BEFORE THE BOARD OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

BOARD MEETING )
FEBRUARY 25, 2022 )

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS (VIA ZOOM)

February 25, 2022
9:00 a.m.

BEFORE CHAIRMAN STEVEN RUFFATTO,
BOARD MEMBERS DAVID SIMPSON, JON REITEN, JOSEPH SMITH, JULIA ALTEMUS, STACY AGUIRRE, and DAVID LEHNHERR

PREPARED BY: LAURIE CRUTCHER, RPR
COURT REPORTER, NOTARY PUBLIC
lauriecrutcher@gmail.com

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Shawna, would you please call the roll.

MS. PIESKE: All right. Chair Steven Ruffatto.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Here.
MS. PIESKE: David Lehnherr.
BOARD MEMBER LEHNHERR: Here.
MS. PIESKE: David Simpson.
BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Here.
MS. PIESKE: Stacy Aguirre.
(No response)
MS. PIESKE: Jon Reiten.
BOARD MEMBER REITEN: Here.
MS. PIESKE: Joseph Smith.
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Julia Altemus.
BOARD MEMBER ALTEMUS: Here.
MS. PIESKE: And James Fehr, Deputy Director.

MR. FEHR: Here.
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Shawna, let me start off by saying we have a quorum, so $I$ don't think we need to ask anybody else who is here, but I think we do need to identify everybody who is here. So Shawna, $I$ would start with that, and now go through DEQ first, and then others if that works.

MS. PIESKE: Sandy Scherer, Jeremiah Langston, Sarah Christopherson, Angie Colamaria, Kirsten Bowers. Did I get everyone?

MR. MORGAN: This is Jon Morgan. I'm also an attorney with DEQ.

MS. PIESKE: Thank you, Jon.
MR. HAYES: This is Ed Hayes. I'm also an attorney with DEQ.

MS. PIESKE: Okay. Thank you.
MR. PETTIS: This is Aaron Pettis. I'm an attorney with DEQ.

MS. PIESKE: Thank you.
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Anybody else from DEQ?
UNKNOWN SPEAKER: Mr. Chair, it looks like we have several in the attendees, and just list them.

MS. PIESKE: Sandy.
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Sandy, we can't hear you, at least $I$ can't.
(No response)

CHAIR RUFFATTO: I'm sure we'll get this worked out pretty quick.

MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: Can You hear me now?

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Yes, $I$ can, loud and clear. Thank you.

MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: Sorry about that. It just sometimes works and sometimes doesn't. My apologies.

Kirsten Bowers is DEQ; Nick Whitaker, DEQ; Lee McKenna, DEQ; Catherine Armstrong, DEQ; Loryn Johnson, DEQ; Myla Kelly, DEQ; Lauren Sweeney, DEQ; Moira Davin, DEQ. I believe that's all $I$ have for DEQ.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Sandy, can you list the other attendees then? Is that a list you can state for the Court Reporter?

MS. MOISEY-SCHERER: Murry Warhank,
Lincoln County Board of Commissioners; Caitlin Buzzas of Agency Legal; Sarah Bordelon, she is an attorney representing Signal Peak and Westmoreland; Shiloh Hernandez, EarthJustice; Sam Yemington, Holland and Hart; Elena Hagen, Agency Legal; Derf Johnson, MEIC; Pat Riskin, Agency Legal.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: All right. Have we missed anyone? If so, $I$ don't know if you can unmute, but you could raise your hand, I think.

MS. BROWN: Aislinn Brown, also at
Agency Legal Services. I don't think my name was called.

CHAIR RUFFATO: Thank you, Aislinn.
MS. MARQUIS: Vicki Marquis at Holland and Hart. I don't think my name was called, but I'm here.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Thank you. All right. Before we go on, $I$ wanted to lay out what $I$ thought the schedule for today would be. We're probably not going to follow the agenda precisely in order.

We will follow the schedule, or the agenda, through page -- through the first action item which is on Page 6, and at that point, we will skip the next two, if we're still in the morning, and the reason for that is that we'll take a break around noon, and then at 1:00 p.m., we're going to have a closed session.

And for the record we're going to have that closed session for the Board to discuss legal strategy and litigation strategy with our outside

Counsel, Amy Christensen, and so $I$ just wanted to state that for the record. That will happen at 1:00, and only the Board and a few others, the Board secretary, and Amy will be involved in that, Amy Christensen.

At 1:30, we will proceed with the agenda, depending on where we're at. And I'm hopeful that at $1: 30$ we will start the selenium discussion. So does anybody have any questions about that schedule or clarifications?
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Okay. Let's go to our first agenda item, and that's the review and approval of the minutes of the last meeting. Do I have a motion from the Board to --

I just saw in a chat asking the question of when the selenium discussion would likely start. I'm not exactly sure, but I'm hopeful that it starts about 1:30, but it won't start before noon. It will start at 1:30 or after.

Getting back to the agenda, do I have a motion from a Board member to approve the minutes?

BOARD MEMBER SMITH: So moved.
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Second.
BOARD MEMBER LEHNHERR: Second.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: It's been moved and seconded to approve the minutes from the December 10th meeting. All in favor, say aye.
(Response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Opposed, nay.
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: The motion passes unanimously.

The next order of business has been something that we've talked about off and on for the past year, and that's the question of informal procedures.

In your Board packet, at Page 5, is a comparison of the formal procedure under Montana Administrative Code, and the informal procedure that was prepared by Dave Simpson.

And $I$ can report that if you recall at the last meeting, $I$ asked $D E Q$ what their view of the possible use of the informal procedure, and James Fehr got back to me and said that $D E Q$ would certainly consider using the informal procedure in an appropriate case, and so we're planning on moving in that direction to the extent possible. James, did you have anything else to add on that point?

MR. FEHR: I didn't. I think that covers it.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Thank you, James. So at this point I'll turn it over to Board Member Simpson to talk about the informal procedure, and how we might move forward.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just a couple of brief comments. At the last meeting we had reviewed our discussion of the --

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Dave, you're breaking up. I'm not sure why.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Well, I think I'll try to speak up a little more. Is that better?

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Yes, it is. Thank you.
BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: I think my computer not only is very quiet, but it's hard of hearing, just like $I$ am. So we'll try to move along here.

Anyway, at our last meeting we had a brief discussion about the informal process, and reviewed discussions that we had had with Aislinn Brown at Legal Services, Agency Legal Services.

And since that time, I've taken some
time and gone through the statute to try to get a handle on just how the informal procedure is to be structured. And $I$ have to admit it was a little bit of a task because the reference is in several places, and there is no order prescribed in the law as to how this is supposed to happen.

But it is summarized in a sheet that has been included with agenda items. If you have an interest, $I$ would encourage just taking a look at that, and comparing it to the statute, if you have any questions or interest. I'll try to answer any questions if there are any, but that is the history as it stands at this point.

And of course, Chairman Ruffatto and I have been in touch on this, because $I$ am not an attorney, and $I$ do believe that this needs to be something that is consistent, entirely consistent with the statute that we're working under. So I believe that what is presented there is accurate, and with that, let's move forward.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: All right. Is there any discussion on the informal process? Any questions?
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: All right. And I
should point out -- I should have done this earlier. I had a call from Katherine Orr a little while ago, and she is not well today, so she won't be on the call. She won't be at the meeting. So I wanted to mention that. I'm sorry I didn't do it earlier.

Anyway, Dave, $I$ think you maybe had a motion you wanted to make about this, so the Board can move forward.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: I did, Mr.
Chairman. So absent any further discussion at this point, let's move ahead with that.

I'd like to make a motion that for each new appeal the Board immediately issue an order that describes and compares the formal and informal procedures, and requires the parties to indicate if they wish to waive the formal procedure and proceed under an informal procedure, and that the Board Counsel draft such an order. CHAIR RUFFATTO: Do we have a second? BOARD MEMBER LEHNHERR: I'll second that.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Thank you, Doctor. And I'll provide a -- First of all, I'll call for discussion, and then $I$ 'll provide a little more
background that Dave, and I, and Aislinn, and Katherine have talked about.

The statute requires that all of the parties be offered the opportunity to proceed under an informal process or informal procedure. I think that that has been done, but I'm not sure it's been done at a point in time when it was as meaningful as it might have been.

So the thinking here is that as soon as an appeal comes in, the Board immediately would issue an order offering the informal process. So that's where we're at. Any more discussion?
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: A motion has been made and seconded that we -- that immediately upon the issuance or the receipt of an appeal, an order be issued from the Board requesting that the parties would indicate whether or not they are willing to waive a contested -- or a formal process and proceed under an informal process. All in favor, say aye.
(Response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Opposed, nay.
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: The motion carries
unanimously.
Ms. Colamaria, since you popped up there, $I$ wondered if you had a point you wanted to make here.

MS. COLAMARIA: I was waiting for my opportunity, yes. If I could just request that when you do send out the description of the two choices for folks, if you include a detailed description of what that informal process looks like, so that they can make an informed decision.

Because as Member Simpson pointed out, it is not very clear in the rules or the statute, so having a detailed description $I$ think would be helpful in letting people make that decision.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: We definitely will, and we will -- If you get a chance to review Page 5 of the Board packet, that was an effort to do that.

So we will include something like that in the orders, so people know what they're agreeing to. And $I$ would -- Go ahead.

MS. COLAMARIA: I was just going to add. Will there be a chance to comment on that?

CHAIR RUFFATTO: If you would like to comment on it, we will give you a chance to comment on it.

MS. COLAMARIA: Great. Thank you.
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Dave, help me remember to make sure that happens. Okay?

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Will do. Thank you.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: And we volunteered Katherine to draft that, so it's really dangerous to miss a meeting because you get volunteered for stuff.

Let's go -- I think that concludes that subject unless someone has something else.
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Let's go to the Vice Chair appointment. I wanted to explain why that's on the agenda.

There are three reasons why it's on the agenda. First, it turns out that $I$ will be recusing myself on the new agenda -- or the new matter that shows up on the agenda, so we need someone to handle that where $I$ will be recused.

I expect there will be times in the future when $I$ will either miss a meeting or have to be recused otherwise. That's the second reason. And the third reason is that $I$ want to pass off some of the administrative duties that
are taking a lot of my time.
So I spoke to Board Member Aguirre, and I twisted her arm, and she agreed to serve in that capacity. So $I$ would move that the Board appoint Board Member Aguirre to be our Vice Chairman.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Second.
CHAIR RUFFATTO: It's been moved and seconded that the Board appoint Board Member Aguirre as Vice Chairman. Discussion.
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: If there's no more discussion, it's been moved and seconded that Board Member Aguirre be appointed Vice Chairman of the Board. All in favor, say aye.
(Response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Opposed, nay.
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: The motion carries unanimously.

The next topic is Hearing Examiners. We have talked about Hearing Examiners off and on over the past year, and I'd just like to mention a little background here that I'm sure has been obvious to all of you, that we have had a number of Hearing Examiners on our cases, and a number of
turnover on the cases.
Agency Legal Services has been understaffed, and has been short handed, and has had a fair amount of turnover. That hasn't been the best circumstance.

So I took it upon myself to visit with the Attorney General's Office, including Agency Legal Services, and $I$ think we've hit upon a plan which hopefully will improve the situation, and what our effort is going to be is to reduce the number of Hearing Examiners on new cases to two Hearing Examiners.

Those two Hearing Examiners I'm proposing will be Rob Cameron or Patrick Riskin. At Page 6 in the materials is the start of the resumes for those two lawyers, and you will see that they're both very experienced lawyers, with lots of litigation experience, and that's really what the Hearing Examiner job is about.

And you will also notice that Pat Riskin is now the Bureau Chief at ALS. Rob Cameron is an outside lawyer with Jackson, Murdo and Grant. I did some due diligence and $I$ think we're really fortunate to find Rob, who is willing to take this on. And he's got very good experience.

I went to the committee that approves outside Counsel within State government, and received approval to hire Rob, and at this point, I would ask Rob and Pat to flip on their screens so we can meet them.

I see -- Pat, I believe that your name shows up as Aislinn Brown. You don't look like her today, but -- she's much better looking, I'll tell you that.

MR. RISKIN: Yes, she is. As much as I can try, no, I'm having some difficulty unmuting my computer, so I'm actually in Aislinn's office, and I apologize for that.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: So just so you have an opportunity to meet Patrick and Rob, here they are. I'm not going to ask them to say anything. You have their resumes, and so $I$ will just make a few more comments.

Our goals in this process are to increase the efficiency, to develop some expertise in the Hearing Examiner role, hopefully improve the time for the processing of these, and $I$ think it will be good for the Board members and the Hearing Examiners to get to know each other, and $I$ think that that will be helpful in the process.

So with that, I will open it up to any questions, comments, discussions. Dr. Lehnherr.

BOARD MEMBER LEHNHERR: Thank you, Chairman Ruffatto. Welcome, Patrick and Rob.

I just want to say that of course impartiality is the most important thing when it comes to a Hearing Examiner, and $I$ assume that if either one -- I'd have to look closer at Patrick's CV -- but it looks like Rob will still be involved with Jackson, Murdo and Grant.

And I assume that whenever a Hearing Examiner, or that whenever someone is associated with a law firm that's involved in one of the cases, that case would obviously not be assigned to a Hearing Examiner associated with any firm involved in one of these cases.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Actually 1 will answer that. I think it's absolutely clear that we will not assign a Hearing Examiner from a firm that had anybody involved in one of these cases, so that would be clear. To be clear, that would be important from the ethical rules of lawyers, as well as the state ethical rules.

BOARD MEMBER LEHNHERR: Thank you.
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Any other questions or discussion?
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: If not, we will move on. I don't think we need a motion at this point. We will have a motion whenever we appoint these folks. And so we're not going to be appointing ALS broadly in the future, we will only be appointing specific individuals, and it will be one or the other of these hopefully.

I can't say that that will happen all the time, because there may be reasons why that won't work. I would also comment that we're not planning on changing any of the assignments that currently exist within ALS unless there's a vacancy, and we have one of those now.

And I might even ask Pat -- since
Katherine is not here. The one vacancy $I$ saw as $I$ look through this was the fact that Drew Cziok has now left ALS. And have you assigned someone to that, or will that be you, Pat? MR. RISKIN: That would probably be me. CHAIR RUFFATTO: Okay. I don't have anything else on this subject, unless someone has some more comments or discussion.
(No response)

CHAIR RUFFATTO: All right. Pat and Rob, thank you for showing up this morning. I know it was a little longer than $I$ promised you, but thank you. Have a good day.

Let's go to the next item, and that is the briefing items, and what I'll do here is just like we've been doing. I'm going to ask for any changes that have occurred. And unfortunately Katherine is not here, so she usually knows what the changes were from when the agenda was prepared. But $I$ will look through this, and if any of the Hearing Examiners are on, and they know of a change, please let us know.

I know on Page 2 Item (b), that the findings of fact and conclusions of law have been completed, and we're now in the process of the exceptions being filed. So that's the Murray case, Item (b), so that will probably be on our agenda for the next meeting hopefully.

That's the only change $I$ have and am aware of. Are there any other changes that anybody else is aware of that want to mention them?
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: All right. Now I'm
going to ask the Board if any Board member has any questions about any of these items that we might be able to answer or look for an answer for on the briefing items.
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: All right. I don't have any questions either, but $I$ think $I$ will do this.

If you turn to Page 5, (f), this is the Western Sugar Cooperative matter. And just for the record, I'm going to move that Pat Riskin be appointed Hearing Examiner for that case because Drew Cziok has left ALS. Do I have a second?

BOARD MEMBER LEHNHERR: Second.
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Thank you, Doctor. Any discussion?
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: If none, it's been moved and seconded that Pat Riskin be appointed Hearing Officer for the Western Sugar Cooperative case that's BER 2020-5. All in favor, say aye.
(Response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Opposed, nay.
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: It passes unanimously.

I think we're ready for the first action item.

MS. BORDELON: Mr. Chairman, may I be heard on agenda item (e), just above the Sugar Cooperative, the Westmoreland Area F case?

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Yes, Sarah.
MS. BORDELON: Thank you. My name is Sarah Bordelon with Holland and Hart. I represent Westmoreland.

We recently received notice that a Hearing Examiner has assumed jurisdiction in that case. I was wondering whether that Hearing Examiner would continue or one of the new ones would take over, and either way, whether the Board would formally appoint the Hearing Examiner pursuant to the applicable statute.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: I haven't thought about that. Do you have the name of the Hearing Examiner that was appointed?

MS. BORDELON: Ms. Dietrich, I believe.
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Yes. We will -- I did talk to Katherine about that yesterday. She has been substituted, so we will do that. I would move that Michelle Dietrich be appointed Hearing Examiner for the Western Energy Case BER 2019-5.

Do I have a second?
BOARD MEMBER LEHNHERR: I will second that again.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Thank you, Doctor.
Discussion?
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: All in favor of the motion, please say aye.
(Response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Opposed, no.
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: It passes.
Mr. Hernandez, did $I$ see your hand up and I overlooked it?

MR. HERNANDEZ: Good morning, Chairman
Ruffatto. I did raise my hand. I put it down. I just wasn't expecting any discussion of the Area $F$ case, and after Ms. Bordelon raised her hand, I wanted to make sure that $I$ had the opportunity to respond to anything she said. But I'm glad that the Board has moved forward with formalizing the appointment of a Hearing Examiner, and $I$ have nothing to add at this point. Thank you.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Thank you. It's 9:45.
We're going to move on to the action items, and I
will introduce that now. But $I$ think we'll take a break before we start the oral arguments, but I'll introduce the matter.
(Signal Peak Energy, LLC's Bull Mountain Coal Mine \#1 Permit No. C1993017, BER 2016-07-SM transcript bound separately)

$$
(9: 45 \text { a.m. })
$$

*     *         *             *                 * 

(Board meeting reconvened at 12:00 p.m.)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: All right. We will now take a lunch break that for everybody except the Board, and Laurie, and Sandy, will be until 1:30. For the Board and Laurie and Sandy, we will go into closed session at 1:00, and make a decision that's all tied up with this matter, because the Board is a party in the appeals or could be a party in the appeals going to the Supreme Court.
So is everybody clear? We're adjourning for everybody until 1:30, but for the Board and Laurie and Sandy, we're convening at 1:00 p.m. Any questions?
(Lunch recess taken)
(Off the record for executive session) * * * * *
(Transcript of In the Matter of Adoption of New Rule $I$ pertaining to Selenium Standards for Lake Koocanusa, BER 2021-04 WQ bound separately) * * * * *
(Board meeting reconvened at 4:49 p.m.) CHAIR RUFFATTO: And I am going to go mute, because I'm going to recuse myself from the Montanore matter, and our new appointed Vice Chair will take over at this point, please.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: So I have the con, I guess.

In the matter -- this is under Item (a), the new contested case in the matter of the appeal and request for hearing by Montanore Minerals Corporation regarding issuance of MPDES Permit No. MT-0030279, Libby, Montana, BER 2022-01, Water Quality.

On January 28, 2022, Montanore Minerals Corporation filed a notice of appeal and request for hearing with exhibits regarding DEQ's denial of Montanore's permit renewal application for its MPDES permit.

Before us on this case are three possible decisions of which we need to make one
today. The Board can decide to assign a Hearing Examiner for procedural issues in this case; No. 2, we can hear the case itself; or No. 3 we can assign a Hearing Examiner for the totality of the case. And so I'm going to start out by asking for a motion on this matter, and which of the three possibilities we want to proceed with.
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: I can render a thought to get it started, that it seems best to assign a Hearing Examiner for the totality of the case to get this case started, and moving forward. That's my thought on it.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Is that a motion, Madam Chairman?

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Yes, that can be a motion. Yes, I make a motion.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: I'll second it.
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Is there any
discussion?
BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: I guess I'd like to raise a question, and since it's late in the day, $I$ even hesitate to do it, so maybe we ought to just take it under advisement for a later date.

But I'm beginning to wonder why we're
seeing so many challenges to MPDES renewals. It seems like there's been a quite a few of those in recent months, and not really -- I don't know. It just seems odd to me, and maybe it's a question that ought to be posed to the Department.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: I'm not exactly sure at this point how we do that, but I agree with you that that could be something that the Board could pose a question to the Department on that.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Well, rather than a motion this late in the afternoon, $I$ suggest maybe it be considered as an agenda item for the next meeting.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Agreed. We'll put that on the agenda for the next meeting that will address the number of cases that are coming our way with regard to MPDES permits. So make a note of that.

So there's a motion and it's been seconded that's on the floor. Is there any more discussion?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: No more discussion?
BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: One more
question, Madam Chair. I presume this case will be assigned to one of the two Hearing Examiners that we approved early in the meeting.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: That's correct.
BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Okay. Let's
proceed. I presume you have an idea as to which that would be. I certainly do not.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: I would -- My
thought is that it would go to the outside Counsel, but $I$ do not know, and so that's something --

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: There are two of those, and that's why $I$ raised the question. I assume it would go to outside Counsel.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Right. So I was thinking Rob Cameron.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: I'm just
wondering if that should be part of the motion.
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: That procedurally I am not certain.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Well, because it's only a procedural question, $I$ will jump in. $I$ think who it is should be a part of the motion, so whoever you want to add to that, I think you should name the potential Hearing Officer.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Based on that feedback, $I$ feel that I'll amend the motion to add that we will assign this to Rob Cameron, outside Counsel.

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: I'll second the amended motion.

VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Any further discussion on that?
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Hearing no more discussion, I'll close that discussion and call for the question. All in favor of the motion, signify by aye.
(Response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Opposed.
(No response)
VICE CHAIR AGUIRRE: Motion carried unanimously. You have the con back, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Thank you, Stacy. I think we're very close to the end. The next agenda item is Board Counsel updates. Since she is not here, we will skip that one.

The one after that is general public comment on anything that has not been addressed in this meeting, but within the jurisdiction of the

Board, and my guess is that no one has the energy to comment, but $I$ will ask for any public comments.
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Any public comments?
(No response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: Hearing none, $I$ move to adjourn this meeting. Do $I$ hear a second?

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Mr. Chair -Well, let's second the motion, but I have a comment. I'll second it.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Okay. Discussion.
BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: Mr. Chairman, we have discussed at the last meeting the possibility of returning to in-person meetings, and admittedly this time around, especially with the weather the way it's been, $I$ don't think anybody was in too much of a mood to drive to Helena.

But it's my understanding that other boards and commissions are holding in-person meetings, and $I$ believe that as a standard, we should return to in-person meetings with our next meeting.

If anybody feels uncomfortable doing that, we certainly have the virtual option
available through the equipment that the Department has in the meeting room. And so $I$ was wondering if we need a motion on that, or whether it would be appropriate.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: I'm going to ask James Fehr if he has any input on that from the state Administration level on whether or not there's been a directive or some guidance for the boards to go back to in-person meetings, or what's probably more likely hybrid.

MR. FEHR: I haven't heard direction on boards at this time. That's something I could check into. As far as just how we're managing our staff or employees statewide, we haven't changed directive on offering it. If we offer it in person, we offer it virtually as well.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: Thanks, James. Dave, we can have a motion, but $I$ would be inclined to take your point under consideration as we move towards the next meeting, and see where we're at at that time. Is that okay with you?

BOARD MEMBER SIMPSON: That sounds good. I'm reluctant to make a motion, but $I$ think we ought to move in that direction if at all possible.

BOARD MEMBER AGUIRRE: I mean just like a point of information. I feel poorly today, and so having this opportunity to have this virtual was awesome because $I$ could participate. But $I$ am a big fan of in-person meetings.

CHAIR RUFFATTO: I expect that whatever we do, the option of virtual meetings at least in part will remain available to deal with that situation, and any number of situations that arise. I think it's a wonderful tool, but $I$ also think that in person has lots of value, too. So we'll keep working in that direction.

Before we vote on the motion -- it's
easy to vote on it because $I$ think we're ready -but $I$ just want to thank everybody for your patience and your bearing with us. It's been a hard day, and you all have done yeoman's work here. Thank you very much.

So if there's no further discussion, a motion has been made and seconded that we adjourn. All in favor say aye.
(Response)
CHAIR RUFFATTO: The motion passes unanimously. Thank you all. Have a good evening. (The proceedings were concluded at 5:02 p.m. )
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LAURIE CRUTCHER, RPR

Court Reporter - Notary Public
My commission expires

March 9, 2024.
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