

MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (DEQ) OPERATING PERMIT TECHNICAL REVIEW DOCUMENT

**Air, Energy & Mining Division
1520 E. Sixth Avenue
P.O. Box 200901
Helena, Montana 59620-0901**

Calumet Montana Refining, LLC
NE¼, Section 1, Township 20 North, Range 3 East, Cascade County
1900 10th Street Northeast
Great Falls, MT 59404

The following table summarizes the air quality programs testing, monitoring, and reporting requirements applicable to this facility.

Facility Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	Comments
Source Tests Required	X		
Ambient Monitoring Required	X		
Continuous Opacity Monitoring System (COMS) Required	X		FCCU
Continuous Emission Monitoring System (CEMS) Required	X		Flare Gas and RFG for NSPS J, Ja, and CD, NO _x for NSPS Ja units, SO ₂ on Boilers and FCCU, CO on FCCU
Continuous Parameter Monitoring System (CPMS)	X		Truck and Railcar Loading Rack
Schedule of Compliance Required		X	
Annual Compliance Certification and Semiannual Reporting Required	X		
Monthly Reporting Required		X	
Quarterly Reporting Required	X		Consent Decree required reporting has been incorporated under authority ARM 17.8.1211, 17.8.1212 and 17.8.1213, Umbrella Limit Reporting
Applicable Air Quality Programs			
ARM Subchapter 7 Montana Air Quality Permitting	X		MAQP # 2161-40
New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)	X		40 CFR 60, Subparts J, Ja, Kb, UU, VV, GGG, VVa, GGGa, and QQQ
National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPS)	X		40 CFR 61, Subpart M, FF, J, and V
Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT)	X		40 CFR 63, Subparts R, CC, UUU, EEEE, ZZZZ, DDDDD
Major New Source Review (NSR/Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD))	X		Calumet is a Major Stationary Source subject to Prevention of

Facility Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	Comments
			Significant Deterioration requirements when triggered under New Source Review
Risk Management Plan Required (RMP)	X		
Acid Rain Title IV		X	
Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM)		X	
State Implementation Plan (SIP)	X		General Montana SIP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION I	GENERAL INFORMATION.....	4
A. PURPOSE.....		4
B. FACILITY LOCATION		4
C. FACILITY BACKGROUND INFORMATION.....		4
D. CURRENT PERMIT ACTION.....		29
E. TAKING AND DAMAGING ANALYSIS.....		29
F. COMPLIANCE DESIGNATION.....		30
SECTION II	SUMMARY OF EMISSION UNITS.....	31
A. FACILITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION		31
B. EMISSION UNITS AND POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE IDENTIFICATION		31
C. CATEGORICALLY INSIGNIFICANT SOURCES/ACTIVITIES.....		36
SECTION III. PERMIT CONDITIONS		37
A. EMISSION LIMITS AND STANDARDS		37
B. MONITORING REQUIREMENTS		37
C. TEST METHODS AND PROCEDURES		38
D. RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS.....		38
E. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS		38
F. PUBLIC NOTICE		39
SECTION IV	NON-APPLICABLE REQUIREMENT ANALYSIS.....	39
SECTION V. FUTURE PERMIT CONSIDERATIONS		40
A. MACT STANDARDS		40
B. NESHAP STANDARDS.....		40
C. NSPS STANDARDS		40
D. RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN		40
E. COMPLIANCE ASSURANCE MONITORING (CAM) PLAN.....		40
F. PREVENTION OF SIGNIFICANT DETERIORATION (PSD) AND TITLE V GREENHOUSE GAS TAILORING RULE		41

SECTION I GENERAL INFORMATION

A. Purpose

This document establishes the basis for the decisions made regarding the applicable requirements, monitoring plan, and compliance status of emission units affected by the operating permit proposed for this facility. The document is intended for reference during review of the proposed permit by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the public. It is also intended to provide background information not included in the operating permit and to document issues that may become important during modifications or renewals of the permit.

B. Facility Location

Calumet operates the Great Falls refinery, which is located along the Missouri River in Great Falls, Montana. This facility is located in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 1, Township 20 North, Range 3 East, in Cascade County, Montana. The air quality of Cascade County is considered attainment/unclassified for all pollutants. A narrow area along 10th Avenue South (bounded by 9th Avenue South on the north, 11th Avenue South on the south, 54th Street South on the east and 2nd Street South on the west) in Great Falls was previously classified as a non-attainment area for Carbon Monoxide (CO) but has since been re-designated to attainment area status under a limited maintenance plan. This re-designation became effective on July 8, 2002. The area lies in a region that is transitional between mountains to the west and plains to the east. Potential sensitive areas include schools, residential areas, parks, dams, and recreational areas.

C. Facility Background Information

On December 2, 1985, the Montana Department of Health and Environmental Sciences and Montana Refining Company (MRC) signed a stipulation requiring MRC to obtain an air quality permit and stipulated that a permit emission limitation of 4,700 tons per year (TPY) CO would constitute compliance with ambient CO standards. MRC submitted this permit with the intentions of permitting its existing refining operations, including all equipment not already permitted.

On October 20, 1985, MRC was granted a general permit for their petroleum refinery and major refinery equipment located in Great Falls, Cascade County, Montana. The application was assigned **Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP) #2161**.

The first alteration to the original permit was given **MAQP #2161-A** and was issued on May 31, 1989. This alteration involved the addition of a deisobutanizer reboiler.

The second alteration was given **MAQP #2161-A1** and was issued on March 12, 1990. This project involved the installation of one (1) 30,000-barrel gasoline storage tank and one (1) 40,000-barrel crude oil storage tank at the present facility. Both tanks were to be installed with external floating roof control.

The third alteration was given **MAQP #2161-A3** and was issued on December 18, 1990. This alteration consisted of the installation of a Hydrofluoric Acid Alkylation Unit, internal floating roofs at existing storage tanks, which had fixed roofs, and a safety flare.

The fourth alteration was given **MAQP #2161-04** and was issued on June 16, 1992. This alteration consisted of the installation of a sodium hydrosulfide (NaHS) unit at the existing Great Falls Refinery.

The NaHS unit receives refinery fuel gas (540,000 standard cubic feet per day (scf/day) maximum rated capacity) containing hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) and reacts this fuel with a sodium hydroxide caustic solution to remove virtually 100% of the H₂S by converting it to NaHS, a saleable product.

The resultant sweet fuel gas is burned, as before, in other process heaters; however, since the fuel gas contains virtually no H₂S, SO₂ emissions from the process heaters, assuming no other changes, decrease by nearly 60 percent. There was no decrease in permitted SO₂ emissions from this permit because the refinery wanted to retain the existing permitted SO₂ emission limitations so it could charge less expensive, higher sulfur crude oil.

In the basic process, off-gases from product desulfurizing processes (fuel gases) are contacted with a caustic solution in a gas contractor. The resultant reaction solution is continually circulated until the caustic solution is essentially used up; NaHS product is then sent to storage. Make-up caustic is added to the process as required. The process requires a gas contractor, process heat exchanger, circulation pump, storage tanks for fresh caustic and NaHS product, 12 pipeline valves, 4 open ended valves, 21 flanges, and other process control equipment.

The only process emissions will be fugitive Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) from equipment (valves and flanges) in fuel gas stream service. To estimate unit VOC emissions, emission factors developed by EPA for equipment in gas vapor service with measured emissions from 0 to 1,000 parts per million (ppm) are used. With an aggressive monitoring and maintenance program, fugitive VOC emissions from valves and flanges will be within this 0 to 1,000-ppm range. Total annual fugitive VOC emissions from the sodium hydrosulfide unit are estimated to be 20 pounds per year.

The tank that is to be used to store NaHS product was in jet fuel service. When taken out of jet fuel service, this tank (#35) will no longer be a source of VOC emissions; the reduction in VOC emissions will be 2,270 pounds per year. Considering the 2,270-pound-per-year decrease due to tank #35 service change, the refinery will realize a net decrease in annual VOC emissions of 2,250 pounds or 1.1 TPY.

The fifth alteration was given **MAQP #2161-05** and was issued on October 15, 1992. This permit alteration was for the construction and operation of two (2) 20,000-barrel capacity aboveground storage tanks at its Great Falls Refinery. The new tanks contain heavy naphtha (#127) and raw diesel (#128).

Each tank is constructed of metal sections welded together and rests on a concrete ring wall foundation. External floating roofs with dual seals are installed on each tank for VOC control.

On April 6, 1993, MRC was granted **MAQP # 2161-06** to construct and operate a hydrodesulfurization (HDS) unit and hydrogen plant. This sixth alteration was required to go through PSD review for NO_x and was deemed complete on February 22, 1993. The HDS project is designed to process 5,000 barrels per day of diesel/gas oil and to reduce the sulfur content to 0.05 weight percent. The reduction of sulfur in diesel fuel and gasoline are mandated by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments and must be accomplished by October 1993 and 1995, respectively. The

current desulfurizer unit operated by MRC was limited in size and the throughput capacity to approximately 1,400 barrels per day.

This new HDS project consisted of an HDS process unit and heater, hydrogen plant with reformer heater, and the removal of storage Tanks #40 through #43. Tanks #40 and #41, which currently process gas oil, would be discontinued. Tanks #42 and #43, which process raw diesel, would be discontinued. Tanks #44 and #111, which currently service naphtha, are now be used for gas oil. Tank #45, which services JP-4, is used for gas oil.

On July 28, 1993, **MAQP #2161-07**, a modification to MRC's air quality permit, was issued to change the emission control requirements of Section II.A.4.d.i., titled "Pressure Vessels."

In a system where the valves relieve to atmosphere, rupture discs can prevent emissions in the event of relief valve leakage. In hydrogen fluoride (HF) systems, they can provide some protection from acid corrosion on the relief valve and acid salt formation. Except where HF acid is present, rupture discs do not provide any additional protection; nor do they prevent any release of air contaminants in a closed relief system.

In heavy liquid service, rupture discs can be safety hazards by partial failure or leaking and changing, over time, the differential pressure required to provide vessel protection. Therefore, only pressure vessels in HF Acid service shall be equipped with rupture discs upstream of the relief valves and all except storage tanks shall be vented to the flare system.

Also, the allowable particulate emission limitation for MRC's FCC unit was corrected to reflect the maximum allowable emissions based on the process weight rule (Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.8.310). The maximum allowable emissions were calculated to be 234.53 TPY using a catalyst circulation rate of 125 tons per hour (TPH).

MRC requested **MAQP Modification #2161-08** to remove the alkylation unit and Tanks #127 and #128 from new source performance standards (NSPS) status because they were erroneously classified as affected facilities under NSPS when originally permitted. This request for modification was submitted on August 11, 1993, and issued on January 6, 1994.

When MRC applied for the preconstruction permit to build the HF Alkylation Unit in 1990, it was presumed, since this unit was new to MRC, it automatically fell under NSPS as new construction. Subsequently, it has been determined that if a source is moved as a unit from a location where operation occurred (Garden City, Kansas) to another location, it must meet the definition of reconstruction or modification in order to trigger NSPS applicability.

The alkylation plant was originally constructed in Garden City, Kansas during 1959 - 1960 and moved, in its entirety, to Great Falls and installed. Since the unit was originally constructed before the NSPS-affected date of January 5, 1981, it does not meet the criteria for construction date of a new source under 40 CFR, Subpart GGG or Subpart QQQ.

The project does not meet the criteria under reconstruction because no capital equipment was replaced when the unit was relocated. The replacement work performed as the unit was moved amounted to pump seals, valve packing, bearings, small amounts of corroded piping, and some heat exchanger tubes and bundles, all of which are done routinely as maintenance. The VOC emitters,

such as valve packing and pump seals, were upgraded to meet Best Available Control Technology (BACT).

Along the same line, tanks #127 and #128 were originally constructed at Cody, Wyoming in 1960 and relocated to Great Falls in 1993. The only change was the modification of the roof seals to double seals to meet BACT. This cost a total of \$15,000 for both tanks as compared to more than \$500,000 if two new tanks were to be built.

On October 28, 1993, MRC submitted a permit application to alter the existing permit. This modification and alteration of the existing permit was assigned MAQP #2161-08. MRC proposed to construct and operate a 3,500 barrel-per-day asphalt polymerization unit. The unit would enable MRC to produce a polymerized asphalt product that meets future federal specifications for road asphalt, as well as supply polymerized asphalt to customers that currently wish to use the product.

The proposed unit consists of two circuits: the asphalt circuit and the hot oil circuit. In the asphalt circuit, polymerization occurs in a 1,000-barrel steel vented mix tank. Product blending and storage occurs in three steel vented 1,000-barrel tanks identified as A, B, and C on the attached flow diagram. Existing Tanks #55 and #56 (3,000 barrels each) will remain in asphalt service and will be used for storage. In addition to the above equipment, the asphalt circuit also consists of four (4) pumps and approximately 47 standard valves. All the above equipment is in asphalt service and, except for Tanks #55 and #56, are new.

To maintain the asphalt at the optimum temperature in the storage and blending tanks, a hot circuit is utilized. Hot oil (heavy fuel oil) is heated in an existing permitted process heater (Tank 56 heater) and circulated through coils in the process tankage. No change in the method of operation of the heater was anticipated. A steel vented hot-oil storage/supply tank is used to maintain the required amount of hot oil in the unit. In addition to the process heater and storage/supply tank, the hot-oil circuit consists of one (1) pump and approximately 56 standard valves. The above equipment is in hot-oil service and, except for the heater, is new.

An annual emissions increase of 7.3 TPY of VOC is expected due to operation of the unit. The unit is only anticipated to be operated 6 months of the year. These emissions will occur from the vented hot-oil tank and the valves and pump in hot-oil service.

MAQP #2161-09 was issued on September 6, 1994, and included a change in the method of heating three previously permitted polymer modified asphalt tanks. As previously permitted, these tanks were to have been heated utilizing circulating hot oil. The tanks are now heated individually using natural gas fired fire-tube heaters. This eliminated the hot-oil circuit, including the hot-oil storage tank, entirely.

Since the initial permit application for the modified asphalt unit, several small design changes have occurred involving the addition of a new 800-gallon wetting tank, which are in asphalt service. Also added was an output line from existing Tank #69 (Tall Oil). This output line added approximately 12 new valves and one new pump, all in Tall Oil service, to the unit. All other valves and pumps were designated to be in asphalt service. All VOC emissions from equipment and tanks in asphalt service were assumed to be negligible, since asphalt has negligible vapor pressure at the working temperatures seen in the unit.

MAQP #2161-10, for the installation of an additional boiler (Boiler #3) to provide steam for the facility, was never issued as a final permit. On May 28, 1997, the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) received a letter requesting the withdrawal of the permit and the withdrawal was granted to MRC.

MAQP #2161-11 was issued on January 23, 1998, for the installation of a vapor collection system and enclosed flare for the reduction of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) resulting from the loading of gasoline. This was done in order to comply with the gasoline loading rack provisions of 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC – National Emission Standards for Petroleum Refineries. A vapor combustion unit (VCU) was added to the truck loading rack. The gasoline vapor is collected from the trucks during loading then routed to an enclosed flare where combustion occurs. The result of this project was an overall reduction in the amount of VOCs and HAPs emitted, and a slight increase in CO and NO_x emissions.

Because the Bulk gasoline and distillate truck loading tack VCU is defined as an incinerator under MCA 75-2-215, a determination that the emissions from the VCU will constitute a negligible risk to public health was required prior to the issuance of a permit to the facility. The facility and DEQ identified the following hazardous air pollutants from the flare, which were used in the health risk assessment. These constituents are typical components of MRC's gasoline.

1. Benzene
2. Toluene
3. Ethyl Benzene
4. Xylenes
5. Hexane
6. 2,2,4 Trimethylpentane
7. Cumene
8. Naphthalene
9. 1,3 Butadiene

MRC demonstrated compliance with the negligible risk requirement.

MRC requested, via a letter dated August 13, 1997, permitted changes to administratively and technically correct MAQP #2161-09. These changes were necessary as a result of the withdrawal of MAQP #2161-10. The changes included correctly stating opacity limits relating to asphalt storage tanks, removing references to procedural rules, changing monitoring requirements for the Diesel/Gas Hydrotreater (HTU) sour water stripper (SWS) and changing performance specifications for the continuous H₂S monitoring system. Because MRC had applied for a permit alteration on October 21, 1997, for the loading rack VCU the draft modification was addressed in the permit alteration request.

MAQP #2161-12 was not issued. MRC applied for a modification on February 18, 1998, and this action was given MAQP #2161-12. On February 27, 1998, DEQ notified MRC that the permitting actions requested would require an alteration and that a complete preconstruction permit application would be required.

MAQP #2161-13 placed enforceable emissions limits on the facility, both plant-wide and on the #1 and #2 boilers. The emission limits have been shown, through the use of EPA approved models, to protect the NAAQS for sulfur dioxide.

The continuous gas flow meters being installed in the vacuum heater and the crude heater were placed in the permit as a requirement. Also, the #1 and #2 boilers limits were updated to allow MRC more flexibility in their operations. The limits were originally placed on the boilers to keep MRC below the PSD permitting threshold. The new limits maintain the status below the PSD permitting threshold.

The monitoring location was identified in the permit's Attachment 1, Ambient Air Monitoring Plan. The current location was determined to be inappropriate after reviewing the modeling analysis, and the new location is approximately 1.2 km from its present location. The monitoring location was chosen based on the modeling analysis that was submitted and is required to provide confirmation of compliance with the State SO₂ standards. DEQ will work with MRC for the final exact siting of the monitor. The method numbers for examination of water and wastewater were updated in Section II.C and Attachment 2. MAQP #2161-13 replaced MAQP #2161-11.

On August 4, 2001, DEQ issued **MAQP #2161-14** for the installation and operation of five 1600-kW diesel-powered, temporary generators. These generators were necessary because of the current high cost of electricity. The generators would only operate for the length of time necessary for MRC to acquire a permanent, more economical, supply of power. Further, the generators are limited to a maximum operating period of 2 years.

Because these generators would only be used when commercial power is cost prohibitive, the amount of emissions expected during actual operation is minor. In addition, because the permit limits the operation of these generators to a time period of less than 2 years, the installation and operation qualifies as a "temporary source" under the PSD permitting program. Therefore, the proposed project does not require compliance with ARM 17.8.804, 17.8.820, 17.8.822, and 17.8.824. Even though the portable generators are considered temporary, DEQ requires compliance with BACT and public notice requirements; therefore, compliance with ARM 17.8.819 and 17.8.826 will be ensured. Finally, the facility is responsible for complying with all applicable ambient air quality standards.

On August 17, 2002, DEQ issued **MAQP #2161-15** to eliminate the summer boiler SO₂ emission limits (both the plant-wide and 24-hour average) and redefine the winter limits as year-round limits. The seasonal limits were originally placed in the permit to allow more flexibility when operating the boilers. Both the winter and summer scenarios were supported by ambient air quality modeling performed prior to MAQP #2161-13 being issued. The winter limit being redefined as a year-round limit does not represent an increase in SO₂ emissions from the boilers or any other emitting point. In addition, DEQ removed requirements to determine and report NO_x emissions both from the crude heater (due to the old SWS) and refinery wide, as these sources are not subject to NO_x emissions limitations. The requirements appeared to have been inadvertently applied through an administrative error. MRC already provides refinery-wide NO_x emissions as part of its annual emission inventory submission to DEQ.

On March 19, 2003, DEQ issued **MAQP #2161-16** to include certain limits and standards associated with the Consent Decree lodged on December 20, 2001. In addition, the permit was updated with new rule references under ARM 17.8, Subchapter 7.

DEQ received a request to modify air quality MAQP #2161-16 on July 10, 2003, to change the emission testing schedule for the gasoline truck loading vapor combustion unit to be consistent with the current operating permit. MRC requested to remove all references to a 7,000-barrel per day (bbl/day) limit of crude charge referenced in MRC's Title V Operating Permit. By removing the

7,000 bbl/day reference, MRC is now subject to the conditions in ARM 17.8.324. In a letter received by DEQ on September 30, 2003, MRC also requested to add three new asphalt tanks with associated natural gas heaters. Since the emissions from the three tanks were less than 15 TPY, DEQ added the tanks under de minimis, ARM 17.8.745. The current permit action updated the permit to reflect the changes. **MAQP #2161-17** replaced MAQP #2161-16.

The above changes were also incorporated into **Operating Permit #OP2161-01**. In addition, in a letter dated May 3, 2004, MRC named Dana Leach as an alternate responsible official. Lastly, the permitting action also changed the dates when MRC shall submit to DEQ the compliance monitoring reports required by Section V.D and the compliance certification report required by Section V.B.

On May 14, 2004, DEQ received a letter from MRC requesting changes to MAQP #2161-17. The proposed change includes adding the ability to burn sweet gas in heaters at the HF Alkylation Unit, and at Tanks #102, #135, #137, #138, and #139. The sweet gas will have a H₂S limit equivalent to the 40 CFR Part 60, Standards of Performance for NSPS, Subpart J limit of 0.10 grains per dry standard cubic foot (gr/dscf) H₂S. The continuous refinery fuel gas monitoring system for H₂S installed on the fuel gas system that supplies the heaters would be used to determine compliance with the limit. Since the emissions from switching the fuel to sweet gas are less than 15 TPY, DEQ added the fuel switch under de minimis, ARM 17.8.745. The current permit action updates the permit to reflect the changes. **MAQP #2161-18** replaced MAQP #2161-17.

On October 20, 2005, DEQ received a de minimis notification and Title V permit modification request from MRC for a new floating roof wastewater surge tank, which is subject to 40 CFR 60, Subparts Kb and QQQ.

On May 17, 2007, DEQ received an application from MRC for the installation of a railcar product loading rack controlled by a John Zink VCU for gasoline and naphtha. The gasoline railcar loading rack is subject to 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC. Because MRC has found that naphtha may have a vapor pressure above the regulatory threshold of 27.6 kilopascals, MRC has decided to include it as regulated product. In addition to permitting this new process, the permit was updated to improve the organization; new applicable regulations were added, including 40 CFR 63, Subpart UUU, Subpart EEEE, and Subpart DDDDD; obsolete equipment and references were removed, including the five diesel generators which were permitted in 2001 and never installed, and the old SWS unit and its monitoring requirements; Consent Decree #CIV-01-1422LH, entered March 5, 2002 (Consent Decree) requirements were integrated, including the new requirements to comply with 40 CFR 60, Subpart J limits for refinery fuel gas and SWSOH; and refinery fuel gas (RFG) and SWSOH sampling was eliminated, and revised to reflect the operation of a continuous H₂S fuel gas meter and requirement to comply with 40 CFR 60, Subpart J. **MAQP #2161-19** replaced MAQP #2161-18.

On October 15, 2007, DEQ received letter from MRC requesting a correction to MAQP #2161-19, to remove the restrictions on the type of fuel used in specific asphalt tank heaters, which was added erroneously during the previous permitting action. In addition, the MAQP was updated to reflect the fact that requirements under 40 CFR 63, Subpart DDDDD are now “state-only” since the federal rule was vacated in Federal Court on July 30, 2007. **MAQP #2161-20** replaced MAQP #2161-19.

On June 9, 2008, DEQ received a letter from MRC requesting an amendment to MAQP #2161-20, to modify the restrictions on Storage Tank #8. This request was a follow-up to a de minimis request

received by DEQ on April 21, 2008, where MRC proposed to change the operation of Storage Tank #8 from NaHS to naphtha. DEQ reviewed this de minimis request and determined that MAQP #2161-20 must first be amended as described in the ARM 17.8.745(2) and ARM 17.8.764 before this change would be allowed. Although the potential emissions increase for this project is less than the de minimis threshold, the proposal would have violated a condition of MRC's current 2161-33 11 Final: 2/26/2020 permit. Specifically, the MAQP states, "Storage tanks #8, #9, #50, #55, #56, #69 #102, #110, #112, #130, #132, #133, and #135 shall be used for asphalt, modified asphalt, or tall oil service (ARM 17.8.749)." This permit has been amended to allow the proposed change in operation of Storage Tank #8.

On July 2, 2008, DEQ received another letter from MRC requesting an administrative amendment to MAQP #2161-20 to include certain conditions specified in the Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) that MRC entered into with DEQ on May 13, 2008. The AOC requires MRC to install and operate a SO₂ and Oxygen (O₂) continuous emission monitor system (CEMS) on the stack for the #1 and #2 Boilers. This SO₂/O₂ CEMS is to be used as the primary analytical instrument to determine compliance with state and federal SO₂ requirements. The AOC requires MRC to request that these conditions be included in the MAQP as enforceable permit conditions.

In addition, MRC requested that the permit be amended to allow certain de minimis changes related to the Diesel/Gas Oil HDS heater and three PMA tank heaters. Specifically, MRC requested that refinery fuel gas, in addition to natural gas, be allowed to be burned in these heaters. The current permit requires that the Diesel/Gas Oil HDS heater and the three PMA tank heaters be fired only with natural gas. This requirement is based on BACT. For the Diesel/Gas Oil HDS heater, the BACT analysis requires that low sulfur fuel be used. Since the refinery fuel gas is also a low sulfur fuel meeting 40 CFR 60, Subpart J requirements of 160 ppm H₂S, DEQ determined that the proposed change does not violate any applicable rule and therefore, can be allowed through an administrative amendment as specified in ARM 17.8.745(2) and ARM 17.8.764. For the three PMA tank heaters, however, the BACT analysis specifically requires that these heaters be fired with natural gas for control of NO_x emissions. Therefore, DEQ determined that the proposed three PMA tank heaters de minimis changes are prohibited under ARM 17.8.745(1)(a)(i) since an applicable rule, specifically ARM 17.8.752 requiring that BACT be utilized, would be violated. Because BACT determinations cannot be changed under the amendment process, DEQ requested that MRC submit an application for a permit modification that would include a revised BACT analysis in order to make the proposed change for the three PMA tank heaters.

In addition, DEQ updated Attachment 1 to reflect the most current permit language and requirements for ambient monitoring. **MAQP #2161-21** replaced MAQP #2161-20.

On December 19, 2008, DEQ received a request from MRC to amend MAQP #2161-21. MRC requested to change the wording for material stored in specified storage tanks to language representative of the requirements of 40 CFR 60, Subpart Kb in order to provide operational flexibility. Instead of referring to specific products (e.g., naphtha, gasoline, diesel, tall oil, etc.), the products would instead be referred to as light oils, medium oils, and heavy oils.

Under MRC's proposed language, light oils would be defined as a volatile organic liquid with a maximum true vapor pressure greater than or equal to 27.6 kilopascal (kPa), but less than 76.6 kPa and would include, but not be limited to, gasoline and naphtha.

Medium oils would be defined as volatile organic liquids with a vapor pressure less than 27.6 kPa and greater than or equal to 5.2 kPa and would include, but not be limited to, ethanol. Heavy oils

would be defined as volatile organic liquid with a maximum true vapor pressure less than 5.2 kPa and would include, but not be limited to diesel, kerosene, jet fuel, slurry oil, and asphalt. In addition to making the requested change, DEQ has clarified the permit language for the bulk loading rack VCU regarding the products that may be loaded in the event the VCU is inoperable and deleted all references to 40 CFR 63, Subpart DDDDD: NESHA for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters, as it was removed from the ARM in October 2008. DEQ has also updated Attachment 1, Ambient Monitoring to reflect the most current permit language and requirements for ambient monitoring. **MAQP #2161-22** replaced MAQP #2161-21.

On July 9, 2009, DEQ received a permit application from MRC to modify MAQP #2161-22. The application was deemed complete on July 24, 2009. MRC submitted a permit modification to allow the use of treated refinery fuel gas or natural gas in the tank heaters. Previously, the PMA tanks heaters were permitted to use natural gas only pursuant to a BACT analysis that was completed for MAQP #2161-09. This permit modification applied to three previously permitted asphalt tanks (Tanks #130, 132 and 133) and the associated PMA tank heaters. **MAQP #2161-23** replaced MAQP #2161-22.

On January 15, 2008, DEQ received a request from MRC to install a second hydrogen plant that utilizes a process heater with a heat input of 80 million British thermal units per hour (MMBtu/hr). DEQ approved this de minimis request on February 8, 2008. Pursuant to the Consent Decree (CD) and the approval of the de minimis request, MRC was required to conduct an initial performance test on the process heater with the results reported based upon the average of three, one-hour testing periods. The CD also required MRC to submit an application to DEQ and to propose a NOx permit limit for the heater. MRC submitted a permit application on December 29, 2009, and DEQ deemed this application incomplete on January 15, 2010. On July 12, 2010, MRC submitted additional information as requested by DEQ. On September 2, 2010, during the comment period, MRC submitted information to support the guaranteed ultra-low NOx burner emission limit of 0.033 lb/MMBtu based on the Higher Heating Value (HHV) of the fuel. This limit was based on the process heater of the hydrogen plant operating at full capacity (80 MMBtu/hr) with fuel gas consisting of 40.5 % natural gas and 59.4% Pressure Swing Adsorption (PSA) vent gas. This permit modification applied to NOx limits on the Hydrogen Plant #2 process heater. **MAQP #2161-24** replaced MAQP #2161- 23.

On July 6, 2011, MRC submitted a permit application and subsequent modeling demonstration to add a new boiler (Boiler #3) capable of firing refinery fuel gas, SWSOH, or natural gas at the petroleum refinery. The primary purpose of Boiler #3 is to supplement the two existing boilers (#1 and #2) that provide process steam to the refinery. The design burner heat input capacity for Boiler #3 varies, depending upon fuel characteristics, from 59.7 to 60.5 MMBtu/hr. DEQ deemed the application incomplete on August 4, 2011, and MRC provided additional information in response to DEQ's letter on September 26, 2011.

On October 25, 2011, DEQ requested additional information with respect to MRC's plantwide applicability limit (PAL) and the SWSOH combustion properties. This information was received by DEQ on November 15, 2011. Additionally, because MRC experienced significant downtime with the SO₂/O₂ CEMS required on the #1 and #2 Boiler stack, MRC submitted a request to allow the use of the H₂S fuel gas analyzer located near the fuel gas drum as backup to the SO₂/O₂ CEMS. MRC also requested this for Boiler #3.

Therefore, in addition to adding the Boiler #3 to the refinery's operation, the permit action also added compliance, reporting and recordkeeping requirements for allowing the H₂S fuel analyzer to

be used as a backup to the SO₂/O₂ CEMS. When the H₂S fuel analyzer is used, MRC would not be allowed to route the SWSOH to the boilers. **MAQP #2161-25** replaced MAQP #2161-24.

On October 24, 2012, DEQ received a request for the transfer of ownership. According to the information submitted, the previous owner, Connacher Oil and Gas, sold its shares of MRC to Calumet Specialty Products Partners. With the transfer of ownership, Calumet Specialty Products Partners also requested a facility name change from MRC to Calumet Montana Refining, LLC. This was an administrative permit action to change the name. **MAQP #2161-26** replaced MAQP #2161-25.

On July 30, 2013, DEQ received an application for modification to MAQP #2161-26. The permit action removed older storage tanks that were located close to the process unit area and in order to accommodate potential future expansion. As such, Calumet requested to remove nine (9) tanks and to add eight (8) new tanks.

All kerosene and asphalt tanks were equipped with fixed roofs, and all gasoline storage tanks are equipped with external floating roofs. In addition, tanks 50 and 102 are equipped with two burners (John Zink Burner), each rated at 2.3 MMBtu/hr to keep the asphalt from cooling down and/or hardening. **MAQP #2161-27** replaced MAQP #2161-26.

On October 3, 2013, DEQ received a permit application requesting a major modification under the New Source Review-Prevention of Significant Deterioration (NSRPSD) program. This permit application was assigned MAQP #2161-28. The project was deemed significant for greenhouses (GHG) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and the permit application was deemed complete on February 10, 2014.

With this permit action, Calumet proposed to increase the low sulfur fuels capacity at the refinery from approximately 10,000 bpsd throughput up to 30,000 bpsd while increasing yields of distillates, kerosene, diesel, and asphalt products.

The expansion project included the construction of four new processing units: a new crude unit that will process heavy sour crudes, a MHC for gas-oil conversion to higher value distillates, a new hydrogen plant (#3) to support the MHC, and a fuel gas treatment unit to handle the increased fuel gas production from the MHC. The main emitting units included with the expansion project are as follows: Hydrogen Plant #3 (equipped with two heaters with a total combined firing rating of up to 134 million British thermal units per hour (MMBtu/hr)); Combined Feed Heater (up to 54 MMBtu/hr); Fractionation Feed Heater (up to 38 MMBtu/hr), Crude Heater (up to 71 MMBtu/hr), Vacuum Heater (up to 27 MMBtu/hr), and a new flare interconnected to the existing flare that will be equipped with a flare gas scrubber. With the expansion, Calumet also proposed to add a new rail car loading (diesel and asphalt) and unloading (crude oil and gas oil) area, and several new storage tanks in addition to re-purposing some existing storage tanks to accommodate the expansion project.

Additionally, the existing HTU that block operated in both diesel and gas-oil service was to become the kerosene HTU, and the existing kerosene HTU was to become a Naphtha HTU. Lastly, Calumet requested a federally enforceable operational limit on Boiler #1 and Boiler #2.

DEQ issued a preliminary determination (PD) as MAQP #2161-28 on March 18, 2014, final DEQ decision (DD) on April 25, 2014, and final permit on May 13, 2014. However, DEQ did not notify

the public by advertisement in a newspaper of general circulation in the Great Falls area in accordance with ARM 17.8.826(2)(c) when it issued the PD for MAQP #2161-28. Therefore, DEQ reissued its PD under MAQP #2161-29 along with a public notice in the Great Falls Tribune to satisfy the requirements of ARM 17.8.826(2)(c). All project analyses and conclusions from MAQP #2161-28 for this project remained the same. MAQP #2161-29 contained any comments received on the PD for MAQP #2161-28 and corrections made to address them.

On April 4, 2017, DEQ received an application from Calumet to modify the existing MAQP. Incompleteness responses and additional information were received, with final information completing the application on September 26, 2017. Due to various operational and design issues, compliance with certain limits associated with the expansion project permitted in MAQP #2161-29 were determined to be unachievable on a continuous and ongoing basis. These limitations were necessary to avoid the project being determined a major modification of a major stationary source and subject to the permitting requirements of ARM 17.8 Subchapter 8 for NO_x. As such, Calumet proposed an alternative operating scenario and alternative limitations to maintain the project below relevant significant emissions rates.

Calumet proposed to install a new temporary low NO_x boiler (Boiler #4) for additional/supplemental steam production and an ammonia combustor to remove and combust fuel bound nitrogen that otherwise would be present in refinery fuel gas. In addition, Calumet proposed an umbrella limit on emissions of NO_x and CO on a rolling 12-month basis. The umbrella limit would apply to combined emissions from multiple units such that any combination of emissions from these units, provided the overall emissions limitation is adhered to, maintains the project as not a major modification for NO_x or CO. Prior limitations related to PSD avoidance on Boilers #1 and #2 have been removed from the permit.

Calumet has determined a need to reduce fuel-bound nitrogen in fuel gas in order to meet NO_x limitations on various units. Further, Calumet has identified mechanical issues with Boiler #3 which has resulted in the potential for excess NO_x emissions. Bringing a temporary low NO_x boiler on-site will allow Calumet to produce steam for operations while ongoing efforts are undertaken to reduce plant wide NO_x emissions. The low NO_x boiler will provide for reduced emissions of NO_x per pound of steam produced compared to the NO_x performance capabilities of Boilers #1 and #2.

Boiler #3, the new low NO_x boiler, and the ammonia combustor were determined technically and economically related to the expansion project and were included in the expansion project as new units. The purpose of this permitting action is to establish limits which maintain the net emissions increases to less than the significant emissions rates for NO_x and CO, or less than the amount of other emissions previously reviewed for the expansion project. All pollutants were reviewed, and the project was re-permitted as if the project had not been previously permitted. A request in the future to modify or replace associated units would require a reassessment of the project emissions. The allowable operating capacity of the associated refining unit heaters as a whole was reduced in the current operational scenario, and future projects to reduce emissions will be necessary to gain full use of the increased refining capacity capable of being accomplished with the associated equipment installed for avoidance of PSD.

During PSD review, Calumet identified that Tank #50 and #102 will not be equipped with tank heaters and the emissions were removed from considerations in contemporaneous emissions increases. **MAQP #2161-30** replaced MAQP #2161-29.

On July 12, 2019, DEQ received from Calumet an application to modify the MAQP. Calumet sought to relax the control requirements on Tanks #125 and #128, due to a finding that the tanks are out-of-round, making seals associated with floating roof design to be infeasible to maintain. These tanks are in heavy liquid service, and as such, DEQ approved request to maintain these tanks as fixed roof tanks with submerged fill. In doing so, the emissions increases associated with the expansion project is updated, and Best Available Control Technology (BACT) review is presented in demonstration that the requirements of BACT are maintained (see the permit analysis). Condition III.B.7.h was established to require the fixed roof tanks be maintained in heavy liquids service with submerged fill practices maintained. Prior requirements that these tanks be maintained with floating roof design was removed. **MAQP #2161-32** replaced MAQP #2161-31.

On December 31, 2019, DEQ received from Calumet a concurrent MAQP and Title V application to revise nitrogen oxides (NO_x) limitations on the #2 Crude Vacuum Heater H-2102 and the Mild Hydrocracker Reactor Fractionation Heater H-4102. These heaters were assigned NO_x limitations as part of Best Available Control Technology (BACT) review associated with the refinery expansion project. The limits were originally set at 0.035 pounds per million British thermal units, on a higher heating value basis, on a 30-day rolling average. The permit application requested that these limits be revised to 0.040 lb/MMBtu on a 3-hr basis, as would be monitored via an annual source test. The current permit action would provide for an achievable limitation which would be practically enforceable without a requirement for CEMS. The mass-based umbrella limitations for NO_x and CO remained unchanged. **MAQP #2161-33** replaced MAQP #2161-32.

On February 19, 2021, DEQ received from Calumet an application to modify the MAQP for installation of a catalytic thermal oxidizer. Calumet implemented the AOC-16 Remediation Project at the Gasoline and Light Oil Loading Rack area, which included dual-phase extraction in an existing primary recovery trench in the truck rack area, and a passive treatment trench just north of North River Road. The installation of a vapor-liquid separator, with a catalytic thermal oxidizer to destroy VOC vapors, was included as part of this project.

In accord with ARM 17.8.770, a human health risk assessment on the air emissions from the catalytic thermal oxidizer was conducted. Further, a best available control technology review as required by ARM 17.8.752 was conducted. The analyses demonstrated the proposed oxidizer emissions would present a negligible risk to public health, safety, and welfare as defined in ARM 17.8.770, and meet the requirements of BACT as required by ARM 17.8.752. **MAQP #2161-34** replaced MAQP #2161-33.

On July 2, 2021, DEQ received from Calumet an application to modify the MAQP for installation of new equipment and tanks related to the polymer modified asphalt (PMA) production process. This project was titled the Asphalt Upgrades Project. The Asphalt Upgrades Project provided the refinery with improved PMA production capabilities, more advanced asphalt product blending capabilities, and modernized heating systems for PMA process equipment, PMA storage tanks, and asphalt storage tanks. The project also included the shutdown of numerous heaters which were replaced with heaters fired by refinery fuel gas. The project also made changes to the asphalt product blending and storage operations at the refinery. **MAQP #2161-35** replaced MAQP #2161-34.

On August 6, 2021, DEQ received from Calumet an application to modify the MAQP as part of the Refinery Reconfiguration Project which entailed transferring some equipment from Calumet to Montana Renewables, Inc (MRI) for the Great Falls Renewable Diesel Unit (RDU). Additional information was submitted on September 16, 2021, in response to DEQ's incompleteness letter.

The application also requested several changes to the existing equipment and to permit some new equipment. It's important to note that equipment scheduled to be transferred to MRI will remain as active equipment under the conditions of MAQP #2161-36 until such time as DEQ issues MRI a final MAQP for the operation of the equipment listed below at RDU and DEQ receives written notification from Calumet identifying the date that service of each piece of equipment listed below was transferred to MRI.

Following is a list of the equipment to be transferred to MRI:

- Mild Hydrocracker (MHC) Combined Feeder Heater (H-4101);
- MHC Fractionator Feed Heater (H-4102) (the emission source will be transferred to MRI, but H-4102 will be out of service because it will not be required to operate in the RDU);
- Hydrogen Plant #3 Reformer Heaters (H-3815A & H-3815B);
- Tanks #29, #50, #102, #112, #116, #125, #128, and #140; and
- MHC Hydrogen Plant #3, and Storage Tank Piping Fugitive Components and Wastewater Components.

The CO and NOx umbrella limits are to be reduced upon Calumet providing written notification that the following emission sources have been transferred to MRI: MHC Combined Feeder Heater (H-4101); MHC Fractionator Feed Heater (H-4102); and Hydrogen Plant #3 Reformer Heaters (H-3815A & H-3815B).

Calumet requested the following changes to existing emission sources that are to remain at the refinery but will be modified to adapt to changing operations as part of the Refinery Reconfiguration Project:

- Crude Unit #2 changes include:
 - changes to piping and valves,
 - modification of fractionator tower components,
 - add a primary off-gas compressor, and
 - add a backup off-gas compressor.
- Hydrotreating Unit (HTU) will be reconfigured to increase its capacity to provide flexibility in the petroleum derived feed streams it is capable of processing including the following changes:
- Purified hydrogen (an unregulated air compound) will be vented by a new stack at the MRI's RDU when there are abrupt process changes at hydrogen consuming process units or Hydrogen Plant #2.
- Allow Flare #1 to receive natural gas purges from MRI's new Hydrogen Plant #4.
- Add Tank #58 (which is reusing a tank designation), a fixed roof atmospheric storage tank that shall store middle distillates that the HTU will be capable of processing; and
- Change locations of some existing loading facilities to accommodate efficient loading and unloading of refinery and MRI's RDU materials separate from one another.

A description of the project impacted emitting units is in the table below:

Emissions Unit	Existing/ New Unit	Project Impact
HTU Heater (H-1701) (EPN 26)	Existing	The actual feed rate to the heater is anticipated to increase as a result of the project. CMR estimated that the project may use all the heater's existing firing rate capacity that is not currently being used. CMR is not proposing to increase the firing rate capacity of the heater.
HTU Fractionation Heater (H-1730)	New	A new fractionation heater will be installed to support the HTU.
Flare #1 (FLR-0701) (EPN 32)	Existing	The flare will receive natural gas purges from the MRI Great Falls Renewable Diesel Plant's new Hydrogen Plant #4. Additionally, CMR anticipates a minor increase in the amount of excess RFG that will be routed to the flare due to a reduction in overall unit operating rates and process heater firing rates at the refinery.
Tank #58	New	A new storage tank will be installed at the refinery to store middle distillates.
Piping Fugitive Components (EPN 33)	Existing/ New	CMR plans to add piping fugitive components (e.g., pumps, compressors, pressure relief devices, open-ended valves or lines, valves, and flanges or other connectors) at the refinery as part of the project.
Wastewater Components	Existing/ New	CMR plans to add drains and sumps at the refinery as part of the project.
Railcar Unloading - Renewable Feed	New	CMR plans to install new railcar racks to unload renewable feed that will be routed to and used by the MRI Great Falls Renewable Diesel Plant.
Loading (EPNs 30 and 39)	Existing/ New	New loading facilities will be installed at the refinery and changes will be made to the location and use of certain existing loading facilities at the refinery to facilitate the efficient loading and unloading of refinery and MRI Great Falls Renewable Diesel Plant materials.
Loading VCU (EPNs 30 and 39)	Existing	In addition to controlling the loading of petroleum derived materials (gasoline and naphtha), the existing railcar VCU will be utilized to control the loading of renewable naphtha.

MAQP #2161-36 replaced MAQP #2161-35.

On December 7, 2021, DEQ received from Calumet a concurrent MAQP and Title V application. The application was considered complete on February 18, 2022. The permit action accomplished several updates, corrections, and changes as presented below:

1. Removal of preconstruction approval for the sour water stripper ammonia combustor. This portion of the permit had expired because it was over 3 years since the unit was permitted and construction had not commenced. Calumet was not seeking to renew approval as originally permitted. Conditions related to the ammonia

combustor were removed from the permit.

2. Increase in allowable emissions from the Kerosene Hydrotreater Unit Heater H-1701. Calumet identified that the unit is capable of firing at a higher capacity than previously indicated. The unit was installed in 1992, and was not undergoing physical modification or operational change, however, Calumet requested that the allowable emissions on a pound per hour and ton per year basis be increased to match the realizable maximum capacity of the unit. The permit action defined the maximum allowable emissions (potential to emit) of this unit under the increased maximum firing rates identified. Oxides of Nitrogen limitations on a lb/MMBtu basis remain unchanged. Calumet accepted the responsibility for the guarantee of NO_x emissions when firing above a manufacturer's design firing rate.
3. Correct the listed maximum rated firing rate for the Hydrogen Plant #2 Reformer Heater H-2815. This heater was originally approved through de minimis and was presented as having an 80 MMBtu/hr maximum firing rate capacity. However, as part of the June 29, 2018, Title V permit renewal application, Calumet incorrectly identified the heater as a 65.2 MMBtu/hr heater. The capacity of the heater was listed in the Title V and MAQP as a 65.2 MMBtu/hr heater. The permit action corrected the indicated maximum capacity of the heater to 80 MMBtu/hr, as originally submitted. No change to permit limits or applicable regulatory requirements was necessary.
4. Increase the recognized maximum capacity of the Naphtha HDS Heater H-0402a and Naphtha Splitter Reboiler H-0405 and associated potential to emit. Calumet accepted the responsibility for the guarantee of NO_x emissions when firing above a manufacturer's design firing rate.
5. Conversion of Boiler #3 from the SO₂ emissions limitation and monitoring requirements of NSPS Ja, to the fuel gas H₂S limitations and monitoring requirements of NSPS Ja.
6. Removal of the requirements no longer necessary regarding the old sour water stripper unit that was taken out of service in 2006. The unit was removed from the site.
7. Removal of ambient air quality monitoring requirements. Requiring ambient air quality monitoring for SO₂ concentrations at Calumet is neither necessary nor appropriate because of the following:
 - a. The existing air quality is significantly below national ambient air quality standards;
 - b. The SO₂ emissions from Calumet are well monitored and limited; and
 - c. There are limited sources of SO₂ emissions which may affect ambient SO₂ concentrations in the area.
8. Removal of ability of Boiler #3 to burn Sour Water Stripper Overhead gas. The SWS overhead is burned in Boilers #1 and #2, and Calumet does not intend to burn SWS overhead in Boiler #3.

9. Taking certain reporting frequency obligations from quarterly to semiannual, based on the presence of prompt deviation reporting requirements in the required Title V for this facility and in most cases, an established compliance margin with underlying limits or requirements.

MAQP #2161-37 replaced MAQP #2161-36.

On August 23, 2022, DEQ received from Calumet. The permit action accomplished several updates, corrections, and changes as presented below:

Related to the Asphalt Upgrades Project-it requested the following changes:

1. Hot Oil Heater (H-1903) and the Hot Oil Heater (H-1904) while originally planned to operate on either natural gas or refinery fuel gas, will only operate on natural gas. The refinery fuel gas was not connected to these heaters. Modified the permit condition for these heaters to indicate they will only operate on natural gas.
2. Revised upward the assumptions for the VOC and H₂S concentrations which were originally used in the previous permit application. Since restarting the PMA Unit, Calumet has learned that the referenced H₂S and VOC concentration estimates for the PMA Unit's storage tanks and process vessels are higher than those assumptions, and the emissions needed to be adjusted upward to accommodate the new information.

Related to the Refinery Reconfiguration Project requests the following changes:

3. In the August 4, 2021, Refinery Reconfiguration Project MAQP application that Calumet submitted to DEQ, Calumet indicated that it would install the HTU Fractionation Heater (H-1730) at the refinery as part of the proposed changes to the refinery's Hydrotreating Unit (HTU). However, Calumet has subsequently decided that the HTU Fractionation Heater (H-1730) will not be installed at the refinery. Therefore, the heater was removed from the refinery's MAQP.
4. In the August 4, 2021, Refinery Reconfiguration Project MAQP application, Calumet indicated that it would transfer Tank #125 to MRL. However, Calumet will not transfer Tank #125 to MRL. Instead, the storage tank will remain in heavy liquids service at the refinery, with the maximum true vapor pressure of the tank's contents not exceeding 0.5 pounds per square inch-absolute (psia), as authorized by the refinery's MAQP. Therefore, the storage tank will not be removed from the refinery's MAQP.
5. In the August 4, 2021, Refinery Reconfiguration Project MAQP application, Calumet indicated that it would transfer the MHC Fractionator Feed Heater (H-4102) to MRL, but MRL would shut down the heater. However, MRL subsequently concluded that the MHC Fractionator Feed Heater (H-4102) would be required to operate in its Renewable Diesel Unit's (RDU's) fractionation process. Therefore, MRL permitted the MHC Fractionator Feed Heater (H-4102) in MAQP No. 5263-01 and changed its description to the "RDU

Fractionator Feed Heater (H-4102).” This change impacted the current carbon monoxide (CO) and oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) umbrella limits indicated in the refinery’s MAQP.

6. In the August 4, 2021, Refinery Reconfiguration Project MAQP application, Calumet indicated the railcar unloading facilities for renewable feeds would be located at the refinery. However, the railcar unloading facilities for renewable feeds are to be located at the MRL Great Falls Renewable Fuels Plant instead. MRL permitted those facilities in MAQP No. 5263-01. Therefore, the renewable feed railcar unloading facilities should be removed from the refinery’s MAQP.
7. In the August 4, 2021, Refinery Reconfiguration Project MAQP application, Calumet indicated the railcar loading facilities for renewable diesel would be located at the refinery. However, the railcar loading facilities for renewable diesel are to be located at the MRL Great Falls Renewable Fuels Plant instead. MRL permitted those facilities in MAQP No. 5263-01. Therefore, the renewable diesel railcar loading facilities should be removed from the refinery’s MAQP.
8. Calumet will add piping, piping components (valves, flanges, connectors, etc.), and eductors (mixers) at the refinery to reduce the potential for the formation of ammonium carbonate salts in the refinery equipment that will process the RDU sour water stripper overhead stream. Specifically, this new equipment will provide the refinery with the capability to recirculate a liquid stream that will contact the RDU sour water stripper overhead stream, which is expected to minimize the chemical and physical mechanisms that promote the formation of ammonium carbonate salts. The new piping fugitive components will be incorporated into the refinery’s leak detection and repair program, as applicable. However, note that most of the new components will contain only a small amount (<2 weight %) of VOC and a negligible amount of hazardous air pollutants. The potential to emit VOC emission rate for these new piping fugitive components is covered by the potential to emit VOC emission rate previously calculated for the additional piping fugitive components estimated to be installed at the refinery as part of the Refinery Reconfiguration Project.

MAQP #2161-38 replaced MAQP #2161-37.

On 02/09/2023, the Department received a request to modify MAQP #2161-38 for conditions which were incorporated from an application received on October 21, 2002. These elements were incorporated and issued in MAQP #2161-16 on March 19, 2003, and for each Consent Decree (CD) requirement were referenced for applicability to the CD. Later permitting actions including MAQP #2161-19 issued on August 15, 2007, and MAQP #2161-24 issued on September 25, 2010, also incorporated additional Consent Decree items. The current permitting action requests the removal of the references to the Consent Decree. The requirements of the Consent Decree will be replaced with a standard reference for Montana Air Quality Permits using Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.8.749 - CONDITIONS FOR ISSUANCE OR DENIAL OF PERMIT. DEQ issued a Preliminary Determination on March 7, 2023, after which DEQ confirmed the required public notice had not occurred. The required public notice has since occurred, and the full 15-day comment period associated with this posting will occur. The application also requests several minor corrections to permit conditions issued in recently permitted actions.

MAQP #2161-39 replaced MAQP #2161-38.

On June 6, 2025, 20165 DEQ received a letter from Calumet requesting an Administrative Amendment to MAQP #2161-39. The request addresses conditions related to final emissions limits and standards from the 2002 Consent Decree (United States of America, et al., v. Navajo Refining Company, LP, U.S. District Court for the District of New Mexico, Civ. No. 01-1422LH (2002). Unrelated to the administrative Consent Decree updates, Calumet also requested the removal of Hot Oil Heater 1904 (H-1904), since it was not constructed and the associated permit conditions for H-1904 were removed. Additionally, equipment which has been transferred to Montana Renewables, LLC, has been removed from the Operating Permit.

MAQP #2161-40 replaced MAQP #2161-39.

Operating Permit History

On June 5, 2006, DEQ received an application for the renewal of Title V Operating Permit #OP2161-01. The application was deemed administratively complete on July 5, 2006, and technically complete on August 4, 2006. This permit incorporated all applicable source changes since the issuance of Operating Permit #OP2161-01, including:

- Addition of new emitting unit: EU15 – Gasoline Railcar Loading Rack and VCU;
- Incorporation of Consent Decree #CIV-01-1422LH, entered March 5, 2002 requirements. This included updating the Title V Operating Permit with a number of specific new emission limits and monitoring requirements which had been included in the most recent MAQP #2161-19, as well as adding a general requirement for MRC to comply with the relevant applicable terms and conditions of the Consent Decree (excluding the stipulated penalty components); and
- Inclusion of new regulations impacting MRC, including three MACT standards: 40 CFR 63, Subpart UUU, Subpart ZZZZ, and Subpart DDDDD.

Operating Permit #OP2161-02 replaced Operating Permit #OP2161-01.

On December 19, 2008, DEQ received an application for a significant modification to Title V Operating Permit #OP2161-02. This permit incorporates all applicable source changes since the issuance of Operating Permit #OP2161-02, including:

- A change in the type of material allowed to be stored in Storage Tank #8;
- A wording change for the type of material to be stored in specified storage tanks that is representative of the requirements of 40 CFR 60, Subpart Kb in order to provide operational flexibility;
- Incorporation of SO₂/O₂ CEMS requirements on boilers #1 and #2;
- A change to the type of fuel that may be fired in the HTU unit;

- Clarification of permit language for the bulk loading rack VCU regarding products that may be loaded in the event the VCU is inoperable;
- Removal of 40 CFR 63, Subpart DDDDD “state-only” requirements, as this MACT was removed from the ARM in October 2008; and
- Revisions to Appendix E, Ambient Air Monitoring Plan to reflect the most current permit language and requirements for ambient monitoring.

Operating Permit #OP2161-03 replaced Operating Permit #OP2161-02.

On July 9, 2009, DEQ received a request from MRC to modify MAQP #2161-22. MRC submitted a permit modification to allow the use of treated refinery fuel gas or natural gas in the tank heaters. This modification applies to three modified asphalt tanks (Tanks #130, #132, and #133) and the associated PMA tank heaters. MAQP #2161-23 replaced MAQP #2161-22. This action also required a significant modification to Operating Permit #OP2161-03. **Operating Permit #OP2161-04** replaced Operating Permit #OP2161-03.

Pursuant to the Consent Decree and the approval of the de minimis request dated February 8, 2008, MRC was required to submit an application to DEQ and to propose a NO_x permit limit for the reformer heater at Hydrogen Plant #2. MRC submitted a permit application on December 29, 2009, and DEQ deemed this application incomplete on January 15, 2010. On July 12, 2010, MRC submitted additional information as requested by DEQ and the application was deemed complete. On September 2, 2010, during the comment period, MRC disagreed with DEQ’s proposed limits and submitted information to support the guaranteed ultra-low NO_x burner emission limit of 0.033 lb/MMBtu based on the HHV of the fuel. This limit was based on the process heater of the hydrogen plant operating at full capacity (80 MMBtu/hr) with fuel gas consisting of 40.5% natural gas and 59.4% PSA vent gas. This permit modification only applied to the NO_x limit on Hydrogen Plant #2 process heater and was assigned Operating Permit #OP2161-05. Additionally, this permit updates the NO_x emission limits for the FCCU unit established by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Pursuant to a letter dated September 23, 2010, from the EPA and received by DEQ on October 1, 2010, and paragraph 11.E of the MRC Consent Decree, the final FCCU NO_x emission limits were established at 68.0 parts per million, volumetric dry (ppmvd) (at 0% O₂) based on a 365-day rolling average and 87.0 ppmvd (at 0% O₂) based on a 7-day rolling average. **Operating Permit #OP2161-05** replaced Operating Permit #OP2161-04.

On July 6, 2011, MRC submitted a permit application and subsequent modeling demonstration to add a new boiler (boiler #3) capable of firing refinery fuel gas or natural gas. The primary purpose of boiler #3 is to supplement the two existing boilers (#1 and #2) that provide process steam to the refinery. The design burner heat input capacity for boiler #3 varies depending upon fuel characteristics ranging from 59.7 to 60.3 million British thermal units per hour (MMBtu/hr). DEQ deemed the application incomplete on August 4, 2011, and MRC provided additional information in response to DEQ’s letter on September 26, 2011.

On October 25, 2011, DEQ requested additional information with respect to MRC’s plant wide applicability limit (PAL) and the fuel combustion properties of the caustic scrubbed sour water stripper overhead gas (SWSOH). This information, and a request to allow a backup method of monitoring compliance with sulfur dioxide (SO₂) emissions from the #1 and #2 boiler stack and the #3 boiler stack were received by DEQ on November 15, 2011. **MAQP #2161-25** replaced MAQP

#2161-24. This permit action also required a significant modification to Operating Permit #OP2161-05. **Operating Permit #OP2161-06** replaced Operating Permit #OP2161-05.

On June 5, 2012, Calumet submitted an application for renewal and this application was assigned as **Operating Permit #OP2161-07**. With this request, Calumet requested that DEQ add several applicable requirements to the Operating Permit including but not limited to: 40 CFR 60, Subpart Ja and some additional requirements of 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC were clarified in this action.

Several *de minimis* actions were incorporated with this permit action:

Calumet requested to add two new process heaters, the naphtha splitter reboiler heater equipment number is H-405, and the other heater H-402 is a replacement for the naphtha heater previously listed as EU05b.

Calumet requested that DEQ note that Asphalt tank #138 was put into service in 2008 and is subject to 40 CFR 60, Subpart UU. This was submitted as a *de minimis* request on January 15, 2008.

On October 7, 2010, Calumet submitted a *de minimis* request to add ethanol tank #176.

On January 15, 2008, Calumet submitted a *de minimis* request to add diesel tanks #170 and #171 (associated with IEU11), and heavy gas oil Tank #150 (associated with IEU25).

On April 2, 2013, (with additional information received on May 21, 2013) Calumet submitted a *de minimis* request to replace two existing 10,000 bbl tanks (Tank 29 and Tank 51) with two, 21,000 bbl fixed-roof tanks.

In the renewal application (application number #OP2161-07) Calumet noted that Tanks #44, #45, and #11 were dismantled in 2008; Tank #62 was changed to non-hydrocarbon service (spent hydroxide solution); Tank #124 was changed to gasoline service; and Tank #125 was changed from gasoline blend to crude oil.

Calumet submitted several administrative changes and information to show that a Compliance Assurance Monitoring Plan (CAM plan) is not required. In addition to those items previously mentioned, on October 24, 2012, Calumet submitted an administrative request (assigned permit action #OP2161-08) for a name change from Montana Refining Company to Calumet Montana Refining, LLC. These two permit actions were combined into one and were issued as **Operating Permit #OP2161-08** to replace Operating Permit #OP2161-06.

On July 30, 2013, DEQ received an application to modify MAQP #2161-26 and Operating Permit #OP2161-08. DEQ received additional information to support the application on August 16, 2013. The permit action removed older storage tanks and replaced and/or re-located tanks in order to accommodate potential future expansion. As such, Calumet requested to remove nine (9) tanks and replace eight (8) tanks with new ones as shown in more detail below:

Current Tank ID	Current Service	Current Capacity (bbl)	New Tank ID	Service	New Capacity (in bbl)
Tank #122	Unleaded Gasoline	11300	Tank #122	Unleaded Gasoline	20000
Tank #123	Unleaded Gasoline	11300	Tank #123	Unleaded Gasoline	20000
Tank #52	Premium Gasoline	3000	Tank #52	Premium Gasoline	11300
Tank #53	Premium Gasoline	3000	Removed from service		
Tank #46	Kero/Jet A	5140	Tank #49	Kero/Jet A	20000
Tank #47	Kero/Jet A	10500	Tank #47	Kero/Jet A	20000
Tank #48	Kero/Jet A	10500	Tank #48	Kero/Jet A	20000
Tank #50	Asphalt	55700	Tank #50	Asphalt	20000
Tank #102	Asphalt	10300	Tank #102	Asphalt	20000

With this permit action, **MAQP #2161-27** replaced MAQP #2161-26 and **Operating Permit #OP2161-09** replaced Operating Permit #OP2161-08.

On October 3, 2013, DEQ received a permit application requesting a major modification under the New Source Review-Prevention of Significant Deterioration (NSR-PSD) program. This permit application was assigned **MAQP #2161-28**. The project was deemed significant for greenhouse gasses (GHG) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and the permit application was deemed complete on February 10, 2014.

With this permit action, Calumet proposed to increase the low sulfur fuels capacity at the refinery from approximately 10,000 barrels per stream day (bpsd) throughput up to 30,000 bpsd while increasing yields of distillates, kerosene, diesel, and asphalt products.

The expansion project included the construction of four new processing units: a new crude unit that will process heavy sour crudes, a MHC for gas-oil conversion to higher value distillates, a new hydrogen plant (#3) to support the MHC, and a fuel gas treatment unit to handle the increased fuel gas production from the MHC.

The main emitting units included with the expansion project are as follows: Hydrogen Plant #3 (equipped with two heaters with a total combined firing rating of up to 134 million British thermal units per hour (MMBtu/hr)); Combined Feed Heater (up to 54 MMBtu/hr); Fractionation Feed Heater (up to 38 MMBtu/hr), Crude Heater (up to 71 MMBtu/hr), Vacuum Heater (up to 27 MMBtu/hr), and a new flare interconnected to the existing flare that will be equipped with a flare gas scrubber. With the expansion, Calumet also proposed to add a new rail car loading (diesel and asphalt) and unloading (crude oil and gas oil) area, and several new storage tanks in addition to repurposing some existing storage tanks to accommodate the expansion project.

Additionally, the existing HTU that block operated in both diesel and gas-oil service was to become the kerosene HTU, and the existing kerosene HTU was to become a Naphtha HTU. Lastly, Calumet requested a federally enforceable operational limit on Boiler #1 and Boiler #2.

DEQ issued a preliminary determination (PD) as MAQP #2161-28 on March 18, 2014, final DEQ decision (DD) on April 25, 2014, and final permit on May 13, 2014. However, DEQ did not notify

the public by advertisement in a newspaper of general circulation in the Great Falls area in accordance with ARM 17.8.826(2)(c) when it issued the PD for MAQP #2161-28. Therefore, DEQ reissued its PD under MAQP #2161-29 along with a public notice in the Great Falls Tribune to satisfy the requirements of ARM 17.8.826(2)(c). All project analyses and conclusions from MAQP #2161-28 for this project remained the same. **MAQP #2161-29** contained any comments received on the PD for MAQP #2161-28 and corrections made to address them.

On April 25, 2016, DEQ received a letter from Calumet requesting an Administrative Amendment to Operating Permit #OP2161-09 for the purpose of updating the Responsible Official and the Alternate Responsible Official to Wayne Leiker and Hadley Bedbury, respectively. **Operating Permit #OP2161-10** replaced Operating Permit #OP2161-09.

On March 29, 2017, DEQ received a significant modification application for the Title V operating permit to incorporate applicable conditions for the expansion project authorized in MAQP #2161-28 and #2161-29. The application was assigned number #OP2161-11. Due to various operational and design issues, compliance with certain limits associated with the expansion project permitted in MAQP #2161-29 were determined to be unachievable on a continuous and ongoing basis. Because subsequent MAQP actions to address this would change the applicable requirements; the content from application #OP2161-11 would be inaccurate. Therefore, Calumet requested that DEQ rescind the application in a March 15, 2018 correspondence. Title V Operating Permit **#OP2161-11** was never issued.

On April 4, 2017, DEQ received an application from Calumet to modify the existing MAQP. Incompleteness responses and additional information were received, with final information completing the application on September 26, 2017. Due to various operational and design issues, compliance with certain limits associated with the expansion project permitted in MAQP #2161-29 were determined to be unachievable on a continuous and ongoing basis. These limitations were necessary to avoid the project being determined a major modification of a major stationary source and subject to the permitting requirements of ARM 17.8 Subchapter 8 for NO_x. As such, Calumet proposed an alternative operating scenario and alternative limitations to maintain the project below relevant significant emissions rates.

Calumet proposed to install a new temporary low NO_x boiler (Boiler #4) for additional/supplemental steam production and an ammonia combustor to remove and combust fuel bound nitrogen that otherwise would be present in refinery fuel gas. In addition, Calumet proposed an umbrella limit on emissions of NO_x and CO on a rolling 12-month basis. The umbrella limit would apply to combined emissions from multiple units such that any combination of emissions from these units, provided the overall emissions limitation is adhered to, maintains the project as not a major modification for NO_x or CO. Prior limitations related to PSD avoidance on Boilers #1 and #2 have been removed from the permit.

Calumet has determined a need to reduce fuel-bound nitrogen in fuel gas in order to meet NO_x limitations on various units. Further, Calumet has identified mechanical issues with Boiler #3 which has resulted in the potential for excess NO_x emissions. Bringing a temporary low NO_x boiler on-site will allow Calumet to produce steam for operations while ongoing efforts are undertaken to reduce plant wide NO_x emissions. The low NO_x boiler will provide for reduced emissions of NO_x per pound of steam produced compared to the NO_x performance capabilities of Boilers #1 and #2.

Boiler #3, the new low NO_x boiler, and the ammonia combustor were determined technically and

economically related to the expansion project and were included in the expansion project as new units. The purpose of this permitting action is to establish limits which maintain the net emissions increases to less than the significant emissions rates for NO_x and CO, or less than the amount of other emissions previously reviewed for the expansion project. All pollutants were reviewed, and the project was re-permitted as if the project had not been previously permitted. A request in the future to modify or replace associated units would require a reassessment of the project emissions. The allowable operating capacity of the associated refining unit heaters as a whole was reduced in the current operational scenario, and future projects to reduce emissions will be necessary to gain full use of the increased refining capacity capable of being accomplished with the associated equipment installed for avoidance of PSD.

During PSD review, Calumet identified that Tank #50 and #102 will not be equipped with tank heaters and the emissions were removed from considerations in contemporaneous emissions increases. **MAQP #2161-30** replaced MAQP #2161-29.

On March 20, 2019, DEQ received from Calumet an application to include expansion of cooling tower capacity at the refinery. DEQ determined, and Calumet has concurred, that the expansion of cooling tower capacity was part of the refinery expansion project. Emissions increases therefore were required to be reviewed and permitted as part of the refinery expansion project. **MAQP #2161-31** updated the refinery expansion project net emissions increase calculations, assigned Best Available Control Technology conditions to the cooling towers to minimize volatile organic compound and particulate matter emissions, and assigned associated compliance monitoring. Additionally, Calumet requested to remove the Temporary Boiler (Boiler #4) from the project, as the boiler was not expected to be used in the future. In addition, with Calumet's concurrence, DEQ removed the averaging periods associated with particulate matter, carbon monoxide, and carbon dioxide emission limits on the Crude #2 Heaters.

On July 12, 2019, DEQ received from Calumet an application to modify the MAQP. Calumet sought to relax the control requirements on Tanks #125 and #128, due to a finding that the tanks were out-of-round, making seals associated with floating roof design to be infeasible to maintain. These tanks are in heavy liquid service, and as such, DEQ approved request to maintain these tanks as fixed roof tanks with submerged fill. In doing so, the emissions increases associated with the expansion project was updated, and Best Available Control Technology (BACT) review was presented in demonstration that the requirements of BACT were maintained (see the permit analysis). Conditions were established to require the fixed roof tanks be maintained in heavy liquids service with submerged fill practices maintained. Prior requirements that these tanks be maintained with floating roof design were removed. **MAQP #2161-32** replaced MAQP #2161-31.

On June 29, 2018, DEQ received a Title V renewal application from Calumet, which was assigned application number **#OP2161-12**. This application included applicable requirements related to the expansion project authorized in MAQP #2161-30.

On March 20, 2019, DEQ received a Title V significant modification application to include the expansion of cooling tower capacity at the refinery authorized in MAQP #2161-31. This was assigned application number **#OP2161-13**.

On July 12, 2019, DEQ received a Title V significant modification application to relax the control requirements of Tanks #125 and #128 authorized in MAQP #2161-32. This was assigned application number **#OP2161-14**.

On February 27, 2020, DEQ received a Title V administrative amendment requesting correction of several administrative errors discovered in review of the proposed version of the permit posted as #OP2161-14. DEQ rolled this request into the action to ensure a final permit with corrections made as appropriate. The permit action addressed all four of these actions. **Operating Permit #OP2161-15** replaced Operating Permit #OP2161-10.

On December 31, 2019, DEQ received from Calumet an application to modify NO_x emissions limitations associated with the #2 Crude Vacuum Heater (H-2102), and the Mild Hydrocracker Reactor Fractionation Heater (H-4102). Both heaters received BACT limitations of 0.035 lb/MMBtu on a 30-day rolling average basis. Calumet requested that these limits be modified to 0.040 lb/MMBtu, on a 3-hour average basis. Such change aligned the averaging period to the compliance demonstration methodology Calumet would prefer for these heaters. MAQP #2161-33 was issued final on February 26, 2020, which incorporated these changes. The t permit action was the Title V follow up, incorporating the limitations into the Title V. **Operating Permit #OP2161-16 and TRD2161-16** replaced Operating Permit #OP2161-15 and TRD2161-15.

On December 7, 2021, DEQ received from Calumet an application for a significant modification. The application proposed revisions to the sour water stripper overhead (SWSOH) combustion-related conditions. Since the application was received, an additional MAQP (#2161-38) was issued, but DEQ only made changes covered by the existing application received on December 7, 2021. Operating Permit **Operating Permit #OP2161-17** replaced Operating Permit #OP2161-16.

On February 9, 2023, DEQ received an application from Calumet for a significant modification. The requested changes from the application were the following:

- Removal of CD requirements that are not final emissions limits, standards, and/or schedules that do not apply after the termination of the CD. These “non surviving” requirements do not get incorporated into permits because they terminate when the CD terminates.
- Removal of emissions limits, standards, and/or schedules applicable to Navajo Refining Company, L.P., but not to Calumet. Navajo Refining Company, L.P. is a separate company operating under a separate air permit. These provisions should not be in Calumet’s permit even though they are in the same Consent Decree because they are not applicable to Calumet’s refinery.
- Removal references to the CD for CD derived emissions limits, standards, and/or schedules that reference the CD. A construction and/or TVOP should not reference a CD, but rather should reference an independent legal basis for the requirement (e.g., Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources [NSPS] or ARM citation, etc.) because the Consent Decree will ultimately be terminated, and the permit provision must have an independent legal basis.
- Addition of CD final emission limits and standards that are not currently included in the MAQP or TVOP, but will be required to be included prior to termination of the CD, i.e., surviving requirements.
- Revision of applicability of equipment components subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart GGGa – Standards of Performance for Equipment Leaks of VOC in Petroleum Refineries for Which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification Commenced After November 7,

2006, as a result of recent modifications at the Refinery.

- Overall permit hygiene.
- Change in the permit responsible official to Carlos Centurion.

On April 26, 2023, DEQ received an application from Calumet for a significant modification. The requested changes fall into the following categories:

In the July 2, 2021, Asphalt Upgrades Project MAQP application, CMR indicated the Hot Oil Heater (H-1903) and the Hot Oil Heater (H-1904) would be installed at the refinery as part of the project and the heaters would combust refinery fuel gas (RFG). However, the Hot Oil Heater (H-1903) was not connected to the refinery's RFG system and the Hot Oil Heater (H-1904) would not be connected to the refinery's RFG system. Instead, the Hot Oil Heater (H-1903) was connected to the refinery's natural gas fuel system and the Hot Oil Heater (H-1904) would be connected to the refinery's natural gas fuel system. Therefore, CMR revised the heaters' potential to emit sulfur dioxide calculations and regulatory applicability determinations to reflect that they will combust natural gas only.

The Asphalt Upgrades Project included, among other things, the replacement of a PMA Unit asphalt storage tank and several PMA Unit process tanks to optimize the PMA Unit's batch operations. In the July 2, 2021, Asphalt Upgrades Project MAQP application, CMR calculated the post-project potential to emit hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) and volatile organic compound (VOC) emission rates for the PMA Unit's storage tanks and process tanks based on pre-project engineering estimates of the H₂S and VOC concentrations expected to be in the vapor space of the storage tanks and process tanks. After restarting the PMA Unit, CMR learned that the referenced H₂S concentration estimates for all the PMA Unit's storage tanks and process tanks should be revised upward, while the referenced VOC concentration estimates for certain PMA Unit storage tanks and process tanks should be revised upward. Therefore, CMR made these revisions to the relevant storage tank and process tank H₂S and VOC potential to emit calculations.

Since the February 9, 2023, and April 26, 2023, applications were received within a couple of months of each other, both applications were processed as a single permit action, and both permit actions were incorporated into #OP2161-19. #OP2161-18 was skipped as a permitting number.

On November 3, 2023, DEQ received an application from Calumet for a significant modification. The requested changes from the application were the following:

Transfer equipment from Calumet to Montana Renewables LLC. On the same day, an application was also received to establish a new Title V Operating Permit for MRL. The Calumet application requested the transfer from the Calumet Title V Permit to the new MRL Title V Permit.

On May 7, 2024, a Responsible Official change was requested, and that change is being processed as an administrative amendment, and the earlier November 3, 2023, significant modification and the AA are being issued under OP #2161-21. Therefore, OP2161-20 will not be issued.

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has removed the “emergency” affirmative defense provisions from the Clean Air Act’s (CAA) title V operating permit program regulations. These

provisions established an affirmative defense that sources could have asserted in enforcement cases brought for noncompliance with technology-based emission limitations in operating permits, provided that the exceedances occurred due to qualifying emergency circumstances. These provisions, which have never been required elements of state operating permit programs, are being removed because they are inconsistent with the EPA's interpretation of the enforcement structure of the CAA. Each state which has emergency provisions within their title V operating permit programs will need to remove the language and provisions in title V operating permits at their next renewal or during normal permit revisions. The emergency provisions formerly located in this section are no longer applicable to this Title V operating permit.

Operating Permit #OP2161-21 replaced #OP2161-19

D. Current Permit Action

On June 6, 2025, DEQ received a letter from Calumet requesting an Administrative Amendment of Operating Permit #OP2161-21. On the same date, a request for an administrative amendment of MAQP #2161-39 was received to address the same issues. MAQP #2161-40 was deemed final and effective on July 2, 2025.

The request for Administrative Amendment addresses conditions related to final emissions limits and standards from the 2002 Consent Decree (United States of America, et al., v. Navajo Refining Company, LP, U.S. District Court for the District of New Mexico, Civ. No. 01-1422LH (2002). Unrelated to the administrative Consent Decree updates, Calumet also requested the removal of Hot Oil Heater 1904 (H-1904), since it was not constructed. Permit conditions for H-1904 were removed. Additionally, equipment which has been transferred to Montana Renewables, LLC, has been removed from the OP.

Operating Permit #OP2161-22 replaces #OP2161-21

E. Private Property Assessment

As required by 2-10-105, MCA, DEQ conducted the following private property taking and damaging assessment.

YES	NO	
X		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation affecting private real property or water rights?
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private property?
	X	3. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership? (ex.: right to exclude others, disposal of property)
	X	4. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?
	X	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant an easement? [If no, go to (6)].
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and legitimate state interests?
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the property?
	X	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property? (consider economic impact, investment-backed expectations, character of government action)
	X	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with respect

YES	NO	
		to the property in excess of that sustained by the public generally?
	X	7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?
	X	7b. Has government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible, waterlogged or flooded?
	X	7c. Has government action lowered property values by more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?
	X	Takings or damaging implications? (Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is checked in response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b; the shaded areas)

Based on this analysis, DEQ determined there are no taking or damaging implications associated with this permit action.

F. Compliance Designation

On September 13, 2024, DEQ staff conducted an on-site inspection of the facility. A full compliance evaluation (FCE) was completed for the period August 21, 2021, thru September 26, 2024.

The report concluded that DEQ believes that Calumet is in compliance with the applicable requirements for the period covered (August 21, 2021 thru September 26, 2024).

SECTION II SUMMARY OF EMISSION UNITS

A. Facility Process Description

Calumet refines petroleum hydrocarbons at a small refinery in Great Falls, Montana. The facility consists of the following major processing units:

- **Crude Distillation** - #1 and #2 Crude Units with atmospheric and vacuum distillation – separating crude oil into component parts (heavier and lighter fractions)
- **Fluidized Catalytic Cracking Unit (FCCU)** – breaking larger chains into smaller chains
- **Catalytic Reformer Unit** – convert lower octane components to high octane reformates
- **Catalytic Poly Unit** – increase lighter, smaller chains into heavier, larger chains
- **Alkylation Unit**– increase lighter, smaller chains into heavier, larger chains
- **Isomerization Unit** – convert linear molecules into higher-octane branched molecules
- **Hydrogen Plants #1, #2, and #3** – create hydrogen for use in the plant i.e. – hydrotreating and hydrocracking
- **Polymer-Modified Asphalt (PMA) Unit** – heavy asphalt handling including heated tanks
- **Hydrotreater Unit** – reducing sulfur and nitrogen content
- **Product Loading** – loading of finished product into cargo tanks
- **Cooling Towers** – cools water used in heat exchangers throughout the process
- **Wastewater Collection and Treatment** – individual drain systems and treatment
- **Boilers** – provides heat via steam for use throughout the process
- **Storage Tanks** - crude oil, intermediates, additives, and products
- **Internal Combustion Engines** – air compression, water pumping, firewater, etc.
- **Flares and Fuel Gas Scrubber Unit** – flares are a control device for hundreds of emissions points throughout the process, and is an important safety device during maintenance, malfunctions and non-steady state conditions such as startup and shutdown. Gas from the process is treated in the fuel gas scrubber unit to reduce sulfur content to minimize SO₂ emissions created during combustion.

B. Emission Units and Pollution Control Device Identification

The following table includes the significant emitting units contained in the permit. Calumet must comply with the applicable requirements for each emitting units listed below.

Title V Section	Description	Pollution Control Device/Practice
#1 Crude Unit		
Section III.C: <u>Error! Reference source not found.</u>	#1 Crude Atmospheric Heater, H-0101, 30 MMBtu/hr	NSPS J, MACT DDDDD, Burner Management System, NO _x and CO Umbrella Limits
	#1 Crude Vacuum Heater, H-0102, 7 MMBtu/hr	NSPS J, MACT DDDDD, Burner Management System, NO _x and CO Umbrella Limits
	Equipment Components	LDAR, NSPS GGGa, MACT CC

Title V Section	Description	Pollution Control Device/Practice
	Individual Drain System	NSPS QQQ, MACT CC, NESHAP FF
#2 Crude Unit		
Section III.D: <u>Error! Reference source not found.</u>	#2 Crude Atmospheric Heater, H-2101, 71 MMBtu/hr	NSPS Ja, MACT DDDDD, Burner Management System, ULNB, NO _x and CO Umbrella Limits
	#2 Crude Vacuum Heater, H-2102, 27 MMBtu/hr	NSPS Ja, MACT DDDDD, Burner Management System, ULNB, NO _x and CO Umbrella Limits
	Equipment Components	NSPS GGGa, MACT CC
	Individual Drain System	NSPS QQQ, MACT CC, NESHAP FF
Catalytic Polymerization Unit		
Section III. <u>Error! Reference source not found.; Error! Reference source not found.U04-</u> Catalytic Polymerization Unit	Equipment Components	MACT CC
	Individual Drain System	NSPS QQQ, MACT CC, NESHAP FF
FCCU		
Section III.F: <u>Error! Reference source not found.</u>	Catalyst Regenerator	MACT UUU, NSPS J v
	FCCU Preheater, H-0302, 8.9 MMBtu/hr	MACT DDDDD, NSPS J
	Equipment Components	NSPS GGGa, MACT CC
	Individual Drain System	NSPS QQQ, MACT CC, NESHAP FF
Catalytic Reformer and Naphtha Units		
Section III.G: Error! Reference source not found.	Reformer Heater, H-0403, 24.2 MMBtu/hr	NSPS J, MACT DDDDD
	Process Vents	MACT CC, MACT UUU
	Equipment Components	MACT CC
	Individual Drain System	NSPS QQQ, MACT CC, NESHAP FF
	Naphtha Heater, H-0402a, 13.6 MMBtu/hr	NSPS Ja, MACT DDDDD
	Naphtha Splitter Reboiler, H-0405, 9.9 MMBtu/hr	NSPS Ja, MACT DDDDD
	Process Vents	MACT CC
	Equipment Components	NSPS GGGa, MACT CC
Alkylation Unit		
Section III.H: <u>Error! Reference source not found.</u>	Deisobutanizer Reboiler, 28 MMBtu/hr	NSPS J, MACT DDDDD
	Equipment Components	MACT CC
	Individual Drain System	NSPS QQQ, MACT CC, NESHAP FF
	Pressure Vessels in HF Service	Flare System
Isomerization Unit		
Section III.I: <u>Error! Reference source not found.</u>	Equipment Components	MACT CC
	Individual Drain System	NSPS QQQ, MACT CC, NESHAP FF
Hydrogen Plants		
Section III.J: <u>Error! Reference source not found.</u>		

Title V Section	Description	Pollution Control Device/Practice
H ₂ Plant #2		
	#2 H ₂ Plant Furnace – H-2815, 80 MMBtu/hr	NSPS Ja, MACT DDDDD, ULNB
	#2 H ₂ Plant Components	NSPS GGGa
	#2 H ₂ Plant Individual Drain System	NSPS QQQ, MACT CC, NESHAP FF
Polymer-Modified Asphalt Unit		
Section III.K: Error! Reference source not found.		
	Tank 55 - Asphalt	NSPS UU, MACT CC – Carbon Adsorption Device
	Tank 130 – PMA	MACT CC- Carbon Adsorption Device
	Tank 132 – PMA	MACT CC- Carbon Adsorption Device
	Tank 133 – PMA	MACT CC-Carbon Adsorption Device
	Tank 135 – Asphalt	MACT CC
	Tank 135 Heater – 6 MMBtu/hr	NSPS J, MACT DDDDD
	Tank 137 – Asphalt	NSPS UU, MACT CC
	Tank 137 Heater – 1.4 MMBtu/hr	NSPS J, NSPS UU, MACT CC
	Tank 138 – Asphalt	NSPS UU; MACT CC
	Tank 138 Heater – 1.4 MMBtu/hr	NSPS Ja, MACT DDDDD
	Tank 139 – Asphalt	NSPS UU; MACT CC
	Tank 139 Heater – 4 MMBtu/hr	NSPS J, MACT DDDDD
	Equipment Components	NSPS GGGa, MACT CC
	Individual Drain System	MACT CC, NESHAP FF, NSPS QQQ
	Hot Oil Heater (H-1903)	NSPS Dc, MACT DDDDD - ULNB
	Wetting Tank (D-1901)	MACT CC – Carbon Adsorption Device
	Crosslinking Tank (D-1907)	MACT CC – Carbon Adsorption Device
	PMA Unit Polymer Handling Operations	Partial/Full Enclosure at Automated Transfer Points
	PMA Unit Prilled Sulfur Handling Operations	Full Enclosure at Automated Transfer Points
	Letdown Tanks T-130, T-132 and T-133	
	Polymer Feed and Cross Linking Additive	
Hydrotreater Unit		
	HTU Heater, H-1701, 22.5 MMBtu/hr	NSPS J, MACT DDDDD

Title V Section	Description	Pollution Control Device/Practice
	Process Vents	MACT CC
	Equipment Components	NSPS GGGa, MACT CC
	Individual Drain System	NSPS QQQ, MACT CC, NESHAP FF
Flares and Flare Gas Scrubber Unit		
Section III.N: Error! Reference source not found.	Primary Flare #1 – Air Assisted	NSPS Ja, MACT CC, Air Assisted, H ₂ S Scrubbing
	Secondary Flare (Flare #2) – Air Assisted	NSPS Ja, MACT CC, Air Assisted
	NaHS Process Vents	MACT CC
	NaHS Equipment Components	MACT GGGa, MACT CC
	Flare Gas Scrubber	NSPS GGGa/VVa, MACT CC
Product Loading		
Section III.Q: Error! Reference source not found.		
Truck Loading Rack		
	Truck Loading Rack – Gasoline	MACT R, MACT CC
	Truck Loading Rack VCU	MACT R, MAQP BACT
	Truck Loading Rack Equipment Components	MACT CC
	Individual Drain System	NSPS QQQ, MACT CC, NESHAP FF
Railcar Loading Rack		
	Railcar Loading Rack – Gasoline	MACT R, MACT CC
	Railcar Loading Rack – Naphtha	MACT EEEE
	Railcar Loading Rack VCU	MACT R, MAQP BACT
	Railcar Loading Rack Equipment Components	MACT CC
	Renewable Naphtha	
	Individual Drain System	NSPS QQQ, MACT CC, NESHAP FF
Cooling Towers		
Section III.P: Error! Reference source not found.	North Cooling Tower	Mist Eliminator, Total Dissolved Solids Control, MACT CC
	South Cooling Tower	Mist Eliminator, Total Dissolved Solids Control, MACT CC
Wastewater Collection and Treatment		
Section III.Q: Error! Reference source not found.	Individual Drain Systems, Junction Boxes, and Sampling Devices	NSPS QQQ, MACT CC, NESHAP FF
	API Separator, Aeration Tank, DAF Unit, etc	NSPS QQQ, MACT CC, NESHAP FF
	Closed Vent Systems and Control Devices	NSPS QQQ, MACT CC, NESHAP FF
	External Floating Roof, Wastewater Tanks	NSPS QQQ, MACT CC, NESHAP FF
Boilers		
Section III.R: Error! Reference source not found.	Boiler #1, B-0701, stack combined with Boiler #2	NSPS J, MACT DDDDD, NO _x and CO Umbrella Limits
	Boiler #2, B-0702, stack combined with Boiler #1	NSPS J, MACT DDDDD, NO _x and CO Umbrella Limits
	Boiler #3, B-0703, 60.5 MMBtu/hr	NSPS Ja, NSPS Dc, MACT DDDDD, ULNB, Flue Gas

Title V Section	Description	Pollution Control Device/Practice
		Recirculation, NO _x and CO Umbrella Limits
	Individual Drain System	NSPS QQQ
Storage Tanks		
Section III.S: Error! Reference source not found.	Tank 1: 152 bbl, Fixed Roof in Jet Fuel Additive service	MACT EEEE
	Tank 2: 800 bbl Pressure Vessel in Propane service	Intrinsic Design
	Tank 3: 2,000 bbl Pressure Vessel in Isobutane service	Intrinsic Design
	Tank 4: 600 bbl Pressure Vessel in Butane service	Intrinsic Design
	Tank 5: 600 bbl Pressure Vessel in Isobutane service	Intrinsic Design
	Tank 10: 375 bbl Fixed Roof tank in Transmix service	MACT CC
	Tank 14: 1,400 bbl Pressure Vessel in Isobutane service	Intrinsic Design
	Tank 15: 1,400 bbl Pressure Vessel in Butane service	Intrinsic Design
	Tank 24 Fixed Roof in Fire Water Service	Not regulated
	Tank 47: 20,500 bbl Fixed Roof in Middle Distillates Service	MACT CC
	Tank 48: 20,500 bbl Fixed Roof in Middle Distillates Service	MACT CC
	Tank 49: 20,500 bbl Fixed Roof in Middle Distillates Service	MACT CC
	Tank 51: 21,000 bbl Fixed Roof in Treated Gas Oil Service	MACT CC
	Tank 52: 19,000 bbl External Floating Roof in Gasoline service	MACT CC, NSPS Kb
	Tank 54: 18,000 bbl Fixed Roof in fire water	Not regulated
	Tank 58: 20,983 bbl Fixed Roof in Middle Distillates Service	MACT CC
	Tank 122: 21,900 bbl External Floating Roof in Gasoline service	MACT CC, NSPS Kb
	Tank 123: 21,900 bbl External Floating Roof in Gasoline service	MACT CC, NSPS Kb
	Tank 124: 21,500 bbl External Floating Roof in Naphtha service	MACT CC, NSPS Kb
	Tank 125: 38,500 bbl Fixed Roof in Heavy Liquids service	MACT CC
	Tank 126: 29,500 bbl External Floating Roof in Gasoline service	MACT CC, NSPS Kb
	Tank 127: 21,500 bbl External Floating Roof in Gasoline service	MACT CC
	Tank 150: 30,100 bbl Fixed Roof in Raw Kerosene service	MACT CC
	Tank 170: 10,200 bbl Fixed Roof in Distillate service	MACT CC
	Tank 171: 10,200 bbl Fixed Roof in Distillate service	MACT CC
	Tank 175: 400 bbl Fixed Roof in Ethanol service	MACT CC
	Tank 176: 5,000 bbl Internal Floating Roof in Ethanol service	MACT CC, NSPS Kb
	Tank 201: 69,700 bbl External Floating Roof in Crude Oil service	MACT CC, NSPS Kb
	Tank 202: 69,700 bbl External Floating Roof in Crude Oil service	MACT CC, NSPS Kb
	Tank Farm Equipment Components	MACT CC
	Individual Drain System	MACT CC, NSPS QQQ, NESHAP FF
Stationary Internal Combustion Engines		
Section III.T: Error! Reference source not found. EU19a: GEN1 EU19b: AC1 EU19c: WP1	GEN1: 400 hp diesel fired Emergency Generator	NSPS IIII, MACT ZZZZ
	AC1: 540 hp diesel fired Emergency Air Compressor Engine	NSPS IIII, MACT ZZZZ
	WP1: 165 hp, diesel fired Emergency Storm Water Pump	NSPS IIII, MACT ZZZZ
	WP2: 240 hp, diesel fired Tank 54 Emergency Fire Water Pump	MACT ZZZZ
	WP3: 300 hp, diesel fired Tank 24 Emergency Fire Water Pump	NSPS IIII, MACT ZZZZ

Title V Section	Description	Pollution Control Device/Practice
EU19d: WP2 EU19e: WP3 EU19f: WP4	WP4: 300 hp, diesel fired Tank 146 Emergency Fire Water Pump	NSPS IIII, MACT ZZZZ

C. Categorically Insignificant Sources/Activities

ARM 17.8.1201(22)(a) defines an insignificant emissions unit as one that emits less than 5 TPY of any regulated pollutant, has the potential to emit less than 500 pounds per year of lead or any hazardous air pollutant, and is not regulated by an applicable requirement other than the generally applicable requirement.

Emissions Unit ID	Description	Associated Unit(s)
IEU 1	Chemical Additive Pots	Crude Unit, Cat Poly Unit, Cat Reformer Unit, Storage Loadout Unit, Utility Unit, Asphalt Polymerization Unit
IEU 2	Chemical Additive Tanks	Hydrogen Unit
IEU 3	Tank 117 – Sodium Hydrosulfide Tank	H ₂ S Scrubbing
IEU 4	Tank 217 – Off Spec Sodium Hydrosulfide Tank	H ₂ S Scrubbing
IEU 5	1,042-gallon Diesel Tank	Mobil source diesel fuel tank
IEU 6	11,900-barrel Fixed Roof Ammonia Tank	Tank 160

SECTION III. PERMIT CONDITIONS

A. Emission Limits and Standards

Calumet shall comply with the general applicable requirements as well as some specific requirements.

Calumet shall comply with opacity limitations of 20% and 40%, depending on the year of installation for a given piece of equipment. In addition, the loading rack VCUs are limited to 10% opacity and asphalt tanks which are subject to 40 CFR 60, Subpart UU shall comply with a 0% opacity limitation.

Calumet is no longer exempt from the sulfur in fuel limitation of 50 gr H₂S /100 cubic feet in ARM 17.8.322(5), because the facility is no longer limited to less than 10,000 barrels of crude per day. However, since the facility became subject to 40 CFR 60, Subpart J and Ja fuel gas combustion device requirements, Calumet is also required to meet the more stringent refinery fuel gas limitations. In addition, although Calumet is subject to the sulfur in fuel rule for liquid or solid material of 1 lb/MMBtu, they are not allowed to burn liquid or solid fuels due to the Consent Decree.

Calumet has plant-wide SO₂ limitations that must be complied with both on an annual basis of 1,515 TPY and a basis of 4.15 tons per day. Calumet also has a plant-wide CO emission limitation of 4,700 TPY and 12.9 tons per day. Calumet must also comply with specific SO₂, NO_x, and CO emission limitations on the Boilers #1 and #2 stack, the Boiler #3 stack, and the FCCU, as well as a PM limit for the FCCU. The Diesel/Gas HTU heater and the hydrogen plant reformer heater have NO_x and CO emission limitations.

Calumet has 'Umbrella' limits, which are PSD avoidance limits set up to provide flexibility regarding annualized mass emissions from those units listed. These limits were established for the refinery expansion project, where plantwide NO_x performance was of potential concern.

Calumet has a gasoline truck loading rack and a gasoline railcar loading rack with specific VOC, CO, and NO_x emission limitations. During permitting of the loading racks, Calumet completed risk assessments to demonstrate negligible risk to human health and the environment.

Calumet also has several sources listed in the permit that are subject to the NSPS requirements of 40 CFR, Subpart Dc, Subpart J, Subpart Ja, Subpart Kb, Subpart VV, Subpart UU, Subpart GGG, Subpart VVa, Subpart GGGa, and Subpart QQQ. Several sources are also subject to the MACT requirements of 40 CFR 63, Subpart R Subpart CC, Subpart UUU, Subpart ZZZZ, Subpart EEEE, Subpart DDDDD. Calumet is also subject to 40 CFR 61, Subpart FF, Subpart J, Subpart M, and Subpart V. If at any time from the Date of Lodging of the Consent Decree Calumet is determined to have a total annual benzene (TAB) equal to or greater than 10 Mg/yr, Calumet, as applicable, shall comply with the compliance option set forth at 40 CFR 61.342(e).

B. Monitoring Requirements

ARM 17.8.1212(1) requires that all monitoring and analysis procedures or test methods required under applicable requirements be contained in operating permits. In addition, when the applicable requirement does not require periodic testing or monitoring, periodic monitoring must be prescribed that is sufficient to yield reliable data from the relevant time period that is representative of the source's compliance with the permit. Further, ARM 17.8.1213(2) requires that the permit contain compliance certification, testing, monitoring, reporting, and recordkeeping requirements sufficient to assure compliance with the terms and conditions of the permit.

The requirements for testing, monitoring, recordkeeping, reporting, and compliance certification sufficient to assure compliance does not require the permit to impose the same level of rigor for all emission units. Furthermore, it does not require extensive testing or monitoring to assure compliance with the applicable requirements for emission units that do not have significant potential to violate emission limitations or other requirements under normal operating conditions. When compliance with the underlying applicable requirement for an insignificant emissions unit is not threatened by lack of regular monitoring and when periodic testing or monitoring is not otherwise required by the applicable requirement, the status quo (**i.e., no monitoring**) will meet the requirements of ARM 17.8.1212(1). Therefore, the permit is not required to include monitoring for insignificant emission units.

The permit includes periodic monitoring or recordkeeping for each applicable requirement. The information obtained from the monitoring and recordkeeping will be used by Calumet to periodically certify compliance with the emission limits and standards. However, DEQ may request additional testing to determine compliance with the emission limits and standards.

C. Test Methods and Procedures

The operating permit may not require testing for all sources if routine monitoring is used to determine compliance, but DEQ has the authority to require testing if deemed necessary to determine compliance with an emission limit or standard. In addition, Calumet may elect to voluntarily conduct compliance testing to confirm its compliance status.

A summarizing table is provided in each section to provide an overview of testing required for each processing unit. In addition to periodic testing required throughout the permit, many units are required to install, operate, calibrate, and maintain continuous emissions monitoring systems (CEMS). In cases where a unit is subject to both CEMS and periodic testing, annual RATAs may suffice in meeting the periodic testing requirement.

D. Recordkeeping Requirements

Calumet is required to keep all records listed in the operating permit as a permanent business record for at least 5 years following the date of the generation of the record. The records are required to be maintained under Calumet's control and available to DEQ upon request within a reasonable amount of time.

E. Reporting Requirements

Reporting requirements are included in the permit for each emissions unit and Section V of the operating permit "General Conditions" explains the reporting requirements. However, Calumet is required to submit quarterly, semiannual and annual monitoring reports to DEQ and to annually certify compliance with the applicable requirements contained in the permit. The reports must include a list of all emission limit and monitoring deviations, the reason for any deviation, and the corrective action taken as a result of any deviation. Quarterly reports required by the Consent Decree shall be submitted to DEQ on or before January 31 and July 31 and additionally on or before April 30 and October 31.

To eliminate redundant reporting, a source may reference previously submitted reports (with at least the date and subject of the report) in the semiannual and annual reports instead of resubmitting the

information in monthly, quarterly, and/or other reports. However, a source must still certify continuous or intermittent compliance with each applicable requirement annually.

F. Public Notice

This action is an administrative amendment and no public notice is required for this action.

SECTION IV NON-APPLICABLE REQUIREMENT ANALYSIS

Section IV of the operating permit contains Non-Applicable Requirements. The following table summarizes the requirements that Calumet previously identified as non-applicable and contains the reasons that DEQ did not include these requirements as non-applicable in the permit.

Applicable Requirement	Reason
Federal Requirements	
40 CFR 72 Permit Regulation (Acid Rain Permit) 40 CFR 73 Sulfur Dioxide Allowance System 40 CFR 74 Sulfur Dioxide OPT-Ins 40 CFR 75 CEM (Acid Rain Emission Monitoring) 40 CFR 76 Acid Rain Nitrogen Oxides Emission Reduction Program 40 CFR 77 Excess Emissions (Acid Rain) 40 CFR 78 Appeal Procedures for Acid Rain Program 40 CFR 63 Subpart Q – Cooling Towers MACT 40 CFR 63 Subpart VV – MACT for Oil-Water Separators	These regulations do or may become applicable to this facility, or the source category or equipment types related to the rule are located at this facility.
40 CFR 82 Protection of Stratospheric Ozone (except Subpart F)	These rules contain requirements for processes, equipment, or activity that is potentially used at the facility.
FCAA Title I Part D Plan Requirements for Non-Attainment Areas FCAA Section 111(d)	These rules have specific requirements that may become relevant to a major source during the permit span.

SECTION V. FUTURE PERMIT CONSIDERATIONS

A. MACT Standards

DEQ is not aware of any proposed or pending MACT standards, in addition to those already listed, that may be applicable. Calumet could become subject to 40 CFR, Subpart GGGGG – National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Site Remediation in the future.

Calumet is affected by the Refinery Sector Rule updates made to 40 CFR 63 Subpart CC and UUU.

B. NESHAP Standards

DEQ is not aware of any proposed or pending NESHAP standards, in addition to those already listed, that may be applicable.

C. NSPS Standards

DEQ is not aware of any proposed or pending NSPS standards, in addition to those already listed that may be applicable at this time.

Calumet is affected by the Refinery Sector Rule updates made to 40 CFR 60 Subpart J and Ja.

D. Risk Management Plan

Calumet has more than a threshold quantity of a regulated substance in a process and was required to comply with 40 CFR Part 68 requirements no later than June 21, 1999; 3 years after the date on which a regulated substance is first listed under 40 CFR Part 68.130; or the date on which a regulated substance is first present in more than a threshold quantity in a process, whichever is later.

E. Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) Plan

An emitting unit located at a Title V facility that meets the following criteria listed in ARM 17.8.1503 is subject to Subchapter 15 and must develop a CAM Plan for that unit:

- The emitting unit is subject to an emission limitation or standard for the applicable regulated air pollutant (other than emission limits or standards proposed after November 15, 1990, since these regulations contain specific monitoring requirements);
- The emitting unit uses a control device to achieve compliance with such limit; and
- The emitting unit has potential pre-control device emission of the applicable regulated air pollutant that are greater than major source thresholds.

Calumet submitted information on September 5, 2013 to show that CAM is not applicable to any of the units at the petroleum refinery. The refinery expansion project did not result in any new emitting units triggering CAM applicability.

F. Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) and Title V Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Rule

On May 7, 2010, EPA published the “light duty vehicle rule” (Docket # EPA-HQ-OAR- 2009-0472, 75 FR 25324) controlling greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from mobile sources, whereby GHG became a pollutant subject to regulation under the Federal and Montana Clean Air Act(s). On June 3, 2010, EPA promulgated the GHG “Tailoring Rule” (Docket # EPA-HQ-OAR-2009-0517, 75 FR 31514) which modified 40 CFR Parts 51, 52, 70, and 71 to specify which facilities are subject to GHG permitting requirements and when such facilities become subject to regulation for GHG under the PSD and Title V programs.

Under the Tailoring Rule, any PSD action (either a new major stationary source or a major modification at a major stationary source) taken for a pollutant or pollutants other than GHG that was not final prior to January 2, 2011, would be subject to PSD permitting requirements for GHG if the GHG increases associated with that action were at or above 75,000 TPY of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂e). Similarly, if such action were taken, any resulting requirements would be subject to inclusion in the Title V Operating Permit.

Starting on July 1, 2011, PSD permitting requirements would be triggered for modifications that were determined to be major under PSD based on GHG emissions alone, even if no other pollutant triggered a major modification. Sources that are not considered PSD major sources based on criteria pollutant emissions would become subject to PSD review if their facility-wide potential emissions equaled or exceeded 100,000 TPY of CO₂e and 100 or 250 TPY of GHG on a mass basis depending on their listed status in ARM 17.8.801(22) and they undertook a permitting action with increases of 75,000 TPY or more of CO₂e and greater than 0 TPY of GHG on a mass basis. With respect to Title V, sources not currently holding a Title V permit that have potential facility-wide emissions equal to or exceeding 100,000 TPY of CO₂e and 100 TPY of GHG on a mass basis would be required to obtain a Title V Operating Permit.

The Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS), in its *Utility Air Regulatory Group v. EPA* decision on June 23, 2014, ruled that the Clean Air Act neither compels nor permits EPA to require a source to obtain a PSD or Title V permit on the sole basis of its potential emissions of GHG. SCOTUS also ruled that EPA lacked the authority to tailor the Clean Air Act’s unambiguous numerical thresholds of 100 or 250 TPY to accommodate a CO₂e threshold of 100,000 TPY. SCOTUS upheld that EPA reasonably interpreted the Clean Air Act to require sources that would need PSD permits based on their emission of conventional pollutants to comply with BACT for GHG. As such, the Tailoring Rule has been rendered invalid and sources cannot become subject to PSD or Title V regulations based on GHG emissions alone. Sources that must undergo PSD permitting due to pollutant emissions other than GHG may still be required to comply with BACT for GHG emissions.