MONTANA BOARD OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW BOARD MEETING

TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS

Held at the Metcalf Building, Room 111 1520 East Sixth Avenue Helena, Montana

> March 19, 2010 9:03 a.m.

REPORTED BY: CHERYL ROMSA

FOR:

CRUTCHER COURT REPORTING

P.O. BOX 1192

HELENA, MONTANA 59624-1192

Ī	Ν	D	F	X

PAGE

3

Board Meeting Opened by Chairman Russell	
Approval of 1/14 and 1/22/2010 Minutes	4
Contested Case Update	
Katherine Orr 5	
Initiation of Rulemaking	
IIIA2 - Eugene Pizzini 7	
IIIA1 - Ricknold Thompson 12	
IIIA3 - Bob Bukantis 16	
Mark Bostrom 27	
Bob Bukantis 30	
Rod McNeil 38	
Don Allen 40	
Brenda Lindlief-Hall 43	
Becca Fischer 44	
Bob Bukantis 45	
John North 48	
Repeal, Amendment, or Adoption of Final Rules	
IIIB1 - Eugene Pizzini 51	
John Camden 55	
IIIB2 - Ed Hayes 60	
New Contested Case Appeals	
Katherine Orr	
John Arrigo 66	
Other Action on Contested Cases	
Katherine Orr 68	
Other Askins Thomas Malausa tailings	
Other Action Items - McLaren tailings Tom Henderson	
, ,	
Tom Root	
Tom Henderson	
Tom Root	
Mark Pearson	
Meeting adjourned 99	
2	

	Page 4
	Joyce Wittenberg; Cheryl Romsa is our court reporter; and
2	we have probably 15, 20 people in the room, predominantly
3	DEQ staff, but we also have a few members or a few
}	other folks here who I believe are here for specific
5	agenda items that we'll identify when that comes up.
;	And at this time. I'd like to see if anyone else is on

8 (No audible response.)

7

9 MR. LIVERS: It's our understanding we'll 10 probably be joined by a representative of the Greater 11 Yellowstone Coalition and also Northern Plains Resource 12 Council later in the meeting.

the conference by telephone besides board members.

13 So, Mr. Chairman, I think with that, we can move into 14 the agenda.

15 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right. First up on the 16 agenda are review and approval of the minutes; first, the 17 January 14th board teleconference. I'm sure anyone who 18 wanted to read through those has done that. Do I have a 19 motion to approve the minutes as submitted?

20 MR. MIRES: This is Larry Mires; I would so move.

21 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Is there a second?

22 MR. MILLER: Miller; I'll second.

23 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It's been seconded by Marv.

24 Any discussion?

25 (No audible response.)

1 WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had: 2 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It is 9:03, and I'll call this 3 regular meeting of the Board of Environmental Review to 4 order. 5 Tom, why don't we go ahead with the roll call. 6 MR. LIVERS: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

7 Members of the Board, for the record, Tom Livers, 8 Deputy Director of the DEQ. We'll do a roll call of

9 membership.

10 Mr. Anderson.

11 MR. ANDERSON: Here.

12 MR. LIVERS: Ms. Kaiser.

13 MS. KAISER: Here.

14 MR. LIVERS: Mr. Miller.

15 MR. MILLER: Here.

MR. LIVERS: Mr. Mires.

17 MR. MIRES: Here.

18 MR. LIVERS: Ms. Shropshire.

19 MS. SHROPSHIRE: Here.

20 MR. LIVERS: Mr. Whelan.

21 MR, WHELAN: Here.

22 MR. LIVERS: Chairman Russell.

23 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Here. 24

MR. LIVERS: Okay. Also here in Helena, we've

got the board attorney, Katherine Orr; board secretary,

1 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Hearing none, all those in

favor, signify by saying "aye."

(Vote.)

2

3

5

6

11

13

16

18

21

24

25

4 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Opposed.

(No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Motion carries.

7 The next item are the review and approval of the 8

January 22, 2010 teleconference minutes.

9 MR. WHELAN: This is Joe Whelan; I move to

10 approve those minutes, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right, it's been moved.

12 Is there a second?

MS. KAISER: I'll second; this is Heidi.

14 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It's been seconded by Heidi.

15 Any comments?

(No audible response.)

17 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Hearing none, all those in

favor, signify by saying "aye."

19 (Vote.)

20 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right, thank you.

Next item on the agenda are the briefings by

22 Katherine Orr, contested case update.

23 Katherine.

MS. ORR: Good morning, members of the Board.

Not too much to report on the first item under

16

Page 6

contested cases, cases assigned to me, and I'll just move 1 2 through some of the ones that maybe should have some 3 comment.

4 Item f is a case in the matter of violations of the 5 Clean Air Act of Montana by Sheep Mountain Properties. 6 That was a case where the Board granted a motion for 7 sanctions and gave a deadline for filing, a response to 8 discovery, and that was done.

In item q, in the matter of violations of the Montana Septage Disposal and Licensure Laws by Steven Kunkel, Montana Septic Service, Great Falls, this is an example of a case where one of the parties is acting pro se, but must get an attorney. So we are going to have a status conference on March 26 regarding the future of -- what the

parties might envision for proceeding on with the case. Juniper Hill Farm, the next one, has developed into a summary judgment posture, which I'll be ruling on.

Item k, I issued a second order granting extension of time on March 11th.

20 Item I, the Department filed a request for extension 21 on March 12th.

22 On item 2a there, the parties filed a stipulation for 23 extension on March 12th.

24 And on item 2b, an order granting extension was issued 25 on March 11th.

Eugene Pizzini, and I'm the rules expert for the Public 1 2 Water Supply Section of MDEQ.

3 The Department is proposing the following changes:

4 Update the adoption by a reference to reference the newest

edition of the applicable federal regulations, bring

6 department requirements into conformance with federal

7 requirements, and to amend existing rules to remove

8 language that may cause confusion to the regulated public.

9 As part of the Department's primacy agreement with the 10 USEPA for implementation of the requirements of the Safe

11 Drinking Water Act, the Department is required to have

12 rules no less stringent than comparable federal

13 requirements. Montana law requires that the state

14 regulations be no more stringent than federal requirements

15 unless specific requirements are met. In order to comply

16 with these two requirements, the Board of Environmental

17 Review adopts by a reference the federal requirements

18 listed in 40 CFR, Part 141. Currently, that adoption by

19 reference refers to the 2007 edition. The Department

20 proposes to update the reference to the 2009 edition. The

21 proposed amendment would produce no significant regulatory

22 changes.

23 In a previous rulemaking, the Board amended its rules 24 regarding the requirement that all systems that disinfect

with chlorine maintain a minimum disinfectant residual

Page 7

12

13

21

22

23

24

So that's that update.

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Thanks, Katherine.

Does the Board have any questions for Katherine regarding any of these?

(No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Hearing none, let's keep moving, then. The next item on the agenda, initiation of rulemaking and appointment of hearings officer. No. 1, amend 17.50 --

MR. LIVERS: Mr. Chairman, before you start on that, if we could, we're still waiting on the department representative on that one, so I might ask if we could go ahead to No. 2, and then we'll circle back on item 1, on the solid waste rules.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Okay, that's fine. Not 3, though?

MR. LIVERS: No. We'll catch 2 and then circle back to 1, and I think we'll be ready to go at that time.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: So the first initiation we will take up is to amend ARM 27.38.201A to update the adoption by reference to the 2009 edition of the CFR.

MR. LIVERS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And we have Mr. Eugene Pizzini here for the Department.

MR. PIZZINI: Good morning, Chairman Russell and members of the Board. For the record, my name is

of .2 milligrams per liter. This change removed the

numeric value and replaced it with the comparable federal

3 language "not detected." The proposed amendment to

ARM 17.38.234 would apply this change to the reporting 5

requirements, as well.

6 The proposed amendment to ARM 17.38.206 removes a 7

reference to federal language that no longer exists at 8 that location. Deletion of these erroneous citations

9 would remove a potential point of confusion to the

10 regulated community without adding to or removing

11 regulatory requirements.

The remainder of the proposed amendments are also intended to clarify the requirements for regulated

14 systems. When the Board adopted the 2007 edition of the

15 CFR by reference, it modified that adoption in various

rules to incorporate federal changes that were modified in 16

17 the Federal Register, which did not appear in the CFR at

18 that time. If the Board adopts the proposed amendment to

19 the 2009 edition of the CFR, the existing language would

20 be redundant and confusing.

These proposed amendments do not add any new significant requirements to the regulated public, and, in fact, reduce those requirements in some cases and remove potential areas of confusion that could lead to

noncompliance.

Page 10 Page 12 1 Therefore, the Department recommends initiation of 1 Tom. 2 2 rulemaking and appointment of a hearings officer for a MR. LIVERS: Okay, I'm going to turn it over to 3 public hearing. 3 Rick Thompson of our Permitting and Compliance Division. 4 4 Thank you. MR. THOMPSON: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, 5 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Thanks. 5 members of the Board. I'm sorry for my tardiness, but Tom 6 Does the Board have any questions for the Department? 6 took the blame for that one. For the record, my name is 7 7 Ricknold Thompson. I'm the supervisor of the Solid Waste (No audible response.) 8 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Hearing none, Katherine, are 8 Management Section in the Waste and Underground Tank 9 you available for this one? 9 Management Bureau. 10 10 MS. ORR: Yes, I am. The Department at this time requests that the Board 11 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right. I would ask for a 11 concur with the recommendation to initiate rulemaking to 12 motion to initiate rulemaking on this matter and appoint 12 amend Administrative Rule 17.50.403 and 410. Because the 13 Katherine the presiding or hearings officer. 13 proposed amendments are clerical in nature, no public 14 MR. MILLER: This is Miller; I so move. 14 hearing is contemplated for this rulemaking. The proposed 15 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It's been moved by Marv. Is 15 amendments would correct citations and references. 16 there a second? 16 A year ago, the Department requested the Board 17 MS. SHROPSHIRE: This is Robin; I second. 17 initiate rulemaking for the same amendments. At that 18 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It's been seconded by Robin. 18 time, the Department was working on rulemaking that would 19 19 MR. LIVERS: And, Mr. Chairman, I don't repeal most of the existing solid waste rules and adopt 20 anticipate public comment on this, but we'll probably want 20 new rules. One of the rules that was repealed and 21 to ask before the vote. 21 replaced by a new rule was 17.50.530, ARM. There are 22 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: I was really going to go 22 references in 17.50.403 and 410 to this particular rule, 23 23 and that must be updated. Because the revision of the there, Tom. 24 MR. LIVERS: Great. Thank you. 24 solid waste rules took longer to complete than 25 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: But thanks for reminding me. 25 anticipated, the Department must now repropose corrections Page 11 Page 13 MR. LIVERS: We weren't able to make eye contact, 1 1 to the outdated citations. 2 Mr. Chairman. 2 As a note, the new rules were effective -- the new 3 3 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: I'm about 30 percent on these. solid waste rules were effective on February 12th of this 4 4 Is there anyone from the public that wants to speak to year. 5 5 this before the Board takes action? The Department recommends initiation of rulemaking. 6 (No audible response.) 6 And I'm willing to answer any questions. 7 MR. LIVERS: Doesn't appear so, Mr. Chairman. 7 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Thanks, Rick. 8 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Any further question or 8 **Ouestions for Rick?** 9 comment before the Board -- or by the Board before we take 9 MS. SHROPSHIRE: I have a question. 10 10 action? CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Robin. 11 11 MS. SHROPSHIRE: And it's not necessarily related (No audible response.) 12 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Hearing none, all those in 12 to the rulemaking, but I was curious. The definition does 13 favor, signify by saying "aye." 13 not include soils contaminated solely by inorganic metals, 14 (Vote.) 14 and I was just curious. In terms of contaminated by, can 15 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Opposed. 15 that be natural or -- well, if there's soil that has 16 (No audible response.) 16 naturally high concentrations of some metal, that would 17 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Motion carries. 17 still be considered contaminated; is that correct? 18 MR. LIVERS: Okay, Mr. Chairman, I'd recommend we 18 Do you understand the question? Whether it's natural 19 swing back to No. 1. I had erroneously told Mr. Thompson 19 or exergonic, I guess is where I'm going. 20 he probably had until 9:15 before this item came up, but 20 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Well, we'd be mining it, then, wouldn't we? 21 you're moving a little faster. But I think we're ready to 21 22 go on the solid waste rules now. 22 MS. SHROPSHIRE: What's that? 23 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right. In the matter --23 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: We would be mining it at that 24 The Department is proposing that the Board initiate 24 point, wouldn't we? 25 rulemaking on ARM 17.50.403 and 410. MS. SHROPSHIRE: Well --

Page 17

Page 14

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: I'm just being -MS. SHROPSHIRE: I'm thinking of arsenic in
particular.

MR. THOMPSON: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, I think do understand that question.

And the contaminant levels, some contaminant levels can be treated at what we call subtitle (e) or solid waste type facilities, such as what we're proposing here, and above certain levels they are hazardous. So I think the definition for contaminated soil, to answer your question, does cover naturally -- well, doesn't cover naturally occurring, but only manmade contaminants.

MS. SHROPSHIRE: Okay. It was an awkward question, anyway. I apologize. But thank you, appreciate that.

16 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Any other questions? 17 (No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Katherine, you're available? MS. ORR: Yes. They did say that no public

20 hearing was required, though.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Oh, that's right. Or contemplated.

23 MS. ORR: Or contemplated.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: So you don't need to be

25 available.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

18

19

21

22

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

19

25

I'm not going to try to describe all of the inputs to it.So, Tom, take it over.

MR. LIVERS: Sure.

4 Mr. Chairman, we're in the process of keying up a
5 PowerPoint here. I'd ask that -- I think that the board
6 members were sent a PowerPoint presentation on this
7 matter, so if you have that available at this time, you
8 may want to refer to that.

And if we need additional time, while we're getting this queued up, Mr. Chairman, I'll ask you to pronounce the various new standards -- human health standards that are involved here. I think we're doing well.

We're asking for comments on some changes to DEQ-7 as part of our triennial review. And Bob Bukantis is going to give the presentation this morning.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right. Bob.

MR. BUKANTIS: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, for the record, my name is Bob Bukantis, and I'm head of the Water Quality Standards Section for the Department. Good morning.

Joe, I might have a hard time catching your jokes, not being able to make eye contact, but I'll do the best I can.

24 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: I'll keep them to a minimum, 25 then.

Page 15

MS. ORR: I can show up.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: That's all right.

Okay. So with all of that in mind, I would entertain a motion to initiate rulemaking on this matter and wait to see the results.

MR. WHELAN: Mr. Chairman, this is Joe Whelan; I would so move.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It's been moved by Joe. Is there a second?

MR. MILLER: This is Miller; I second.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Seconded by Marv. Any further

12 discussion?

(No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Is there anyone in the public that would like to speak to this matter before the Board takes action?

(No audible response.)

18 MR. LIVERS: No one here, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right. With all that in

20 mind, all those in favor, signify by saying "aye."

21 (Vote.)

22 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All those opposed.

23 (No audible response.)

24 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Motion carries.

We will move on to item No. 3, which is lengthy, and

1 MR. BUKANTIS: But, anyway, the first thing I

2 want to do is just make sure -- bring your attention to

3 the fact that we sent out a second draft Administrative

4 Register Notice. We picked up a couple errors in some

5 last-minute review, added a couple more compounds where we

6 did have changes in DEQ-7, but we missed them in the

7 Administrative Register Notice.

8 But to get into this, the first thing I want to do is

9 try to put this package in a little bit of context from

10 the perspective of the Department, and I just want to tell

11 you that this is really the first in a series of planned

12 rule packages that we're planning on bringing to you in

13 the next year or so, where we're looking at a series of

14 changes to our water quality standards that we envision,

15 and work also in concert with our Permitting Division on

16 some planned changes and how some of these things might

17 fit in with permitting rules and guidance, et cetera.

You know, just with that context, the main thing we're asking for in this rulemaking and what we're proposing and

20 putting on the table is a bunch of changes right now to

21 DEQ-7 that fit into several different categories. Just to

22 improve format and introduction, we want to make -- we've

23 made it so it's a handier document, if you will,

24 physically, as well as much more computer searchable.

25 We've got new pesticide standards updated and updated many

of our aquatic life and human health standards to be 2 consistent with national recommended water quality

3 criteria and also made some footnote changes. I'll talk a

4 little more about those items in detail.

5

6

7

8

Some of the things that you can expect to see soon, is, in the next meeting or so, some proposed changes for subchapter 6, which is the standards, and also permitting guidance in subchapters 12 and 13. And later in the year,

9 we'll bring some more proposed changes to DEQ-7 once we

10 receive this year's new pesticides from the Department

11 of Ag heading towards the 2011 version. And we anticipate

12 bringing you later this year, or perhaps early next year,

13 draft numeric nutrient standards. And there's several

14 other things on the horizon that EPA's working on and

15 we're looking at; for example, biotic ligand model

16 approaches to metals criteria, draft ammonia standards,

17 methylmercury, fish tissue based, et cetera. So a lot of

18 potential things coming up with water quality standards.

19 Okay, just to get into a little more on the specifics

20 of what we are proposing and asking to act on in this 21 package, again, is basically all contained in DEQ-7, other

22 than perhaps references to DEQ-7 in the rules, to maintain

23 those as current with the current version of DEQ-7. So,

24 again, there's the formatting, a rewrite of the

25 introduction to try to make that more readable, better numeric aquatic life standards more closely in line with

2 the basis for these numbers by including those modifiers,

Page 20

3 if you will, in our footnotes. But I'll talk a little bit

4 more about that.

5 Just to work through this, come back to the 6 pesticides, we have a list of pesticides, 21 new

7 pesticides, including a couple breakdown products,

8 metabolites, that are done under the Montana Ag Chemical

9 Ground Water Protection Act that tells us that once the

10 Department of Agriculture detects new pesticides in

11 Montana's water, they require that you, the Board of

12 Environmental Review, establish interim standards to

13 provide levels of protection for those pesticides until

14 EPA would establish a 304(a) criteria, for example. And

15 as your staff, of course, we do the work behind that and

16 have developed the proposed standards that you have in 17 front of you with the help of EPA's regional toxicologist.

18 I'm not going to dwell on this list of pesticides, but I

19 just want to throw those up there.

20 Again, coming back around to the national recommended

21 water quality criteria list of pesticides, we've got a new

22 one for acrolein, some revised numbers, just, you know,

23 some background. It's just good science to update your

24 standards as appropriate when we have a better

25 understanding of the appropriate level of protection.

explanation of what we actually have in the document, 1

2 pesticide standards, and national recommended water

3

4

5

quality criteria. I'll just talk a little bit more about the national

recommended water quality criteria. These are often 6 referred to as 304(a) criteria. EPA, under Section 304(a)

7 of the Clean Water Act, is required to take the best

8 available science and propose draft or recommended

9 criteria for states to adopt. And you've heard about

10 these in the past, or at least the Board has, and,

11 basically, what EPA does is take a pretty sound science,

12 toxicology, et cetera, get proposed numbers, develop

13 documents providing the basis for those numbers, and put

14 those out for public review, and then put those in place.

15 And those become, if you will, the gold standard for human

16 health water quality criteria under the Clean Water Act,

17 and aquatic life water quality criteria also. So those

18 numbers are really easy for the states to just pull

19 into -- in our case, into Montana's standards and provide

20 the appropriate level of protection for our citizens and

21 for our aquatic resources.

22 Part and parcel of how EPA does those recommended

24

25

23 water quality criteria is, they also include footnote modifications, and I'm going to talk a little bit more about that. And part of what we want to do is bring our

Science tends to develop and evolve over time. We've got 1

2 one error correction for endosulfan sulfate. And then,

3 again, for human health standards, we have five new

4 numbers proposed and six revisions.

5 Okay, just to come back around to those footnotes,

6 again, EPA, when they've -- Actually, since 1985, when

7 they've been developing aquatic life standards, looking at

8 potential toxic effects on compounds, the basis of those

9 standards considers averaging sort of effects on the

10 organisms, et cetera, and allows for a once-in-three-year

11 exceedance frequency. This builds in a certain, I guess,

12 realistic application sort of thing, and it's consistent

13 with how EPA puts their permit writing guidance out there.

14 And if we adopt these suggested changes, we see a couple

15 different benefits to our programs.

16 One thing I do want to point out, that these

17 footnotes -- it's a little bit confusing because of having

18 to rearrange the previous footnotes, but basically try to

19 focus on exactly what we're trying to accomplish here, is

20 to put averaging period and one-in-three-year exceedance

21 frequency for acute and chronic aquatic life standards and

22 point out that this will not change the do-not-exceed

23 value currently in our human health standards.

24 So we're looking for a better consistency with EPA's

25 304(a) criteria, which is the basis of most of our numeric

2

12

19

20

21

22

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

water quality standards in DEQ-7. And we also see value in terms of helping out our TMDL Program, for example, and being more consistent with national standards, with how standards are done, if you will, and better concurrence with the basis for writing discharge permits.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

8

12

14

15

21

23

24

25

Now, that is basically a summary of the proposed changes. This time, we're looking at doing something a little different. We've done many triennial reviews in the past. Generally, if this was like one of the past ones, we'd stop right here. In this case, we want to be more explicit in terms of asking for general review and comment on all of Montana's water quality standards, including those for EC and SAR.

An important point here, I think, in my haste -- I need to apologize, but in my haste to get out a presentation for you, I left a word out of the third bullet there. What that third bullet -- You'll notice the wording is a little awkward without the third word that I have up on the slide here, but I'll read the statement that we want in there: "DEQ does not," and I emphasize does not, "contemplate or plan to propose changes," and I will qualify that, especially for EC and SAR, "unless we are presented with compelling reason to do so." So we're not trying to line up for something that we

also would like to request review and comment on all of our standards, including those for EC and SAR.

So just to conclude, we request that you initiate 3 rulemaking on the proposed changes, and then we would ask 4 5 the Secretary of State's Office to then publish the

6 MAR notice the day after your taxes are due and initiate a 7 45-day public comment period to close the 1st of June, is

what we recommend. And then we'd like to hold a public hearing on the proposed changes only on or after the 5th 9

10 of May, to be consistent with our statutory requirements. 11

With that, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, I'd be happy to take any questions.

13 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Any questions for Bob? 14 MR. ANDERSON: This is Larry Anderson. 15 In looking through the standards, it appears to me

16 that the only standard that is changed -- substantively 17 changed is the acrolein standard. Is that -- The human 18 health standards there.

MR. BUKANTIS: Mr. Anderson, Chairman Russell, I think we've got a number of substantial changes, because I know some of those -- well, some of the numbers are completely new. And --

23 MR. ANDERSON: I just haven't got through the 24 entire 40 pages of standards, I guess.

MR. BUKANTIS: Yeah, there's a lot of detail

Page 23

maintain all our standards with the best available

25 think we need to see changed. We are just trying to

2 science. In particular, I'm sure you're aware that our

3 approval for our EC and SAR standards, EPA's approval was

4 vacated by Judge Brimmer's court. We are about to ask EPA

5 to hold off acting on that remand until we have a chance

to make sure that we're looking at all of the best 6

7 available science and information to support the best

possible decision. That being said, again, we don't have

9 anything that we're trying to line up to change. We think

10 our standards are appropriate; we just want to nail that 11 down, if you will.

And a last important point perhaps on this slide, any 13 changes that may come out of this triennial review that we're not anticipating, it's important to realize that we're not going to jump in and propose any last-minute changes. We think the best way to handle those would be

16 17 to bring those forward through initiation of a new

18 rulemaking, starting the full public review process from 19

the beginning, with appropriate outreach, working with

20 WPCAC, and then bringing it to you again.

So, in summary, this is really the first of a planned 22 series of proposed rule packages that we expect to bring to you from both standards and water quality permitting. I hit the highlights of some specific changes that we're

proposing in DEQ-7. And when we open this rulemaking, we

1 there.

> CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: I kind of get a queasy stomach when you start through WQB7.

MR. BUKANTIS: You know, it makes good bedtime reading.

MS. SHROPSHIRE: I have a question.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Is that Heidi or Robin?

MS. SHROPSHIRE: It's Robin.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Robin.

MS. SHROPSHIRE: This is sort of a two-part question, but with these changes, are you -- I was curious if you're -- in terms of the laboratories, the duties, analyses, if there's any requirement that they have to be certified. And I guess I'm not really sure what being certified means or how you would determine that.

And then the second part is, do you anticipate that there's going to be labs in the state that won't be able to do these new analyses or able to detect at the levels that the new levels are?

MR. BUKANTIS: That might be something that we might need to get back to you on, but we've had no indication that there's an issue with this. And I will say that we have had this package -- We worked with the Western Environmental Trades Association to get out a draft version of this package and got the feedback that no

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

19

20

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 29

one saw any issues. So I would say we implicitly 2 addressed that, if you will, although we didn't explicitly 3 ask for that feedback.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

And the other piece of that is, I think two triennial reviews ago, we got some pretty focused feedback on proposed detection limits and worked with the labs to work out what we have, and we didn't get anything like that this go-around.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Well, isn't it -- I mean, historically, haven't some of the limits been set based to what level we can detect to?

12 MR. BUKANTIS: Yes. And I think that, 13 Mr. Chairman, the things that come to mind -- or thing 14 that quickly comes to mind, is, I know on some of those 15 metal limits, when you get in waters -- some of the metals that are hardness dependent for their toxicity, when you 16 17 get into waters that have very low hardness values, 18 sometimes those values are below detection limits. And 19 so, yeah, to get what's seen as the appropriate level of 20 protection -- I guess what that -- To back up a little 21 bit, what that does support is, if you have those sorts of 22 numbers on the books, at least you can do some 23 mathematical calculations to get down to what would be the 24 appropriate level of protection, if you will.

2 certification, which is the National Environmental 3 Laboratory Accreditation Council, or having an acceptable 4 quality system that could show that these compounds could 5 be analyzed effectively with acceptable precision and 6 accuracy and independent oversight of the quality 7 assurance system that the laboratory would have.

certification potentially, either through the NELAC

MS. SHROPSHIRE: That answers my question. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: I know on the bio side, there's some pretty significant certification requirements, but I don't know about the chemistry side.

MR. LIVERS: Mr. Chairman, before we proceed with 14 questions, I think we had someone recently join the 15 teleconference. And just a couple things, just for orientation, if they haven't figured it out already, we're on IIIA3, which is the water quality standards, the 18 triennial review.

And I would ask if whoever joined could please, just for the record, identify themselves.

21 MR. PEARSON: Yeah. Mark Pearson, with the 22 Greater Yellowstone Coalition.

MR. LIVERS: Thanks, Mr. Pearson. Appreciate it. CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Further questions the Board may have of the Department?

MS. SHROPSHIRE: The first part of the question in terms of labs being certified -- you know, I don't know how it's related to this, necessarily, but I was curious. If there's a simple answer to that, I was curious if labs are required to be certified and how they go about doing

I don't know if that helped. Does that help?

MR. BUKANTIS: Yeah, I'm sorry, I dropped that piece, Member Shropshire, but we've got someone here, Mark Bostrom, the Water Quality Planning bureau chief, that can help with that piece.

MR. BOSTROM: For the record, my name is Mark Bostrom; I'm bureau chief of the Water Quality Planning Bureau.

14 Chairman Russell, Ms. Shropshire, prior to becoming 15 bureau chief, I was the quality assurance officer for the 16 Water Quality Planning Bureau. One of the issues, I guess, that there is with the structure of certification 17 18 in Montana is that the only certification that's granted 19 by a Montana entity is the drinking water certification 20 through the Department of Health. So the parameters that 21 would be certified are exclusive to Safe Drinking Water 22 Act parameters.

23 The DEQ-7 parameters include numerous compounds that 24 aren't a part of that Safe Drinking Water Act, and for that, we would rely upon laboratories having a broader

MS. SHROPSHIRE: Chairman Russell, just a comment, if you don't mind.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Sure.

MS. SHROPSHIRE: I know that sometimes, in terms of doing these for the sampling side or the analysis, it's not entirely clear when something is supposed to be a dissolve component or totally recoverable, and I wasn't sure if that -- if there has been any clarity to that in the footnotes. I didn't look to see if that was clear or not. But I guess more a suggestion or comment, that sometimes it's confusing to people, when they're collecting the samples, whether or not it should be dissolved or totally recoverable.

So that's just more of a comment rather than a question.

MR. LIVERS: That's been noted. Thanks, Mr. Chairman, Ms. Shropshire. CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right. Any further questions?

MR. WHELAN: Mr. Chairman, this is Joe Whelan. CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Yes.

MR. WHELAN: A question for Mr. Bukantis.

First, Mr. Bukantis, I want to thank you for the PowerPoint and for the context. I'm not going to pretend to know precisely what it is that we're asked to decide

here today. But I do know that the mission of DEQ and 1

2 this board is different than the mission of the Department

3 of Public Health and Human Services. So I guess my

question goes to aquatic life standards. 4

5 In going through these 46 tables of roughly

6 300 different elements or chemical compounds, you know, we

have headings for aquatic life standards. Roughly a third 7

of those compounds are carcinogenic. Are you telling us 8

9 that if we initiate rulemaking based upon the proposal,

that aquatic life standards are going to become -- You 10

know, without some precise numerical standards or a 11

12 narrative standard, can we be assured that the

environmental quality for aquatic life is going to be

14 maintained if we initiate this rulemaking?

MR. BUKANTIS: Thank you for compliment,

16 Mr. Whelan.

13

15

17

18

19

1

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

Mr. Whelan, Mr. Chairman, I might step back a little bit and just try to summarize what we're proposing.

Typically in DEQ-7, in many cases, we have standards for

20 both human health and aquatic life, and both those

standards remain on the books. The modifications to the 21

22 footnotes, for example, for the aquatic life standards in

no way change the human health standards and how we might 23

24 handle those that are carcinogens.

25 And I guess the other thing I'll throw in there -- And

Page 32 was a comment in the proposal that the State of Montana 1

> 2 did not have the resources in order to develop numeric

> 3 standards for aquatic life. And I'm wondering if maybe

4 you can walk us through the development of numeric

5 standards for aquatic life at the federal level and how

6 they would relate to, you know, our needs here in this

state.

7

8 MR. BUKANTIS: Sure, I'd be happy to do that. In 9 terms of, you know, walking you through in detail, that 10 might be best handled as a briefing at another meeting, 11 but I'll try to give you the outline, if you will, because

12 it's a pretty complicated process.

Because what we're talking about is, as you've noted, 13

14 Mr. Whelan, hundreds of chemical compounds. Some of these

are complex organics or metals or metaloids, if you will, 15

16 and there's a bunch of toxicology lab work that's done.

17 Typically, some of the more populated states, like

New Jersey and California, have -- I'm not sure, with the 18

19 current budget crisis, if they're still maintaining, but

20 they've, in the past, had large staffs of toxicologists in

21 labs where they do a bunch of different tests on each of

these compounds on a whole range of different organisms, 22

23 including things like invertebrates, fish, you know, trout

24 as well as non-trout sort of species, different levels of

25 organisms in the food chain that may also include plants.

Page 31

help me, Mr. Whelan, if I'm not getting at the heart of

2 what you're trying to understand here. But in many cases,

3 I'll point out, too, that for certain compounds, the

4 critters that live in the water are more sensitive to

5 those compounds than people occasionally drinking it, if

you will. So sometimes those standards provide a higher 6

7 level of protection, if anything. Because when we're

8 looking at permitting or writing TMDLs, what we tend to

focus on -- or I'd say the normal thing to focus on is the

10 most protective of those numbers when you have a couple 11 different numbers in place for different uses.

Does that help? Is there something...

MR. WHELAN: It does. And it sounds as though we're focusing on the drinking water component, but I

think we all understand that there's various forms of aguatic life in the food chain and that they ultimately

end up in the body of the fish, and as we eat fish, we

consume what it is that the invertebrates are eating. So I guess my central concern is that in making this

20 decision, we're being mindful of the fact that those 21 elements that are listed in these tables ultimately

22 provide some residue that can be absorbed, yes, for human

23 health, but also for, you know, biodiversity. And so I

24 guess I was looking for a little bit --

As sort of a follow up, if I may, Mr. Chairman, there

1 So there's an EPA protocol, if you will, on how all

> 2 that data is pulled together, and it includes building in

> 3 some buffer in the assumptions to provide some extra

> 4 protection, if you will. And so EPA pulls together all of

5 this science, which may be done in federal labs or state

6 labs or university labs, pulls it together into a document

7 that might be, say, an inch thick or so, sends that out

8 for public review around the country, pulls back the

public review, and then ultimately may publish a final

10 document, assuming they think it's appropriate, and get

11 that out.

13

16

17

12 One thing I will comment while we're on this is,

Rod McNeil, who works for us on this sort of thing, came

14 back from a national meeting recently on exactly this

15 issue. And part of the reason why you see a lot of -- a

fair number of new numbers that we're proposing to adopt as national recommended water quality criteria is, EPA has

18 ramped up its efforts in terms of addressing the many

19 compounds out there.

20 So EPA does a lot of this science. This would be

21 extremely expensive for the State to do on any of these 22 compounds, and, if you will, they kind of produce, again,

23 what would be kind of the gold standard. And given that

24 the Federal Government has done all this work, often in

25 conjunction and support with some of the wealthier states,

Page 33

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

24

25

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14 15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 37

if you will, and universities, then it's very easy for us to take these numbers, which we have the best available science out there, to help maintain appropriate levels of protection for our citizens and our resources.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

25

MR. WHELAN: Okay. Thank you very much. That addresses my concerns, Mr. Bukantis.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Well, I'm going to pile on that for a minute. Generally, there's -- When you look at WQB7, oftentimes a pollutant won't have the aquatic life standard, acute or chronic, but that doesn't mean -- but if you go to the human health standard, and there's a potential that there is some interrelationship with drinking water, those would be the standards, right? I mean, you could not have higher standards in your water than the human health standards.

MR. BUKANTIS: Well, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, the aquatic life standard, if there was one, may be a larger number, but in many cases we don't necessarily have numbers for the aquatic life standard because it's so much work to establish those numbers; it just hasn't been done yet. And some of the numbers that we have in there, remember, is because of Montana being very progressive with addressing some of these pesticides.

So if, for example, you are noting a pesticide where the Board has adopted a number under the Montana Ag of your first comments in responding to Joe Whelan's

2 request was that; that if you have it, they can generally

3 be lower than the human health standard. The only one

4 that I've found is odd is dieldrin; the aquatic life

5 standards are actually higher than the human. I'm not

sure where that one is. That wasn't Montana, that was

7 a -- But if you kind of thumb through WOB7, you can find that oftentimes the aquatic life standards are lower than 9

the human health standards.

MS. SHROPSHIRE: Copper is another one.

11 MR. BUKANTIS: Yes.

> MR. WHELAN: Mr. Chairman, when you use the terms "higher standard" and "lower standard," are you saying that a lower standard is less stringent than that that would be required for human health or more stringent?

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Less. A lower standard would be less restrictive.

Any other questions for Bob?

19 MS. SHROPSHIRE: Mr. Chairman, I actually do have 20 one other question, if you don't mind.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Go ahead, Robin.

MS. SHROPSHIRE: If you go to the tables under 23 the -- there's the American Chemical Society numbers and the NIOSH and the SAX numbers, and it looks like you've done some tidying up of that.

Page 35

- 1 Chemical Ground Water Protection Act, it may be that
- 2 because of Montana saying, Hey, we in effect have
- 3 discovered that some of these pesticides are in our
- 4 waters; we don't want to wait for EPA to develop a
- 5 national recommended water quality criteria and go through
- 6 all that work, we want to protect our citizens now and we
- 7 want the best number on the books. And then we then go
- 8 work with them to get the best science that's out there
- 9 that maybe hasn't made it as far as national recommended 10 water quality criteria.

So in many cases, we'll have those pesticide numbers on the books to protect human health and have those for ground water and surface water, but we don't have a good number for aquatic life. So we just don't have them there yet.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Well, if you found -- Let's say you found a pesticide that doesn't have an aquatic life standard above the human health standard. That's problematic in that water body.

19 20 MR. BUKANTIS: Yes. And we would address --21 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Even without an aquatic life 22 standard, there's an issue with a pollutant in that water. 23 And oftentimes the aquatic life standards, and I don't 24 have one in front of me, but are quite a bit lower than

the human health standards, and it's that -- You know, one

1 MR. BUKANTIS: Yes.

> MS. SHROPSHIRE: And I guess in terms of -- I mean, is that the intent, is that it represents specifically, say, this is a NIOSH number or this is a whatever number, that people are supposed to know which number it is?

MR. BUKANTIS: Well, Ms. Shropshire and Chairman Russell, basically, Rod, who is a real science -chemo geek, if you will, dug into this in great detail and tried to clean this up and organize it and did yeoman 11 service in trying to make this so it would be a good, solid technical document, so someone else who is also very much into the chemistry and knows about how to search on that kind of stuff could -- you know, that we'd have those things correct and cleaned up and readily computer 16 searchable, if you will, to kind of make it more helpful for anyone in the interested public.

MS. SHROPSHIRE: Could I follow up, Mr. Chairman? CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Certainly.

MS. SHROPSHIRE: And I think that's great. But on some of them, there's only one number, and maybe to the untrained eye, it's not clear which one of those categories it falls under.

MR. BUKANTIS: Yeah. You know, I'm groping here. Maybe I should ask Rod, who really deserves credit for all

Page 41

Page 38

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

this work anyways, to come up here and answer that 1 2 question.

3

4

5

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

MR. McNEIL: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and board members. My name is Rod McNeil, and I am in the Department of Environmental Quality Water Quality Section.

In answer to your question, ma'am, the numbers are 6 7 assigned by different groups. The CASRN number is 8 required by law. It's set by the Federal Government. 9 It's part of the Chemical Abstract Services Regulatory 10 Number. There's over a million chemicals that are now 11

recognized under the CASRN number system. In terms of which number means what, not all compounds get number assignments. The SAX code system is specific for human health in an industrial environment, and so only about five percent of all the compounds get SAX assignments. If you use the numbers as they are currently provided in the search service, online or whatever, the number will come up automatically as assigned by NIOSH or CASRN. But if you look at the numbers physically, the NIOSH number -- or, I'm sorry, the CASRN number always has a three-section partition, so that's why we did away with

23 a CASRN number. 24 So I'm looking at dieldrin here. 60-57-1 identifies 25 that one chemical compound.

the running numerics, because that doesn't identify it as

1 have three numbers; a newer compound that's just been 2 produced will only have a CASRN citation.

Does that address your --

MS. SHROPSHIRE: No, I think that's great. And I really appreciate the focus to that detail and tidying this up. I certainly found your explanation helpful. And maybe, you know, without adding too much language to the footnotes, but, you know, maybe putting some of what you said in the footnotes, if it's not already there, would be helpful for people that are using that. So thank you.

MR. McNEIL: You're welcome, ma'am. CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Further questions? (No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: I'm going to change it up a little bit, because I don't want to lose the potential of where we're going to be with a motion. Is there anyone in the audience that would like to speak to this matter before the Board takes up -- takes this matter up?

MR. LIVERS: We do have someone here, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right.

MR. ALLEN: Chairman Russell and members of the Board, for the record, my name is Don Allen, and I'm here on behalf of the Western Environmental Trade Association.

I just want to mention, first of all, that we're very

Page 39

MS. SHROPSHIRE: I'm sorry, hold on one second. I want to catch up with you here and get to that one. I'm sorry, I apologize.

MR. McNEIL: Okay. That's on page 25, if that will help.

> MS. SHROPSHIRE: Okay, I'm with you. Thank you. MR. McNEIL: Sure.

The NIOSH number is what we call a string number, so

it's a long number, uninterrupted. Again, if you use that citation in a search index online, you'll get a NIOSH citation. The SAX number has an alphanumeric prefix, which, if you break it down and look up the code online, it will tell you in what type; is it in aerosol, is it in solution, et cetera. So the number conveys some direct information in and of itself.

If you'll look a little further down that page, you'll see difenoconazole, which is one of the new pesticides that we have listed. There's a single number there. In order for it to be produced and sold in the United States, it has to have a CASRN number, and that's the only number that has yet been assigned.

So that's the reason for some compounds having one

23 number, others having two, and sometimes even three numbers. Basically, it reflects how old the compound is. 25 An older compound that's been used in industry longer will

appreciative of the cooperation of Bob and Rod and others 1 2 on the staff in helping us get a handle on what was really

3 coming with these rules. And we did have an opportunity

4 to try to understand them and to circulate them to a wide

5 variety of people to see what their concerns were. This

6 was an earlier version, which included other changes, as

7 well, and so they have not seen this particular version. 8 But, actually, I don't think there are any changes,

9

really, in the main part of the rules from what was there 10 before. So I don't anticipate any opposition to these,

11 but I could be wrong. But, hopefully, you know, we were

12 able to explain to folks or have explanation from them,

13 and I just wanted to say that we appreciate the work that 14

Bob and Rod did on this; it was good.

15 So the only reason I'm up here is for one thing. And 16 I did tell Bob that I would do this. One of the things 17 that I'm concerned about is not the rules themselves, but 18 the decision of the Department to include comments on 19 other issues as part of the rulemaking publication itself.

20 I think this is a dangerous precedent. I think it's the 21 wrong way to do it. I think that what we've encouraged,

22 like we do with the Clean Air Act Advisory Committee,

23 which has worked very well, is to have those discussions 24 and input from the stakeholders in an informal situation

25 rather than to ask that they be commented on with the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16 17

2 MS. LINDLIEF-HALL: Mr. Chairman, members of the 3 Board, my name is Brenda Lindlief-Hall. I am here on 4 behalf of the Tongue River Water Users Association. 5 I would also like to commend the Department, 6 Bob Bukantis, and everybody that has spent time on this. 7 I can't -- I probably shouldn't be speaking for Mr. Allen, 8 but it seems to me that perhaps his comments go to the 9 issue of the public comment -- or request for review and 10 comment, and probably in particular regarding EC and SAR. Because those are water quality standards and because it 11 12 is time for that triennial review process -- those 13 standards as, you know, were last dealt with in 2006 -- I believe this is an appropriate time and place to address 14 15 those issues, to take additional comment. And I think 16 that the 45-day time period is very appropriate. I think 17 that everybody that is concerned about this issue has been 18 apprised. They will be paying attention. They know that 19 this is coming and that this is part of this rule package.

triennial review process.

So with that, I would just end with saying that we do support the review and comment period and the 45-day -- RECORDING: Becca Fischer is joining the meeting.

So I don't see that it sets a dangerous precedent. It

seems absolutely appropriate in light of the overall

And I don't know if we got your name. It kind of got cut off when you got on.

THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry. Becca Fischer. CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Thanks, Becca.

Other questions, comments to the Board?

MR. LIVERS: No additional comment here in Helena, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Okay. MR. WHELAN: Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Joe.

11 MR. WHELAN: One final question, and maybe

12 Mr. Bukantis can address this.

Department of Agriculture, by statute, is required to inform DEQ when there are new pesticides that are being introduced into Montana watersheds. My question is, with respect to the development of these aquatic life water

In terms of working with other agencies at the State,

standards or consideration of the change or revision to those standards, are there other departments within the

20 State, such as Department of Public Health and Human

21 Services or Fish, Wildlife & Parks or DNRC, that are also consulted by the DEO in this process of rulemaking?

MR. BUKANTIS: We do not explicitly consult those other agencies in the process of this rulemaking. We are kind of, I don't know what the right word is, but tied at

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 46

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- the hip perhaps, in terms of the Montana Aq Chemical 1
- 2 Ground Water Protection Act implementation, to work
- 3 closely with the Department of Ag. And a basic piece of
- 4 that is regular communication and giving us the
- 5 information to move forward with these other standards.
- 6 We do have regular coordination meetings with Health
- 7 and Human Services, for example. But in terms of the
- 8 basic science of setting the numbers down, the sequence
- 9 is, Department of Ag detects the standards, they notify
- 10 us, we work with EPA to get the best updated science, put
- 11 those numbers in place, and those numbers are there for
- 12 anyone to use -- you know, for the Board -- ask the Board
- to put those numbers in place, and then those numbers are 13
- 14 there for anyone to use for evaluating water quality.
- 15 MR. WHELAN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Bukantis.
- 16 MR. BUKANTIS: You're welcome.
 - MR. ANDERSON: This is Larry Anderson.

I'm studying this as we go, and I notice that with respect to the aquatic life standards, it says that the numeric values for those standards require a consensus of information within the scientific community. Does that mean that the standards themselves are consensus standards or that they require a consensus of information? And with

24 respect to both of those elements, how is the consensus of 25

information and the decision respecting those standards

1 fact. EPA has those out there.

MR. ANDERSON: Thank you.

MR. BUKANTIS: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Further questions for the Department?

Just jurisdictionally, WQB7 is a matter solely for the Board, is that correct? I mean, that's under our jurisdiction, but some of these incorporations go into areas where we don't have rulemaking authority, like underground storage tanks.

MR. LIVERS: Mr. Chairman, I'm going to ask Mr. North to speak to this.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Okav.

MR. NORTH: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, 14 15 John North, chief legal counsel, DEQ.

WOB7 is adopted by the Board under the Water Quality Act. In some of the other acts, such as the Underground Storage Tank Act, it also gets adopted by reference and serves as, for example, cleanup levels. But the prime authority to adopt WQB7, because they are water quality standards, is with the Board.

MR. LIVERS: And, Mr. Chairman, one point of clarification for possibly some of the newer board members: WQB7 is the historic name, and it's been referenced by both board members and staff, but DEQ-7 is

Page 47

1 arrived at?

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BUKANTIS: Well, in hindsight and in light of that question, I'm not sure if consensus is perhaps the best way to characterize it. I think there may be some consensus in detail. But, basically, EPA has a formal process where, you know, for example -- Well, I'll use a hypothetical example.

It may be that there's been six studies done on dieldrin, for example, using daphnia magna as a test organism, and three on rainbow trout, four on freshwater muscles. All that stuff is pulled together and then -- So in a sense, there's, you know, a consensus of science, but all that stuff is pulled together in a fairly formalized and laid-out fashion so that, you know, basically, EPA would calculate what's called a species mean value based on any number of studies, and then pulling all the species together into the genera -- I'm using scientific terms maybe too much, but, basically, there's a formal way to pull together all the data and information and to come out with a number that is, by design, statistically aimed at protecting 95 percent of all the critters out there, and

So a little more detail, you know, "consensus" was a

term we used in there, but it would take a separate manual

to spell out in detail what actually goes into this. In

then a margin of safety is pulled in.

Page 49 1 essentially the same document. The reference was changed 2 a few years back.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: I'm probably the only one on the board that was there when the reference changed. It's been a while, actually, Tom.

MR. LIVERS: I was being kind, Mr. Chairman.

7 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: I probably had dark hair when 8 we did that one.

So, hypothetically, let's say we do something and the Department, because they have some rulemaking under this, don't like it. We're not going to have some demon past type thing going on, are we?

That's for you, John.

All right, no one is going to bite on that one.

MR. LIVERS: Well, we can offer a response, if you'd like, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: No, probably don't need to sit on that one.

I guess we need to get on with this. It's going to be interesting how comments that aren't specifically being amended might be put into a document. I guess that's just for the triennial review. And that takes public comment, correct?

MR. LIVERS: Correct, Mr. Chairman. And we will have a public comment period and a public hearing on the

DEQ-7 changes.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

25

3

5

6

7

8

13

15

16

17

25

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Well, with all that mind, I will entertain a motion to initiate rulemaking on this matter, and, at this time, I'll entertain a motion to appoint a hearings officer. Katherine, if you're available.

MS. ORR: Yes, I am, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: And also adopt kind of a different schedule than normal on the matter that's been proposed by the Department.

MR. LIVERS: The 45-day comment period, yes, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Yes.

MR. WHELAN: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Joe.

MR. WHELAN: Joe Whelan; I would move to initiate rulemaking, to appoint Katherine Orr as our hearings examiner, and to adopt the 45-day comment period.

19 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right, it's been moved.

20 Is there a second?

MR. MILLER: This is Miller; I'll second it.

22 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Seconded by Marv. Further

23 discussion?

24 (No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Hearing none, all those in

USEPA for implementation of the requirements of the Safe 1

2 Drinking Water Act, the Department is required to have a

3 program in place to assure that the design and

4 construction of new or substantially modified public water

5 systems will be capable of compliance with the state

6 primary drinking water regulations. That's in 40 CFR

7 142.10.

8

9

23

24

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Montana Code Annotated 75-6-103, 104, and 112 set requirements for plan and specification review for the BER, the Department, and the regulated public

10

11 respectively. The Legislature requires the Department to 12

collect fees commensurate with the cost of those reviews.

13 75-6-108(3), MCA, states: "The board shall by rule

14 prescribe fees to be assessed by the department on persons

15 who submit plans and specifications for construction,

16 alteration, or extension of a public water supply system

17 or public sewage system. The fees must be commensurate

18 with the cost to the department of reviewing the plans and

19 specifications." Legislative audits conducted in fiscal

20 year 1999-2000 and fiscal year 2001-2002 concluded the

21 Department does not recover costs commensurate with the

22 cost of doing those reviews.

> In December 2005 and again in July of 2007, the Board initiated rule amendments to the engineering fees to

address the issues identified in the fiscal year '99-2000

Page 51

1 favor, signify by saying "aye."

2 (Vote.)

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Opposed.

4 (No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Motion carries.

How is our court -- Cheryl, how are you doing?

MR. LIVERS: Thanks, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate your consideration. I think, for our court reporter's

9 benefit, maybe a five-minute break, which is code for not 10 to exceed ten.

11 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right. We'll stay on the 12 line.

MR. LIVERS: Sounds good. Thank you.

14 (A brief recess was taken.)

> CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Next items on the agenda are some repeal, amendment, or adoption of final rules. No. 1 is to amend ARM 17.38.106 regarding engineering plan fees.

18 Tom.

19 MR. LIVERS: Okay, Mr. Chairman, we'll have an 20 encore performance by Mr. Eugene Pizzini.

21 MR. PIZZINI: Good morning, Chairman Russell and members of the Board. Again, for the record, my name is 22 23 Eugene Pizzini; I'm the rules expert for the Public Water 24 Supply Section.

As part of the Department's primacy agreement with the

and fiscal year '01-'02 legislative audits. Because of

2 the amount of increase needed, and with input from the

3 public water supply focus group, the Department decided to

4 raise in the -- excuse me, decided to phase in the

5 increase over a few years.

Notice was sent to all public water supply owners, operators, consulting engineers that had submitted plans and specifications to the Department in the last three to five years. Of the 4,000 notices -- excuse me, of the approximately 4,000 notices sent out, the Board received two relevant comments in 2005 for specific line items in the proposed fee schedule and adjusted the schedule to accommodate those comments. Of the approximately 4,000

13 14 notices sent out for the 2007 proposed amendments, no

15 comments were received during the public hearing.

The Board did receive two written comments. One comment suggested the Department go to a straight hourly rate, and although the Department has considered that as an option in the past, there are inherent problems with that that have caused the Department to dismiss that as a viable option. The second comment was outside the scope of rule writing.

At its December 11th, 2009 board hearing, the Board of Environmental Review initiated rulemaking to amend the engineering fees to recover fees commensurate with the

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

Page 52

Page 53

11

12

22

24

25

cost of performing those reviews. Prior to proposing the 1

- 2 amendments to the Board, the Department presented the
- 3 proposed amendments to the public water supply focus
- group, a group made up of municipal and private regulated 4
- 5 systems, consulting engineers, Montana Rural Water,
- contract operators, and department staff. No significant 6
- 7 negative comments were received from group members, and
- 8 the consulting engineers present stated the rates were
- 9 consistent with their estimated costs for performing the

10 type of work involved.

On January 13th, 2010, the Board held a public hearing 11

12 regarding the proposed engineering fee amendments. A

13 notice of the public hearing was sent to the owners and

14 operators of all public water supply systems in the

15 Department's database, all county sanitarians, all

16 engineering consulting firms and owners/developers that

17 had submitted plans and specifications for department

18 review in the last three years. Again, approximately

19 4,000 notices were sent. The public comment period closed

20 January 21st, 2010. No comments were received through the

21 comment period, either written or verbal.

Therefore, the Department recommends adoption of the

proposed amendments as set forth in the notice of the

24 public hearing and proposed amendments.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Thanks, Gene.

1 mind, I would like to discuss the proposed fee increases

Page 56

2 in Chapter 8, 30, and 40 for charges from 10 cents to

3 25 cents per lineal foot. This is a 250 percent increase.

These chapters need some revisions. For an example, a 4

5 five-mile review for a large community is much more

6 complex than a five-mile review for a rural system, such

7 as Tiber County Water District, Hill County, Loma, Vaughn,

8 Carter, Tri-County, Dry Prairie, Rocky Boy, Northcentral

9 Project, and Fort Peck. These are all rural community

10 systems that are basically farming communities.

I would also like to give some examples with the increase. And I'm using five miles. At 10 cents, at the

13 present cost, it would be \$2,640; a 25-cent increase is

14 \$6,600, roughly a \$4,000 increase. And we all know that

15 our infrastructures -- this is not a one-time deal, this

16 will be forever. We always have to replace our

17 infrastructures over time. So if we had a 20-mile

project, that would be a 16,000 increase. And the 18

19 ratepayers have to pay that.

20 So with that in mind, I would ask the Board at this 21

time to not adopt this rule, ask the PWS Program to make some amendments and corrections to the distribution side

23 before a final adoption.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Thanks, John.

Page 55

Ouestions for Mr. Pizzini?

(No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Is there anyone in the general audience that wants to speak to this before the Board takes action?

MR. LIVERS: We do have someone here,

7 Mr. Chairman.

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: So we'll move forward.

MR. LIVERS: He's coming to the podium now, so if you're ready for public comment, we can go.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right. Public comment.

12 MR. CAMDEN: For the record, my name is 13

John Camden; I'm with Montana Rural Water Systems.

14 Montana Rural Water supports the purpose of the fee increase by the PWS Program to have engineering plan 15 review. We value the need for plan review in that it's 16

17 necessary to protect the systems and consulting firms.

18 However, Montana Rural Water does not agree with all the

19 proposed fee increases. We would like to see no increases

20 greater than 75 percent, and if it is needed, we would

21 like justification to the Board on why.

22 I would like to give you some economic facts from EPA 23 and AWWA. In the next 30 years, it is estimated that the

24 US infrastructures, distribution, storage systems will

25 cost \$3 trillion to repair and replace. With that in

It's a little awkward to not have a formal response to 1

2 a comment by the Department, and I feel real awkward

3 asking the Department to defend a rule that no one, during

4 the public comment period, provided a comment on. So

5

unless the Board feels differently, I'm going to move on 6

with this and take some more public comment, if it's out

7 there.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

8 (No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: You know, it's not that it can't be resolved at a later date, or you can ask for rulemaking specific to that issue.

MR. LIVERS: Mr. Chairman, I do not see additional public comment here in Helena.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right. Well, I'll entertain a motion to adopt the rule as noticed, accept the presiding officer's report, the Department's 521 and 311 analysis, and I guess that's it, since there's no department comments.

MS. SHROPSHIRE: Mr. Chairman, this is Robin; I 20 so move.

21 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It's been moved by Robin. Is 22 there a second?

23 MS. KAISER: This is Heidi; I'll second.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It's been moved and seconded

by Heidi. Further discussion?

1

2

3

4

5

MS. KAISER: Joe, this is Heidi.

2 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Heidi.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

21

22

23

MS. KAISER: You know, I'm all for going forward with this, but the gentleman that spoke, John, I believe it was, I think had a valid concern, a valid comment. It's unfortunate that it was submitted after the comment period. But I would recommend to the Department that they look into this for future changes into the distribution.

MR. LIVERS: Mr. Chairman, Ms. Kaiser, this is Tom Livers. We can certainly do that, and would have had this comment come up during the public comment on the rulemaking.

12 13 You know, typically, as Mr. Pizzini lined out, we'll 14 look for a starting point that reflects our costs, and, in 15 this case, it's maybe even more significant given the fact 16 that we've had legislative audits saying we have to base 17 our cost recovery -- our rate structure on the 18 commensurate cost of review. So, clearly, that's what we 19 did when we put this together and looked at the 25 cents 20 per lineal foot. And in any rulemaking, we certainly take 21 into account the position and interests of the various 22 parties, and sometimes there are negotiations that cause 23 us to move off of that, even though in this case we've got 24 kind of a clear statutory requirement. So we're certainly

MR. LIVERS: Mr. Chairman, Department Attorney Ed Hayes will speak to this.

Page 60

MR. HAYES: Good morning, Chairman Russell, other members of the Board. My name is Ed Hayes; I'm an attorney with the Department of Environmental Quality.

6 Before you is a proposed amendment to ARM 17.24.1109. 7 That administrative rule sets forth criteria that must be 8 met by a banking institution before the Department accepts 9 a letter of credit from them to assure reclamation of a 10 coal mine.

11 The proposed amendment does two things. It first 12 deletes subsection (f) of the current rule. That 13 subsection currently requires a bank to have a specified 14 rating from one of three national credit services that are 15 listed there. That subsection has proven difficult to 16 apply because the national credit rating services change 17 over time. One of the credit rating services currently 18 listed no longer offers ratings. And then the credit 19 rating services use different scales to score banking 20 institutions, and the subsection only lists one of the 21 scales, and so it's hard to apply to all of the credit 22 rating services across the board.

I guess the driving factor, though, is that the national credit rating services only rank -- or rate nationally-chartered banks, not state-chartered banks, so

Page 59

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

at this point. It doesn't preclude us from reconsidering that outside of this rulemaking in the future.

open to that. It's just kind of unfortunate on the timing

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: And I wholeheartedly agree with that, that this can be taken up again. And there probably are some differences between, let's say --Bigfork recently put in ground water drinking wells quite a bit north of the community, and they basically ran them straight in to Bigfork, which is probably a fairly easy review. But when you have connections all along that same path, then the review starts to get quite a bit more difficult or can get more difficult. And that goes with the sewer side, too, with collection or a force main. It

does take a lot more engineering than just a force main

14 that runs three miles. 15 So with that, we do have a motion on the floor. 16 I appreciate your comments, Tom.

17 All those in favor, signify by saying "aye."

18

19 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Opposed. 20 (No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Motion carries.

All right, the next item on the agenda is repeal, amendment, or adoption of final rule concerning

24 ARM 17.24.1109.

25 Tom.

Page 61 that rule precludes any state-chartered bank from issuing

2 a letter of credit that the Department would find 3 acceptable under the currently written rule.

4 The second thing that the rule does is amend the 5 definition of total stockholders equity that is in 6 subsection (e)(iii). While (e)(iii) currently has a 7 correct definition of total stockholders equity, the 8 proposed amendment to the proposed new definition is more 9 correct as that term is used in the banking industry.

It is believed that even with the deletion of subsection (f), that the rule still provides an adequate basis for the Department to ascertain the financial strength of a banking institution in order to determine whether to accept a letter of credit. Subsections (d) and (e) provide additional criteria of a financial nature based on the balance sheet of the issuing bank and provide a sufficient basis for the Department to ascertain the

financial strength of the bank. At the time the Department proposed to initiate rulemaking, the Board had a question; I believe it came from Commissioner Whelan. The Department couldn't answer that at the time rulemaking was initiated. We got additional information from the Banking Commission, and they provided the information that I believe Mr. Whelan

25 was requesting in a letter from Commissioner

Page 62 Page 64 Annie Goodwin, is her last name, and that was included in 1 Adventist Church, Ravalli County. 2 your board packet. Katherine. The notice of rule adoption gave the public until 3 MS. ORR: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, 4 February 26 to submit comments, and the Department did not this case involves a public water supply system, receive any comments during that time. 5 7th Day Adventist school in Hamilton, Montana. The 6 And I'll also indicate that present today is Deputy Department issued its notice of violation, administrative Commissioner David Novotny, of the Banking Commission, and 7 compliance, and penalty order on January 7th, 2010, and is he would be available if the Board has any additional 8 asking for \$1,315 in penalties for various violations questions regarding the proposed rule amendment. 9 involving the following: Failure to monitor total With that, the Department recommends adoption of 10 coliform bacteria, failure to report monitoring Rule 17.24.1109 as proposed. 11 violations, exceedance of MCLs for total coliform bacteria four times, failure to report for nonacute MCL total CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Thanks, Ed. 12 Questions for the Department, Board? 13 coliform bacteria violations, and failure to provide MR. WHELAN: Mr. Chairman. 14 public notification. CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Yes, Joe. 15 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: I have a guick guestion for MR. WHELAN: I would just like to thank the 16 you, Katherine. Department for following through with my request for more 17 MS. ORR: Yes. information from the banking department with respect to 18 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: There's a letter in there from the relative strength of state-chartered banks versus 19 Ravalli County Environmental Health. There's a date on nationally-chartered banks. That letter addresses my 20 that. Did all of this stuff happen after the date that concerns. 21 the Environmental Health Department basically said it was 22 MR. LIVERS: Thank you, Mr. Whelan. You're okav? 23 welcome. MS. ORR: Let me look and see about the letter CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Okay. Anything else? 24 here. 25 (No audible response.) Let's see, May 14th, and these violations occurred --Page 63 Page 65 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right, hearing none --Yeah, it looks like the letter references -- is earlier this is kind of a weird one -- I would entertain a motion 2 than the cited violations, which is odd.

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 2 3 to adopt the rule as noticed. And, apparently, 521 4 doesn't -- and there was no public hearing, so basically 5 it's just the notice and House Bill 311 analysis. 6 MR. MIRES: Mr. Chairman, this is Larry Mires, 7 and I would so move. 8 MR. MILLER: This is Miller; I'll second it. 9 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It's been moved and seconded. 10 Further discussion? 11 (No audible response.) 12 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Hearing none, all those in 13 favor, signify by saying "aye." 14 (Vote.) CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Opposed. 15 16 (No audible response.) 17 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right, we'll move on. 18 MR. LIVERS: Mr. Chairman, on behalf of the 19 Department and the Board, I'd like to thank Mr. Novotny 20 for coming today. He probably knows a lot more than he 21 ever intended to about water quality standards and solid 22 waste regulations and other matters, sitting through this. 23 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Okay, thank you. 24 The next item on the agenda is new contested case 25 appeals. In the matter of the appeal of the 7th Day

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: They must have decided to take 4 everything off once they got such a gleaming record from 5 the Ravalli County Environmental Health people. 6 MS. ORR: That is odd. 7 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Yes, it seems odd to me. 8 Any comments for Katherine, or questions even? 9 (No audible response.) 10 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Hearing none, I'd entertain a 11 motion to assign Katherine the permanent hearings officer 12 for this matter. 13 MR. MILLER: This is Miller; I so move. 14 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It's been moved by Marv. Is 15 there a second? 16

MR. WHELAN: Mr. Chairman, this is Joe Whelan; I'll second. CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It's been seconded by Joe. Further comments? MS. SHROPSHIRE: Mr. Chairman, can you hear me? CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Yeah, I can, but I'm not sure who this is yet.

23 MS. SHROPSHIRE: Sorry, it's Robin. I couldn't 24 tell if my phone was on mute or not. 25

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Oh, it's off mute now. You

Page 66 need to turn it back on. MS. SHROPSHIRE: You didn't hear all the things I said when it was on mute. CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Oh, I've heard them all, Robin. MS. SHROPSHIRE: And maybe it's -- I don't know if it's a -- I guess it's a question for Katherine, but I noticed that it seemed that they were in violation for five months, but they were assessed for a violation of 10 five days. I'm not sure if that was an error or intentional. 12 MS. ORR: Mr. Chairman, Ms. Shropshire, I think 13 we could maybe hear from the Department on that, noting 14 that this is a contested case. MS. SHROPSHIRE: Well, I don't know if that's 15 16 appropriate, but I just wanted to comment on it. 17 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It probably isn't appropriate. 18 MS. ORR: That may be a subject for the 19 determination of the penalties portion of the case. 20 MS. SHROPSHIRE: I'll leave it at that. We don't 21 need to hear from the Department, I guess, unless it's appropriate. So I just wanted to make note of that. 22 23 MS. ORR: Mr. Arrigo would like to give a generic

Page 68 Network, Women's Voices for the Earth, and the Clark Fork 1 2 Coalition on Thompson River CO-Gen. 3 Katherine. 4 MS. ORR: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, 5 this is a case that originated in the Department when it 6 issued a modified air quality permit to Thompson River 7 CO-Gen, LLC, and that occurred on August 21st of 2006. 8 That modified air quality permit -- And let me just say 9 parenthetically this is for an electricity and steam 10 cogeneration plant in the Thompson River Falls area that 11 uses a wood waste biomass fired by a stoker boiler. 12 An appeal was filed from the issuance of this modified 13 permit on September 6, 2006, and the case went to hearing 14 on the merits May 3rd, 4th, and 17th of 2007. The Board 15 issued of findings of fact, conclusions of law on oral 16 argument and on exceptions, and that decision was appealed 17 to the district court on the exclusive basis that there 18 had been a prehearing denial of a motion to amend the 19 appeal with the addition to the affidavit that went to 20 the -- went with the appeal. The addition to the 21 affidavit that was requested was that the Department deem 22 the plant to be a major stationary source. 23 And the hearing officer, I, denied that request for 24 amendment under Rule 15(c) of the Montana Rules of Civil

25

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

for the record, my name is John Arrigo, administrator of the DEQ Enforcement Division. Just as a generic comment, not specific to this case, when the Department calculates penalties for public water supply monitoring violations, it's a monthly monitoring requirement, and so we consider that one day of violation. We do not consider the entity to be in violation for

MR. ARRIGO: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board,

MS. SHROPSHIRE: Follow-up? CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Carefully.

30 days of the entire month.

MS. SHROPSHIRE: That's all right. I'll leave it at that. I'll wait until we're on a different matter and ask the question, but I don't want to risk conflicting the Department, so I'll leave it at that. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right. Thanks, Robin. So we have a motion. All those in favor, signify by saying "aye."

19 (Vote.)

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

24

25

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

23

24

response to this issue.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Opposed.

21 (No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Motion carries.

The next matter on the agenda is other action on contested cases. In the matter of the notice of appeal

25 and request for hearing for the Citizens Awareness relate back to the initial claim pertaining to the plant.

2 The initial appeal or claims had to do with a failure to

3 properly apply a BACT analysis. And as the board members

Procedure, saying that the requested amendment did not

4 will recall, the Board did remand the case back to the

5 Department and the plant for supplemental BACT analysis

6 for periods of non-steady state operation, including 7

startup and shutdown.

The district court ruled that the hearing examiner -in essence, it was a prehearing decision, so it technically was incorporated by the Board, but they were addressing an opinion that I wrote on the subject of whether the amendment should be allowed. And the district court opinion was reviewing on an arbitrary, capricious, and abuse of discretion standard and found that there was

no basis to reverse the hearing officer's decision.

Then it went to the Montana Supreme Court, and the Montana Supreme Court reviewed the case on a different standard, which was whether there was an incorrect conclusion of law that prejudices the substantial rights of an appellant, and the Supreme Court found that, indeed, under and applying the Rules of Civil Procedure, there must be a liberal construction given to Rule 15(c) that addresses amendments in this kind of circumstance, and reversed the district court opinion and remanded for

25 further proceedings.

1 That's the barebones kind of description of the 2 Supreme Court case. I'd be glad to answer questions. But 3 before the Board today is an order which -- Well, let me 4 back up. The Supreme Court, after issuing its order in January, remanded to the district court, which, in turn, 5 has remanded the case for further proceedings and 6

7 consideration of granting of the motion to amend and

8 consideration of the amended claims. So it went all the

way up and came all the way back down, and now you have 9 10 before you, in compliance with the district court's order,

11 an order remanding this to the hearing officer for further 12 consideration of the issue of the amended claims.

13

14

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right. Thanks, Katherine. **Questions for Katherine?**

MS. SHROPSHIRE: I have a question, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Robin.

17 MS. SHROPSHIRE: Katherine, I have heard that 18 recently, there was a Supreme Court ruling, and I don't 19 know if it was Texas or federal, and I should know that,

20 but regarding startup and shutdown of a -- and whether or 21 not -- And, basically, the facility there was required to

22 meet standards during startup and shutdown essentially.

23 And that would have happened between, I think -- you know,

24 the beginning of this and now. And I'm curious if that

25 ruling would apply to this case at all; if the federal 1 have to take up every matter.

2 MS. SHROPSHIRE: That's fine. I'm not suggesting 3 we hear it. I just was curious if we had to make that 4 decision, but it sounds like we don't, Mr. Chairman. 5

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: So I am looking for a motion.

6 MS. SHROPSHIRE: So moved. 7

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It's been moved by Robin. Is there a second?

MR. MIRES: Larry Mires seconds.

10 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Larry Mires seconds the 11 motion. Any further discussion?

12 (No audible response.)

13 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Hearing none, all those in favor, signify by saying "ave." 14

15 (Vote.)

8

9

16

18

19

21

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Opposed.

17 (No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Motion carries unanimously.

Thanks, Katherine.

20 MS. ORR: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: The next item on the agenda

22 is -- actually, it's another action item, regarding the

23 McLaren tailings near Cooke City. 24

Tom.

MR. LIVERS: Sure.

Page 71

Supreme Court had made a ruling regarding startup and shutdown, if that would apply to this or impact the decision here.

MS. ORR: It won't apply -- Well, I think it could.

MS. SHROPSHIRE: Okay. I'm sorry, it's a recent ruling, and I don't mean to catch you off guard there. That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right. Anything further? (No audible response.)

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Hearing none, I will entertain a motion to authorize the board chair to sign the order on reversal remanding the matter to the hearings officer.

MS. SHROPSHIRE: Mr. Chairman, is this something that the Board would hear?

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: No, I don't think we can, because we actually gave it to Katherine to hear.

MS. SHROPSHIRE: Okay.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Is that correct?

MS. ORR: Mr. Chairman, that is correct.

21 However, I think you have the discretion to reverse that 22 decision and hear it yourselves, if you like.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: I really wasn't looking for that response, Katherine. You're way down the track, so I don't think that the Board wants to -- I mean, we would

Mr. Chairman, this is kind of an interesting one. 1

> 2 Without a lot of setup, I'm just going to turn it over to

3 Tom Henderson of our Remediation Division, and we'll go

4 from there. This is the second of the two PowerPoints you 5 were sent, so if you want to refer to that during this

6 discussion, that would be helpful. And with that, I'll

7 turn it over to Tom.

> MR. HENDERSON: Mr. Chairman and the Board, my name is Tom Henderson, and I'm a reclamation specialist with the Department of Environmental Quality. Let me just get my PowerPoint up here. I wanted to give you some context to the decision, and I'm going to try to keep it as brief as I can.

I've got 14 slides I'd like to go through just, once again, to provide a little context. What we're looking at here in slide 2 of 14 is a plan view of the McLaren

16 17 tailings abandoned mine site reclamation project.

18

Soda Butte Creek borders the project to the north; 19 Yellowstone National Park is located approximately

20 five miles downstream. It's a large tailings impoundment

21 from -- associated with mining between the 1930s and

22 1950s, the gold mining. The blue lines that run through 23 the middle of the project are what will be relocated,

24 Soda Butte Creek and Miller Creek drainages.

25 And I'll move on to slide 3 of 14.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Just for orientation, this is the one that's very close to Cooke City at basically the same elevation, correct?

MR. HENDERSON: It is very close to Cooke City. It's approximately one-eighth of a mile east of Cooke City. It's within walking distance of Cooke City. Cooke City is just -- the lines north of the project site are Highway 212. Cooke City is just little bit to the west of the project.

Any other questions? (No audible response.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

24

MR. HENDERSON: Just a quick look at what we're dealing with in materials, there's approximately 237,000 cubic yards of piritic tailings. And just two quick slides of Soda Butte Creek showing what the tailings -- the impact is of having tailings in their present location. They're overlying the historic location of Soda Butte Creek, and given that fact, they're saturated with ground water. So when you get downstream of the tailings, and it depends on what your color

20 21 reproduction is like, but what the slide is indicating is 22

significant iron staining, significant discharges of 23 metals from the base of the tailings to Soda Butte Creek.

The recent history of the project, the Board of

25 Environmental Review and the DEQ are property owners. We

that reprocessing help offset the trucking costs; the 1

Page 76

Page 77

2 final option being hauling two of the years. It's a

3 five-year project -- six-year project, excuse me, that

4 we're looking at, and four of those years would be

5 stabilizing and disposing of the tailings. So in

6 option 2, we would be looking at handling approximately 7 25 to 35 percent of the tailings.

8 Option 2 -- DEQ looked at the pros and cons to all 9 these three options, and we've determined that option 2 is 10 the most desirable. And the primary reasons are: It 11 gives some flexibility, as we're digging out wastes, in 12 terms of not worrying about the repository capacity in 13 case we find additional wastes that we haven't found in

14 the assessment program. In addition, we think alternative 15

2 is very desirable in the sense that we are relocating 16 wastes away from Yellowstone National Park and away from

17 Soda Butte Creek. Finally, we think that if we get a component of the tailings offsite, we can build a 18

19 repository with a greater buffer distance from the creek 20 and with gentler slopes, more stable for the possibility

of seismic events.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Tom, I know I'm probably the only one that's been there in a board capacity, but the repository is actually on the -- north of the tailings site, right?

Page 75

purchased the property in 2008. At the time, it was 1

2 necessary to keep the proposed repository location as part

3 of this project. I think EPA was pressuring the property

4 owner to sell the proposed repository location in order to

5 pay for some fencing. Site assessment and reclamation

6 design was completed recently. The other thing we did in

7 2008, September, is looked at all the historic information

8 concerning the gold content of the tailings. The

9 specifications for the reclamation work were developed

10 April 2009 to October 2009; bid opening just a little over

11 a month ago.

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Throughout the work I was describing in the previous slide, one thing -- one significant item that has come up is that we are at full design capacity of the repository. Another thing that I guess we're certainly aware of is that we're very close to Yellowstone National Park. Some of the limitations with the repository capacity are that we are designing it to survive a 250-year seismic event in the area, and that factors into the buffer zone between the repository and the slopes of the repository.

When we bid this job, we thought -- we were going to price out three alternatives. One was to place all of the wastes in the repository; the second alternative was to 24 haul -- one of the years, haul tailings to Golden Sunlight

Mine, reprocess the tailings, and have the moneys from

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

MR. HENDERSON: I would call it west of the tailings site.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: West?

MR. HENDERSON: Yeah. Let me go back to the --So the tailings are -- Do you see the existing tailings impoundment?

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Correct. Yeah.

MR. HENDERSON: And then the tailings dam, and then the repository location is on a bench above Soda Butte Creek, which has been assessed for depth to ground water. But that's the -- The proposed repository location is west of the --

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Oh. So this isn't the one that's located on the other side of the highway up quite a bit in elevation.

MR. HENDERSON: I think what you're thinking of is the repository that the Forest Service constructed associated -- on their lands associated with the New World reclamation project.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Right. That's not the one.

MR. HENDERSON: That's not the one.

22 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Okay.

MR. HENDERSON: Any other questions?

24 MR. MILLER: Tom, this is Miller -- or

25 Mr. Chairman, I'd like to ask Tom a question.

Page 78 Page 80 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Yeah, that's great, Marv. Go 1 comfortable with what you're going to capture in revenue 2 ahead. for the gold that's left in the tailings? MR. MILLER: I was just wondering, Tom, why did 3 MR. HENDERSON: There are several components to 4 that question. One of the components is the market value the State purchase these tailings? 5 of gold, a second component is the actual gold content of MR. HENDERSON: At the time, the Environmental 6 the tailings, and a third component to that is the Protection Agency was pressuring the property owner. It was a small mining company out of Great Falls. What the 7 recoverable, the --Environmental Protection Agency was looking at was having 8 (Unintelligible interruption.) this little company fence off the property and effectively 9 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Go ahead, Tom. MR. HENDERSON: -- the efficiency of the 10 do no reclamation associated with the acid mine discharge. And the little company, as I understand it, said, We don't 11 recovery. And, certainly, on items 2 and 3, I think we have any funding even to put these fences up. EPA was 12 feel comfortable both with current and historical data. pressuring the company -- it was Camjac, I believe -- to 13 I'm going to address that question, though, in a slide sell a portion of their property and then use those 14 down -- a few more slides down the line. 15 revenues to pay for the fencing. CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Okav. Go ahead. 16 At that point, we were concerned that the acid mining MR. HENDERSON: Any other questions? drainage emanating from the base of the tailings would not 17 MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman, a follow-up to Tom? 18 be remediated and we'd be losing the repository location. CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Yeah, go ahead. MR. MILLER: Okay, thank you. 19 MR. MILLER: Who did the assaying on determining MR. HENDERSON: Any other questions? 20 the amount of goal in the deposits? 21 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: So where is the reprocessing MR. HENDERSON: The historic -- I think much of

22

23

24

25

5

8

Page 79

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: So just for us slow people, like me, so you would be hauling it there and then hauling the reprocessed tailings back for deposition? MR. HENDERSON: No, that's not the case. One of the most attractive components of this project to us is that we would be hauling the tailings to Barrick Golden Sunlight, they would be extracting the gold, we would be receiving funding for the gold, which we would use to offset the trucking costs, but the tailings, then, would be placed in their own permitted tailings impoundment.

MR. HENDERSON: That would be the Barrick Golden

Sunlight Mine adjacent to Whitehall. It's about

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Okay. So anything that's left is basically -- How will you know what to leave?

MR. HENDERSON: For the tailings onsite?

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

â

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25

going to occur, Tom?

five miles from Whitehall.

MR. HENDERSON: Our plan right now is just to haul the 2011 year, and what we achieve by hauling in 2011

17 is that we get approximately 30 percent of the tailings

18 off the site; we alleviate the volume considerations we

19 have with the repository. At the same time, I think we

20 give ourselves the opportunity to see exactly how well the

21 process works, and DEQ, at the end of 2011, depending on

22 the success of the project, we may entertain the

23 possibility of additional hauling in 2012. But right now,

24 what is on the table is hauling in 2011.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: And you feel pretty

Page 81 MR. HENDERSON: When I indicated Newmont did some 1 2 of the assaying, they contracted with Montana Bureau of 3 Mines and Geology. 4 MR. MILLER: Okay.

Granada Exploration Company. The current stuff was done

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: I wouldn't trust them, Marv. Okay, keep going before I get in real trouble here.

the historic work was done, I believe there's a

MR. MILLER: Okay. Thank you.

both by Newmont and by Golden Sunlight.

6 7

MR. HENDERSON: I don't want to -- I've got two slides with our analysis of advantages and disadvantages.

9 Now, one of the alternatives we had was just going 10 with the onsite repository, hauling nothing to the

11 Golden Sunlight. I think the biggest -- the advantages

12 are certainly the simplicity and the lower cost. The

13 disadvantages are the space limitations, and especially

14 the problems that any additional wastes may pose as they

15 may be discovered during the actual excavation of the

16 tailings. Certainly, the proximity of the repository to

17 Yellowstone National Park, I think, gives us a little

18 additional emphasis in constructing something that we

19 think is very stable, certainly in the context of seismic 20 events.

21 The primary -- Here you have alternatives 2 and 3.

22 I'm on slide 10 of 14. And, I'm sorry, I'm not doing a

23 very good job of keeping abreast of the slides. But I

24 think the primary advantage that the DEQ is interested in,

25 in this alternative, is the reduction of long-term

5

6

7

8

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

liabilities associated with repository stability. We also 1 2 think a primary benefit is the removal of a significant 3 quantity of waste from the boundary of Yellowstone

National Park. I'll get into the economics in a second.

6

7

9

25

4 5 We do have stakeholder support. We got a letter from the Greater Yellowstone Coalition strongly supporting this alternative. We've contacted the Beartooth Alliance: they 8 support the removal of a portion of the tailings in order to enhance the stability of the repository. I have also 10 gotten support from Mary Beth Marks at the U.S. Forest 11 Service and from a gentleman at the Bureau of Land 12 Management. I think the biggest disadvantage which has been brought to our attention is the increased carbon 13 14 footprint, and, secondarily, the traffic risks associated

15 with offsite hauling of the tailings. 16 I'm going to move on to slide 11. There is no hauling 17 through Yellowstone National Park. The map indicates the 18 proposed haul route, which leaves Cooke City, travels 19 east, utilizes the Chief Joseph Highway into Wyoming, then 20 north into Belfry and Bridger, Montana, up to Laurel, 21 Montana, and then picking up I-90 to Whitehall. And we have had a traffic safety analysis done on the proposed 22 23 haul route, looking at accidents, frequency of use, 24 especially focusing on the Chief Joseph Highway. That's

1 And with that, I'll conclude my presentation. 2 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Thanks, Tom. Well done. 3 What path -- I'm trying to do this on the map. How 4 are you going to get from Cooke City to Whitehall?

MR. HENDERSON: Yeah, the map may not be entirely clear. So from Cooke City, you travel east going uphill, and the first thing you hit in about a mile is Colter Pass, and you are technically on the Beartooth Highway at

9 that point; it's 212. As you travel approximately

10 20 miles further to the east, then there's a junction in 11 the road where the Beartooth Highway splits off to the

12 north heading to Red Lodge, and then to the south is, I

13 believe it's called the Chief Joseph Scenic Highway; it

14 travels in the direction of Cody. And then as you travel

15 down the Chief Joseph Scenic Highway, you go through a

16 place called Sunlight Basin. After Sunlight Basin, then 17 you cross, I believe it's Dead Indian Hill. And if you

18 have a good resolution on your map, that's where the line

19 turns a bit squiggly.

You don't actually get to Cody. You get to -- About 20 miles before Cody, there's an intersection with, I think it's unofficially called the Belfry Highway. It's

23 Highway 120. And you head north then, and after about 10,

24 15 miles, you leave Wyoming, you pass through the little

25 towns of Belfry and Bridger, which are in Montana, and

Page 83

1 Moving on to slide 12. The reprocessing cost overview, if we hauled that one year, in 2011, we're 2

the -- I guess the curviest section of the haul route.

3 essentially incurring \$4.9 million. We would spend

4 \$4.9 million more than we would spend placing materials in

5 the repository. When we look at this, I want to emphasize

6 that we're not looking at this project as a

7 money-generating -- we're not looking at it for the money;

8 we're looking at it for the environmental benefits of

9 getting the materials away from the national park and

10 having a repository that is not at its design capacity.

11 So you'll see that as a break-even at 1,220 ounces --

12 dollars per ounce of gold. Even if gold went down to,

13 say, \$700, \$900, this is a very significant project, and

we think these additional expenditures are acceptable in 14

15 the context of what we're going to spend at this project

16 for stabilizing the tailings, for treating the water

17 that's -- the ground water that's present in the tailings. 18

And I don't want to take too much time here, so I'll move on to slide 13, right to our action required. The DEQ, in our analysis, we believe that hauling in 2011 --

the benefits from hauling in 2011 outweigh the negative 21

22 aspects. However, we're both listed on the deed as

23 owners, and your concurrence is required in order to enter

24 into an ore purchase agreement with Barrick Golden

25 Sunlight.

19

20

then you continue up towards Laurel. And in Laurel, then you turn left on I-80 and then travel through Big Timber, Bozeman, and on towards Whitehall.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: So it's a big trek.

MR. HENDERSON: It is a big trek. Certainly, it's a long trek. It certainly would not be even feasible without the -- It would be economically very painful without the possibility of recovering the gold value in the tailings.

MR. LIVERS: And we determined it was advantageous to disrupt tourist traffic in Wyoming as opposed to Montana.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: I am all for that. You can put me on the record on that one. I'll probably get thrown out of here if I keep this up.

Tom, we appreciate your presentation.

MR. HENDERSON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: With all that in mind, we'll get back to --

20 MR. MILLER: Mr. Chairman, maybe I'll make a 21 comment.

And maybe, Tom, you want to comment, too, but I've done -- I did some checking here on all the forecasters of gold prices for the next five years, and they all suggest that we might even make a little money on this, and it

```
Page 86
    should stay somewhere between 1,100 and $2,000 an ounce.
1
2
    So maybe this is the time to move it.
3
          CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: I'm with you. I don't think
4
    gold prices are going down anytime soon.
5
          MR. LIVERS: We could start having in-person
6
    meetings again, if that's the case.
7
          CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: And even a road trip.
8
          MR. WHELAN: Mr. Chairman.
9
          CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Yes, Joe.
10
          MR. WHELAN: Since it is the month of the luck of
11
```

the Irish, let's assume gold drops down to \$700 an ounce and we lose two-and-a-half million dollars through this project. Is the Department going to capitalize that loss all in one year or, being viewed as a four-year project, does it get capitalized over a period of four years?

15 16 MR. HENDERSON: This is Tom Henderson. One thing 17 I guess I would like to highlight is, we're in the bidding 18 process and we're speccing under job and trying to 19 determine which alternative to select. At any point --20 And this is what I want to emphasize. Down the road, I 21 think we would have opportunities via a change order if, 22 indeed -- And I know this is not exactly what you were 23 asking, but I just wanted to bring this up, that if, 24 indeed, gold prices were down, we would have the

opportunity of going to our successful bidder and

option 3 and do it over two years, are we looking at 2 doubling that figure?

3 MR. HENDERSON: Doubling and, like I say, times 4 2.2. Do you know what I mean? Just slightly over 5 doubling it.

6 MR. WHELAN: Right.

7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

MR. HENDERSON: Let me just return to your trucking question, though. We would be looking at moving the gold in 42-ton trucking -- it's a double trailer, and 10 that 1700 trips corresponds to once every half hour, which is in our specs. So there would be a truck leaving our 11 12 site once every half hour over a 10-to-12-hour day. So every day, we'd be moving a maximum of 25 trucks. So it's 13 14 part of our consideration in not having the hauling 15 interfere with our actual reclamation job, but we would be 16 looking at moving a truck approximately once every half 17 hour.

MR. WHELAN: If we get these tailings moved to the Golden Sunlight Mine, and we sign this ore purchase agreement, I understand that one of the advantages of the haul is reduced liability concerns. Are we indemnified from that point forward; if we just drop those tailings off there, we have no further responsibility for them? MR. HENDERSON: I believe it's the time of the

Page 87

potentially entering into a negotiation.

Now, with regard to the capitalization question, I'm looking around the room for someone to take that.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: But this is a year-by-year matter, isn't it?

MR. HENDERSON: Yeah. What's on the table right now is 2011.

MR. WHELAN: Okay. So, Tom, your Reprocessing Cost Overview slide, you have the estimated profits and losses, if I could use those terms, based upon certain prices of gold per ounce.

MR. HENDERSON: That's correct.

MR. WHELAN: That \$700 figure and the two-and-a-half-million-dollar loss figure is just for the year 2011; is that correct?

MR. HENDERSON: That is correct.

17 MR. WHELAN: Follow-up question, if I may,

18 Mr. Chairman?

12

13

14

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Go for it, Joe.

MR. WHELAN: Do you have an estimate in terms of the number of truckloads that would be required to move these tailings from the site to Whitehall?

MR. HENDERSON: For the year 2011, it would be approximately 1,700.

MR. WHELAN: Okay. If you were to select

Page 89 1 MR. ROOT: My name is Tom Root, and I represent 2 the abandoned mine group within DEQ.

Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, in terms of liability, let me lay out the whole picture for you so that we don't have piecemeal inquiries.

morning for a lawyer to approach the microphone.

6 If you begin at the McLaren tailings, you have 7 potential liability for accidents, personal injuries, car 8 wrecks, busted shovels, and all that kind of thing. That 9 is handled through the bid package by the insurance 10 requirements for the contractor, and we have reviewed the 11 insurance amounts and think that they're more than 12 adequate to handle those kinds of issues. Once it's 13 loaded into a truck, you move from McLaren to Whitehall, 14 you have the potential for automobile accidents, drunk

15 driver, slip, going off the road. That, too, we believe 16 is adequately covered by the insurance coverage in the bid 17 package that the contractor is required to have.

18 Once we get to McLaren -- I'm sorry. Once we get to 19 Whitehall, the ore purchase agreement becomes effective 20 once we cross their property boundary and they take 21 delivery at crossing the property boundary of the site.

22 The liability that could occur would be handled by

23 Golden Sunlight for activities under their control on

24 their site. If you are concerned about potential

Superfund or other liability for putting the material into 25

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

18

21

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

their tailings pond, that is theirs; once they take

2 possession, then they are an operator, they are then the

3 owner of the tailings. That would be their liability.

4 Concern would be, if they were to find themselves

5 named as a Superfund site, that they would name DEQ as a

6 potentially responsible party because we delivered ore to

7 their facility. We believe that that risk is extremely

8 small. The reason for it is as follows: The mill

9 tailings site, the tailings pile, the entire complex, is

10 fully permitted by DEQ, and they are in compliance.

11 They've had violations; everybody has had some violations.

12 But they are a fully permitted facility. So we believe

13 that that gives us one layer of protection, if you will.

14 The potential would require that the site be named by

15 EPA as a Superfund site and proceed forward. We are

16 not -- And this is getting into the esoteric Superfund,

17 but in our view, this is not waste material at all. The

18 gold value of the material -- For example, today, I think

19 the price is 1,150 an ounce. The amount of money we can

20 receive from that makes it fall within the definition of

21 ore as that term is commonly understood in the mining

22 business. Ore is defined as a mineral that can be sold at

23 a profit, therefore, it is not waste. It was waste when

24 it was laid down in the 1930s to the 1950s. The price

25 then was \$35 an ounce. Today, it's in excess of 1,100.

of the Wyoming Department of Transportation for this plan. 2 where do they stand?

Page 92

MR. HENDERSON: The analysis we've done is a safety analysis, and all we've done is pulled some of their records with regard to average travel speeds, sight distances necessary close to our facility. But in terms of formal comment, we've not gone to either DOT for a formal comment.

MR. MIRES: A follow-up on that, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Absolutely.

11 MR. MIRES: Is there a reason why we haven't?

12 MR. HENDERSON: Our feeling is that these are

13 legal hauls and that the road damage or any road wear 14 would be paid for by taxes, and we're just using the roads

that are intended for this use. And, once again, I'll 15

16 just reiterate, these are legal hauls.

MR. MIRES: Thank you. 17

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Further questions?

19 MS. SHROPSHIRE: Mr. Chairman, I have a couple of

20 questions and a comment.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Okay. 22 MS. SHROPSHIRE: I guess my comment is that, you

23 know, it's my belief that if we're in possession of these

24 tailings as good stewards, I'm in favor of what we're

25 doing, absolutely; we need to take care of it and be

Page 91

I think I've probably exhausted all of the questions 1 2 that you might have, but if there's more, I'd be happy to 3 go on.

4 MR. WHELAN: That was a wonderful summary. Thank you very much. 5

MR. ROOT: Thank you.

6

7

8

9

23

MR. WHELAN: The question I have goes back to DEQ, and that would be, do we have any concerns that Golden Sunlight has enough capacity to accommodate all of 10 these tailings, should they all be transferred there in a 11 year?

MR. HENDERSON: Yeah, we've had several 12 13 discussions with both their environmental coordinator and 14 their facilities manager, and that is not an issue they're 15 concerned with. We've described our trucking schedule to them, we've described our total volume to them, and, no,

16 17 that has not been a concern relayed to us at all.

18 MR. WHELAN: Okay. Thank you very much,

19 Mr. Chairman.

20 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right.

21 MR. MIRES: Mr. Chairman, this is Larry Mires; I

22 have one question.

CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Larry, go for it.

24 MR. MIRES: How does -- The State of Montana

25 Department of Transportation, and what's our feedback out

Page 93 1 responsible for it. So I'm happy with the way we're 2

approaching it.

I think this may be a question for Mr. Root. I

4 thought he gave a great explanation of the liability, and 5 I'm just wondering, is there a possibility in the contract

6 of having -- once it leaves the site, that it becomes the

7 owner, Golden Sunlight, rather than before it enters their

8 boundary? I mean, could we require them to have the 9 liability as soon as it leaves our site in the contract?

And then the second part of the question is, is it as simple as just saying within the contract that they wouldn't name us as a PRP?

MR. ROOT: Two nasty questions, both asked several times.

As far as them accepting liability, their business practice -- and this goes back from when the mill first started to operate -- they take title at the property line and they don't want anything to do with the extraction or transportation of the ore. They're in the milling business, and that's where they draw the line.

We asked them about that and they said, No; we'll accept title at the property line, period, because our operation involves milling. We're not involved in the transportation part of it, we're not involved in the

extraction part of it. So from their standpoint, the

Page 94 Page 96 answer to that is no. I will tell you that they are not 1 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Yes. 1 2 MR. LIVERS: This will be a board action, so I'd 2 being arbitrary in that regard. I did about 125 or 150 of 3 these ore purchase contracts earlier in my career, and we 3 ask you to consider public comment. 4 4 were emphatic that we had nothing to do with the material CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Is there anyone in the audience who would like to speak to this matter? 5 until it was delivered to our site on our pad, precisely 5 6 MR. PEARSON: Mr. Chairman, this is Mark Pearson, 6 because we didn't want to get wrapped into any liabilities 7 that occurred previously in the mining and transportation 7 with the Greater Yellowstone Coalition. 8 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Go ahead, Mark. 8 process. 9 Your second question is now cloudy because I've been 9 MR. PEARSON: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, my name is Mark Pearson, and I work for the Greater 10 talking too much, thinking about your first question. 10 11 MS. SHROPSHIRE: The second question was whether 11 Yellowstone Coalition. We've been monitoring activities in New World Mining 12 or not we could just simply say that they agree that we're 12 not a PRP, whether that be some sort of an unknown --13 District and associated properties and have expressed our 13 14 strong support for the option of hauling as much of the 14 MR. ROOT: They are unwilling to do that. That's 15 sort of an indemnity question; you know, will they 15 tailings as possible out of the Soda Butte watershed there indemnify us. We have gone back and forth with them about right upstream of Yellowstone. So we're certainly in 16 16 17 the indemnity issue and decided that it would be best left 17 strong support of the motion and urge you guys to support 18 18 unsaid. They can't indemnify us for potential liabilities it. they can't quantify. As a business matter, they won't do 19 19 Thanks. 20 20 it. And when they are discussing -- You know, were I CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Thanks, Mark. 21 21 their attorney and somebody suggested I sign something Further comments? 22 22 like that, I would say, That begins to look sneakily like MR. LIVERS: None here in Helena, Mr. Chairman. 23 23 an admission that we ought to be a Superfund site, if CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: No one on the phone? 24 we're indemnifying people down the road. 24 (No audible response.) 25 25 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right. All those in So the answer is, as a business matter, they will not Page 95 Page 97 1 1 do that. favor, signify by saying "aye." 2 2 MS. SHROPSHIRE: Thank you. That answers my (Vote.) 3 3 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Opposed. questions. 4 4 And I guess, just to reiterate what I said before, I (No audible response.) 5 am in support of doing this. Regardless of what the price 5 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Motion carries unanimously. 6 is, or profit, and I think it's the right thing to do. 6 Nice work on this, Department. I think this is a 7 7 Thanks, Mr. Chairman. great thing. 8 8 MR. LIVERS: On behalf of those who did the work, MR. ROOT: Thank you. 9 9 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Nice comments, Robin. thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 10 Anything further? CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Where are we now? Well, we 11 (No audible response.) 11 are at the time of the meeting that we would take general CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Last chance. 12 public comment on matters that the Board has jurisdiction 12 13 13 upon. (No audible response.) CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Well, I would entertain a 14 14 (No audible response.) 15 15 motion to authorize the Chairman to sign a material CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Nothing there in Helena, Tom? 16 MR. LIVERS: None here in Helena, Mr. Chairman. 16 purchase agreement when it is finalized. 17 MS. SHROPSHIRE: So moved; this is Robin. 17 The only thing I would like to say quickly prior to 18 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It's been moved by Robin. 18 adjournment is to express my appreciation to the board 19 members. I know the decision to go to a teleconference 19 Second? 20 MR. MILLER: This is Miller; I second it. 20 was pretty late in the process. Obviously, we're mindful 21 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It's been seconded by Marv. 21 of the state budget situation, trying to look at reducing 22 22 Further discussion? costs when we can. I hope, at least when you have enough 23 (No audible response.) 23 notice, that this is a little more convenient for board 24 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Hearing none, all those --24 members.

25

25

MR. LIVERS: Mr. Chairman.

We will be looking at in-person meetings when they are

Page 98 Page 100 important or necessary to do so. It was a little bit COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE awkward with the PowerPoints; I know there would have been STATE OF MONTANA 3 some value being here. And, certainly, I think if we're in a situation where the Board is directly hearing COUNTY OF LEWIS AND CLARK) 5 contested cases, that's something where we'd want to have 6 an in-person meeting. 7 But one of the things we will try to do on our end, I, CHERYL ROMSA, Court Reporter, Notary Public in 8 we're going to move up our dry run a little earlier in the and for the County of Lewis and Clark, State of Montana, 9 process so we can gauge the length of the meeting and try do hereby certify: 10 to give a little more notice in the future if we do 11 recommend a teleconference. So that's what we'll be That the foregoing proceedings were reported by 12 doing. me in shorthand and later transcribed into typewriting; But, again, I just want to express my appreciation to 13 and that the -99- pages contain a true record of the 14 all the board members for their flexibility on fairly proceedings to the best of my ability. 15 short notice. DATED this 26th day of March 2010. 16 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Thanks, Tom. 17 And I don't know if all the board members -- I don't /s/Cheryl A. Romsa know if I asked you to forward on the letter, but all 18 CHERYL A. ROMSA 19 board chairs, you know, administrative boards and 20 committees, all chairs were given a letter from the 21 governor's office asking us to minimize costs associated 22 with board meetings, and this is one of the 23 recommendations they had. 24 Did we send that on to all the Board? 25 MR. LIVERS: I don't think we did, Mr. Chairman. 1 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Maybe we can dig that up and 2 send it to the Board so they understand the governor's 3 directives on this. 4 MR. LIVERS: You bet. 5 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: And, you know, maybe now that 6 they've sold some coal tracts, we'll be in good shape. 7 With that, I would entertain a motion to adjourn. 8 MR. MILLER: This is Miller; so moved. 9 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It's been moved by Marv. Is 10 there a second? 11 MS. KAISER: This is Heidi; I'll second. 12 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: It's been seconded by Heidi. 13 Further comments before we adjourn? 14 (No audible response.) 15 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: Hearing none, all those in 16 favor, signify by saying "aye." 17 (Vote.) 18 CHAIRMAN RUSSELL: All right. As telephonic 19 meetings go, this was a good one. We'll see you sometime 20 soon. 21 MR. LIVERS: Sounds good. Thank you all. (The proceedings were concluded at 11:47 a.m.) 22 23 24 25

adjourn 99:7,13 amended 8:23 49:21 A adjourned 2:24 70:8.12 abandoned 73:17 89:2 amendment 2:14 8:21 adjournment 97:18 ability 100:14 adjusted 53:12 9:3,6,18 51:16 59:23 able 11:1 16:22 25:17 administrative 12:12 60:6,11 61:8 62:9 25:18 41:12 17:3,7 60:7 64:6 68:24,25 69:12 abreast 81:23 98:19 amendments 9:12,21 absolutely 43:21 92:10 administrator 67:1 12:13.15.17 52:24 92:25 admission 94:23 53:14 54:2,3,12,23 absorbed 31:22 54:24 56:22 69:23 adopt 12:19 19:9 21:14 Abstract 38:9 33:16 48:20 50:8,18 American 36:23 abuse 69:14 56:21 57:15 63:3 ammonia 18:16 accept 57:15 61:14 adopted 9:14 34:25 amount 53:2 80:20 93:22 90:19 48:16,18 acceptable 28:3,5 61:3 adoption 2:14 7:21 8:4 amounts 89:11 83:14 8:18 9:15 51:16 analyses 25:13,18 accepting 44:17,20 54:22 56:23 59:23 analysis 29:5 57:17 93:15 63:5 69:3,5 81:8 62:3,10 accepts 60:8 adopts 8:17 9:18 82:22 83:20 92:3,4 accidents 82:23 89:7 advantage 81:24 analyzed 28:5 89:14 advantageous 85:11 **Anderson** 3:10,11 accommodate 53:13 24:14,14,19,23 46:17 advantages 81:8,11 91:9 46:17 48:2 88:20 accomplish 21:19 Adventist 64:1.5 **Annie** 62:1 account 58:21 Annotated 52:8 Advisory 41:22 Accreditation 28:3 answer 13:6 14:10 27:4 aerosol 39:13 accuracy 28:6 38:1,6 61:21 70:2 affidavit 68:19,21 achieve 79:16 Ag 18:11 20:8 34:25 94:1.25 acid 78:10.16 46:1,3,9 answers 28:8 95:2 acrolein 20:22 24:17 agencies 45:13,24 anticipate 10:20 18:11 act 6:5 8:11 18:20 19:7 25:16 41:10 42:12 **Agency** 78:6,8 19:16 20:9 27:22.24 agenda 4:5,14,16 5:21 anticipated 12:25 35:1 41:22 46:2 7:7 51:15 59:22 anticipating 23:14 48:17,18 52:2 63:24 67:23 72:21 anytime 86:4 acting 6:12 23:5 ago 12:16 26:5 75:11 anyway 14:14 17:1 action 2:19,20 11:5,10 agree 55:18 59:3 94:12 anyways 38:1 15:16 55:5 67:23 agreement 8:9 51:25 apologize 14:14 22:15 72:22 83:19 96:2 83:24 88:20 89:19 39:3 activities 89:23 96:12 apparently 63:3 95:16 acts 48:17 Agriculture 20:10 appeal 63:25 67:24 actual 80:5 81:15 88:15 45:14 68:12,19,20 69:2 acute 21:21 34:10 ahead 3:5 7:13 36:21 appealed 68:16 add 9:21 appeals 2:17 63:25 42:16 78:2 80:9,15 added 17:5 80:18 96:8 appear 9:17 11:7 adding 9:10 40:7 aimed 47:20 appears 24:15 addition 68:19,20 appellant 69:20 air 6:5 41:22 68:6,8 76:14 Allen 2:11 40:22,23 applicable 8:5 additional 16:9 42:25 43:7 application 21:12 43:15 45:6 57:13 apply 9:4 60:16,21 69:3 alleviate 79:18 61:15,23 62:8 76:13 Alliance 82:7 70:25 71:2,4 79:23 81:14,18 83:14 applying 69:21 allowed 69:12 address 35:20 40:3 allows 21:10 appoint 10:12 50:5.17 43:14 45:12 52:25 alphanumeric 39:11 appointment 7:8 10:2 80:13 appreciate 14:14 28:23 alteration 52:16 addressed 26:2 alternative 75:23 76:14 40:5 41:13 42:18 addresses 34:6 62:20 81:25 82:7 86:19 51:7 59:16 85:16 69:23 alternatives 75:22 81:9 appreciation 97:18 addressing 33:18 34:23 98:13 81:21 69:11 amend 7:9,20 8:7 12:12 appreciative 41:1 adequate 61:11 89:12 51:17 53:24 61:4 apprised 43:18 adequately 89:16 68:18 70:7 approach 88:25 adjacent 78:24

approaches 18:16 approaching 93:2 appropriate 19:20 20:24,25 23:10,19 26:19,24 33:10 34:3 43:14,16,21 66:16,17 66:22 approval 2:5 4:16 5:7 23:3,3 approve 4:19 5:10 approved 44:23 approximately 53:10 53:13 54:18 73:19 74:5,13 76:6 79:17 84:9 87:24 88:16 April 75:10 aguatic 18:1 19:17,21 20:1 21:7,21 30:4,7 30:10,13,20,22 31:16 32:3,5 34:9,17,19 35:14,17,21,23 36:4 36:8 45:17 46:19 arbitrary 69:13 94:2 area 68:10 75:19 areas 9:24 48:9 argument 68:16 **ARM** 7:20 9:4,6 11:25 12:21 51:17 59:24 60:6 Arrigo 2:18 66:23,25 67:1 arrived 47:1 arsenic 14:2 ascertain 61:12,17 asked 29:25 93:13,21 98:18 asking 16:13 17:19 18:20 22:11 57:3 64:8 86:23 98:21 aspects 83:22 assaying 80:19 81:2 assessed 52:14 66:9 77:10 assessment 75:5 76:14 assign 65:11 assigned 6:1 38:7,18 39:21 assignments 38:13,16 associated 73:21 77:18 77:18 78:10 82:1,14 96:13 98:21 Association 25:24 40:24 43:4 assume 86:11 assuming 33:10 assumptions 33:3 assurance 27:15 28:7 assure 52:3 60:9 assured 30:12 attention 17:2 43:18 82:13

attorney 3:25 6:13 60:1 60:5 94:21 attractive 79:5 audible 4:8,25 5:5,16 7:5 10:7 11:6,11,16 14:17 15:13,17,23 40:13 50:24 51:4 55:2 57:8 59:20 62:25 63:11.16 65:9 67:21 71:10 72:12,17 74:11 95:11,13,23 96:24 97:4,14 99:14 audience 40:17 55:4 96:5 audits 52:19 53:1 58:16 August 68:7 authority 48:9,20 authorize 71:12 95:15 automatically 38:18 automobile 89:14 available 10:9 14:18.25 16:7 19:8 23:1,7 34:2 50:6 62:8 Avenue 1:10 average 92:5 averaging 21:9,20 aware 23:2 75:15 Awareness 67:25 awkward 14:13 22:18 57:1,2 98:2 AWWA 55:23 aye 5:2,18 11:13 15:20 51:1 59:17 63:13 67:18 72:14 97:1 99:16 a.m 1:13 99:22 В

back 7:13,18 11:19 20:5,20 21:5 25:21 26:20 30:17 33:8,14 49:2 66:1 69:1.4 70:4 70:9 77:4 79:3 85:19 91:7 93:16 94:16 background 20:23 **BACT** 69:3,5 bacteria 64:10,11,13 balance 61:16 bank 60:13 61:1,16,18 banking 60:8,19 61:9 61:13,23 62:7,18 banks 60:25,25 62:19 62:20 barebones 70:1 Barrick 78:23 79:6 83:24 base 58:16 74:23 78:17 based 18:17 26:10 30:9 47:15 61:16 87:10 basic 46:3.8 basically 18:21 19:11

21:18 22:6 37:8 14:5 15:15 16:5,18 37:24 43:6 45:12,23 central 31:19 39:24 47:5.14.18 19:10 20:11 24:11 46:15.16 47:2 48:3 cents 56:2,3,12 58:19 56:10 59:7 63:4 28:24 30:2 34:17,25 bullet 22:17,17 certain 14:9 21:11 31:3 64:21 70:21 74:2 38:3 40:18,23 42:14 bunch 17:20 32:16,21 87:10 79:12 bureau 12:9 27:9,12.13 certainly 37:19 40:6 42:24 43:3 44:16.21 Basin 84:16,16 44:22 45:5 46:12,12 58:10.20.24 75:15 27:15,16 81:2 82:11 basis 19:13 20:2 21:8 48:7,14,16,21,23,25 business 90:22 93:15 80:11 81:12,16,19 21:25 22:5 61:12,17 49:4 51:22 52:13,23 93:20 94:19,25 85:5,6 96:16 98:3 68:17 69:15 53:10,16,23,23 54:2 busted 89:8 **CERTIFICATE 100:1** Beartooth 82:7 84:8,11 54:11 55:4,21 56:20 Butte 73:18.24 74:15 certification 27:17,18 Becca 2:12 43:25 44:6 57:5 60:4,22 61:20 74:18,23 76:17 77:10 27:19 28:1.2.11 certified 25:14.15 27:2 45:3.4 62:2.8.13 63:19 64:3 96:15 becoming 27:14 66:25 68:4,14 69:3,4 27:5,21 $\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ bedtime 25:4 69:10 70:3 71:12,15 certify 100:9 beginning 23:19 70:24 calculate 47:15 71:25 73:8 74:24 cetera 17:17 18:17 begins 94:22 76:23 89:3 96:2.9 calculates 67:4 19:12 21:10 39:14 behalf 40:24 43:4 97:12,18,23 98:4,14 calculations 26:23 CFR 7:21 8:18 9:15,17 63:18 97:8 98:17,19,22,24 99:2 California 32:18 9:19 52:6 Belfry 82:20 84:22.25 boards 98:19 call 3:2,5,8 14:7 39:8 chain 31:16 32:25 **belief** 92:23 **Bob** 2:9,10,13 16:14,16 chair 71:12 believe 4:4 43:14 58:4 16:18 24:13 36:18 called 47:15 84:13,16 Chairman 2:4 3:2,6,22 61:20,24 78:13 80:22 41:1,14,16 43:6 84:22 3:23 4:13,15,21,23 83:20 84:13.17 88:24 body 31:17 35:19 Camden 2:15 55:12,13 5:1,4,6,10,11,14,17 89:15 90:7.12 **boiler** 68:11 **Camjac** 78:13 5:20 7:2,6,10,15,19 believed 61:10 books 26:22 30:21 35:7 capable 52:5 7:22,24 10:5,8,11,15 bench 77:9 35:12 capacity 75:14,17 10:18,19,22,25 11:2 benefit 44:6 51:9 82:2 borders 73:18 76:12,23 83:10 91:9 11:3,7,8,12,15,17,18 Bostrom 2:10 27:9,11 benefits 21:15 83:8,21 capitalization 87:2 11:23 12:4 13:7,10 capitalize 86:13 **BER 52:10** 27:12 13:20,23 14:1,4,16 best 16:22 19:7 23:1,6 boundary 82:3 89:20 capitalized 86:15 14:18,21,24 15:2,6,8 23:7.16 32:10 34:2 89:21 93:8 capricious 69:13 15:11,14,18,19,22,24 capture 80:1 35:7.8 46:10 47:4 **BOX** 1:24 16:4,10,16,17,24 94:17 100:14 Bov 56:8 car 89:7 24:11,13,19 25:2,7,9 bet 99:4 carbon 82:13 Bozeman 85:3 26:9,13 27:14 28:10 Beth 82:10 break 39:12 51:9 carcinogenic 30:8 28:13,24 29:1,3,17 better 18:25 20:24 breakdown 20:7 carcinogens 30:24 29:18,20,21 30:17 care 92:25 21:24 22:4 break-even 83:11 31:25 34:7,16 35:16 bid 75:10,21 89:9,16 career 94:3 35:21 36:12,16,19,21 Brenda 2:12 43:3 bidder 86:25 Bridger 82:20 84:25 careful 42:14 37:8,18,19 38:3 bidding 86:17 brief 51:14 73:13 Carefully 67:10 40:12,14,20,21,22 big 85:2,4,5 briefing 32:10 carries 5:6 11:17 15:24 42:11,21,22 43:1,2 Bigfork 59:6,8 briefings 5:21 51:5 59:21 67:22 44:4,5,10,25 45:4,7,8 biggest 81:11 82:12 Brimmer's 23:4 72:18 97:5 45:9,10 48:4,11,13 Bill 63:5 bring 8:5 17:2 18:9 Carter 56:8 48:14.22 49:3,6,7,16 bio 28:10 19:25 23:17,22 86:23 case 2:6,17 5:22 6:4,6 49:17,24 50:2,7,8,12 biodiversity 31:23 bringing 17:12 18:12 6:12,15 19:19 22:10 50:13,14,15,19,22,25 23:20 51:3,5,7,11,15,19,21 biomass 68:11 58:15,23 63:24 64:4 **biotic** 18:15 broader 27:25 66:14,19 67:3 68:5 54:25 55:3,7,8,11 bit 17:9 19:4,24 20:3 brought 82:13 68:13 69:4,17 70:2,6 56:25 57:9,12,14,19 budget 32:19 97:21 21:17 26:21 30:18 70:25 76:13 79:4 57:21,24 58:2,9 59:3 31:24 35:24 40:15 buffer 33:3 75:19 86:6 59:19.21 60:1.3 59:7,10 74:8 77:15 76:19 cases 2:19 6:1,1 9:23 62:12,14,15,24 63:1 84:19 98:1 **build** 76:18 30:19 31:2 34:18 63:6,9,12,15,17,18 bite 49:14 building 1:9 33:2 35:11 67:24 98:5 63:23 64:3,15,18 blame 12:6 **builds** 21:11 CASRN 38:7,11,19,20 65:3,7,10,14,16,18 38:23 39:20 40:2 blue 73:22 Bukantis 2:9,10,13 65:20,21,25 66:4,12 16:14,17,18 17:1 catch 7:17 39:2 71:7 board 1:1,2 2:4 3:3,7 66:17,25 67:10,15,16 67:20,22 68:4 70:13 3:25,25 4:7,17 5:24 24:19,25 25:4,20 catching 16:21 26:12 27:7 29:22,23 categories 17:21 37:23 70:15,16 71:8,9,11 6:6 7:3,25 8:16,23 9:14,18 10:6 11:5,9,9 30:15 32:8 34:6,16 cause 8:8 58:22 71:14,16,19,20,23 11:24 12:5,10,16 35:20 36:11 37:1,7 caused 53:20 72:4,5,7,10,13,16,18

72:21 73:1,8 74:1 76:22 77:3,7,13,20 77:22,25 78:1,21 79:1,11,14,25 80:9 80:15,17,18 81:5 84:2 85:4,13,18,20 86:3,7,8,9 87:4,18,19 89:3 91:19,20,21,23 92:9,10,18,19,21 95:7,9,12,14,15,18 95:21,24,25 96:1,4,6 96:8.9.20.22.23.25 97:3,5,9,10,15,16 98:16,25 99:1,5,9,12 99:15,18 chairs 98:19.20 chance 23:5 95:12 change 9:1.4 21:22 23:9 30:23 40:14 42:12 45:18 60:16 86:21 changed 22:25 24:16 24:17 49:1.4 changes 8:3,22 9:16 16:13 17:6,14,16,20 18:3,6,9 21:14 22:7 22:21 23:13,16,24 24:4,9,20 25:11 41:6 41:8 50:1 58:8 Chapter 56:2 chapters 56:4 characterize 47:4 charges 56:2 checking 85:23 chemical 20:8 30:6 32:14 35:1 36:23 38:9,25 46:1 chemicals 38:10 chemistry 28:12 37:13 chemo 37:9 Cheryl 1:20 4:1 51:6 100:7,18 chief 27:9,12,15 48:15 82:19.24 84:13.15 chlorine 8:25 chronic 21:21 34:10 Church 64:1 circle 7:13.17 circulate 41:4 circumstance 69:23 citation 39:10.11 40:2 citations 9:8 12:15 13:1 cited 65:2 citizens 19:20 34:4 35:6 67:25 City 72:23 74:2,4,6,6,7 74:8 82:18 84:4,6 Civil 68:24 69:21 **claim** 69:1 claims 69:2 70:8.12 clarification 48:23

data 33:2 47:19 80:12 corrections 12:25 Commission 61:23 confusion 8:8 9:9,24 clarify 9:13 conjunction 33:25 56:22 database 54:15 clarity 29:8 62:7 corresponds 88:10 date 57:10 64:19.20 Clark 68:1 100:4,8 Commissioner 61:21 connections 59:9 **DATED** 100:16 clean 6:5 19:7,16 37:10 61:25 62:7 cons 76:8 cost 52:12,18,22 54:1 55:25 56:13 58:17,18 Committee 41:22 consensus 46:20,22,23 **David** 62:7 41:22 day 24:6 63:25 64:5 46:24 47:3,5,12,23 81:12 83:1 87:9 committees 98:20 cleaned 37:15 costs 52:21 54:9 58:14 67:6 88:12,13 100:16 consider 67:6,7 96:3 commonly 90:21 cleanup 48:19 consideration 42:20 76:1 79:9 97:22 davs 66:10 67:8 clear 29:6,9 37:22 communication 46:4 45:18 51:8 70:7,8,12 98:21 **Dead** 84:17 communities 56:10 58:24 84:6 Council 4:12 28:3 deadline 6:7 community 9:10 46:21 88:14 clearly 58:18 deal 56:15 clerical 12:13 56:5,9 59:7 considerations 79:18 counsel 48:15 dealing 74:13 company 78:7,9,11,13 considered 13:17 53:18 country 33:8 close 24:7 74:2,4 75:16 county 54:15 56:7,7 dealt 43:13 considers 21:9 80:23 92:6 **December** 52:23 53:23 64:1,19 65:5 100:4,8 comparable 8:12 9:2 consistency 21:24 closed 54:19 decide 29:25 **closely 20:1 46:3** compelling 22:23 consistent 18:2 21:12 couple 17:4.5 20:7 21:14 28:15 31:10 decided 53:3,4 65:3 22:3 24:10 54:9 cloudy 94:9 complete 12:24 92:19 94:17 constructed 77:17 completed 75:6 **coal** 60:10 99:6 decision 23:8 31:20 **course** 20:15 coalbed 44:23 completely 24:22 constructing 81:18 complex 32:15 56:6 construction 52:4,15 court 1:23 4:1 23:4 41:18 46:25 68:16 Coalition 4:11 28:22 69:9,15 71:3,22 72:4 90:9 69:22 51:6,8 68:17 69:8,13 68:2 82:6 96:7,11 73:12 97:19 69:16,17,20,24 70:2 code 38:13 39:12 51:9 **compliance** 12:3 52:5 consult 45:23 deed 83:22 consulted 45:22 70:4,5,18 71:1 100:1 64:7 70:10 90:10 52:8 consulting 53:7 54:5,8 100:7 deem 68:21 Cody 84:14,20,21 complicated 32:12 54:16 55:17 court's 70:10 defend 57:3 compliment 30:15 cogeneration 68:10 defined 90:22 comply 8:15 consume 31:18 cover 14:11,11 coliform 64:10,11,13 definition 13:12 14:10 collect 52:12 component 29:7 31:14 contact 11:1 16:22 coverage 89:16 76:18 80:5.6 covered 89:16 61:5,7,8 90:20 collecting 29:12 contacted 82:7 deletes 60:12 **components** 79:5 80:3 contain 100:13 CO-Gen 68:2,7 collection 59:12 credit 37:25 60:9,14,16 deletion 9:8 61:10 color 74:20 80:4 contained 18:21 delivered 90:6 94:5 Colter 84:7 compound 38:25 39:24 contaminant 14:6,6 60:17,18,21,24 61:2 39:25 40:1 delivery 89:21 come 20:5 21:5 23:13 contaminants 14:12 61:14 contaminated 13:13,14 demon 49:11 26:13 38:1,18 47:19 **compounds** 17:5 21:8 creek 73:18,24,24 74:15,18,23 76:17,19 denial 68:18 58:11 75:13 27:23 28:4 30:6,8 13:17 14:10 denied 68:23 comes 4:5 26:14 31:3.5 32:14.22 contemplate 22:21 77:10 comfortable 80:1,12 33:19,22 38:12,15 contemplated 12:14 crisis 32:19 department 6:20 7:11 coming 18:18 20:20 39:22 14:22,23 criteria 18:3,16 19:3,5 7:23 8:3,6,11,19 10:1 41:3 43:19 55:9 computer 17:24 37:15 content 75:8 80:5 19:6,9,16,17,23 10:6 11:24 12:10,16 concentration 42:6 contested 2:6,17,19 20:14,21 21:25 33:17 12:18,25 13:5 16:20 63:20 commend 43:5 concentrations 13:16 5:22 6:1 63:24 66:14 35:5,10 60:7 61:15 17:10 18:10 20:10 critters 31:4 47:21 concern 31:19 42:5 67:24 98:5 27:20 28:25 30:2 commensurate 52:12 58:5 90:4 91:17 context 17:9,18 29:24 cross 84:17 89:20 38:5 41:18 43:5 52:17,21 53:25 58:18 concerned 41:17 43:17 73:12,15 81:19 83:15 crossing 89:21 45:14,20 46:3,9 48:5 comment 6:3 10:20 11:9 22:12 24:1,7 78:16 89:24 91:15 continue 85:1 **CRUTCHER** 1:23 49:10 50:10 52:2.10 concerning 59:23 75:8 contract 54:6 93:5,9,11 cubic 74:14 52:11,14,18,21 53:3 29:2,10,14 32:1 curious 13:12,14 25:11 concerns 34:6 41:5 53:8,17,18,20 54:2,6 33:12 42:22,23 43:9 contracted 81:2 43:10,15,24 44:2,7 62:21 88:21 91:8 contractor 89:10.17 27:3,4 70:24 72:3 54:17,22 57:2,3,18 concert 17:15 current 18:23,23 32:19 58:7 60:1,5,8 61:2,12 45:6 49:22,25 50:11 contracts 94:3 60:12 80:12,23 61:17,19,21 62:4,10 50:18 53:17,21 54:19 conclude 24:3 84:1 **control** 89:23 convenient 97:23 currently 8:18 21:23 62:13,17,18 63:19 54:21 55:10.11 57:2 concluded 52:20 99:22 conveys 39:14 38:16 60:13,17 61:3 64:6,21 66:13,21 57:4,4,6,13 58:5,6,11 conclusion 69:19 67:4,14 68:5,21 69:5 Cooke 72:23 74:2,4,6,6 61:6 58:11 66:16 67:3 conclusions 68:15 73:10 86:13 91:25 74:7,8 82:18 84:4,6 curviest 82:25 85:21,22 92:7,8,20 **concur** 12:11 92:1 97:6 92:22 96:3 97:12 concurrence 22:4 cooperation 41:1 cut 45:2 departments 45:19 commented 41:25 42:4 83:23 coordination 46:6 D conducted 52:19 coordinator 91:13 Department's 8:9 comments 5:15 16:13 d 2:1 61:14 conference 4:7 6:14 Copper 36:10 51:25 54:15 57:16 36:1 41:18 42:19,24 42:25 43:8 44:4 45:5 conflicting 67:13 correct 12:15 13:17 dam 77:8 dependent 26:16 damage 92:13 conformance 8:6 37:15 48:7 49:23,24 depending 79:21 49:20 53:11,13,15,16 dangerous 41:20 43:20 depends 74:20 61:7,9 71:19,20 74:3 54:7,20 57:18 59:16 confuses 42:7 77:7 87:12,15,16 daphnia 47:9 deposition 79:3 62:4.5 65:8.19 95:9 confusing 9:20 21:17 dark 49:7 deposits 80:20 96:21 99:13 29:11 correction 21:2

depth 77:10 discovery 6:8 dwell 20:18 **Deputy 3:8 62:6** discretion 69:14 71:21 E **DEQ** 3:8 4:3 22:20 discuss 56:1 30:1 45:15,22 48:15 discussing 94:20 e 2:1 14:7 61:6,6,15 67:2 74:25 76:8 discussion 4:24 15:12 earlier 41:6 65:1 94:3 79:21 81:24 83:20 50:23 57:25 63:10 98:8 89:2 90:5,10 91:8 72:11 73:6 95:22 early 18:12 discussions 41:23 Earth 68:1 **DEQ-7** 16:13 17:6,21 18:9,21,22,23 22:1 91:13 east 1:10 74:5 82:19 23:25 27:23 30:19 disinfect 8:24 84:6,10 48:25 50:1 disinfectant 8:25 easy 19:18 34:1 59:8 describe 16:1 dismiss 53:20 eat 31:17 described 91:15,16 Disposal 6:10 eating 31:18 EC 22:13,22 23:3 24:2 describing 75:12 disposing 76:5 description 70:1 disrupt 85:11 43:10 44:17 deserves 37:25 dissolve 29:7 economic 55:22 design 47:20 52:3 75:6 dissolved 29:13 economically 85:7 75:14 83:10 distance 74:6 76:19 economics 82:4 designing 75:18 distances 92:6 Ed 2:16 60:2.4 62:12 desirable 76:10.15 distribution 55:24 edition 7:21 8:5,19,20 detail 18:4 24:25 32:9 9:14,19 56:22 58:8 37:9 40:5 47:5,23,25 district 56:7 68:17 69:8 effect 35:2 effective 13:2,3 89:19 detect 25:18 26:11 69:12,24 70:5,10 **effectively 28:5 78:9** detected 9:3 96:13 **Division 12:3 17:15 detection 26:6,18** effects 21:8,9 detects 20:10 46:9 67:2 73:3 efficiency 80:10 determination 66:19 **DNRC** 45:21 efforts 33:18 determine 25:15 61:13 document 17:23 19:1 either 28:1 54:21 92:7 86:19 33:6,10 37:12 49:1 electricity 68:9 determined 76:9 85:10 49:21 elements 30:6 31:21 determining 80:19 documents 19:13 46:24 develop 19:12 21:1 doing 16:12 22:7 27:5 elevation 74:3 77:15 emanating 78:17 32:2 35:4 29:5 51:6 52:22 developed 6:16 20:16 emphasis 81:18 81:22 92:25 95:5 75:9 98:12 emphasize 22:20 83:5 developing 21:7 dollars 83:12 86:12 86:20 Don 2:11 40:23 42:23 emphatic 94:4 development 32:4 **DOT 92:7** 45:17 **encore** 51:20 dieldrin 36:4 38:24 encouraged 41:21 double 88:9 doubling 88:2,3,5 endosulfan 21:2 47:9 downstream 73:20 difenoconazole 39:17 **Enforcement 67:2** differences 59:5 74:19 engineering 51:17 different 17:21 21:15 52:24 53:25 54:12,16 do-not-exceed 21:22 55:15 59:13 22:8 30:2,6 31:11,11 draft 17:3 18:13,16 32:21,22,24 38:7 19:8 25:25 engineers 53:7 54:5,8 50:9 60:19 67:12 drainage 78:17 enhance 82:9 enter 83:23 69:17 drainages 73:24 differently 57:5 draw 93:20 entering 87:1 difficult 59:11,11 60:15 drinking 8:11 27:19,21 enters 93:7 dig 99:1 27:24 31:5,14 34:13 entertain 15:3 50:3,4 digging 76:11 52:2,6 59:6 57:15 63:2 65:10 71:11 79:22 95:14 direct 39:14 driver 89:15 direction 84:14 driving 60:23 99:7 directives 99:3 drop 88:22 entire 24:24 67:8 90:9 directly 98:4 dropped 27:7 entirely 29:6 84:5 entity 27:19 67:7 **Director 3:8** drops 86:11 disadvantage 82:12 drunk 89:14 environment 38:14 disadvantages 81:8,13 dry 56:8 98:8 environmental 1:1 3:3 due 24:6 8:16 20:12 25:24 discharge 22:5 78:10 discharges 74:22 dug 37:9 28:2 30:13 38:5 discovered 35:3 81:15 duties 25:12 40:24 53:24 60:5

74:25 78:5.8 83:8 91:13 envision 6:15 17:14 **EPA** 19:6,11,22 20:14 21:6,13 23:4 33:1,4 33:17,20 35:4 46:10 47:5,14 48:1 55:22 75:3 78:12 90:15 EPA's 18:14 20:17 21:24 23:3 equity 61:5,7 erroneous 9:8 erroneously 11:19 error 21:2 66:10 errors 17:4 esoteric 90:16 especially 22:22 81:13 82:24 essence 69:9 essentially 49:1 70:22 83:3 establish 20:12,14 34:20 estimate 87:20 estimated 54:9 55:23 87:9 et 17:17 18:17 19:12 21:10 39:14 Eugene 2:8,15 7:23 8:1 51:20,23 evaluating 46:14 event 75:18 events 76:21 81:20 everybody 43:6,17 90:11 evolve 21:1 exactly 21:19 33:14 79:20 86:22 examiner 50:18 69:8 example 6:11 18:15 20:14 22:2 30:22 34:24 46:7 47:6,7,9 48:19 56:4 90:18 examples 56:11 excavation 81:15 **exceed** 51:10 exceedance 21:11,20 64:11 exceptions 68:16 **excess** 90:25 exclusive 27:21 68:17 excuse 53:4,9 76:3 exergonic 13:19 exhausted 91:1 existing 8:7 9:19 12:19 77:5 exists 9:7 expect 18:5 23:22 expenditures 83:14 expensive 33:21

64:19,21 65:5 73:10

expert 8:1 51:23 explain 41:12 explanation 19:1 40:6 41:12 93:4 explicit 22:11 explicitly 26:2 45:23 **Exploration 80:23** express 97:18 98:13 expressed 96:13 extension 6:18,20,23 6:24 52:16 extra 33:3 extracting 79:7 extraction 93:18,25 extremely 33:21 90:7 eye 11:1 16:22 37:22

F f 6:4 60:12 61:11 facilities 14:8 91:14 facility 70:21 90:7,12 fact 9:23 17:3 31:20 48:1 58:15 68:15 74:18 factor 60:23 factors 75:19 facts 55:22 failure 64:9,10,12,13 69:2 fair 33:16 fairly 47:13 59:8 98:14 fall 90:20 falls 6:11 37:23 68:10 78:7 far 35:9 93:15 Farm 6:16 farming 56:10 **fashion** 47:14 **faster** 11:21 favor 5:2,18 11:13 15:20 51:1 59:17 63:13 67:17 72:14 92:24 97:1 99:16 feasible 85:6 February 13:3 62:4 federal 8:5,6,12,14,17 9:2,7,16,17 32:5 33:5 33:24 38:8 70:19.25 fee 53:12 54:12 55:14 55:19 56:1

feedback 25:25 26:3,5

feel 57:2 79:25 80:12

fees 51:17 52:12,14,17

52:24 53:25,25

fencing 75:5 78:15

91:25

feels 57:5

fence 78:9

fences 78:12

feeling 92:12

57:23,25 58:1,2 44:16 49:19.21 57:17 formalized 47:13 48:8 53:17 55:10 figure 87:13.14 88:2 99:11.12 60:23 66:7,21 75:15 73:3,14 77:4 78:1 figured 28:16 format 17:22 held 1:9 54:11 82:25 86:17 92:22 formatting 18:24 80:9,15,18 84:15 filed 6:20,22 68:12 87:19 91:3,23 96:8 Helena 1:10,25 3:24 forms 31:15 95:4 filing 6:7 44:6 45:7 57:13 97:19 99:19 guidance 17:17 18:8 final 2:14 33:9 45:11 Fort 56:9 21:13 96:22 97:15.16 forth 54:23 60:7 94:16 goal 80:20 51:16 56:23 59:23 goes 30:4 47:25 59:11 guvs 96:17 help 20:17 26:25 27:10 forward 23:17 46:5 76:2 91:7 93:16 31:1.12 34:3 39:5 finalized 95:16 55:8 58:3 88:22 Н going 6:13 10:22 12:2 76:1 **Finally 76:17** 90:15 98:18 hair 49:7 helped 26:25 financial 61:12,15,18 found 35:16.17 36:4 13:19 16:1.14 19:24 half 88:10.12.16 helpful 37:16 40:6,10 find 36:7 61:2 76:13 40:6 69:14,20 76:13 20:18 23:15 25:17 Hamilton 64:5 73:6 29:24 30:5,10,13 90:4 four 47:10 64:12 76:4 helping 22:2 41:2 handier 17:23 34:7 40:14.16 44:12 findings 68:15 86:15 handle 23:16 30:24 helps 44:24 48:11 49:11.12.14.19 fine 7:15 72:2 four-year 86:14 Henderson 2:21,22 41:2 89:12 frequency 21:11,21 57:5 58:3 73:2,12 fired 68:11 handled 32:10 89:9,22 73:3,8,9 74:4,12 77:1 82:23 75:21 78:22 80:1,13 firms 54:16 55:17 handling 76:6 77:4,8,16,21,23 78:5 freshwater 47:10 81:6,9 82:16 83:15 first 4:15.16 5:25 7:19 84:4,6 86:4,13,25 happen 64:20 78:20,23 79:4,13,15 front 20:17 35:24 17:1.8,11 23:21 27:1 happened 42:2 70:23 80:3,10,16,21 81:1,7 89:15 98:8 full 23:18 75:14 29:23 36:1 40:25 84:5 85:5,17 86:16 gold 19:15 33:23 73:22 happening 42:16 60:11 84:7 93:16 fully 90:10,12 happy 24:12 32:8 91:2 86:16 87:6,12,16,23 funding 78:12 79:8 75:8 79:7.8 80:2.5.5 94:10 88:3,7,24 91:12 92:3 fiscal 52:19,20,25 53:1 further 11:8 15:11 83:12,12 85:8,24 93:1 hard 16:21 60:21 92:12 86:4,11,24 87:11 Fischer 2:12 43:25 28:24 29:18 39:16 88:9 90:18 hardness 26:16.17 Hev 35:2 44:9.12.15 45:3 40:12 44:4 48:4 fish 18:17 31:17,17 50:22 57:25 63:10 Golden 75:24 78:23 haste 22:14.15 high 13:16 higher 31:6 34:14 36:5 32:23 45:21 65:19 69:25 70:6,11 79:6 80:24 81:11 haul 75:24,24 79:16 82:18,23,25 88:21 fit 17:17.21 71:9 72:11 84:10 83:24 88:19 89:23 36:13 91:9 93:7 five 21:3 38:15 53:9 88:23 92:18 95:10.22 hauled 83:2 highlight 86:17 56:12 66:9,10 73:20 96:21 99:13 good 5:24 7:24 12:4 hauling 76:2 79:2,2,6 highlights 23:24 future 6:14 42:15 58:8 16:20 20:23 25:4 79:16.23.24 81:10 highway 74:8 77:14 78:25 85:24 59:2 98:10 35:13 37:11 38:3 82:15.16 83:20.21 82:19,24 84:8,11,13 five-mile 56:5.6 41:14 42:10 51:13,21 88:14 96:14 84:15,22,23 five-minute 51:9 G 60:3 81:23 84:18 hauls 92:13,16 Hill 6:16 56:7 84:17 five-year 76:3 flexibility 76:11 98:14 g 6:9 92:24 99:6,19,21 Hayes 2:16 60:2,3,4 hindsight 47:2 gauge 98:9 Goodwin 62:1 hazardous 14:9 hip 46:1 floor 59:15 historic 48:24 74:17 focus 21:19 31:9,9 40:5 geek 37:9 gotten 82:10 head 16:19 84:23 53:3 54:3 Gene 54:25 Government 33:24 heading 18:11 84:12 75:7 80:21.22 genera 47:17 38:8 headings 30:7 historical 80:12 focused 26:5 general 22:11 55:3 governor's 98:21 99:2 health 16:11 18:1 historically 26:10 focusing 31:14 82:24 folks 4:4 41:12 97:11 go-around 26:8 19:16 21:3,23 24:18 history 74:24 generally 22:9 34:8 27:20 30:3.20.23 hit 23:24 84:7 follow 31:25 37:18 Granada 80:23 31:23 34:11,15 35:12 hold 23:5 24:8 39:1 following 3:1 8:3 62:17 36:2 granted 6:6 27:18 generic 66:23 67:3 35:18,25 36:3,9,15 hope 97:22 64:9 granting 6:18,24 70:7 gentleman 58:4 82:11 great 6:11 10:24 37:9 38:14 45:20 46:6 hopefully 41:11 follows 90:8 follow-up 67:9 80:17 gentler 76:20 37:20 40:4 44:9 78:1 64:19,21 65:5 horizon 18:14 hear 65:20 66:2,13,21 Geology 81:3 78:7 93:4 97:7 hour 88:10,12,17 87:17 92:9 getting 16:9 31:1 83:9 greater 4:10 28:22 71:15,17,22 72:3 hourly 53:17 food 31:16 32:25 heard 19:9 66:4 70:17 foot 56:3 58:20 90:16 55:20 76:19 82:6 **House 63:5** give 16:15 32:11 55:22 96:7,10 hearing 5:1,17 7:6 10:3 human 16:11 18:1 footnote 18:3 19:23 56:11 66:23 73:11 10:8 11:12 12:14 footnotes 20:3 21:5,17 groping 37:24 19:15 21:3,23 24:17 21:18 29:9 30:22 79:20 98:10 ground 20:9 35:1,13 14:20 24:9 49:25 30:3,20,23 31:22 given 33:23 58:15 46:2 59:6 74:19 50:25 53:15,23 54:11 34:11,15 35:12,18,25 40:8,9 54:13,24 63:1,4,12 36:3,5,9,15 38:14 69:22 74:18 98:20 77:11 83:17 footprint 82:14 gives 76:11 81:17 90:13 65:10 67:25 68:13,23 45:20 46:7 force 59:12,13 group 53:3 54:4,4,7 giving 46:4 69:8.15 70:11 71:11 hundreds 32:14 forecasters 85:23 89:2 hypothetical 47:7 glad 70:2 groups 38:7 72:13 95:24 98:4 foregoing 100:11 99:15 Forest 77:17 82:10 gleaming 65:4 guard 71:7 hypothetically 49:9 hearings 7:8 10:2,13 forever 56:16 **go** 3:5 7:12,18 10:22 guess 13:19 21:11 50:5,17 65:11 71:13 Fork 68:1 11:22 27:5 34:11 24:24 25:14 26:20 identified 52:25 formal 47:5,18 57:1 35:5,7 36:21,22 27:17 29:10 30:3,25 heart 31:1 42:15 43:8 46:18 31:19,24 37:2 44:15 Heidi 5:13,14 25:7 identifies 38:24 92:7,8

identify 4:5 28:20 61:19 **Jersey 32:18** 70:19,19,23 76:22 liabilities 82:1 94:6,18 initiated 52:24 53:24 38:22 job 75:21 81:23 86:18 79:12 86:22 88:4 liability 88:21 89:4,7 iii 61:6.6 61:22 88:15 92:23 94:15,20 97:19 89:22.25 90:3 93:4.9 IIIA 44:13,14 initiation 2:8 7:7,19 Joe 5:9 15:6,8 16:21 98:2.17.18.19 99:5 93:15 IIIA1 2:9 10:1 13:5 23:17 29:20 36:1 45:10 knowing 44:12 liberal 69:22 **IIIA2** 2:8 injuries 89:7 50:15,16 58:1 62:15 known 44:21 Licensure 6:10 IIIA3 2:9 28:17 44:7 inorganic 13:13 65:16,18 86:9 87:19 knows 37:13 63:20 life 18:1 19:17 20:1 **IIIB1** 2:15 input 41:24 53:2 John 2:13,15,18 48:15 **Kunkel** 6:10 21:7,21 30:4,7,10,13 IIIB2 2:16 inputs 16:1 49:13 55:13 56:25 30:20,22 31:16 32:3 impact 71:2 74:16 inquiries 89:5 58:4 67:1 L 32:5 34:9,17,19 16:20 implementation 8:10 institution 60:8 61:13 join 28:14 35:14,18,21,23 36:4 46:2 52:1 institutions 60:20 joined 4:10 28:19 lab 32:16 36:8 45:17 46:19 implicitly 26:1 insurance 89:9,11,16 44:11 laboratories 25:12 ligand 18:15 important 22:14 23:12 intended 9:13 63:21 **joining** 43:25 27:25 light 43:21 47:2 23:14 98:1 92:15 jokes 16:21 laboratory 28:3,7 limitations 75:17 81:13 Joseph 82:19,24 84:13 impoundment 73:20 intent 37:3 labs 25:17 26:6 27:2,4 limits 26:6,10,15,18 77:6 79:10 intentional 66:11 84:15 32:21 33:5,6,6 Lindlief-Hall 2:12 43:2 improve 17:22 interested 37:17 81:24 Jovce 4:1 laid 90:24 43:3 44:1 inch 33:7 **interesting** 49:20 73:1 **Judge 23:4** laid-out 47:14 line 20:1 22:24 23:9 include 13:13 19:23 interests 58:21 judgment 6:17 Land 82:11 51:12 53:11 80:14 27:23 32:25 41:18 interfere 88:15 July 52:23 lands 77:18 84:18 93:17.20.22 included 41:6 62:1 interim 20:12 jump 23:15 language 8:8 9:3,7,19 lineal 56:3 58:20 includes 33:2 interrelationship 34:12 junction 84:10 40:7 lined 58:13 including 20:2,7 22:13 large 32:20 56:5 73:20 lines 73:22 74:7 interruption 80:8 June 24:7 24:2 32:23 69:6 intersection 84:21 Juniper 6:16 larger 34:18 list 20:6,18,21 introduced 45:16 jurisdiction 48:8 97:12 Larry 4:20 24:14 46:17 listed 8:18 31:21 39:18 incorporate 9:16 introduction 17:22 jurisdictionally 48:6 63:6 72:9,10 91:21 incorporated 69:10 60:15,18 83:22 justification 55:21 91:23 incorporations 48:8 18:25 lists 60:20 invertebrates 31:18 last-minute 17:5 23:15 liter 9:1 incorrect 69:18 K increase 53:2,5 55:15 32:23 late 97:20 little 11:21 17:9 18:4 56:3,12,13,14,18 Laurel 82:20 85:1,1 18:19 19:4,24 20:3 involved 16:12 54:10 k 6:18 Kaiser 3:12,13 5:13 law 8:13 38:8 68:15 increased 82:13 93:23,24 21:17 22:8,18 26:20 increases 55:19,19 56:1 involves 64:4 93:23 57:23 58:1,3,9 99:11 69:19 30:17 31:24 39:16 Katherine 2:7,17,19 incurring 83:3 involving 64:9 Laws 6:10 40:15 47:23 57:1 in-person 86:5 97:25 lawver 88:25 indemnified 88:21 3:25 5:22,23 7:2,3 73:15 74:8 75:10 indemnify 94:16.18 98:6 10:8,13 14:18 50:5 lav 89:4 78:9.11 81:17 84:24 laver 90:13 indemnifying 94:24 Irish 86:11 50:17 64:2,16 65:8 85:25 97:23 98:1,8 65:11 66:7 68:3 lead 9:24 indemnity 94:15,17 98:10 iron 74:22 leave 66:20 67:11,14 issuance 68:12 70:13,14,17 71:17,24 live 31:4 independent 28:6 issue 25:22 33:15 35:22 index 39:10 72:19 79:12 84:24 Livers 3:6,7,12,14,16 keep 7:6 16:24 73:12 Indian 84:17 42:7,14 43:9,17 leaves 82:18 93:6,9 3:18,20,22,24 4:9 indicate 62:6 57:11 66:24 70:12 75:2 81:6 85:15 leaving 88:11 7:10,17,22 10:19,24 left 22:16 79:11 80:2 indicated 81:1 91:14 94:17 keeping 81:23 11:1,7,18 12:2 15:18 indicates 82:17 issued 6:18,24 64:6 keving 16:4 85:2 94:17 16:3 28:13,23 29:16 legal 48:15 92:13,16 indicating 74:21 68:6.15 kind 25:2 33:22,23 40:19 42:25 44:5,5 issues 26:1 27:16 41:19 36:7 37:14,16 45:1 legislative 52:19 53:1 indication 25:22 44:14 45:6 48:11,22 58:16 industrial 38:14 43:15 52:25 89:12 45:25 49:6 50:8 49:6,15,24 50:11 industry 39:25 61:9 issuing 61:1,16 70:4 58:24,25 63:2 69:23 Legislature 52:11 51:7,13,19 55:6,9 57:12 58:9,10 60:1 inform 45:15 item 5:7,21,25 6:4,9,18 70:1 73:1 89:8 length 98:9 lengthy 15:25 informal 41:24 6:20,22,24 7:7,13 kinds 89:12 62:22 63:18 72:25 **information** 23:7 39:15 11:20 15:25 44:7 know 17:18 20:22 letter 60:9 61:2,14,25 85:10 86:5 95:25 62:20 64:18,23 65:1 44:17,20 46:5,21,23 59:22 63:24 72:21,22 24:21 25:4 26:14,25 96:2.22 97:8.16 27:2,2 28:10,12 29:4 82:5 98:18,20 98:25 99:4.21 46:25 47:19 61:23,24 75:13 62:18 75:7 items 2:20 4:5 18:4 29:25 30:1,6,11 let's 7:6 35:16 49:9 LLC 68:7 infrastructures 55:24 51:15 53:11 80:11 31:23 32:6,9,23 59:5 64:25 86:11 loaded 89:13 located 73:19 77:14 56:15,17 I-80 85:2 35:25 37:5,14,24 level 19:20 20:25 26:11 I-90 82:21 26:19,24 31:7 32:5 location 9:8 74:17,17 inherent 53:19 40:7,8 41:11 43:13 initial 69:1,2 43:18 44:18,20 45:1 levels 14:6,6,9 20:13 75:2,4 77:9,12 78:18 J initiate 10:12 11:24 45:25 46:12 47:6,12 25:18,19 32:24 34:3 Lodge 84:12 12:11,17 15:4 24:3,6 January 4:17 5:8 54:11 47:14,23 56:14 57:9 48:19 Loma 56:7 54:20 64:7 70:5 58:3,13 66:6,15 Lewis 100:4,8 long 39:9 85:6 30:9,14 50:3,16

longer 9:7 12:24 39:25 60:18 longtime 44:21 long-term 81:25 look 29:9 34:8 38:19 39:12,16 42:15 58:8 58:14 64:23 74:12 83:5 94:22 97:21 looked 44:22 58:19 75:7 76:8 looking 17:13 18:15 21:7.24 22:7 23:6 24:15 31:8.24 38:24 44:16 71:23 72:5 73:15 76:4.6 78:8 82:23 83:6.7.8 87:3 88:1.8.16 97:25 looks 36:24 65:1 lose 40:15 86:12 losing 78:18 loss 86:13 87:14 losses 87:10 lot 18:17 24:25 33:15 33:20 59:13 63:20 73:2 low 26:17 lower 35:24 36:3,8,13 36:14,16 81:12 luck 86:10 M

magna 47:9 main 17:18 41:9 44:15 59:12.13 maintain 8:25 18:22 23:1 34:3 maintained 30:14 maintaining 32:19 major 68:22 making 31:19 Management 12:8,9 82:12 manager 91:14 manmade 14:12 manual 47:24 map 82:17 84:3,5,18 MAR 24:6 March 1:12 6:14,19,21 6:23,25 100:16 margin 47:22 Mark 2:10,23 27:9,12 28:21 96:6,8,10,20 market 80:4 Marks 82:10 Mary 4:23 10:15 15:11 50:22 65:14 78:1 81:5 95:21 99:9 Mary 82:10 material 89:25 90:17 90:18 94:4 95:15 materials 74:13 83:4,9

mathematical 26:23 matter 6:4,9 10:12 11:23 15:4,15 16:7 40:17.18 44:11 48:6 50:4.9 63:25 65:12 67:12.23.24 71:13 72:1 87:5 94:19.25 96:5 matters 63:22 97:12 maximum 88:13 ma'am 38:6 40:11 MCA 52:13 MCL 64:12 McLaren 2:20 72:23 73:16 89:6,13,18 MCLs 64:11 McNeil 2:11 33:13 38:3 38:4 39:4,7 40:11 **MDEO 8:2** mean 26:9 34:10,14 37:3 46:22 47:15 48:7 71:7.25 88:4 93:8 means 25:15 38:12 meet 70:22 meeting 1:2 2:4,24 3:3 4:12 18:6 32:10 33:14 43:25 97:11 98:6.9 meetings 46:6 86:6 97:25 98:22 99:19 Member 27:8 members 3:7 4:3.7 5:24 7:25 12:5 14:4 16:6 16:17 24:11 34:16 38:4 40:22 43:2 44:21 48:14.24.25 51:22 54:7 60:4 64:3 66:25 68:4 69:3 89:3 96:9 97:19,24 98:14 98:17 membership 3:9 mention 40:25 merits 68:14 met 8:15 60:8 metabolites 20:8 metal 13:16 26:15 metaloids 32:15 metals 13:13 18:16 26:15 32:15 74:23 Metcalf 1:9 methane 44:23 methylmercury 18:17 microphone 88:25 middle 73:23 mile 74:5 84:7 miles 56:12 59:14

73:20 78:25 84:10,21

Miller 3:14,15 4:22,22

84:24

mill 90:8 93:16

10:14,14 15:10,10 50:21,21 63:8,8 65:13.13 73:24 77:24 77:24 78:3.19 80:17 80:19,25 81:4 85:20 95:20,20 99:8.8 milligrams 9:1 milling 93:19,23 million 38:10 83:3,4 86:12 mind 15:3,20 26:13,14 29:2 36:20 50:2 56:1 56:20 85:18 mindful 31:20 97:20 mine 60:10 73:17 75:25 78:10,24 88:19 89:2 mineral 90:22 Mines 81:3 minimize 98:21 minimum 8:25 16:24 mining 13:20,23 73:21 73:22 78:7,16 90:21 94:7 96:12 minute 34:8 minutes 2:5 4:16,19 5:8 5:10 Mires 3:16,17 4:20,20 63:6,6 72:9,9,10 91:21,21,24 92:9,11 92:17 missed 17:6 mission 30:1.2 model 18:15 modifications 19:24 30:21 modified 9:15,16 52:4 68:6,8,12 modifiers 20:2 money 83:7 85:25 90:19 moneys 75:25 money-generating 83:7 monitor 64:9 monitoring 64:10 67:5 67:5 96:12 Montana 1:1,10,25 6:5 6:9,11 8:13 20:8 27:18,19 32:1 34:22 34:25 35:2 36:6 45:16 46:1 52:8 54:5 55:13,14,18 64:5 68:24 69:16,17 81:2 82:20,21 84:25 85:12 91:24 100:3,8 Montana's 19:19 20:11 22:12 month 67:8 75:11 86:10 monthly 67:5 months 66:9 morning 5:24 7:24 12:4

16:15,20 38:3 51:21 60:3 88:25 motion 4:19 5:6 6:6 10:12 11:17 15:4.24 40:16 50:3,4 51:5 57:15 59:15,21 63:2 65:11 67:17.22 68:18 70:7 71:12 72:5.11 72:18 95:15 96:17 97:5 99:7 Mountain 6:5 move 4:13.20 5:9 6:1 10:14 15:7,25 46:5 50:16 55:8 57:5.20 58:23 63:7,17 65:13 73:25 82:16 83:19 86:2 87:21 89:13 98:8 moved 5:11 10:15 15:8 50:19 57:21,24 63:9 65:14 72:6,7 88:18 95:17.18 99:8.9 moving 7:7 11:21 83:1 88:8.13.16 municipal 54:4 muscles 47:11 mute 65:24,25 66:3

Ν N 2:1 nail 23:10 name 7:25 12:6 16:18 27:11 38:4 40:23 43:3 45:1 48:24 51:22 55:12 60:4 62:1 67:1 73:9 89:1 90:5 93:12 96:10 named 90:5,14 narrative 30:12 nasty 93:13 national 18:2 19:2,4 20:20 22:3 28:2 33:14,17 35:5,9 60:14,16,24 73:19 75:16 76:16 81:17 82:4.17 83:9 nationally-chartered 60:25 62:20 natural 13:15,18 naturally 13:16 14:11 14:11 nature 12:13 61:15 near 72:23 necessarily 13:11 27:3 34:18 necessary 55:17 75:2 92:6 98:1 need 14:24 16:9 22:15 22:25 25:21 49:17,19 55:16 56:4 66:1,21

92:25

needed 53:2 55:20 needs 32:6 negative 54:7 83:21 negotiation 87:1 negotiations 58:22 **NELAC 28:1** Network 68:1 new 2:17 9:21 12:20.21 13:2.2 16:11 17:25 18:10 20:6,10,21 21:3 23:17 24:22 25:18,19 32:18 33:16 39:17 44:20 45:15 52:4 61:8 63:24 77:18 96:12 newer 40:1 48:23 newest 8:4 Newmont 80:24 81:1 Nice 95:9 97:6 NIOSH 36:24 37:4 38:18.20 39:8.10 nonacute 64:12 noncompliance 9:25 non-steady 69:6 non-trout 32:24 normal 31:9 50:9 north 2:13 48:12.14.15 59:7 73:18 74:7 76:24 82:20 84:12,23 Northcentral 56:8 Northern 4:11 44:10 **Notary** 100:7 note 13:2 66:22 noted 29:16 32:13 notice 17:4,7 22:17 24:6 46:18 53:6 54:13,23 62:3 63:5 64:6 67:24 97:23 98:10.15 noticed 57:15 63:3 66:8 notices 53:9,10,14 54:19 notification 64:14 notify 46:9 noting 34:24 66:13 Novotny 62:7 63:19 number 24:20 33:16 34:18,25 35:7,14 37:4,5,6,21 38:7,10 38:11,12,13,13,20,20 38:23 39:8,8,9,11,14 39:18,20,20,23 47:16 47:20 87:21 numbers 19:12,13,18 20:2,22 21:4 24:21 26:22 31:10,11 33:16 34:2,19,20,21 35:11 36:23,24 38:6,16,19 39:24 40:1 46:8,11 46:11,13,13

numeric 9:2 18:13 20:1

paid 92:14 21:25 32:2,4 46:20 opportunity 41:3 42:18 45:15 Prairie 56:8 numerical 30:11 79:20 86:25 painful 85:7 precedent 41:20 42:9 phase 53:4 numerics 38:22 opposed 5:4 11:15 parameters 27:20,22 phone 65:24 96:23 43:20 numerous 27:23 15:22 51:3 59:19 27:23 physically 17:24 38:19 precise 30:11 nutrient 18:13 63:15 67:20 72:16 parcel 19:22 picked 17:4 precisely 29:25 94:5 85:12 97:3 parenthetically 68:9 picking 82:21 precision 28:5 $\overline{\mathbf{o}}$ opposition 41:10 park 73:19 75:16 76:16 picture 89:4 preclude 59:1 Obviously 97:20 81:17 82:4,17 83:9 option 53:19,21 76:2,6 piece 26:4 27:8,10 46:3 precludes 61:1 occasionally 31:5 76:8,9 88:1 96:14 Parks 45:21 piecemeal 89:5 predominantly 4:2 occur 78:22 89:22 options 76:9 part 8:9,18 16:14 19:22 pile 34:7 90:9 prefix 39:11 occurred 64:25 68:7 oral 68:15 19:25 25:16 27:1.24 piritic 74:14 prehearing 68:18 69:9 Pizzini 2:8,15 7:23,24 94:7 order 3:4 6:18.24 8:15 33:15 38:9 41:9.19 prejudices 69:19 occurring 14:12 32:2 39:19 61:13 43:19 51:25 75:2 8:1 51:20,21,23 55:1 prescribe 52:14 October 75:10 64:7 70:3,4,10,11 88:14 93:10,24,25 58:13 present 54:8 56:13 odd 36:4 65:2,6,7 71:12 75:4 82:8 particular 12:22 14:3 place 19:14 31:11 62:6 74:17 83:17 offer 49:15 23:2 41:7 43:10 43:14 46:11,13 52:3 83:23 86:21 presentation 16:6,15 offers 60:18 ore 83:24 88:19 89:19 parties 6:12,15,22 75:22 84:16 22:16 84:1 85:16 **placed** 79:10 office 24:5 98:21 90:6,21,22 93:19 58:22 presented 22:23 54:2 officer 7:8 10:2,13 94:3 partition 38:21 placing 83:4 presiding 10:13 57:16 27:15 50:5 65:11 organics 32:15 party 90:6 Plains 4:11 44:10 pressuring 75:3 78:6 68:23 70:11 71:13 organism 47:10 pass 84:8,24 plan 22:21 51:17 52:9 78:13 officer's 57:16 69:15 organisms 21:10 32:22 path 59:10 84:3 55:15,16 73:16 79:15 pretend 29:24 pretty 19:11 26:5 28:11 offset 76:1 79:9 32:25 pay 56:19 75:5 78:15 92:1 offsite 76:18 82:15 organize 37:10 paying 43:18 planned 17:11,16 32:12 79:25 97:20 oftentimes 34:9 35:23 orientation 28:16 74:1 Pearson 2:23 28:21,21 previous 8:23 21:18 23:21 36:8 originated 68:5 28:23 96:6,6,9,10 planning 17:12 27:9,13 75:12 Oh 14:21 45:3 65:25 Orr 2:7,17,19 3:25 Peck 56:9 27:16 previously 94:7 66:4 77:13 5:22,24 10:10 14:19 penalties 64:8 66:19 plans 52:15,18 53:7 price 75:22 90:19,24 okay 3:6,24 7:15 11:18 54:17 95:5 14:23 15:1 50:7,17 67:4 12:2 14:13 15:3 64:3,17,23 65:6 penalty 64:7 plant 68:10,22 69:1,5 prices 85:24 86:4,24 people 4:2 29:11 31:5 18:19 21:5 34:5 39:4 66:12,18,23 68:4 plants 32:25 87:11 39:6 45:8 46:15 71:4,20 72:20 37:5 40:10 41:5 65:5 please 28:19 primacy 8:9 51:25 79:1 94:24 primary 52:6 76:10 48:13 51:19 62:24 podium 55:9 ought 94:23 percent 11:3 38:15 63:23 64:22 71:6.18 ounce 83:12 86:1,11 point 9:9 13:24 21:16 81:21,24 82:2 77:22 78:19 79:11 87:11 90:19.25 47:21 55:20 56:3 21:22 22:14 23:12 prime 48:19 80:15,25 81:4,6 87:8 ounces 83:11 76:7 79:17 31:3 42:10,11 48:22 prior 27:14 54:1 97:17 87:25 91:18 92:21 outdated 13:1 performance 51:20 58:14 59:1 78:16 private 54:4 old 39:24 outline 32:11 performing 54:1,9 84:9 86:19 88:22 pro 6:12 older 39:25 outreach 23:19 period 21:20 24:7 pollutant 34:9 35:22 probably 4:2,10 10:20 once 18:9 20:9 65:4 outside 53:21 59:2 43:16,24 44:2 49:25 11:20 43:7,10 49:3,7 pond 90:1 73:14 88:10,12,16 outweigh 83:21 50:11,18 54:19,21 populated 32:17 49:17 59:5,8 63:20 89:12,18,18,20 90:1 overall 43:21 57:4 58:7 86:15 portion 66:19 78:14 66:17 76:22 85:14 82:8 92:15 93:6 overlying 74:17 93:22 91:1 once-in-three-year oversight 28:6 periods 69:6 pose 81:14 problematic 35:19 overview 83:2 87:9 problems 42:13 53:19 21:10 position 58:21 permanent 65:11 ones 6:2 22:10 owner 75:4 78:6 90:3 permit 21:13 68:6,8,13 possession 90:2 92:23 81:14 93:7 permits 22:5 Procedure 68:25 69:21 one-eighth 74:5 possibility 76:20 79:23 85:8 93:5 proceed 28:13 42:17 one-in-three-year owners 53:6 54:13 permitted 79:10 90:10 21:20 possible 23:8 96:15 90:15 74:25 83:23 90:12 permitting 12:3 17:15 one-time 56:15 owners/developers possibly 48:23 proceeding 6:15 online 38:17 39:10.12 54:16 17:17 18:7 23:23 posture 6:17 proceedings 1:6 3:1 onsite 79:13 81:10 potential 9:9,24 18:18 69:25 70:6 99:22 31:8 P open 23:25 58:25 personal 89:7 21:8 34:12 40:15 100:11,14 package 17:9 18:21 process 16:4 23:18 persons 52:14 89:7.14.24 90:14 Opened 2:4 opening 75:10 25:23,25 43:19 89:9 94:18 32:12 43:12,22 45:22 perspective 17:10 89:17 45:24 47:6 79:21 operate 93:17 pertaining 69:1 potentially 28:1 87:1 operation 69:6 93:23 packages 17:12 23:22 pesticide 17:25 19:2 90:6 86:18 94:8 97:20 packet 62:2 PowerPoint 16:5,6 98:9 operator 90:2 34:24 35:11,17 produce 8:21 33:22 operators 53:7 54:6,14 pad 94:5 pesticides 18:10 20:6,6 29:24 73:11 PowerPoints 73:4 98:2 produced 39:19 40:2 opinion 69:11,13,24 page 2:3 39:4,16 20:7,10,13,18,21 opportunities 86:21 pages 24:24 100:13 34:23 35:3 39:17 practice 93:16 products 20:7

profit 90:23 95:6 profits 87:9 program 22:2 52:3 55:15 56:21 76:14 programs 21:15 progressive 34:22 project 56:9,18 73:17 73:18.23 74:7.9.24 75:3 76:3.3 77:19 79:5,22 83:6,13,15 86:13,14 pronounce 16:10 properly 69:3 **properties** 6:5 96:13 property 74:25 75:1,3 78:6.9.14 89:20.21 93:17.22 proposal 30:9 32:1 propose 19:8 22:21 23:15 proposed 8:21 9:3.6.12 9:18,21 12:13,14 18:6,9 19:12 20:16 21:4 22:6 23:22 24:4 24:9 26:6 50:10 53:12,14 54:3,12,23 54:24 55:19 56:1 60:6,11 61:8,8,19 62:9,11 75:2,4 77:11 82:18.22 proposes 8:20 proposing 8:3 11:24 14:8 17:19 18:20 23:25 30:18 33:16 54:1 pros 76:8 protect 35:6,12 55:17 protecting 47:21 protection 19:20 20:9 20:13,25 26:20,24 31:7 33:4 34:4 35:1 46:2 78:6,8 90:13 protective 31:10 protocol 33:1 proven 60:15 provide 19:19 20:13 31:6,22 33:3 61:15 61:16 64:13 73:15 provided 38:17 57:4 61:24 provides 61:11 providing 19:13 proximity 81:16 PRP 93:12 94:13 public 8:1,8 9:22 10:3 10:20 11:4 12:13 14:19 15:14 19:14 23:18 24:7,8 30:3 33:8,9 37:17 43:9 44:2,7 45:20 49:22 49:25,25 51:23 52:4

52:10,16,17 53:3,6 53:15 54:3,11,13,14 54:19.24 55:10.11 57:4,6,13 58:11 62:3 63:4 64:4,14 67:4 96:3 97:12 100:7 publication 41:19 publish 24:5 33:9 pull 19:18 47:19 pulled 33:2 47:11,13,22 92:4 **pulling** 47:16 pulls 33:4.6.8 purchase 78:4 83:24 88:19 89:19 94:3 95:16 purchased 75:1 purpose 55:14 put 17:9 19:13,14 21:20 42:19 46:10,13 49:21 58:19 59:6 78:12 85:14 puts 21:13 putting 17:20 40:8 89:25 PWS 55:15 56:21 P.O 1:24

0 qualify 22:22 quality 16:19 17:14 18:2.18 19:3.5.16.17 19:23 20:21 22:1,12 23:23 27:9,12,15,16 28:4,6,17 30:13 33:17 35:5,10 38:5,5 43:11 44:7 46:14 48:16,20 60:5 63:21 68:6,8 73:10 quantify 94:19 quantity 82:3 queasy 25:2 auestion 11:8 13:9.18 14:5,10,14 25:6,11 27:1 28:8 29:15,22 30:4 36:20 38:2,6 45:11,16 47:3 61:20 64:15 66:7 67:13 70:15 77:25 80:4,13 87:2,17 88:8 91:7,22 93:3,10 94:9,10,11 94:15 questions 7:3 10:6 13:6 13:8 14:16 24:12,13 28:14,24 29:19 36:18 40:12 42:24 45:5

48:4 55:1 62:9,13

65:8 70:2,14 74:10

77:23 78:20 80:16

95:3

91:1 92:18,20 93:13

queued 16:10 quick 64:15 74:12,15 quickly 26:14 97:17 quite 35:24 44:12 59:6 59:10 77:14 R rainbow 47:10 raise 53:4 ramped 33:18 ran 59:7 range 32:22 rank 60:24 rate 53:18 58:17 60:24

ran 59:7 range 32:22 rank 60:24 rate 53:18 58:17 60:24 ratepavers 56:19 rates 54:8 rating 60:14,16,17,19 60:22,24 ratings 60:18 Ravalli 64:1.19 65:5 read 4:18 22:19 readable 18:25 readily 37:15 reading 25:5 ready 7:18 11:21 55:10 real 37:8 57:2 81:6 realistic 21:12 realize 23:14 really 10:22 17:11 19:18 23:21 25:14 37:25 40:5 41:2.9 42:12 71:23 rearrange 21:18 reason 22:23 33:15 39:22 41:15 44:15 90:8 92:11 reasons 76:10 recall 69:4 receive 18:10 53:16 62:5 90:20 received 53:10,15 54:7 54:20 receiving 79:8 recess 51:14 reclamation 60:9 73:9 73:17 75:5,9 77:19 78:10 88:15 recognized 38:11 recommend 11:18 24:8 58:7 98:11 recommendation 12:11 recommendations 98.23 recommended 18:2 19:2.5.8.22 20:20 33:17 35:5.9 recommends 10:1 13:5 54:22 62:10

reconsidering 59:1

record 3:7 7:25 12:6

16:18 27:11 28:20

40:23 51:22 55:12 65:4 67:1 85:14 100:13 **RECORDING** 43:25 records 92:5 recover 52:21 53:25 recoverable 29:7.13 80:7 recovering 85:8 recovery 58:17 80:11 Red 84:12 reduce 9:23 reduced 88:21 reducing 97:21 reduction 81:25 redundant 9:20 refer 16:8 73:5 reference 7:21 8:4,4,17 8:19,20 9:7,15 48:18 49:1.4 referenced 48:25 references 12:15,22 18:22 65:1 referred 19:6 refers 8:19 reflects 39:24 58:14 regard 87:2 92:5 94:2 regarding 6:14 7:4 8:24 43:10 51:17 54:12 62:9 70:20 71:1 72:22 Regardless 95:5 regional 20:17 Register 9:17 17:4,7 regular 3:3 46:4.6 regulated 8:8 9:10,13 9:22 52:10 54:4 regulations 8:5,14 52:6 63:22 regulatory 8:21 9:11 38.9 reiterate 92:16 95:4 relate 32:6 69:1 related 13:11 27:3 relative 62:19 relayed 91:17 relevant 53:11 relocated 73:23 relocating 76:15 rely 27:25 remain 30:21 remainder 9:12 remand 23:5 69:4 remanded 69:24 70:5,6 remanding 70:11 71:13 remediated 78:18 Remediation 73:3 remember 34:22 42:2.3 reminding 10:25 removal 82:2.8 remove 8:7 9:9,23

removed 9:1 removes 9:6 removing 9:10 reopened 44:19 repair 55:25 repeal 2:14 12:19 51:16 59:22 repealed 12:20 replace 55:25 56:16 replaced 9:2 12:21 report 5:25 57:16 64:10.12 reported 1:20 100:11 reporter 4:1 100:7 reporter's 51:8 100:1 reporting 1:23 9:4 repository 75:2,4,14,17 75:20,20,23 76:12,19 76:24 77:9,11,17 78:18 79:19 81:10.16 82:1,9 83:5,10 represent 89:1 representative 4:10 7:12 represents 37:3 reprocess 75:25 reprocessed 79:3 reprocessing 76:1 78:21 83:1 87:8 reproduction 74:21 repropose 12:25 request 6:20 24:1,3 36:2 43:9 62:17 67:25 68:23 requested 12:16 68:21 68:25 requesting 61:25 requests 12:10 require 20:11 46:20,23 90:14 93:8 required 8:11 14:20 19:7 27:5 36:15 38:8 45:14 52:2 70:21 83:19,23 87:21 89:17 requirement 8:24 25:13 58:24 67:6 requirements 8:6,7,10 8:13.14.15.16.17 9:5 9:11,13,22,23 24:10 28:12 52:1,9 89:10 requires 8:13 52:11 60:13 residual 8:25 residue 31:22 resolution 84:18 resolved 57:10 Resource 4:11 resources 19:21 32:2 34:4 respect 45:17 46:19.24 62:18

respecting 46:25 respectively 52:11 responding 36:1 response 4:8,25 5:5,16 6:7 7:5 10:7 11:6.11 11:16 14:17 15:13,17 15:23 40:13 49:15 50:24 51:4 55:2 57:1 57:8 59:20 62:25 63:11,16 65:9 66:24 67:21 71:10,24 72:12 72:17 74:11 95:11,13 95:23 96:24 97:4,14 responsibility 88:23 responsible 90:6 93:1 restrictive 36:17 results 15:5 return 88:7 revenue 80:1 revenues 78:15 reversal 71:13 reverse 69:15 71:21 reversed 69:24 review 1:1 3:3 4:16 5:7 8:17 16:14 17:5 19:14 20:12 22:11 23:13,18 24:1 28:18 33:8,9 43:9,12,22,24 49:22 52:9 53:24 54:18 55:16,16 56:5 56:6 58:18 59:9,10 74:25 reviewed 69:17 89:10 reviewing 52:18 69:13 reviews 22:8 26:5 52:12,22 54:1 revised 20:22 revision 12:23 45:18 revisions 21:4 56:4 rewrite 18:24 Rick 12:3 13:7,8 **Ricknold** 2:9 12:7 right 4:15 5:11.20 10:11 11:23 14:21 15:2,19 16:16 17:20 22:10 29:18 34:13 40:21 42:7 44:25 45:25 49:14 50:19 51:11 55:11 57:14 59:22 63:1,17 67:11 67:16 70:13 71:9 76:25 77:20 79:15,23 83:19 87:6 88:6 91:20 95:6 96:16,25 99:18 rights 69:19 risk 67:13 90:7 risks 82:14 River 43:4 68:2,6,10 road 84:11 86:7,20

89:15 92:13.13 94:24 roads 92:14 Robin 10:17,18 13:10 25:7,8,9 36:21 57:19 57:21 65:23 66:5 67:16 70:16 72:7 95:9,17,18 **Rocky 56:8** Rod 2:11 33:13 37:8,25 38:4 41:1,14 roll 3:5.8 Romsa 1:20 4:1 100:7 100:18,18 room 1:9 4:2 87:3 Root 2:21,22 89:1,1 91:6 93:3,13 94:14 95:8 roughly 30:5,7 56:14 route 82:18,23,25 rule 12:12,21,22 17:12 23:22 43:19 52:13,24 53:22 56:21 57:3,15 59:23 60:7,12 61:1,3 61:4,11 62:3,9,11 63:3 68:24 69:22 ruled 69:8 rulemaking 2:8 7:8 8:23 10:2,12 11:25 12:11,14,17,18 13:5 13:12 15:4 17:19 23:18,25 24:4 30:9 30:14 41:19 42:3 45:22,24 48:9 49:10 50:3,17 53:24 57:11 58:12,20 59:2 61:20 61:22 rules 2:14 7:14 8:1,7,12 8:23 9:16 11:22 12:19,20,20,24 13:2 13:3 17:17 18:22 41:3,9,17 42:1,6,13 51:16,23 68:24 69:21 ruling 6:17 70:18.25 71:1.7 run 73:22 98:8 **running** 38:22 runs 59:14 rural 54:5 55:13,14,18 56:6,9 Russell 2:4 3:2,22,23 4:15,21,23 5:1,4,6,11 5:14,17,20 7:2,6,15 7:19,24 10:5,8,11,15 10:18,22,25 11:3,8 11:12,15,17,23 13:7 13:10,20,23 14:1,16 14:18,21,24 15:2,8 15:11,14,19,22,24 16:16,24 24:13,19 25:2,7,9 26:9 27:14 28:10,24 29:1,3,18

29:21 34:7 35:16,21 36:16,21 37:8,19 40:12,14,21,22 42:22 44:4,10,25 45:4,8,10 48:4,13 49:3,7,17 50:2,8,13,15,19,22 50:25 51:3,5,11,15 51:21 54:25 55:3,8 55:11 56:25 57:9,14 57:21,24 58:2 59:3 59:19,21 60:3 62:12 62:15,24 63:1,9,12 63:15,17,23 64:15,18 65:3,7,10,14,18,21 65:25 66:4,17 67:10 67:16,20,22 70:13,16 71:9,11,16,19,23 72:5,7,10,13,16,18 72:21 74:1 76:22 77:3,7,13,20,22 78:1 78:21 79:1,11,14,25 80:9,15,18 81:5 84:2 85:4,13,18 86:3,7,9 87:4,19 91:20,23 92:10,18,21 95:9,12 95:14,18,21,24 96:1 96:4,8,20,23,25 97:3 97:5,10,15 98:16 99:1,5,9,12,15,18

Safe 8:10 27:21,24 52:1 safety 47:22 82:22 92:4 samples 29:12 sampling 29:5 sanctions 6:7 sanitarians 54:15 SAR 22:13,22 23:3 24:2 43:10 44:17 saturated 74:19 saw 26:1 SAX 36:24 38:13,15 39:11 saying 5:2,18 11:13 15:20 35:2 36:13 42:15 43:23 51:1 58:16 59:17 63:13 67:18 68:25 72:14 93:11 97:1 99:16 says 46:19 scales 60:19.21 **Scenic 84:13,15** schedule 50:9 53:12,12 91:15 school 64:5 science 19:8,11 20:23 21:1 23:2,7 33:5,20 34:3 35:8 37:8 46:8 46:10 47:12

scientific 46:21 47:17

scope 53:21

score 60:19 se 6:12 search 37:13 38:17 39:10 searchable 17:24 37:16 second 4:21,22 5:12,13 6:18 10:16,17 15:9 15:10 17:3 25:16 39:1 50:20,21 53:21 57:22,23 61:4 63:8 65:15,17 72:8 73:4 75:23 80:5 82:4 93:10 94:9,11 95:19 95:20 99:10,11 secondarily 82:14 seconded 4:23 5:14 10:18 15:11 50:22 57:24 63:9 65:18 95:21 99:12 seconds 72:9,10 secretary 3:25 24:5 section 8:2 12:8 16:19 19:6 38:5 51:24 82:25 see 4:6 15:5 18:5 21:14 22:1,25 29:9 33:15 39:17 41:5 42:16 43:20 55:19 57:12 64:23,25 77:5 79:20 83:11 99:19 seen 26:19 41:7 seismic 75:18 76:21 81:19 select 86:19 87:25 sell 75:4 78:14 send 98:24 99:2 sends 33:7 sense 47:12 76:15 sensitive 31:4 sent 16:6 17:3 53:6,10 53:14 54:13,19 73:5 separate 47:24 Septage 6:10 September 68:13 75:7 Septic 6:11 sequence 46:8 series 17:11,13 23:22 serves 48:19 service 6:11 37:11 38:17 77:17 82:11 services 30:3 38:9 45:21 46:7 60:14,16 60:17,19,22,24 set 26:10 38:8 52:8 54:23 sets 43:20 60:7 setting 42:9 46:8 **setup** 73:2 sewage 52:17 sewer 59:12 **shape** 99:6

Sheep 6:5 sheet 61:16 short 98:15 shorthand 100:12 shovels 89:8 show 15:1 28:4 **showing** 74:15 Shropshire 3:18,19 10:17 13:9,11,22,25 14:2,13 25:6,8,10 27:1,8,14 28:8 29:1,4 29:17 36:10,19,22 37:2,7,18,20 39:1,6 40:4 57:19 65:20,23 66:2,6,12,15,20 67:9 67:11 70:15,17 71:6 71:14,18 72:2,6 92:19,22 94:11 95:2 95:17 shutdown 69:7 70:20 70:22 71:2 side 28:10,12 29:5 56:22 59:12 77:14 sight 92:5 sign 71:12 88:19 94:21 95:15 significant 8:21 9:22 28:11 54:6 58:15 74:22,22 75:13 82:2 83:13 signify 5:2,18 11:13 15:20 51:1 59:17 63:13 67:17 72:14 97:1 99:16 simple 27:4 93:11 simplicity 81:12 simply 94:12 single 39:18 sit 49:17 site 73:17 74:7 75:5 76:25 77:2 79:18 87:22 88:12 89:21,24 90:5,9,14,15 93:6,9 94:5,23 sitting 63:22 situation 41:24 97:21 98:4 six 21:4 47:8 Sixth 1:10 six-year 76:3 slide 22:19 23:12 73:16 73:25 74:21 75:13 80:13 81:22 82:16 83:1,19 87:9 slides 73:14 74:15 80:14 81:8,23 slightly 88:4 slip 89:15 slopes 75:20 76:20 slow 79:1

small 78:7 90:8

stringent 8:12,14 36:14 swing 11:19 stakeholder 82:5 sneakily 94:22 system 28:4,7 38:11,13 stakeholders 41:24 36:15 **Society** 36:23 52:16,17 56:6 64:4 Soda 73:18,24 74:15,18 stand 92:2 strong 96:14,17 strongly 82:6 systems 8:24 9:14 52:5 74:23 76:17 77:10 standard 19:15 24:16 54:5,14 55:13,17,24 structure 27:17 58:17 96:15 24:17 30:12 33:23 studies 47:8.16 56:10 soil 13:15 14:10 34:10,11,17,19 35:18 studying 46:18 s/Cheryl 100:18 35:18,22 36:3,13,13 soils 13:13 stuff 37:14 47:11,13 sold 39:19 90:22 99:6 36:14,16 69:14,18 64:20 80:23 standards 16:11,11,19 solely 13:13 48:6 17:14,25 18:1,7,13 subchapter 18:7 table 17:20 42:19 79:24 solid 7:14 11:22 12:7 87.6 subchapters 18:8 12:19.24 13:3 14:7 18:16,18 19:2,19 tables 30:5 31:21 36:22 20:1,12,16,24 21:3,7 subject 66:18 69:11 37:12 63:21 tailings 2:20 72:23 solution 39:14 21:9,21,23 22:1,3,4 submit 52:15 62:4 73:17,20 74:14,16,16 somebody 94:21 22:12 23:1,3,10,23 submitted 4:19 53:7 74:20.23 75:8,24,25 soon 18:5 86:4 93:9 24:2.15.18.24 28:17 54:17 58:6 76:5,7,18,24 77:2,5,5 99.20 30:4.7.10.11.19.21 subsection 60:12.13.15 77:8 78:4.17 79:3.6.9 sorry 12:5 27:7 38:20 30:22,23 31:6 32:3,5 60:20 61:6.11 79:10,13,17 80:2,6 34:13,14,15 35:23,25 Subsections 61:14 39:1.3 45:3 65:23 substantial 24:20 69:19 81:16 82:8,15 83:16 71:6 81:22 89:18 36:5,8,9 43:11,13 83:17 85:9 87:22 sort 21:9,12 25:10 44:8,18,22 45:18,19 substantially 52:4 88:18.22 89:6 90:1.3 31:25 32:24 33:13 46:5,9,19,20,22,22 substantively 24:16 90:9,9 91:10 92:24 46:25 48:21 63:21 subtitle 14:7 94:13,15 sorts 26:21 70:22 **success** 79:22 96:15 sound 19:11 standpoint 42:9 93:25 successful 86:25 take 7:20 11:9 16:2 sounds 31:13 51:13 start 7:10 25:3 86:5 sufficient 61:17 19:7.11 24:12 34:2 started 93:17 suggest 85:24 42:14 43:15 47:24 72:4 99:21 source 68:22 starting 23:18 58:14 suggested 21:14 53:17 57:6 58:20 59:13 65:3 72:1 83:18 87:3 south 84:12 starts 59:10 94:21 startup 69:7 70:20,22 89:20 90:1 92:25 suggesting 72:2 space 81:13 93:17 97:11 suggestion 29:10 speak 11:4 15:15 40:17 taken 51:14 59:4 44:11 48:12 55:4 state 8:13 25:17 32:1,7 sulfate 21:2 takes 11:5 15:16 40:18 summarize 30:18 60:2 96:5 33:5,21 45:13,20 40:18 42:5 49:22 summary 6:17 22:6 52:5 69:6 78:4 91:24 speaking 43:7 55:5 speccing 86:18 97:21 100:3.8 23:21 91:4 Sunlight 75:24 78:24 talk 18:3 19:4,24 20:3 specialist 73:9 stated 54:8 statement 22:19 79:7 80:24 81:11 talking 32:13 94:10 species 32:24 47:15,16 Tank 12:8 48:18 83:25 84:16,16 88:19 states 19:9,18 32:17 specific 4:4 8:15 23:24 tanks 48:10 38:13 53:11 57:11 33:25 39:19 52:13 89:23 91:9 93:7 Superfund 89:25 90:5 tardiness 12:5 67:3 **State's 24:5** 90:15,16 94:23 taxes 24:6 92:14 **specifically** 37:4 49:20 state-chartered 60:25 supervisor 12:7 technical 37:12 61:1 62:19 specification 52:9 technically 69:10 84:8 specifications 52:15,19 stationary 68:22 supplemental 69:5 supply 8:2 51:24 52:16 53:8 54:17 75:9 statistically 47:20 teleconference 4:17 5:8 28:15 97:19 98:11 specifics 18:19 status 6:13 53:3,6 54:3,14 64:4 telephone 4:7 specified 60:13 statute 45:14 67:5 telephonic 99:18 statutory 24:10 58:24 support 23:7 26:21 specs 88:11 stay 51:11 86:1 tell 17:10 39:13 41:16 33:25 43:24 44:1 speeds 92:5 65:24 94:1 82:5.8.10 95:5 96:14 spell 47:25 steam 68:9 telling 30:8 spend 83:3,4,15 step 30:17 96:17.17 tells 20:9 **spent** 43:6 Steven 6:10 supporting 82:6 ten 51:10 splits 84:11 stewards 92:24 supports 55:14 spoke 58:4 stipulation 6:22 **supposed** 29:6 37:5 tend 31:8 squiggly 84:19 stockholders 61:5,7 Supreme 69:16,17,20 tends 21:1 ss 100:3 stoker 68:11 70:2,4,18 71:1 term 47:24 61:9 90:21 terms 13:14 22:2,11 stability 82:1,9 stomach 25:2 sure 4:17 16:3 17:2 25:12 27:2 29:4 32:9 **stabilizing** 76:5 83:16 stop 22:10 23:2,6 25:14 29:3,8 stable 76:20 81:19 storage 48:10,18 55:24 32:8,18 36:6 39:7 33:18 36:12 37:2 staff 4:3 20:15 41:2 straight 53:17 59:8 44:18,20 47:3 65:21 38:12 44:23 45:13 66:10 72:25 46:1,7 47:17 76:12 48:25 54:6 strength 61:13,18 staffs 32:20 62:19 surface 35:13 87:10,20 89:3 92:6 staining 74:22 string 39:8 **survive** 75:18 test 47:9

tests 32:21 Texas 70:19 thank 3:6 5:20 7:22 10:4.24 14:14 29:23 30:15 34:5 39:6 40:10 42:21,22 44:3 44:25 46:15 48:2 51:13 56:24 62:16,22 63:19.23 67:14 78:19 80:25 85:17 91:4.6 91:18 92:17 95:2,8 97:9 99:21 thanks 7:2 10:5.25 13:7 28:9.23 29:17 42:23 45:4 51:7 54:25 56:25 62:12 67:16 70:13 72:19 84:2 95:7 96:19,20 98:16 theirs 90:1 thick 33:7 thing 17:1,8,18 21:12 21:16 26:13 30:25 31:9 33:12,13 41:15 49:12 61:4 75:6,13 75:15 84:7 86:16 89:8 95:6 97:7,17 things 17:16 18:5,14,18 26:13 28:15 32:23 37:15 41:16 42:4 60:11 66:2 98:7 think 4:13 7:18 11:21 14:5.9 16:5.12 22:14 22:25 23:9,16 24:20 26:4,12 28:14 31:15 33:10 37:20 40:4 41:8,20,20,21 42:6,7 42:10,13,17 43:15,16 47:4 51:8 58:5 66:12 70:23 71:4,16,21,25 75:3 76:14.17 77:16 79:19 80:11,21 81:11 81:17,19,24 82:2,12 83:14 84:22 86:3.21 89:11 90:18 91:1 93:3 95:6 97:6 98:3 98:25 thinking 14:2 77:16 94:10 third 22:16,17,18 30:7 80:6 **Thompson** 2:9 11:19 12:3,4,7 14:4 68:2,6 68:10 thought 75:21 93:4 three 39:23 40:1 47:10 53:8 54:18 59:14 60:14 75:22 76:9 three-section 38:21 throw 20:19 30:25 thrown 85:15

thumb 36:7

Tiber 56:7 tidving 36:25 40:5 tied 45:25 Timber 85:2 time 4:6 6:19 7:18 9:18 12:10.18 16:7.9.21 21:1 22:7 43:6.12.14 43:16 50:4 56:17.21 60:17 61:19.22 62:5 75:1 78:5 79:19 83:18 86:2 88:24 97:11 times 64:12 88:3 93:14 timing 58:25 tissue 18:17 title 93:17.22 **TMDL** 22:2 **TMDLs 31:8** today 30:1 44:16 62:6 63:20 70:3 90:18,25 told 11:19 Tom 2:21,21,22,22 3:5 3:7 10:23 12:1,5 16:2 44:5 49:5 51:18 58:10 59:16,25 72:24 73:3,7,9 76:22 77:24 77:25 78:3,22 80:9 80:17 84:2 85:16,22 86:16 87:8 89:1 97:15 98:16 **Tongue 43:4** total 61:5,7 64:9,11,12 91:16 totally 29:7,13 typewriting 100:12 typically 30:19 32:17 tourist 85:11 towns 84:25 58:13 toxic 21:8 toxicity 26:16 toxicologist 20:17 toxicologists 32:20 toxicology 19:12 32:16 track 71:24 tracts 99:6 Trade 40:24 **Trades 25:24** traffic 82:14,22 85:11 trailer 88:9 transcribed 100:12 **TRANSCRIPT** 1:6 transferred 91:10 transportation 91:25 92:1 93:19,24 94:7 travel 84:6,9,14 85:2 92:5 travels 82:18 84:14 treated 14:7 treating 83:16 trek 85:4,5,6 tried 37:10 triennial 16:14 22:8 untrained 37:22 unwilling 94:14 23:13 26:4 28:18

43:12,22 49:22 trillion 55:25 trip 86:7 trips 88:10 Tri-County 56:8 trouble 81:6 trout 32:23 47:10 truck 88:11,16 89:13 trucking 76:1 79:9 88:8 88:9 91:15 truckloads 87:21 trucks 88:13 true 100:13 trust 81:5 try 16:1 17:9 18:25 21:18 30:18 32:11 41:4 73:12 98:7,9 trying 21:19 22:24,25 23:9 31:2 37:11 84:3 86:18 97:21 turn 12:2 66:1 70:5 73:2,7 85:2 turns 84:19 two 8:16 26:4 39:23 53:11,16 60:11 73:4 74:15 76:2 81:7 88:1 93:13 two-and-a-half 86:12 two-and-a-half-milli... 87:14 two-part 25:10 type 14:8 39:13 49:12 54:10

U ultimately 31:16,21 33:9 unanimously 72:18 97:5 underground 12:8 48:10,17 understand 13:18 14:5 31:2.15 41:4 78:11 88:20 99:2 understanding 4:9 20:25

understood 90:21 unfortunate 58:6,25 Unintelligible 80:8 uninterrupted 39:9 **United 39:19** universities 34:1 university 33:6 unknown 94:13 unofficially 84:22 unsaid 94:18

update 2:6 5:22 7:1,20 8:4.20 20:23 updated 12:23 17:25 17:25 46:10 uphill 84:6 upstream 96:16 urge 96:17 use 36:12 38:16 39:9 46:12.14 47:6 60:19 78:14 79:8 82:23 87:10 92:15 USEPA 8:10 52:1 **Users 43:4** uses 31:11 68:11 utilizes 82:19 U.S 82:10

V vacated 23:4 valid 58:5,5 value 9:2 21:23 22:1 47:15 55:16 80:4 85:8 90:18 98:3 values 26:17,18 46:20 variety 41:5 various 9:15 16:11 31:15 58:21 64:8 Vaughn 56:7 verbal 54:21 version 18:11,23 25:25 41:6,7 versus 62:19 viable 53:21 view 73:16 90:17 viewed 86:14 violation 64:6 66:8,9 67:6.7 violations 6:4,9 64:8,11 64:13,25 65:2 67:5 90:11.11 Voices 68:1 volume 79:18 91:16 vote 5:3,19 10:21 11:14 15:21 51:2 59:18 63:14 67:19 72:15 97:2 99:17

W wait 15:4 35:4 67:12 waiting 7:11 walk 32:4 walking 32:9 74:6 want 10:20 16:8 17:2,8 17:10,22 19:25 20:19 21:16 22:10,20 23:10 29:23 35:4,6,7 39:2 40:15,25 42:15 44:11 67:13 73:5 81:7 83:5 83:18 85:22 86:20 93:18 94:6 98:5,13 wanted 4:18 41:13

42:10 66:16,22 73:11 86:23 wants 11:4 55:4 71:25 wasn't 29:7 36:6 71:23 waste 7:14 11:22 12:7 12:8,19,24 13:3 14:7 63:22 68:11 82:3 90:17.23.23 wastes 75:23 76:11.13 76:16 81:14 water 8:2.11 16:19 17:14 18:2.18 19:2.5 19:7,16,16,17,23 20:9,11,21 22:1,12 23:23 27:9,12,16,19 27:21.24 28:17 31:4 31:14 33:17 34:13,14 35:1.5.10.13.13.19 35:22 38:5 43:4,11 44:7 45:17 46:2,14 48:16,20 51:23 52:2 52:4,6,16 53:3,6 54:3 54:5,14 55:13,14,18 56:7 59:6 63:21 64:4 67:4 74:19 77:11 83:16,17 waters 26:15,17 35:4 watershed 96:15 watersheds 45:16 way 23:16 30:23 41:21 42:7,17 47:4,18 70:9 70:9 71:24 93:1 wealthier 33:25

weird 63:2 welcome 40:11 46:16 48:3 62:23 72:20 wells 59:6 went 68:13,19,20 69:16 70:8 83:12 weren't 11:1 west 74:9 77:1,3,12 Western 25:24 40:24 we'll 3:8 4:5,9 7:13,17 7:18 10:20 18:9 35:11 51:11.19 55:8 58:13 63:17 73:3 85:18 93:21 98:11

wear 92:13

99:6,19 we're 7:11 11:21 14:8 16:4,9,12,13 17:12 17:13,18,19 18:15 21:19,24 22:7,24 23:6,9,14,15,24 28:16 29:25 30:18 31:7,14,20 32:13 33:12,16 40:16,25 44:13 49:11 58:24 67:12 73:15 74:12 75:15,16 76:4,11 83:2,6,7,8,15,22

86:17,18 92:14,23,24 93:1,23,24 94:12,24 96:16 97:20 98:3.8 we've 3:24 17:22.25 20:21 21:1 22:8 24:20 25:21 27:8 41:21 58:16,23 76:9 82:7 91:12.15.16 92:3,4,7 96:12 Whelan 3:20,21 5:9,9 15:6,6 29:20,20,22 30:16,17 31:1,13 32:14 34:5 36:12 45:9.11 46:15 50:14 50:16,16 61:21,24 62:14,16,22 65:16,16 86:8.10 87:8.13.17 87:20.25 88:6.18 91:4,7,18 Whelan's 36:1 Whitehall 78:24,25 82:21 84:4 85:3 87:22 89:13,19 wholeheartedly 59:3 wide 41:4 Wildlife 45:21 willing 13:6 WITNESS 45:3 Wittenberg 4:1 Women's 68:1 wonderful 91:4 wondering 32:3 78:3 93:5 wood 68:11 word 22:16,18 45:25 wording 22:18 work 17:15 20:5,15 26:6 32:16 33:24 34:20 35:6,8 38:1 41:13 46:2,10 54:10 75:9.12 80:22 96:10 97:6.8 worked 25:23 26:6 41:23 working 12:18 18:14 23:19 45:13 works 33:13 79:21

World 77:18 96:12 worrying 76:12 wouldn't 13:21,24 81:5 93:12

WPCAC 23:20 WQB7 25:3 34:9 36:7 48:6,16,20,24 wrapped 94:6 wrecks 89:8 writing 21:13 22:5 31:8

53:22 written 53:16 54:21 61:3

wrong 41:11,21

wrote 69:11	11 82:16	79:24 83:2,20,21	66 2:18	
3	11th 6:19,25 53:23	87:7,15,23	68 2:19	
Wyoming 82:19 84:24	-		00 2:19	1
85:11 92:1	11:47 99:22	2012 79:23	7	· ·
	111 1:9	21 20:6	<u> </u>	
X	112 52:8	21st 54:20 68:7	7 2:8	
X 2:1	1192 1:24	212 74:8 84:9	7th 63:25 64:5,7	1
	12 2:9 18:8 83:1	22 5:8	73 2:21	_
Y	12th 6:21,23 13:3	237,000 74:14	75 55:20	
vards 74:14	120 84:23	25 39:4 56:3 58:19 76:7	75-6-103 52:8	[
yeah 24:25 26:19 27:7	125 94:2	88:13	75-6-108(3) 52:13	
28:21 37:24 42:15	13 18:8 83:19	25-cent 56:13	` ′	
44:15 65:1,21 77:4,7	13th 54:11	250 56:3	8	
			8 56:2	
78:1 80:18 84:5 87:6	14 73:14,16,25 81:22	250-year 75:18	89 2:21	Ĭ
91:12	14th 4:17 64:25	26 6:14 62:4	09 2.21	ſ
year 12:16 13:4 17:13	141 8:18	26th 100:16		
18:8,12,12 52:20,20	142.10 52:7	27 2:10		
52:25 53:1 79:16	15 4:2 84:24	27.38.201A 7:20	9:03 1:13 3:2	1
83:2 86:14 87:15,23	15(c) 68:24 69:22	[9:15 11:20	
91:11	150 94:2	3	91 2:22	
years 49:2 53:5,9 54:18	1520 1:10	3 2:4 7:15 15:25 44:14	93 2:22	Į.
55:23 75:24 76:2,4	16 2:9	73:25 80:11 81:21	95 47:21	1
85:24 86:15 88:1	16,000 56:18	88:1	96 2:23	
year's 18:10	17th 68:14	3rd 68:14	99 2:24 100:13	
year-by-year 87:4	17.11 08.14 17.24.1109 59:24 60:6	30 2:10 11:3 55:23 56:2	99-2000 52:25	{
,			35-2000 32.23	
Yellowstone 4:11 28:22	62:11	67:8 79:17	ļ	
73:19 75:16 76:16	17.38.106 51:17	300 30:6		
81:17 82:3,6,17 96:7	17.38.206 9:6	304(a) 19:6,6 20:14		Į.
96:11,16	17.38.234 9:4	21:25	j	
yeoman 37:10	17.50 7:9	311 57:17 63:5		1
<u> </u>	17.50.403 11:25 12:12	35 76:7		
Z	12:22	38 2:11	ļ	
zone 75:19	17.50.530 12:21	<u> </u>	ì	
	1700 88:10	4		1
\$	19 1:12	4 2:5	,	
\$1,315 64:8	1930s 73:21 90:24	4th 68:14		1
\$2,000 86:1	1950s 73:22 90:24	4,000 53:9,10,13 54:19		
\$2,640 56:13	1985 21:6	40 2:11 8:18 24:24 52:6	ł	
\$3 55:25	1999-2000 52:20	56:2		1
\$35 90:25	1999-2000 32.20	410 11:25 12:12,22	ļ	1
	2		Î	
\$4,000 56:14		42-ton 88:9		
\$4.9 83:3,4	2 2:25 7:13,17 9:1	43 2:12		(
\$6,600 56:14	73:16 76:6,8,9,15	44 2:12		1
\$700 83:13 86:11 87:13	80:11 81:21	45 2:13		
\$900 83:13	2a 6:22	45-day 24:7 43:16,24		
	2b 6:24	44:1 50:11,18		
0	2.2 88:4	46 30:5		
01 53:1	20 4:2 84 :10,21	48 2:13	1	
02 53:1	20-mile 56:17			1
	2001-2002 52:20	5		
1	2003 44:21	5 2:7)	
1 7:8,13,18 11:19 51:16	2005 52:23 53:11	5th 24:9		
1st 24:7	2006 43:13 44:22 68:7	51 2:15		1
1,100 86:1 90:25	68:13	521 57:16 63:3	l	1
1,150 90:19		55 2:15		
	2007 8:19 9:14 52:23	,		
1,220 83:11	53:14 68:14	59624-1192 1:25	l	
1,700 87:24	2008 75:1,7			
1/14 2:5	2009 7:21 8:20 9:19	6		
1/22/2010 2:5	53:23 75:10,10	6 18:7 68:13		1
10 56:2,12 81:22 84:23	2010 1:12 5:8 54:11,20	60 2:16		
10-to-12-hour 88:12	64:7 100:16	60-57-1 38:24		}
104 52:8	2011 18:11 79:16,16,21	64 2:17		
				CANADA CONTRACTOR CONT

· '\ . 4 1