSL X 10 / (number of Non-Carcinogenic Analytes > Tier 1 RBSLs) 1 RBSLs) Construction Receptor Number of Non-Carcinogenic Analytes >Tier 1 RBSLs Number of Carcinogenic Analytes >Tier 1 RBSLs Chemical / Analyte / Compound 6 6 0 - 10 feet bgs 5 2 5 öü For Gasoline & Light Hydrocarbons measured using the Montana Method for Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarl ns (VPH) MTBE 9,100 91.000 45,500 30.333 22,750 18,200 15,167 С ----------------------190 Benzene 1.900 950 633 475 380 317 n ---------------------14,000 35,000 Toluene 140,000 70,000 46,667 28,000 23,333 n ---------------------Ethylbenzene 1.200 12.000 6,000 4.000 3.000 2.400 2,000 С ----------------------**Xylenes** 1,900 6.333 4,750 3.167 n 19.000 9.500 3.800 --------------------120 Naphthalene 300 200 1.200 600 400 240 n ---------------------C9-C10 Aromatics 4,000 10,000 6,667 40.000 20.000 13.333 8.000 n ---------------------C5-C8 Aliphatics 2,000 20,000 10.000 6.667 5,000 4,000 3,333 n ---------------------C9-C12 Aliphatics 3.000 30.000 15.000 10.000 7,500 6.000 5.000 n --------------------Lead Scavengers 1.2-Dichloroethane (DCA) 100 167 1,000 500 333 250 200 С ----------------------7.3 1.2-Dibromoethane (EDB) 18.3 12.2 73 37 24 14.6 С ----------------------For Diesel & Heavy Hydrocarbons measured using Montana Method for Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons (EPH) C9-C18 Aliphatics 6.000 60.000 30.000 20.000 15.000 12.000 10.000 n --------------------C19-C36 Aliphatics 1,600,000 5,333,333 4,000,000 3.200.000 2,666,667 16,000,000 8.000.000 n ----------------------C11-C22 Aromatics 33,000 330,000 165.000 110.000 82,500 66,000 55,000 n --------------------



# Example 1 – 4C

Table 1. Cur	mulative Soil Sample Labora	atory Ar	nalytica	al Results	/ <mark>(mg/kg)</mark>									ļ						
Facility Name:	: Fairmont Hot Springs						Facility ID:	: Consultant's E	Day 2025		Release: 4	41825				Lead	1			
	Sample Information and Field	id Data					Volatile Pr	etroleum Hydro	ocarbons (V	/PH) com	ipounds, mr	g/kg			Scav	vengers	Extrac	tible Petrol	leum Hydrocarbo	Jons (EPH), r
Sample ID	Location from Source Area	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes	Naphthalene	C9-C10 Aromatics	C5-C8 Aliphatics	C9-C12 Aliphatics	Н	DCA	EDB	EPH Screen	C9-C18 Aliphatics	C 19-C36 Aliphatics	C11-C22 Aromatics			
	DEQ Tier 1	RBSLs <sup>1</sup> , /	Leaching	g 0-10 ft to GW	V 0.078	0.07	21	26	320	12	130	220	11,000	NE	0.019	0.000086	NE	53,000	NE	370
	DEQ Tier 1 RBSLs <sup>1</sup> , Direct Con	ntact Con	struction	0 to 10 ft bgs	s 9,100	190	14,000	1,200	1,900	120	4,000	2,000	3,000	NE	100	7.3	NE	6,000	1,600,000	33,000
Tier 2 Di	irect Contact Constru	uctior	1 (0-1	0 feet)*	91,000	380	28,000	12,000	3,800	240	8,000	4,000	6,000							
SB1	Source Area	4			5,230	212	2,400	1,400	3,585	144	4,632	<b>2,410</b>	2,547					5,400	2,000	3,100



# Example 2

Table 1. Cumul	ative Soil Sample Laborator	y Analy	/tical F	Results (mg/k	g)									
Facility Name:	Fairmont Hot Springs					Fa	acility ID:	Consulta	ints Day 2025		Release:	41825		
	Sample Information and Field	d Data					Volatile	Petroleun	n Hydrocarbon	s (VPH) (	compoun	ds, mg/kg	I	
Sample ID	Location from Source Area	Date	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes	Naphthalene	C9-C10 Aromatics	C5-C8 Aliphatics	C9-C12 Aliphatics	трн		
	DEQ Tic	er 1 RBSL	s <sup>1</sup> , Leach	ning 0-10 ft to GW	0.078	0.07	21	26	320	12	130	220	11,000	NE
	DEQ Tier 1 RBSLs <sup>1</sup> , [	Direct Cor	tact Resi	idential 0-2 ft bgs	67	1.7	630	8	75	3	60	90	160	NE
	Calculated Tier 2 [	Direct Con	itact Resi	idential (0-2 feet)*										
SB1	Source Area	1.5		04/18/25	40	7	213	42	108	9	315	97	264	
1	1			· ·			1	1	1					



# Analytes that exceed

# Split analytes up based on effect

- Benzene
- Ethylbenzene
- Xylenes
- Naphthalene
- C9-C10 Aromatics
- C5-C8 Aliphatics
- C9-C12 Aliphatics

- Benzene
- Ethylbenzene
- Xylenes
- Naphthalene
- C9-C10 Aromatics
- C5-C8 Aliphatics
- C9-C12 Aliphatics

#### 3 Carcinogens, 4 Non-Carcinogens



# Example 2

Table 1. Cumul	ative Soil Sample Laborator	y Analy	/tical R	esults (mg/k	g)									
Facility Name:	Fairmont Hot Springs					Fa	cility ID:	Consulta	nts Day 2025		Release:	41825		
	Sample Information and Fiel	d Data					Volatile I	Petroleun	n Hydrocarbon	s (VPH) o	ompound	ls, mg/kg		
Sample ID	Location from Source Area	Sample Depth, ft bgs	PID, ppm	Date	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes	Naphthalene	C9-C10 Aromatics	C5-C8 Aliphatics	C9-C12 Aliphatics	ТРН
	DEQ Tie	er 1 RBSL	s <sup>1</sup> , Leach	ing 0-10 ft to GW	0.078	0.07	21	26	320	12	130	220	11,000	NE
	DEQ Tier 1 RBSLs <sup>1</sup> , I	Direct Con	tact Resi	dential 0-2 ft bgs	67	1.7	630	8	75	3	60	90	160	NE
	Calculated Tier 2 [	)irect Con	tact Resi	dential (0-2 feet)*										
SB1	Source Area	1.5		04/18/25	40	7	213	42	108	9	315	97	264	



#### Table 4a

Calculated Tier 2\*\* Soil RBSLs\* for Direct Contact Residential Receptor 0 - 2 feet bgs

Effects	Tier 1 Soil RBSLs, m	g/kg		Calc	ulated Ti	er 2 RBS	Ls for Su	urface So	il Exceed	lances o	f Tier 1 R	BSLs, m	g/kg	
carcinogenic non-carcinogenic	Direct Contact Residential Recept	or	Tier 2 R		RBSL X 10 Analytes >Tie	/ (number of er 1 RBSLs)	Non-Carcino	ogenic	Tier 2 RBS	SL = Tier 1 I	RBSL X 10 / >Tier 1	•	Carcinogenic	Analytes
carcinogenic non-carcinog	Chemical / Analyte /	0 - 2 feet	Numbe	r of Non-C	arcinoger	nic Analvte	s >Tier 1	RBSLs	Num	ber of Ca	rcinoaenic	Analytes	>Tier 1 RB	SLs
c: cal n: no	Compound	bgs	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	2	3	4	5	6
	For Gasoline & Light Hydrocarbo	ons measure	d using the I	Montana Me	thod for Vo	tile Petrole	m Hydroc	arbons (VPI	H)					
С	MTBE	67							670	335	223	168	134	112
С	Benzene	1.7							17	8.5	5.7	4.3	3.4	2.8
n	Toluene	630	6,300	3,150	2,100	1,575	1,260	1,050						
С	Ethylbenzene	8.4							84	42	28	21	16.8	14
n	Xylenes	75	750	375	250	188	150	125						
С	Naphthalene	2.9							29	15	9.7	7.3	5.8	4.8
n	C9-C10 Aromatics	60	600	300	200	150	120	100						
n	C5-C8 Aliphatics	90	900	450	300	225	180	150						
n	C9-C12 Aliphatics	160	1,600	800	533	400	320	267						
	Lead Scavengers													
С	1,2-Dichloroethane (DCA)	0.67	-					1	6.7	3.4	2.23	1.68	1.34	1.12
С	1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	0.05	-					1	0.5	0.3	0.17	0.13	0.10	0.08
	For Diesel & Heavy Hydrocarbon	s measured	using Monta	ina Method	for Extracta	le Petroleu	n Hydroca	rbons (EPH)						
n	C9-C18 Aliphatics	290	2,900	1,450	967	725	580	483						
n	C19-C36 Aliphatics	25,000	250,000	125,000	83,333	62,500	50,000	41,667						
n	C11-C22 Aromatics	540	5,400	2,700	1,800	1.350	1,080	900						



# Example 2

Table 1. Cumulative Soil Sample Laboratory Analytical Results (mg/kg)															
Facility Name: Fairmont Hot Springs						Fa	acility ID:	Consulta	ints Day 2025		Release:	41825			
Sample Information and Field Data						Volatile Petroleum Hydrocarbons (VPH) compounds, mg/kg									
Sample ID	Location from Source Area	Sample Depth, ft bgs	PID, ppm	Date	MTBE	Benzene	Toluene	Ethyl- benzene	Xylenes	Naphthalene	C9-C10 Aromatics	C5-C8 Aliphatics	C9-C12 Aliphatics	трн	
DEQ Tier 1 RBSLs <sup>1</sup> , Leaching 0-10 ft to GW					0.078	0.07	21	26	320	12	130	220	11,000	NE	
DEQ Tier 1 RBSLs <sup>1</sup> , Direct Contact Residential 0-2 ft bgs					67	1.7	630	8	75	3	60	90	160	NE	
Calculated Tier 2 Direct Contact Residential (0-2 feet)*					223	5.7	1575	28	188	9.7	150	225	400		
SB1	Source Area	1.5		04/18/25	40	7	213	42	108	9	315	97	264		



### Latysha will now talk about petroleum vapor intrusion (PVI)



