

**MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
TITLE V OPERATING PERMIT TECHNICAL REVIEW DOCUMENT**

**Air, Energy & Mining Division
Air Quality Bureau
1520 E. Sixth Avenue
P.O. Box 200901
Helena, Montana 59620-0901**

**WBI Energy Transmission, Inc.
Little Beaver Compressor Station
NE¼ of Section 19, Township 4 North, Range 62 East, in Fallon County
2010 Montana Avenue
Glendive, MT 59330**

The following table summarizes the air quality programs testing, monitoring, and reporting requirements applicable to this facility.

Facility Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	Comments
Source Tests Required	X		Method 7E, Method 9, Method 10, Method 19, Source Test Protocol approved by Montana DEQ
Ambient Monitoring Required		X	
COMS Required		X	
CEMS Required		X	
Schedule of Compliance Required		X	
Annual Compliance Certification and Semi-annual Reporting Required	X		As applicable
Monthly Reporting Required		X	
Quarterly Reporting Required		X	
Applicable Air Quality Programs			
ARM Subchapter 7 Montana Air Quality Permits (MAQP)	X		MAQP #2741-06
New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)		X	
National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPS)	X		40 CFR 61, Subpart M
Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT)	X		40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ
Major New Source Review (NSR) - includes Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) and/or Non-attainment Area (NAA) NSR		X	
Risk Management Plan Required (RMP)		X	
Acid Rain Title IV		X	
Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM)	X		Appendix E
State Implementation Plan (SIP)	X		General SIP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION I.	GENERAL INFORMATION	3
A.	Purpose	3
B.	Facility Location	3
C.	Facility Background Information	3
SECTION II.	SUMMARY OF EMISSION UNITS	8
A.	Facility Process Description	8
B.	Emission Units and Pollution Control Device Identification	8
C.	Categorically Insignificant Sources/Activities	8
SECTION III.	PERMIT TERMS	10
A.	Emission Limits and Standards	10
B.	Monitoring Requirements	10
C.	Test Methods and Procedures	10
D.	Recordkeeping Requirements	11
E.	Reporting Requirements	11
F.	Public Notice	11
	Summary of Public Comments	11
G.	Draft Permit Comments	11
	Summary of Permittee Comments	11
	Summary of EPA Comments	11
SECTION IV.	NON-APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS	12
SECTION V.	FUTURE PERMIT CONSIDERATIONS	13
A.	MACT Standards (Part 63):	13
B.	NESHAP Standards (Part 61):	13
C.	NSPS Standards:	13
D.	Risk Management Plan:	13
E.	Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) Applicability	13
F.	PSD and Title V Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Rule	14

SECTION I. GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Purpose

This document establishes the basis for the decisions made regarding the applicable requirements, monitoring plan, and compliance status of emission units affected by the operating permit proposed for this facility. The document is intended for reference during review of the proposed permit by the U.S Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the public. It is also intended to provide background information not included in the operating permit and to document issues that may become important during modifications or renewals of the operating permit. Conclusions in this document are based on information provided in the original operating permit application submitted by Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company received by the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) on June 12, 1996 (OP2741-00); a renewal application submitted on November 1, 2002 (OP2741-01); a modification request received on March 30, 2004 (OP2741-02), a renewal application received on December 19, 2007 (OP2741-03); a modification application received on January 11, 2010 to update the Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) Plan (OP2741-04); and an administrative amendment request to change the permittee name from Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company to WBI Energy Transmission, Inc. (WBI) received on December 10, 2012. Additional correspondence was received October 17, 2013. A renewal application was received on November 23, 2018. A request for an Administrative Amendment was received on February 18, 2022. A renewal application was received on January 8, 2024.

B. Facility Location

WBI Energy Transmission, Inc. (WBI) owns and operates the Little Beaver Compressor Station. This facility is located in the NE¼ of Section 19, Township 4 North, Range 62 East, in Fallon County, Montana. Fallon County is designated as an Unclassifiable/Attainment area for National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for all criteria pollutants. The Little Beaver Compressor Station is located in a remote area 22 miles southeast of Baker, Montana. The adjacent land is used for grain cropland and rangeland, as well as a developed oil and gas field. The nearest residents are WBI employee housing located adjacent to the facility.

C. Facility Background Information

Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP)

The Little Beaver Compressor Station was constructed by the Montana Dakota Utilities Company, WBI's predecessor, in 1936. The name of the company later became Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company (WBIPC). This facility originally had five 190-horsepower (hp) Ingersoll-Rand engines with two 300-hp Ingersoll-Rand engines being added in 1939. In 1952 the 190-hp Waukesha generator engine was installed. One 440-hp engine was added in 1954, and the ninth compressor engine, an 880-hp Ingersoll-Rand, was added in 1962.

WBIPC was issued **MAQP #2741-00** in 1992, which allowed WBIPC to install the dehydration unit used to remove water from the natural gas. The permit was issued for the operation of the Little Beaver Compressor Station; which included nine engines, one generator engine, a dehydration unit, and the miscellaneous heaters, boilers, and fugitive Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) sources. The engines at Little Beaver did not require testing.

On October 15, 1996, DEQ received a permit application for additions that were proposed for the Little Beaver Compressor Station Permit #2741-00. **MAQP #2741-01** was issued on February 1, 1997, for the addition of source EU10, a 1,100-hp Superior 8GTLE lean burn natural gas compressor engine. Also, the permit required the installation of control equipment on source EU9, the 880-hp Ingersoll-Rand engine and increased stack heights on all ten existing engines at the facility. Because the Ingersoll Rand compressor engines and the Waukesha generator engine were manufactured and installed prior to 1968 (grandfathered sources), the plant-wide emissions limits contained in Section II.A.5 of MAQP #2741-00 were not required; therefore, DEQ removed the limits.

On January 22, 2003, DEQ removed the every 4-year testing requirements for Source #09 and Source #10 from MAQP #2741-01. In addition, DEQ added a federally enforceable condition for Source #10 so that WBIPC may make “like for like” engine swaps according to the provisions of the Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.8.745(1)(r). Further, the permit format and language were updated to reflect current Department permit format and permit language. **MAQP #2741-02** replaced MAQP #2741-01.

On August 4, 2004, WBIPC was issued Permit #2741-03 for a modification to MAQP #2741-02, which included the installation and operation of two 1,680-hp natural gas-fired engines. Each engine would be driving natural gas compressors and would have the ability to pull suction on production and/or storage pipelines and to discharge into gathering and/or transmission pipelines. Aspen Consulting and Engineering (Aspen) on behalf of WBIPC submitted modeling to demonstrate compliance with all applicable ambient air quality standards. The proposed units would replace the existing Little Beaver Units #1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7. The current permit action also updated the rule references and language used by DEQ. **MAQP #2741-03** replaced MAQP #2741-02.

On March 25, 2010, WBIPC submitted a request to DEQ to remove one of the 1,680-hp natural gas-fired engines from the facility’s MAQP. The engine was permitted in 2004, but never installed at the facility. DEQ removed the engine from the MAQP, as requested, and updated permit language to reflect current permit language. **MAQP #2741-04** replaced MAQP #2741-03.

On December 10, 2012, DEQ received a request to change the permittee name from Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company to WBI Energy Transmission, Inc. (WBI). The permit action was an administrative amendment pursuant to ARM 17.8.764 that changed the permittee name as requested. **MAQP #2741-05** replaced MAQP #2741-04.

On February 18, 2022, DEQ of Environmental Quality-Air Resources Management Bureau (DEQ) received a request to Source #13 Tri-ethylene glycol Dehydration Unit from the permit. The current permit action is an administrative amendment pursuant to ARM 17.8.764 that removes the source as requested. **MAQP #2741-06** replaced MAQP #2741-05. Title V Operating Permit (OP)

Operating Permit #OP2471-00 was issued final and effective May 1, 1998, and expired May 1, 2003.

Operating Permit #OP2741-01 was a renewal of WBIPC's Title V Operating Permit #OP2741-00 for the Little Beaver Compressor Station. WBIPC's Operating Permit #OP2741 is applicable for 5 years and expires June 21, 2008. Operating Permit #OP2741-01 replaced Operating Permit #OP2741-00. Operating Permit #OP2741-01 replaced Operating Permit #OP2741-00.

Operating Permit #OP2741-02 was a significant modification for the installation and operation of two 1680-hp natural gas fired compressor engines and the removal of units #1-#7. Additionally, the units were renumbered to designate EU11 and EU12 as the two 1680-hp Waukesha compressor engines and to designate the previous units EU11-EU17 as EU21-EU27. Further, the facility contact person was changed from Daryl Uran to Kirk Conroy. Operating Permit #OP2741-02 replaced Operating Permit #OP2741-01.

Operating Permit #OP2741-03 was a renewal which included the addition of one 560-gallon methanol tank at the facility which was added per the de minimis provisions of ARM 17.8.745 and the insignificant emitting unit provisions of ARM 17.8.1201(22). In addition, the facility contact person was changed from Kirk Conroy to Steve Losing. Also, the semi-annual portable analyzer testing requirements were modified to reflect the testing waiver granted to WBIPC if the compressor engines operated less than 500 hours during any semi-annual period (January 1 to June 30 or July 1 to December 31). The waiver was granted by DEQ in a letter dated October 13, 2006. Operating Permit #OP2741-03 replaced Operating Permit #OP2741-02.

On January 11, 2010, WBIPC submitted an application to modify Operating Permit OP#2741-03 to include a CAM Plan for the 1680-hp Waukesha compressor engine and the 880-hp Ingersoll Range engine. In addition, the following changes were made:

- The Facility Contact Person was changed to Stacy Aguirre;
- The Alternate Contact Person was listed as Jill Linn
- EU12 was removed from the permit
- EU23 – EU 27 were moved from significant emitting units to insignificant emitting units and subsequent removal of conditions applying to these units; and
- An updated list of insignificant activities was included.

DEQ updated the permit as requested. Title V **Operating Permit #OP2741-04** replaced Title V Operating Permit #OP2741-03.

On December 10, 2012, DEQ received a request to change the permittee name from Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company to WBI Energy Transmission, Inc. (WBI). In addition, it was noted that the permit expiration date had mistakenly been changed during the OP#2741-04 action.

DEQ updated the permit as requested and corrected the permit expiration date from September 28, 2015 to June 19, 2014. Title V **Operating Permit #OP2741-05** replaced Title V Operating Permit #OP2741-04.

On October 17, 2013, DEQ received a letter from WBI requesting a Responsible Official change in which Mr. Marc Dempewolf replaced Mr. Scott Fradenburgh. Mr. Fradenburgh is now the Alternate Responsible Official for WBI facilities in the State of Montana. As such, **Operating Permit #OP2741-07** replaced Operating Permit #OP2741-06.

On November 23, 2018, DEQ received a Title V Operating Permit Renewal Application from WBI. No changes to the Operating Permit were listed in the application. The application included updates to some emitting unit capacities and installation dates, but these updates did not affect any permit conditions, compliance demonstrations, recordkeeping requirements, or reporting requirements. **Operating Permit #OP2741-08** replaced Operating Permit #OP2741-07.

On February 18, 2022, DEQ received a request to remove EU22, a 0.50-MMbtu/hr Sivalls glycol dehydration reboiler from the permit. The permit action was an administrative amendment pursuant to ARM 17.8.764 that removed the source as requested. **#OP2741-09** replaced #OP2741-08.

D. Current Permit Action

On January 8, 2024, DEQ received a Title V Operating Permit Renewal Application from WBI. The operating permit was updated to reflect current insignificant emitting units and current contact information. No changes to any permit conditions, compliance demonstrations, recordkeeping, or reporting requirements were requested.

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has removed the “emergency” affirmative defense provisions from the Clean Air Act’s (CAA) title V operating permit program regulations. These provisions established an affirmative defense that sources could have asserted in enforcement cases brought for noncompliance with technology-based emission limitations in operating permits, provided that the exceedances occurred due to qualifying emergency circumstances. These provisions, which have never been required elements of state operating permit programs, are being removed because they are inconsistent with the EPA's interpretation of the enforcement structure of the CAA. Each state which has emergency provisions within their title V operating permit programs will need to remove the language and provisions in title V operating permits at their next renewal or during normal permit revisions. The emergency provisions formerly located in this section are no longer applicable to this Title V operating permit. **Operating Permit #OP2741-10** replaces #OP2741-09.

E. Taking and Damaging Analysis

HB 311, the Montana Private Property Assessment Act, requires analysis of every proposed state agency administrative rule, policy, permit condition or permit denial, pertaining to an environmental matter, to determine whether the state action constitutes a taking or damaging of private real property that requires compensation under the Montana or U.S. Constitution.

As part of issuing an operating permit, DEQ is required to complete a Taking and Damaging Checklist. As required by 2-10-101 through 2-10-105, MCA, DEQ conducted the following private property taking and damaging assessment.

YES	NO	
X		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation affecting private real property or water rights?
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private property?
	X	3. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership? (ex.: right to exclude others, disposal of property)
	X	4. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?
	X	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant an easement? [If no, go to (6)].
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and legitimate state interests?
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the property?
	X	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property? (consider economic impact, investment-backed expectations, character of government action)
	X	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with respect to the property in excess of that sustained by the public generally?
	X	7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?
	X	7b. Has government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible, waterlogged or flooded?
	X	7c. Has government action lowered property values by more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?
	X	Takings or damaging implications? (Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is checked in response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b; the shaded areas)

Based on this analysis, DEQ determined there are no taking or damaging implications associated with this permit action.

F. Compliance Designation

On September 23, 2022, DEQ conducted an inspection and a Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) of WBI's Little Beaver Compressor Station. The inspection findings and all the material reviewed in DEQ's files indicated that the facility was in compliance with the limits and conditions of MAQP #2741-06 and Title V Operating Permit #OP2741-09 at the time of inspection.

SECTION II. SUMMARY OF EMISSION UNITS

A. Facility Process Description

The Little Beaver Compressor Station has one primary purpose. This is to gather natural gas from natural gas fields in the Baker, Montana area and to compress the field gas up to the required pressure in the natural gas transmission system. Natural gas from the Little Beaver Compressor Station is transported via pipeline to a WBI facility at Belle Fourche, South Dakota. The Little Beaver Compressor Station moves an average of 45-million standard cubic feet per day (MMScf/day) of natural gas during the winter months. The volume of gas moved during the summer months is considerably lower.

The Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) codes for this facility are "Natural Gas Transmission" and "Natural Gas Production" which have SIC Codes of "4922" and "1311", respectively.

B. Emission Units and Pollution Control Device Identification

Currently, the Little Beaver Compressor Station has a 190-hp Waukesha engine, a 440-hp Ingersoll-Rand engine, an 880-hp Ingersoll-Rand engine, an 1,100-hp Superior engine, and a 1680-hp Waukesha 7044 GSI engine. The 190-hp Waukesha engine and 440-hp Ingersoll-Rand engine were manufactured prior to 1968 and are grandfathered sources for requiring installation of pollution control equipment. Nitrogen Oxides (NO_x) emissions from the 880-hp Ingersoll-Rand are controlled with a non-selective catalytic reduction (NSCR) unit and an air/fuel ratio (AFR) controller. NO_x and Carbon Monoxide (CO) emissions from the 1,100-hp Superior engine are controlled with a lean burn package and an AFR controller. NO_x and CO emissions from the 1680-hp Waukesha 7044 GSI engine is controlled with a NSCR unit and an AFR controller. VOC emissions are minimized by burning pipeline quality natural gas in these engines.

C. Categorically Insignificant Sources/Activities

The following table of insignificant sources and/or activities was provided by the permittee. Because there are no requirements to update such a list, the emissions units and/or activities may change from those specified in the table.

Emissions Unit ID	Description
Natural Gas-Fired Space Heaters, Water Heaters, and other Gas-Fired Sources	
Fugitive and glycol dehy vent emissions	
Weil McLain Boiler	1.95 MMBtu/hr
AO Smith Recirculating Water Tank Heater	0.160 MMBtu/hr
AO Smith Water Heater	0.065 MMBtu/hr
Carrier Space Heater	0.060 MMBtu/hr
Empire Space Heater	0.050 MMBtu/hr
Sterling Space Heater	0.075 MMBtu/hr
AO Smith Recirculating-Water Tank Heater	0.160 MMBtu/hr
In-Plant Vehicle Traffic	
Tanks	
Used Oil	1000-gallon Fiberglass

Emissions Unit ID	Description
Wastewater	1000-gallon Steel
New Oil	1000-gallon Steel
New Oil	1000-gallon Steel
Water	16000-gallon Steel
Water	16000-gallon Steel
Diesel Fuel	1000-gallon Steel
Gasoline	300-gallon Steel

SECTION III. PERMIT TERMS

A. Emission Limits and Standards

Emission limits for the 880-hp Ingersoll-Rand engine were established under the authority of ARM 17.8.749 and the emission limits for the 1,100-hp Superior engine and 1680-hp Waukesha 7044 GSI engines were established by a Best Available Control Technology (BACT) determination under the authority of ARM 17.8.752. The 880-hp Ingersoll-Rand engine has an emission limit of 5.82 pound per hour (lb/hr) NO_x. The 1,100-hp Superior engine has an emission limit of 4.85 lb/hr NO_x, 7.28 lb/hr CO, and 2.43 lb/hr VOC. The 1680-hp Waukesha 7044 GSI engine has emissions limits of 3.70 lb/hr NO_x, 4.44 lb/hr CO, and 1.85 lb/hr VOC. The glycol dehydration reboiler (EU22) has been removed from the facility.

All other emission units at this facility are not subject to any current MACT, NESHAP, or NSPS. This facility is not subject to PSD regulations.

B. Monitoring Requirements

ARM 17.8.1212(1) requires that all monitoring and analysis procedures or test methods required under applicable requirements are contained in operating permits. In addition, when the applicable requirement does not require periodic testing or monitoring, periodic monitoring must be prescribed that is sufficient to yield reliable data from the relevant time period that is representative of the source's compliance with the permit.

The requirements for testing, monitoring, recordkeeping, reporting, and compliance certification sufficient to assure compliance do not require the permit to impose the same level of rigor for all emission units. Furthermore, they do not require extensive testing or monitoring to assure compliance with the applicable requirements for emission units that do not have significant potential to violate emission limitations or other requirements under normal operating conditions. When compliance with the underlying applicable requirement for an insignificant emissions unit is not threatened by lack of regular monitoring and when periodic testing or monitoring is not otherwise required by the applicable requirement, the status quo (i.e., no monitoring) will meet the requirements of ARM 17.8.1212(1). Therefore, the permit does not include monitoring for insignificant emission units.

The permit includes periodic monitoring or recordkeeping for each applicable requirement. The information obtained from the monitoring and recordkeeping will be used by the permittee to periodically certify compliance with the emission limits and standards. However, DEQ may request additional testing to determine compliance with the emission limits and standards.

C. Test Methods and Procedures

The operating permit may not require testing for all sources if routine monitoring is used to determine compliance, but DEQ has the authority to require testing if deemed necessary to determine compliance with an emission limit or standard. In addition, the permittee may elect to voluntarily conduct compliance testing to confirm its compliance status.

D. Recordkeeping Requirements

The permittee is required to keep all records listed in the operating permit as a permanent business record for at least five years following the date of the generation of the record.

E. Reporting Requirements

Reporting requirements are included in the permit for each emissions unit and Section V of the operating permit "General Conditions" explains the reporting requirements. However, the permittee is required to submit semi-annual and annual monitoring reports to DEQ and to annually certify compliance with the applicable requirements contained in the permit. The reports must include a list of all emission limit and monitoring deviations, the reason for any deviation, and the corrective action taken as a result of any deviation.

F. Public Notice

In accordance with ARM 17.8.1232, a public notice was published in the *Fallon County Times* newspaper on or before April 12, 2024. The DEQ is providing a 30-day public comment period on the draft operating permit from April 12, 2024, to May 13, 2024. ARM 17.8.1232 requires the DEQ to keep a record of both comments and issues raised during the public participation process. The comments and issues received by May 13, 2024, will be summarized, along with the DEQ's responses, in the following table. All comments received during the public comment period will be promptly forwarded to WBI so they may have an opportunity to respond to these comments as well.

Summary of Public Comments

Person/Group Commenting	Comment	DEQ Response
No Public Comments Received		

G. Draft Permit Comments

Summary of Permittee Comments

Permit Reference	Comment	DEQ Response
No Permittee Comments Received		

Summary of EPA Comments

Permit Reference	Comment	DEQ Response
No EPA Comments Received		

SECTION IV. NON-APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS

Section IV of the operating permit "Non-applicable Requirements" contains the requirements that DEQ determined were non-applicable based on the application. The following table summarizes the requirements that WBI identified as non-applicable and contains the reasons that DEQ did not include these requirements as non-applicable in the permit.

Requirement not Identified in the Operating Permit

Applicable Requirement	Reason
The application did not identify any non-applicable requirements	

SECTION V. FUTURE PERMIT CONSIDERATIONS

A. MACT Standards (Part 63):

As of the issuance date of Operating Permit #OP2741-10, WBI is subject to the area source provisions of 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ. DEQ is unaware of any proposed or pending MACT standards that may be promulgated that will affect the Little Beaver Compressor Station.

B. NESHAP Standards (Part 61):

As of the issuance date of Operating Permit #OP2741-10, DEQ is unaware of any future NESHAP Standards that may be promulgated that will affect this facility.

C. NSPS Standards:

As of the issuance date of Operating Permit #OP2741-10, DEQ is unaware of any future NSPS Standards that may be promulgated that will affect this facility.

D. Risk Management Plan:

As of the issuance date of Operating Permit #OP2741-10, this facility does not exceed the minimum threshold quantities for any regulated substance listed in 40 CFR 68.115 for any facility process. Consequently, this facility is not required to submit a Risk Management Plan.

If a facility has more than a threshold quantity of a regulated substance in a process, the facility must comply with 40 CFR 68 requirements; three years after the date on which a regulated substance is first listed under 40 CFR 68.130; or the date on which a regulated substance is first present in more than a threshold quantity in a process, whichever is later.

E. Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) Applicability

An emitting unit located at a Title V facility that meets the following criteria listed in ARM 17.8.1503 is subject to Subchapter 15 and must develop a CAM Plan for that unit:

- The emitting unit is subject to an emission limitation or standard for the applicable regulated air pollutant (other than emission limits or standards proposed after November 15, 1990, since these regulations contain specific monitoring requirements);
- The emitting unit uses a control device to achieve compliance with such limit; and
- The emitting unit has potential pre-control device emission of the applicable regulated air pollutants that are greater than major source thresholds.

WBI has two emitting CAM applicable units: EU9 – Ingersoll Rand 48 KVG, 4-Stroke Rich Burn (880 hp) Natural Gas Compressor Engine, and EU11 – Waukesha 7044 GSI, 4-Stroke Rich Burn (1680 hp) Natural Gas Compressor Engine.

The CAM plan for these units was submitted to DEQ on January 11, 2010 and is contained in Appendix E of Title V Operating Permit #OP2741-09.

F. PSD and Title V Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Rule

On May 7, 2010, EPA published the “light duty vehicle rule” (Docket # EPA-HQ-OAR-2009-0472, 75 FR 25324) controlling greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from mobile sources, whereby GHG became a pollutant subject to regulation under the Federal and Montana Clean Air Act(s). On June 3, 2010, EPA promulgated the GHG “Tailoring Rule” (Docket # EPA-HQ-OAR-2009-0517, 75 FR 31514) which modified 40 CFR Parts 51, 52, 70, and 71 to specify which facilities are subject to GHG permitting requirements and when such facilities become subject to regulation for GHG under the PSD and Title V programs.

Under the Tailoring Rule, any PSD action (either a new major stationary source or a major modification at a major stationary source) taken for a pollutant or pollutants other than GHG that would become final on or after January 2, 2011 would be subject to PSD permitting requirements for GHG if the GHG increases associated with that action were at or above 75,000 TPY of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂e) and greater than 0 TPY on a mass basis. Similarly, if such action were taken, any resulting requirements would be subject to inclusion in the Title V Operating Permit. Facilities which hold Title V permits due to criteria pollutant emissions over 100 TPY would need to incorporate any GHG applicable requirements into their operating permits for any Title V action that would have a final decision occurring on or after January 2, 2011.

Starting on July 1, 2011, PSD permitting requirements would be triggered for modifications that were determined to be major under PSD based on GHG emissions alone, even if no other pollutant triggered a major modification. In addition, sources that are not considered PSD major sources based on criteria pollutant emissions would become subject to PSD review if their facility-wide potential emissions equaled or exceeded 100,000 TPY of CO₂e and 100 or 250 TPY of GHG on a mass basis depending on their listed status in ARM 17.8.801(22) and they undertook a permitting action with increases of 75,000 TPY or more of CO₂e and greater than 0 TPY of GHG on a mass basis. With respect to Title V, sources not currently holding a Title V permit that have potential facility-wide emissions equal to or exceeding 100,000 TPY of CO₂e and 100 TPY of GHG on a mass basis would be required to obtain a Title V Operating Permit.

The Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS), in its *Utility Air Regulatory Group v. EPA* decision on June 23, 2014, ruled that the Clean Air Act neither compels nor permits EPA to require a source to obtain a PSD or Title V permit on the sole basis of its potential emissions of GHG. SCOTUS also ruled that EPA lacked the authority to tailor the Clean Air Act’s unambiguous numerical thresholds of 100 or 250 TPY to accommodate a CO₂e threshold of 100,000 TPY. SCOTUS upheld that EPA reasonably interpreted the Clean Air Act to require sources that would need PSD permits based on their emission of conventional pollutants to comply with BACT for GHG. As such, the Tailoring Rule has been rendered invalid and sources cannot become subject to PSD or Title V regulations based on GHG emissions alone. Sources that must undergo PSD permitting due to pollutant emissions other than GHG may still be required to comply with BACT for GHG emissions.