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WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had and testimony taken, to-wit:

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: I'll call this meeting to order. Sandy, will you do a roll call of the Board members, please.

MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: Vice Chair Aguirre.
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Here.
MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: Board Member
Altemus.
BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: Here.
MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: Board Member
Simpson.
BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Here.
MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: Board Member Smith.
BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Here.
MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: We have a quorum.
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you. At this time I'd like to ask anybody else on the call if you would please identify yourself for the record. Sandy, $I$ don't know if you want to call off the names that you see or how you want to do that.

MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: I can call off the names.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. Thank you.

MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: Deputy Director James Fehr.

MR. FEHR: (Indicating)
MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: Aislinn Brown.
MS. BROWN: Present.
MS . MOISEY-SCHERER: Kirsten Bowers,
Laurie Crutcher, Adam Bradley.
MR. BRADLEY: Good morning.
MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: Kurt Moser,
Catherine Armstrong, Chad Anderson, Colson
Williams, Elena Hagen, Jessica Wilkerson, Katie Makarowski, Ray Stout, Sam King, Samuel Yemington, and Todd Briggs.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: I have a question. Do we have to identify for the record the association of the people on the call, or just the names?

MS. BROWN: I think the names is fine.
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. Thanks,
Sandy. Starting with the administrative items, Item A, Review and Approval of the Minutes. This is the approval of the minutes from the December 9th, 2022 meeting. Do I have a motion to approve the minutes?

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: I move we approve
the minutes.
BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I'll second.
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Any discussion?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: I'll call for a vote. All those in favor of approving the minutes from the December 9th, 2022 meeting, signify by saying aye.
(Response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Opposed.
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Motion carried.
Item (b), I think at this time, I'd like to just introduce Aislinn Brown with ALSB. Aislinn is currently our Board Counsel, and Aislinn, do you want to say anything?

MS. BROWN: Sure. I'm the Bureau Chief at ALSB. For those who don't know, I've been in that role since September, and $I$ will be taking over at least intermittently here as Board Counsel.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you, Aislinn. Great to have you.

At this time I'd like to add an item to the administrative items, and look at the date for
the April Board meeting. That Board meeting is scheduled for April 14 th, and I'd like to ask the Board if we have the ability to move that meeting either one week earlier or one week later. I for sure have a conflict with that, with that meeting on April 14 th.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Madam Chairman, this is Dave. I have a conflict that day also, if we can possibly move it.

BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: I'm fine with either going either before or after, Madam Chair.

BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Same here. I can make before or after. I would prefer the week before, though.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: The week before, so April 7th?

BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: I'll make a motion then that we move our April Board meeting to April 7th at 9:00 a.m.

BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: I'll second.
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Any further discussion?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Call for a vote.

All in favor.
(Response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Opposed.
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: April 7th it is.
Moving into the briefing items. The contested case updates, how I'm going to do this is I'm going to go through page by page, instead of item by item, and ask for any updates or any questions on the items that are listed, the cases that are listed on that page. So I'm going to start with Page 1. Are there any updates or questions?

MS. BROWN: Oreo Refining, I don't have any updates to that agenda item.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thanks, Aislinn.
Page 2. Any updates or questions on the items on Page 2?

MS. BROWN: Again, nothing from Legal Counsel.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you. Page 3, any updates or questions on items listed on Page 3?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Hearing none, Page

4, any updates or questions on item appearing on Page 4, starting on Page 4?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Hearing none, any updates or questions on the matter appearing on Page 5?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Hearing none, Page 6, any updates or questions on the matter starting on Page 6?

MS. BROWN: That's my Hearing Examiner case. I don't have any updates.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you. Page 7, any updates or questions on the items appearing starting on Page 7?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Page 8, any updates or questions regarding the items starting on Page 8 ?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: And Page 9, any updates or questions on the matter appearing at the top of Page 9?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. Moving into
the action items, the first action item, Item (a), In the Matter of Petitions of Teck Coal, Limited, and the Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County, Montana, for review of ARM 17.30.632(7)(a), pursuant to Montana Code Annotated Section 75-5-203, stringency review of rule pertaining to selenium standard for the Lake Koocanusa BER 2021-4 and 08, Water Quality.

At the December 9 th Board meeting, we as a Board considered the draft decision, and voted five to two to approve the decision. The Board also considered the draft letter to the EPA transitioning the Board's final agency action, and voted five to two to approve sending the letter and final agency action to the EPA.

On February 8th, 2023, the Board received correspondence from Kathleen Becker, Regional Administrator for EPA Region 8, indicating that the EPA will not begin considering the Board's request until the EPA has been notified by the State that the ongoing legal processes have concluded.

Do you want me to read the letter at this point, or have you read the letter?

BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: I've read the
letter, so $I$ don't think it's necessary, but I'll let others respond.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: It's in the meeting materials on Page 5, 005. At this point, I'm going to make a motion and propose no further action on this item. Is there a second for that motion?

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: I'll second it. VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Discussion.

BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: Madam Chair, does that mean that you want to hold off until we get through court, or is this indefinitely? I'm not sure what you're trying to accomplish.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: My proposal is that we take no further action on this matter. So I don't know if indefinitely -- that's a great question, and indefinitely, $I$ think that is it, that we take no further action on the matter.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Madam Chair, just as a matter of clarification, in reading the status right now, $I$ believe we concluded that at that last meeting. Is there in your opinion something else that we could be considering at this meeting with regard to the issue other than the next item?

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: No. That's correct.

BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: So Madam Chair, again, for clarification, if there's something that comes out of the legal process that we do need to readdress, that means that we could, or couldn't, or we would have to revisit this motion?

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: I might ask Aislinn to chime in here, but $I$ 'm going to say that $I$ think on the matter of the response from EPA, I feel like there's no further action to take on their response. So if other actions come up that we have to take, then that would be separate from this letter.

MS. BROWN: Stacy, I would suggest that you amend your motion to say no further action in response to the letter from EPA, and be explicit about it.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. Thank you very much. That's good. And $I$ think that gets to what you're talking about, correct, Julia?

BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: Yes. I was actually going to ask you to do that, so thank you.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: So I'll amend the
motion to propose that we take no further action on EPA's letter.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: DO you need a second?

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.
BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: I'll second it.
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Any further discussion?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Hearing none, call for a vote. All in favor.
(Response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Opposed.
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Motion carried.
Item (b), In the Matter of Montana Department of Environmental Quality versus Montana Board of Environmental Review, Teck Coal, Limited, and the Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County, Case CDV 2023-21.

On January 9th, DEQ filed a Petition for Judicial Review of the final agency action and order of Board of Environmental Review. The Board needs to consider what participation we'll have in this petition, or in this litigation.

So at this time, I make a motion that we recess this meeting, and the Board move to executive committee discussion to discuss legal strategy with regard to the litigation.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Second.
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Any discussion?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Calling for a vote.
All in favor, signify by saying aye.
(Response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Opposed.
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Motion carries. So what we'll do now is close this public meeting, adjourn it, go into recess, and we'll take a 30 minute recess, and reconvene this meeting at 9:50 a.m., the public meeting at 9:50 a.m. Aislinn.

MS. BROWN: Yes. Just to avoid
confusion for the Board, so you will have gotten two links. I cancelled the Teams one, so please use the Zoom link that Elena sent you all to attend the closed session.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. So again, we'll resume the public meeting at 9:50.
(Recess taken at 9:20 a.m.
and reconvened at 10:05 a.m.)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: I apologize to everybody on the call for the delay. I want to call the February 24 th meeting back into session. Can you start, Sandy, by calling the roll for the Board.

MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: Yes, I will. Vice Chair Aguirre.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Here.
MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: Board Member
Altemus.
BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: Here.
MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: Board Member
Simpson.
BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Here.
MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: Board Member Smith.
BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Here.
MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: We have a quorum.
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you again.
For the record, we need to identify who is on the call. Sandy, do you want to call the names?

MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: Aislinn Brown.
MS. BROWN: (Indicating)
MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: Deputy Director
James Fehr, Laurie Crutcher, Barbara Chillcott,

Catherine Armstrong, Chad Anderson, Colson Williams, Elena Hagen, Jessica Wilkerson, Katie Makarowski, Kirsten Bowers, Kurt Moser, Loryn Johnson, Ray Stout, Sam King, Samuel Yemington, Todd Briggs, Nicholas Whitaker.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you, Sandy. So starting back on Page 10 Item (b), Montana Department of Environmental Quality versus Montana Board of Environmental Review, Teck Coal, Limited, and the Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County, Case No. CDV 2023-21.

At this time I'll call for a motion for the action the Board will take.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Madam Chair, I'll make the motion that the Board continue with this litigation, and respond accordingly, represented by Aislinn Brown of Agency Legal Services.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Second. Is there any discussion?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Hearing none, I'll call for the vote. All in favor say aye.

> (Response)

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Opposed.
(No response)

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Motion carries.
Item (c), In the Matter of the Notice of Appeal and Request for Hearing by Alpine Pacific Utilities regarding issuance of MPDES Permit No. MTX000164, BER 2019-06 Water Quality.

At this time, the parties have filed a joint status report on November $23 r d$, and the Board will need to appoint a new Hearing Examiner in this matter. So at this time I'd call for a motion, or $I$ can make a motion to appoint ALS as the Hearing Examiner in this matter.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Second.
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Any discussion?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: All in favor of the motion to appoint $A L S$ as the new Hearing Examiner, signify by saying aye.
(Response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Opposed.
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Motion carries.
Item (d), In the Matter of Appeal and
Request for Hearing by Westmoreland Rosebud Mining, LIC, regarding issuance of MPDES Permit No. MT0032042, Colstrip, Montana, BER 2022-06,

Water Quality.
On February 14 th, Hearing Examiner Cameron granted the parties' joint motion to stay pending the Board's final determination and proposed final agency decision. We as a Board now need to act on those proposed stipulations that were on Page 63 of the material packet.

I guess $I$ will call for a motion to approve the proposed stipulations as presented by Hearing Examiner Cameron.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Madam Chair, before proceeding with a motion, I would like to ask. Are there representatives of the parties available to brief the Board on what this is all about?

I mean I understand it, because this is in my wheelhouse, but $I$ have a number of questions regarding the stipulations, and I'd kind of like to hear from the parties on it, if that's possible.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, we can do that. We need to give the parties, all parties, equal time to present a summary, and we could --

I mean I guess I need to ask about this because is this kind of -- is this a call for oral
argument? Because $I$ don't think we're at that point. I think it's open for the Board to ask questions to the parties, but $I$ guess I'll ask Aislinn for a ruling on that, but $I$ think we're just -- If that's what you're asking for, David, then yes, we can open this up for the Board to ask questions of the parties.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: That's my request essentially, and in order to ask questions, it would probably be helpful if the parties could review for the Board members what this is about. I mean $I$ could do that, but $I$ think it's more appropriate for the parties to do it.

MS. BROWN: Since you're still -- as I see it, I think that's appropriate. I will say I don't know if $I$ see someone from Westmoreland on right now.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: And that's why I don't want to set up a situation where we -- It's not an oral argument situation where people would be on and prepared to discuss this.

MS. BROWN: I think you could probably just hear from $D E Q$ for at least presenting what it's about, because it is a stipulation by the parties.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. Ms. Bowers. MS. BOWERS: Madam Chair Aguirre, members of the Board, I'm here on behalf of $D E Q$, and $I$ see that $S a m$ Yemington is on the call, and he is with Holland and Hart, and they represent Westmoreland in this stipulation, in this matter.

And $I$ can just say generally that Westmoreland and DEQ agreed to the stipulation before you. We essentially agree that the ambient water quality of the receiving water may exceed the standard for electrical conductivity, and that further work needs to be done to establish what the nonanthropogenic condition is of the receiving water to account for the natural or the non-human caused condition.

And so the parties agreed to start the process of data gathering and analysis to determine what the nonanthropogenic condition is. And then if warranted and feasible, a rulemaking process will begin to adopt a standard, a new site specific standard that accounts for the nonanthropogenic condition of the receiving water, and that standard will be incorporated in Westmoreland's permit for this expansion. VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you, Ms.

Bowers. Does anybody -- Samuel, would you like to provide an overview as well? And then we'll open it up for any Board questions.

MR. YEMINGTON: Yes, with the caveat that $I$ do represent Westmoreland in a number of matters. I'm not actively working this matter, and did not participate in the stipulation. I'm familiar with it, and certainly can communicate any questions that the Board has back to my colleagues who are working this case, but who had conflicts today and aren't able to attend.

So with that caveat, $I$ would just note that we do generally agree with DEQ's presentation, and this is consistent with our negotiations.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. Thank you. Board members, questions?

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Madam Chair, with the indulgence of the Board, I'd like to take just a minute, and talk a little bit about the situation on the ground, and what this represents. Surface mining for coal of course is very heavily regulated in great detail, but one of the requirements is that sediment ponds be put in place to control runoff from disturbed areas, the
obvious purpose being to keep sediment from moving from the site.

When a sediment pond is established, it has a discharge point, and that discharge point is seen as an MPDES discharge point, that is, not only subject to approval through the mining permit process, but also through the MPDES process.

These ponds are generally small dams or incised ponds, that is a hole dug in the ground, and they're located in the -- as $I$ understand it from reading this -- located in the upper reaches of Lee Coulee, Fossil Fork of Lee Coulee, unnamed tributaries of Fossil Fork of Lee Coulee, unnamed tributaries to Richards Coulee.

I'm not familiar with -- $I$ know
generally where this permit area is located, but I'm not familiar with the details on the ground.

But the point is that these so-called receiving waters are dry coulees, and so my question really has -- it's more of a technical question. How is Westmoreland going to go about establishing the quality of receiving waters when there is no receiving water, except in the case of a major snowmelt or rainfall event; and in those cases, how are you going to get to the points to
collect samples.
Because in that situation, the ground is going to be so wet, unless you get a helicopter, you're not going to be there. The other possibility, I suppose, is some sort of an automated sampling system.

But there are real practical questions about how water quality standards are applied and enforced in a dry coulee bottom that as a normal matter of course has no water.

I'd call to your attention the Water Quality Act 75-5-103, Definitions, Definition No. 12. "High quality waters means all State waters except ground or surface waters that, number one, are not capable of supporting any one of the designated uses for their classification, or have zero flow or surface expression for more than 270 days during most years."

> In these dry drainages in eastern

Montana, you may get a runoff event once a year or less. And so $I$ understand the concept certainly of nonanthropogenic quality, but $I$ want to raise the question of whether it's an appropriate application of the Clean Water Act to be regulating water in these dry coulee bottoms,
water quality. And if there is no water there, there is no use.

Somewhere there's going to be a use downstream. Is that the proper place to be describing the receiving water quality?

And $I$ have another question. This is on Page 70 of our packet, Item No. 25. It says, "DEQ and Westmoreland agree that until DEQ adopts new water quality standards based on the nonanthropogenic condition of receiving waters, and appropriate effluent limitations for EC and SAR incorporated into the permit, Westmoreland will not discharge to receiving waters, and will protect existing beneficial uses in the receiving waters and downstream water bodies."

Is that doable to commit to not discharge? What are you going to do with the water, or are these standards and regulations going to be written before the dams actually go into place? Those are my questions.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. I'm not sure if it's appropriate at this time to ask for any comment from Ms. Bowers or from Mr. Yemington. I'm unclear how to proceed on Board Member Simpson's statements and questions.

MS. BROWN: I think one thing you could do is get a motion to defer ruling on this so that the parties have an opportunity to prepare more comprehensive responses at the next meeting.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay.
BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: Madam Chair, may
I ask a question?
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.
BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: Since the parties stipulated to this -- I mean I do understand what Board Member Simpson is saying, and I do agree that maybe we need more information, but it seems like the parties stipulated, and our request or the request to the Board was to accept their findings or their stipulation. So I'm unclear as how we proceed.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Right, because they've agreed to it. And so we're questioning their agreement?

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Madam Chair, they've agreed to it, and it's been approved by the Hearing Examiner. Now it is up for our approval, and $I$ guess we could approve it and let them sort these things out, but $I$ think there are -- my reading of it is -- and I'm cursed with
having the background knowledge to know what this is all about.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Not a curse.
BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: I think there are questions that need to be resolved in this, additional questions that need to be resolved in this stipulation. That's my opinion.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: So the thought would be that we as the Board would ask for further clarification regarding your questions? BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: That's my request. And $I$ suppose we could be a little bit more definitive. I've kind of rambled on on the questions, but $I$ guess my two key questions is more detail on, one, how we describe what -- how we determine, how we go about determining what the -- and what point, that is, is it at the point of discharge into a dry coulee, or is it the point downstream where water use occurs, or where water is available for use on at least an intermittent basis.

Then the second is the question of how Westmoreland is going to prevent discharges, and then should that section read that Westmoreland will comply with the proposed standards until --
at any existing points until the new rules are adopted?

It's a practical question of how is the regulated party going to prevent discharges in the event of heavy rainfall or snowmelt.

MR. YEMINGTON: Board Member Simpson, what if we did this. Instead of just approving, instead what if we revised it such that once the site specific standards are developed, then it comes back to the Board.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: So you're essentially proposing to defer our action?

MR. YEMINGTON: Yes, until the site specific standard has been developed, so you can actually see the --

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Standards.
MR. YEMINGTON: -- approve more the technical aspects of the end result.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: I think in addition to that, if we're going to do that, there's also a question on where, at what point that standard is going to apply. Is it at the point of discharge into the dry coulee, or is it at some other point?

MR. YEMINGTON: And $I$ would assume that it would specify at that point. There would be a
lot that we're going to develop in that.
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: So I see this as those questions needing to be answered, and the standards needing to be put in place before the Board takes any action?

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Conceptually I believe that the stipulation and the -- So we've got the stipulation, and let me make sure $I$ get the terminology here.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Mr. Yemington has his hand up as well.

MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: As does Kirsten
Bowers.
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. I think, Mr. Yemington, would you like to comment while Board Member Simpson is looking for that reference?

MR. YEMINGTON: Sure, and thank you, Madam Chair. And just briefly to respond to some of those concerns that was raised, one thing $I$ would caution is that this particular study can't go forward in the absence of the stipulation. And so the idea that the Board could defer on approving the stipulation and moving forward with the underlying study, it quite complicates the issue, and frustrates that process.

To Mr. Simpson's, Board Member Simpson's comment regarding the access to these locations, I'd just note this is related to the Amendment 5 of the Area $B$ part of the permit of this mine. It's an extension of existing mining.

And for purposes of just those practical limitations on access, of course, this is some rural eastern Montana land, but the client does have access here, and to the extent we need to access for purposes of the water monitoring and conducting the study, that is not a problem.

Again, we can talk about this question of where this point is located, and other practical limitations that need to be addressed before we move forward, but $I$ would just note that there's not mining going on at this point.

There's no constructed, to my knowledge no constructed retention pond, and this isn't a point source at this point.

The purpose of the study is to establish those background, the natural conditions for purposes of informing ultimately what those point source limitations are, if and when that is constructed.

So again, I'd just offer that to comfort
the Board, and then to the extent there's additional followup or questions, I'd be happy to communicate those to my colleagues who worked with DEQ to address this issue.

We do understand it's a relatively unique issue. Again, the lack of data here, that's the whole point of trying to get on the ground, trying to understand just what that background looks like, to make sure that the -- as Board Member Simpson implied -- that future point source water conditions are going to comply with whatever that standard ultimately is.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you, Mr.
Yemington. Ms. Bowers.
MS. BOWERS: Thank you, Chair Aguirre, and members of the Board.

I agree with Mr. Yemington's comments, that without the stipulation in place, we don't have the agreed process for sampling and standard development.

And $I$ just wanted to offer that under the terms of this stipulation, the Board retains jurisdiction of this case.

And maybe to satisfy some of the Board's questions, again, requesting more detail on
sampling process, and how Westmoreland will stay in compliance with the permit if they can't discharge, and maybe any other questions that come up, we could offer to update the Board at some point, or maybe submit some sort of status report. VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Yemington, did you have another question or comment?

MR. YEMINGTON: No further comment, other than to agree with Ms. Bowers that the Board does retain jurisdiction on this issue.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay.
BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Just kind of circling back around, conceptually the stipulation and the final agency, proposed final agency decision here, it makes a lot of sense in this situation. No question in my mind about that.

The questions $I$ have are practical questions, the first one being where the standard is applied, based on what water quality. Is it the water quality in the ephemeral drainage, which there normally is no water, or is it water quality at the point of use downstream?

Second, is the question of the permittee agreeing to no discharges until new rules are in place, and depending on how long this takes, and how the mining plan is developed, and so on and so forth, that may or may not pose a problem.

But just to digress for a minute, I have been involved in trying to collect these kinds of water samples, and to define water quality in ephemeral drainages, and it's easy to talk about and very difficult to do, because there's very seldom any water there.

So I'm just concerned that there be a more definitive plan as to how to obtain enough samples to be able to define the pre-existing water quality, ambient water quality.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Board Member Simpson, and the rest of the Board, I'd like to make a proposal that we approve the stipulations that have been jointly agreed to.

Since we retain jurisdiction, the idea that we would receive status updates through the process, and address the questions that you are posing, Board Member Simpson, may be the best way to approach this, to have this matter move forward, as Mr. Yemington and Ms. Bowers have addressed, that the stipulations jointly approved to, need to start moving forward in order to not
hold up the project, and that we put some kind of requirement to have updates and these questions answered throughout the process. Does that sound like a potential option?

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Yes, it does. I believe -- I agree that it's important to move forward with this. And $I$ think literally the questions that I've raised are of an administrative nature, that is, how the law is applied in developing the rule. So I'm just pondering what a motion might look like.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Do you want me to make one and then we can discuss it?

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Yes, please. Why don't we proceed with a motion, and then discuss it before the vote.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: I'm going to make a motion for the Board to approve the proposed stipulations, and as part of that approval, that Montana DEQ and Westmoreland will provide updates to the Board on the process, and also specifically addressing the administrative questions that have been put forth in this meeting. BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: I'll second your motion.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Discussion?
BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: I think that covers it. I guess the question that would remain in my mind is: At what point would the parties report back on this? I mean do we need any kind of a definitive schedule, or review it as the program is developed?

I guess I'd feel better if we had something a little bit more definitive in terms of reporting back to the Board, but given the uncertain nature of where this is going, maybe that's not a practical request.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: I guess, Ms. Bowers and Mr. Yemington, do you have any suggestions on update schedule?

MR. YEMINGTON: Members of the Board, Samuel Yemington again on behalf of Westmoreland.

I don't think there's any objection on our side to providing updates to the Board. That can certainly be done at your regularly scheduled meetings. I regularly participate, as do my colleagues when they don't have a conflict, and we can be prepared to address any developments and answer any questions that the Board may have in the interim.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Ms. Bowers.
MS. BOWERS: Chair Aguirre, members of the Board. I agree. We could provide updates for the Board to have in their packet for each upcoming Board meeting, if that's your preference, or we could do it on a quarterly schedule. Whatever you prefer.

BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: Madam Chair, I was going to say that the Board can reach out to the parties as well, and just put them on the agenda, or ask for the updates at the April meeting, or June meeting, or whatever. I think we can reach out to them, too.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: I think that's a great idea.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Madam Chair, in the interests of moving forward, I guess I'd request that we go ahead with the approval on these documents, but request that the parties at our April meeting address the questions that have been raised, and at that point we can decide what might be an appropriate schedule or plan for reporting back to the Board.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. So we want to revise the motion?

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Yes, I believe so. I think I'd offer an amendment to request that the parties report to the Board on the specific questions that have been raised here at the next meeting, at the April meeting, and at that point, we'll discuss the schedule -- or plan is probably a better word -- for keeping the Board informed of progress.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Aislinn, do we need to restate the motion, or then can we just make that amendment?

MS. BROWN: If you could restate it as amended, that would be best.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: So the motion as amended would be to approve the stipulations as presented, and ask that the parties present at the April 7 th meeting to answer the questions that were discussed today in this meeting, and at the April 7 th meeting, we will establish some kind of update schedule from there. Kind of messy.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: That's correct.
So do we need a more precise statement of the motion or can we proceed?

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Mr. Yemington.
MR. YEMINGTON: Thank you, Madam Chair.

The only comment from our end is $I$ think in order to accommodate, best accommodate Board Member Simpson and his questions, is it possible that we could get either a written directive from the Board before the April meeting, so we know the specific questions we're responding to; or alternatively, we could just state that on the record.

I'm certainly understanding what Board Member Simpson has said with respect to his concerns about location, and some of the jurisdictional and practical aspects of this stipulation, and whether or not the raw nature of this particular drainage complicates or otherwise frustrates the parties' ability to obtain this data.

I think just so that the motion is clear and that the expectation is obvious for purposes of the April hearing, that we are specific on those questions.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you. Maybe Board Member Altemus, you want to make a motion. You might be able to take what Board Member Simpson and myself have put out there, and -BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: Madam Chair --

MS. BROWN: Madam Chair, since there's a motion on the table, so that would need to be withdrawn before we can put another one out there. VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. I'll withdraw the amended motion to request a new amended motion.

BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: Madam Chair, since Board Member Simpson has raised those two questions, and is more succinct in what he's looking for than $I$ wrote down, $I$ would suggest that maybe he make the motion with his specific information.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Sorry. I will
give it a try. Sorry to create all of the confusion.

I'll make the motion that we approve both the stipulation and the final action, and that we request the parties at the April meeting to address the questions of defining the receiving waters, and operation of the -- excuse me -management of discharges prior to the development of the new rule. Does that cover it?

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: I'm going to muddy
this up. Does this need to be two separate motions, or are they -- is it dependent? Like our
approval of the stipulations would be one motion, and then maybe we make another motion to provide those questions to the parties to answer, and then we set a schedule on updates on the project from there? Aislinn, what are your thoughts about breaking that into two motions?

MS. BROWN: That might be more clear for the record. I am also happy to draft an order that you could sign, Vice Chair Aguirre, that explicitly sets forth, and work with Board Member Simpson on it, that explicitly sets forth those two questions that he has, if that helps as well.

So you could just move to assign me to draft an order that you will sign.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. So we'd have to have Board Member Simpson withdraw his motion.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: I never received a second, so I'll withdraw it.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. So the new motion is for the Board to approve the proposed stipulations as presented.

BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: I'll second. VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Any discussion on that motion?
(No response)

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. Hearing none, call for a vote. All in favor, say aye.
(Response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Opposed.
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Moving forward, the Board would like to direct Aislinn to draft an order to the parties with the questions raised by Board Member Simpson, and ask that the parties respond to that order at the April 7th Board meeting, and then at that meeting, we will determine what kind of update schedule the parties will be providing to the Board on this project. BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Is that a motion? VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: I'll second it. VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Any discussion?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: All in favor of the motion, signify by saying aye.
(Response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Opposed.
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Moving on to the new contested case, In the Matter of the Denial of

Opencut Mine Permit No. 3115 for FirstMark Materials, Oscar's Site, BER 2022-8 OC.

The action that's required for this is for the Board to decide whether to hear the case itself, or assign a Hearing Examiner for some or the totality of the case.

And $I$ will let you know that $I$ believe we should assign a Hearing Examiner for the totality of the case, and assign that to ALS. I can frame that as a motion, or just letting the Board know my thoughts.

BOARD MEMBER SMITH: I'll motion we assign the case to ALS for the totality of the case.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: I'll second it.
Any discussion?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Hearing no discussion, I'll call for the vote. All in favor, signify by saying aye.
(Response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Opposed.
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. Board
Counsel update.

MS. BROWN: I don't have any updates unless anybody has questions for me. Vice Chair Aguirre, $I$ would just recommend that you state for the record that the previous motion passed.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Oh, previous on the new contested case?

MS. BROWN: Yes.
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: The motion to
assign a Hearing Examiner for totality of the case passed.

MS. BROWN: Okay. With respect to the Board Counsel update, does anybody have any questions for me?
(No response)
MS. BROWN: Okay.
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Thank you. General public comment. Under this item, members of the public may comment on any public matter within the jurisdiction of the Board that is not otherwise on the agenda for the meeting.

Individual contested case proceedings are not public matters on which the public may comment. Having read that, is there any general public comment?
(No response)

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Hearing none, I'll call for a motion to adjourn the meeting.

MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: One comment I received was DEQ has asked that cases be addressed what -- In the last meeting Rob Cameron was assigned five cases, but those were not spelled out, and DEQ has asked for what those case numbers are for specifics.

MS. BROWN: I can read off the cases currently assigned to Mr. Cameron, if you want me to, Vice Chair.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Please do.
MS. BROWN: Okay. Western Sugar, BER 2020-05. Some of these, I'm not sure which ones are the new ones that you're referring to, Sandy, so I'm just going to read off all the ones that $I$ have. Harry Richards, BER 2022-02; Valley Garden, BER 2022-04; Rosebud, BER 2022-05; and then there's another Rosebud, BER 2022-06; CHS, Inc., BER 2022-07. I believe that's it. Let me double check. Yes, that's it.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. Thank you.
Any other questions?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Okay. Now I'll
call for a motion to adjourn the meeting. BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: So moved.

BOARD MEMBER SMITH: Second.
BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Second.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Any discussion?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: No more discussion?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: All in favor, signify by saying aye.
(Response)

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Motion passed to adjourn the meeting. Thanks, everybody.
(The proceedings were concluded at 10:56 a.m. )
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