1 BEFORE THE BOARD OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF THE STATE OF MONTANA 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF: **CASE NO. BER 2016-06 OC** VIOLATIONS OF THE OPENCUT Electronically Filed with the Montana Board of 4 MINING ACT BY BIG ROCK, LLC AT **Environmental Review** WHEELER GRAVEL PIT, MÍSSOULA 5 COUNTY, MONTANA (OPENCUT NO. This 7 day of February 2017 719; FID 2471) 6 1:47 o'clock p.m 7 **ORDER** 8 9 The undersigned has issued Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and a 10 Proposed Order (Proposed order). The Proposed Order has been served on the 11 parties. Mont. Code Ann. § 2-4-621 affords "each party adversely affected to file 12 exceptions and present briefs and oral argument to the officials who are to render 13 the decision." See Mont. Admin R. 1.3.223(1). 14 Mont. Code Ann. § 2-4-621(3) provides: 15 The agency may adopt the proposal for decision as the agency's final order. The agency in its final order may reject or modify the 16 conclusions of law and interpretation of administrative rules in the proposal for decision but may not reject or modify the findings of 17 fact unless the agency first determines from a review of the complete record and states with particularity in the order that the findings of 18 fact were not based upon competent substantial evidence or that the proceedings on which the findings were based did not comply with 19 essential requirements of law. The agency may accept or reduce the recommended penalty in a proposal for decision but may not increase 20 it without a review of the complete record. 21 It is ORDERED: 22 1. The Scheduling Order is **Vacated**. 23 2. Any party adversely affected by the Proposed Order will have until 24 **February 28, 2017**, to file exceptions to the proposed order. If no party files 25 exceptions this matter will be deemed submitted.

The parties will have until March 20, 2017, to file response briefs. If

no party files a response brief, this matter will be submitted.

26

27

3.

1	4. The parties will have until April 3, 2017 , to file reply briefs.
2	5. Once this matter is submitted, it will be placed on the next-available
3	Agenda of the Board of Environmental Review for final agency action. The Parties
4	may request an alternative meeting date by stipulation.
5	DATED this 7th day of February, 2017.
6	
7 8	/s/ Andres Haladay ANDRES HALADAY Hearing Examiner
9	Agency Legal Services Bureau 1712 Ninth Avenue
10	P.O. Box 201440 Helena, MT 59620-1440
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	I hereby certify that I caused a true and accurate copy of the foregoing Order
3	to be mailed and electronically mailed to:
4	Ms. Hillary Houle
5	Secretary, Board of Environmental Review Department of Environmental Quality 1520 East Sixth Avenue
6 7	P.O. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620-0901
	<u>Hhoule@mt.gov</u> email
8 9	Ms. Rebecca Convery Legal Counsel Department of Environmental Quality
10	P.O. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620-0901
11	Rconvery@mt.gov email
12	Mr. Ed Coleman, Bureau Chief
	Coal and Opencut Mining Bureau Department of Environmental Quality
13	P.Ô. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620-0901
14	Ecoleman@mt.gov email
15	Mr. Dan G. Cederberg Cederberg Law Office, P.C.
16	269 West Front Street P.O. Box 8234
17	Missoula, MT 59807-8234 Via mail
18	Via man
19	
20	DATED: February 7, 2017 /s/ Andres Haladay
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
<i>4 1</i>	

Houle, Hillary

From: O'Brien, Lori

Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 1:47 PM

To: Houle, Hillary; Convery, Rebecca; Coleman, Edward

Subject: 2016-06 OC - Big Rock LLC

Attachments: ORDER on exceptions.pdf; Findings of Fact Conclusions of Law and Proposed Order.pdf

Categories: Green Category

Attached please find Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and an Order regarding exceptions in the above referenced matter.

Lori L. D'Brien
Civil Investigator/Paralegal
Montana Department of Justice
Agency Legal Services Bureau
1712 9th Avenue
Helena, Montana 59620
(406) 444-1496
Indicate Language Legal Legal

This email contains information from the Montana Department of Justice - Agency Legal Services Bureau which is confidential and/or privileged.

Environ	mental Review		
This _7 day	of <u>February</u>		
at 1:47 By:	o'clock p.m.	FORE THE BOARD OF ENVIOUS OF THE STATE OF	
3	IN THE M	ATTER OF: ONS OF THE OPENCUT	CASE NO. BER 2016-06 OC
4		CT BY BIG ROCK, LLC AT	
5	COUNTY,	R GRAVEL PIT, MISSOULA MONTANA (OPENCUT NO.	
6	719; FID 24	¥/1) 	
7	FINDINGS	S OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS O	F LAW AND PROPOSED ORDER
8			
9		<u>INTRODUC</u>	TION
10	Арре	ellant Big Rock, LLC (Big Rock) r	requested a hearing regarding the
11	Montana De	epartment of Environmental Qualit	ty's (DEQ) cited violations of the
12	Opencut Mi	ning Act. A scheduling order was	entered, and Big Rock has not
13	complied. I	DEQ moved to dismiss this matter	and Big Rock did not respond. A
14	Show Cause	e Order was entered and Big Rock	did not respond.
15		FINDINGS OF	FFACT
16	1.	On May 11, 2016, DEQ issued a	Notice of Violation and
17	Administrat	ive Compliance and Penalty Order	against Big Rock.
18	2.	On June 16, 2016, Big Rock file	ed a Notice of Request for Hearing in
19	the Matter of	f Violations of the Opencut Minin	g Act by Big Rock, LLC at Wheeler
20	Gravel Pit,	Missoula County, Montana.	
21	3.	Big Rock did not provide a basis	s for its Request for Hearing.
22	4.	The Board of Environmental Re	view assigned this matter to a Hearing
23	Examiner.		
24	5.	On September 13, 2016, a Sched	luling Order was entered.
25	6.	The Scheduling Order required I	Big Rock to file a more definite
26	statement se	etting forth with particularity the ba	asis for this appeal, by September 30,
27	2016		· · · · · · · · · · · ·

Electronically Filed with the Montana Board of

27

- 7. Big Rock did not comply with the September 30 deadline.
- 8. On October 12, 2016, DEQ filed a motion to dismiss on the basis of Mont. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) and 16(f)(1)(C). Big Rock did not respond.
- 9. On January 9, 2017, a Show Cause Order was entered. Big Rock was notified DEQ's Motion might be deemed well-taken, pursuant to Montana Uniform District Court Rule 2. Big Rock was notified this matter might be dismissed pursuant to M.R.Civ.P. 16. Big Rock was warned this matter might be dismissed pursuant to M.R.Civ.P. 41(b). Big Rock was informed it might be defaulted.
- 10. Big Rock was ordered to Show Cause why this matter should not be dismissed. Big Rock had until January 18, 2017, to do so. Big Rock did not respond to the Show Cause Order.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. This matter is governed by the Montana Administrative Procedure Act, Contested Cases, Mont. Code Ann. Tit. 2, Ch. 4, pt. 6, and Mont. Admin. R. 17.4.101, by which the Board of Environmental Review (Board) has adopted the Attorney General's Model Rules for contested cases, Mont. Admin. R. 1.3.211 through 1.3.225, and by Mont. Code Ann. Tit. 75, Ch. 5, pts. 6.
- 2. "The Montana Rules of Civil Procedure do not apply to administrative hearings." Citizens Awareness Network v. Mont. Bd. of Envt'l. Review, 2010 MT 10, ¶ 20, 355 Mont. 60, 61, 227 P.3d 583, 588. However, "they may still serve as guidance for the agency and the parties." Id.
- 3. Pursuant to the Montana Administrative Procedure Act ("MAPA"), "[i]n a contested case, all parties must be afforded an opportunity for hearing after reasonable notice." Mont. Code Ann. § 2-4-601(1).
- 4. Big Rock has received notice of the dates contained in the Scheduling Order, DEQ's Motion to Dismiss, and the Show Cause Order. Big Rock had reasonable notice and opportunity to be heard.

specific date. This scheduling order allows the district court to better control trial proceedings by resolving many issues during the pretrial phase of the case." *Stevenson v. Felco Indus.*, 2009 MT 299, ¶ 32, 352 Mont. 303, 216 P.3d 763.

- 13. M.R.Civ.P. 16 provides guidance that a hearing examiner may impose "just orders" if a party or attorney fails to obey a scheduling order or other pretrial order. M.R.Civ.P. 16(f)(1)(C); see also Kingsbury Ditch Co. v. Dep't of Nat. Res. & Conservation, 223 Mont. 379, 381, 725 P.2d 1209, 1210 (1986) (considering, without deciding, hearing officer's decision to not employ sanctions for discovery abuse). A "just order" may include the sanction of dismissal of an action in whole or in part. McKenzie v. Scheeler, 285 Mont. 500, 511, 949 P.2d 1168, 1174 (1997).
- 14. "Rule 16(f), M.R.Civ.P., which provides that a district court may impose sanctions for failure to obey a scheduling order, does not require that a party be given notice of failure to comply or that sanctions could be imposed." *Id*.
- 15. Big Rock appealed this matter pursuant to Mont. Code Ann. § 82-4-441(5)(b), which required Big Rock to submit a "written request for hearing stating the reason for the request."
- 16. Due to Big Rock's failure to include any "reason for the request," the Scheduling Order instructed Big Rock to provide a more definite statement setting forth with particularity the basis for this appeal, by September 30, 2016.
- 17. Big Rock's non-compliance with the Scheduling Order has interfered with the undersigned's ability to regulate the course of these proceedings.
- 18. Big Rock's non-compliance with the Scheduling Order has resulted in an inability to complete pre-trial activities in a timely and economical fashion.
- 19. Big Rock's non-compliance with the Scheduling Order has resulted in an inability to resolve issues during the pretrial phase of the case.
- 20. Although not required, Big Rock had notice of the Scheduling Order, notice of non-compliance with the scheduling order and notice that further non-

compliance might result in dismissal. Big Rock had multiple opportunities to be heard but did not respond.

- 21. Big Rock's non-compliance merits dismissal with prejudice.
- 22. M.R.Civ.P. 16(f)(2) provides that in the event of non-compliance with Rule 16, the hearing examiner "must order the party, its attorney, or both to pay the reasonable expenses – including attorney fees – incurred because of any noncompliance with this rule, unless the noncompliance was substantially justified or other circumstances make an award of expenses unjust."
- 23. Rule 16 is not expressly adopted by MAPA and the undersigned does not find the expenses provision of the rule to provide guidance under these circumstances.
- 24. Moreover, even if Rule 16 applied, the procedural disposition of this case renders an award of expenses unjust. Based on Big Rock's non-compliance, this matter is in its procedural infancy. DEQ has filed a motion to dismiss, analogous to M.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(6). This is not a situation where DEQ has repeatedly moved to compel Big Rock's compliance with the scheduling order or discovery rules, expending valuable time and energy on repetitive procedural battles. Rather, DEQ has requested, and received, outright dismissal of this matter, with prejudice. Big Rock will have a final order entered against it, including monetary penalties. The undersigned concludes it would be unjustified, under these circumstances, to impose further monetary obligations on Big Rock.
- C. This Matter is Dismissed Based on Big Rock's Failure to Comply with Orders.
- 25. Montana R.Civ.P. 41(b) provides, "[i]f the plaintiff fails to prosecute or to comply with these rules or a court order, a defendant may move to dismiss the action or any claim against it."

26

- 26. Montana's Rule 41(b) was amended in 2010 to "conform to the recent changes in the Federal Rules." M.R.Civ.P. 41, Committee Notes.
- 27. Rule 41(b) has "long been interpreted to permit courts to dismiss actions sua sponte for a plaintiff's failure to prosecute or comply with the rules of civil procedure or court's orders." Hells Canyon Pres. Council v. United States Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005) (quoting Olsen v. Mapes, 333 F.3d 1199, 1204 n.3 (10th Cir. 2003)).
- 28. Montana analyzes four factors to determine whether a tribunal, in its discretion, may dismiss pursuant to 41(b):

 - (1) the plaintiff's diligence in prosecuting his claims;(2) the prejudice to the defense caused by the plaintiff's delay;
 - (3) the availability of alternate sanctions; and
 - (4) the existence of a warning to plaintiff that his case is in danger of dismissal.
- Watson v. West, 2009 MT 342, ¶ 25, 353 Mont. 120, 218 P.3d 1227.
- 29. The Board of Environmental Review is in the best position to "consider the circumstances of each case and decide questions of good faith in situations that may warrant sanctions." *Id.* ¶ 31.
- 30. Big Rock has not exercised diligence in this case. Big Rock requested a hearing before the Board of Environmental Review but did not comply with the statutory requirement it provide the basis for the appeal. Big Rock did not comply with the Scheduling Order, requiring a more specific statement of its basis for appeal. Big Rock did not respond to DEQ's motion to dismiss. Big Rock did not respond to the Show Cause Order. In short, Big Rock's objective conduct establishes it has not been diligent.
- 31. Big Rock's lack of diligence has resulted in prejudice to DEQ. Waste of time and delay constitute sufficient prejudice when they arise from another party's lack of diligence and disregard for a tribunal's orders. *Watson*, ¶ 28. Moreover under the Scheduling Order, discovery closed without Big Rock ever

disclosing the specific reasons for its appeal, leaving DEQ without knowledge of the legal or factual basis for Big Rock's appeal.

- 32. The undersigned has considered whether to impose something other than involuntary dismissal. See M.R.Civ.P. 37(b)(2)(A)(i)-(iv). Given that Big Rock has been unresponsive to multiple orders, missed discovery deadlines, has not responded to DEQ's motion and has not demonstrated objective inclination to participate in these proceedings, anything less than dismissal would unnecessarily prolong these proceedings to the prejudice of DEQ, frustrate judicial economy and be a waste of time.
- 33. Big Rock received two warnings that its case was in danger of dismissal. First, Big Rock received DEQ's Motion to Dismiss. Big Rock did not respond. Second, Big Rock was ordered to Show Cause why this matter should not be dismissed pursuant to Rule 41(b). Big Rock did not respond.
- 34. Based on the foregoing, Big Rock's appeal is dismissed with prejudice.

D. **Default Is Entered Against Big Rock.**

The Attorney General's Model Rule 10(1) (Mont. Admin. R. 35. 1.3.214(1)) states:

If a party does not appear to contest an intended agency action, the agency may enter a default order. If a default is entered, the order must contain findings of fact and conclusions of law.

As set forth in the Findings of Fact, Big Rock was afforded 36. opportunity for hearing in this case. Big Rock had notice of the Scheduling Order, the applicable deadlines, and its responsibilities. Big Rock had notice of the pending Motion to Dismiss. Big Rock had notice of the Show Cause Order. Big Rock did not comply with the scheduling order, did not participate in briefing in this matter and did not respond to the Show Cause Order. Big Rock has not appeared to contest the intended agency action by DEQ. Therefore, Big Rock will be defaulted.

1	37.	This case is somewhat analogous to a judgment by default entered by
2	a clerk of cou	art on a claim for a sum certain. Mont. R. Civ. P. 55(b). Big Rock has
3	known of the	Notice of Violation and Administrative Compliance and Penalty
4	Order, issued	by DEQ since May of 2016.
5	38.	The formal requirements for entering a final order of default are
6	satisfied as th	nis order is in writing and contains findings of fact and conclusions of
7	law, pursuan	t to Mont. Code Ann. §§ 2-4-603(1)(a) and 2-4-623(1)(a), and Mont.
8	Admin. R. 1.	3.214(1) (Model Rule 10).
9		PROPOSED ORDER
10	1.	DEQ's Motion to Dismiss is well taken and Big Rock's appeal is
11	dismissed, w	ith prejudice.
12	2.	Big Rock's appeal is dismissed pursuant to M.R.Civ.P. 16(f)(1)(C),
13	with prejudic	ee.
14	3.	Big Rock's appeal is dismissed pursuant to M.R.Civ.P. 41(b), with
15	prejudice.	
16	4.	Default is entered against Big Rock.
17	5.	Big Rock will comply with the terms of the Notice of Violation and
18	Administrativ	ve Compliance and Penalty Order, issued by DEQ on May 11, 2016.
19	DATE	ED this 7th day of February, 2017.
20		/s/ Andres Haladay ANDRES HALADAY
21		Hearing Examiner
22		Agency Legal Services Bureau 1712 Ninth Avenue P.O. Box 201440
23		Helena, MT 59620-1440
24		
25		
26		

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that I caused a true and accurate copy of the foregoing
3	Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Proposed Order to be mailed to:
4	Ms. Hillary Houle
5	Secretary, Board of Environmental Review Department of Environmental Quality 1520 East Sixth Avenue
6 7	P.O. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620-0901 Via email: Hhoule@mt.gov
8	Ms. Rebecca Convery
9	Legal Counsel Department of Environmental Quality
10	P.O. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620-0901
11	Rconvery@mt.gov
12	Mr. Ed Coleman, Bureau Chief Coal and Opencut Mining Bureau
13	Department of Environmental Quality P.O. Box 200901
14	Helena, MT 59620-0901 <u>Ecoleman@mt.gov</u>
15	Mr. Dan G. Cederberg
16	Cederberg Law Office, P.C. 269 West Front Street
17	P.O. Box 8234 Missoula, MT 59807-8234
18	
19	DATED: February 7, 2017 /s/ Andres Haladay
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Houle, Hillary

From: O'Brien, Lori

Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 1:47 PM

To: Houle, Hillary; Convery, Rebecca; Coleman, Edward

Subject: 2016-06 OC - Big Rock LLC

Attachments: ORDER on exceptions.pdf; Findings of Fact Conclusions of Law and Proposed Order.pdf

Categories: Green Category

Attached please find Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and an Order regarding exceptions in the above referenced matter.

Lori L. D'Brien
Civil Investigator/Paralegal
Montana Department of Justice
Agency Legal Services Bureau
1712 9th Avenue
Helena, Montana 59620
(406) 444-1496
Iobrien@mt.gov

This email contains information from the Montana Department of Justice - Agency Legal Services Bureau which is confidential and/or privileged.

1 BEFORE THE BOARD OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF THE STATE OF MONTANA 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF: **CASE NO. BER 2016-06 OC** VIOLATIONS OF THE OPENCUT Electronically Filed with the Montana Board of 4 MINING ACT BY BIG ROCK, LLC AT **Environmental Review** WHEELER GRAVEL PIT, MÍSSOULA 5 COUNTY, MONTANA (OPENCUT NO. This 3 day of March 2017 719; FID 2471) 6 10:27 o'clock a.m. 7 NOTICE OF SUBMITTAL Rv. 8 9 The Order on Exceptions gave all parties the opportunity to file exceptions 10 by February 28, 2017. No exceptions having been filed, this matter is submitted and 11 will be placed as an action item at the March 31, 2017, Board of Environmental 12 Review Meeting. 13 DATED this 3rd day of March, 2017. 14 15 /s/ Andres Haladay ANDRES HALAĎAY 16 **Hearing Examiner** Agency Legal Services Bureau 17 1712 Ninth Avenue P.O. Box 201440 18 Helena, MT 59620-1440 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 I hereby certify that I caused a true and accurate copy of the foregoing Notice 3 of Submittal to be mailed and electronically mailed to: 4 Ms. Hillary Houle Secretary, Board of Environmental Review 5 Department of Environmental Quality 1520 East Sixth Avenue 6 P.O. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620-0901 7 Hhoule@mt.gov email 8 Ms. Rebecca Convery Legal Counsel 9 Department of Environmental Quality P.O. Box 200901 10 Helena, MT 59620-0901 Rconvery@mt.gov 11 Mr. Ed Coleman, Bureau Chief 12 Coal and Opencut Mining Bureau Department of Environmental Quality 13 P.O. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620-0901 14 Ecoleman@mt.gov 15 Mr. Dan G. Cederberg Cederberg Law Office, P.C. 16 269 West Front Street P.O. Box 8234 17 Missoula, MT 59807-8234 Via mail 18 19 March 3, 2017 DATED: /s/ Andres Haladay 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

From: O"Brien, Lori

To: <u>Convery, Rebecca; Coleman, Edward; Houle, Hillary</u>

Subject: 2016-06 OC

Date: Friday, March 03, 2017 10:26:32 AM
Attachments: Notice of Submittal 3-1-2017.pdf

Attached Please find a Notice of Submittal in the Big Rock matter.

Lori L. O'Brien
Civil Investigator/Paralegal
Montana Department of Justice
Agency Legal Services Bureau
1712 9th Avenue
Helena, Montana 59620
(406) 444-1496
lobrien @mt.gov

This email contains information from the Montana Department of Justice – Agency Legal Services Bureau which is confidential and/or privileged.

1 BEFORE THE BOARD OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF THE STATE OF MONTANA 2 3 **CASE NO. BER 2014-06 WQ** COLUMBIA FALLS ALUMINUM Electronically Filed with the Montana Board of 4 COMPANY'S (CFAC) APPEAL OF **Environmental Review DEO'S MODIFICATIONS OF MONTANA** 5 This 1 day of March 2017 ELIMINATION SYSTEM PERMIT NO. 6 MT0030066, COLUMBIA FALLS, FLATHEAD COUNTY, MT. 8 NOTICE TO PARTIES 9 10 The undersigned gives notice that this matter will be included as an action 11 item on the March 31, 2017 agenda of the Board of Environmental Review. The 12 reason for this is the unavailability of the person who presided over the hearing in 13 this matter, more fully set forth in the Memorandum attached to this Notice as 14 exhibit 1. As this is an action item, the parties are entitled to be heard, either in 15 writing, in person, or both. If a party chooses to submit a written statement, it must 16 be filed by March 13, 2017, at 5:00 p.m. 17 DATED this 1st day of March, 2017. 18 /s/ Andres Haladay ANDRES HALAĎAY 19 **Board Attorney** Agency Legal Services Bureau 20 1712 Ninth Avenue P.O. Box 201440 21 Helena, MT 59620-1440 22 23 24 25 26 27

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that I caused a true and accurate copy of the foregoing Notice
3	to Parties to be mailed to:
4	Ms. Hillary Houle Secretary, Board of Environmental Review
5	Department of Environmental Quality 1520 East Sixth Avenue
6 7	P.O. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620-0901 (original)
8	Mr. Kurt Moser
9	Legal Counsel Department of Environmental Quality P.O. Box 200901
10	Helena, MT 59620-0901
11	Mr. Jon Kenning, Bureau Chief Water Protection Bureau
12	Department of Environmental Quality P.O. Box 200901
13	Helena, MT 59620-0901
1415	Ms. Catherine A. Laughner Browning, Kaleczyc, Berry & Hoven, P.C. 801 W. Main, Suite 2A
16	Bozeman, MT 59715
17	Mr. W. John Tietz Browning, Kaleczyc, Berry & Hoven, P.C.
18	800 N Last Chance Gulch #101 Helena, MT 59601
19	
20	
21	DATED: March 1, 2017 /s/ Andres Haladay
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

From: O"Brien, Lori

To: cathyl@bkbh.com; Kenning, Jon; Moser, Kurt; Houle, Hillary

Subject: BER 2014-06 WQ - Columbia Falls Aluminum Co Appeal

Date: Wednesday, March 01, 2017 12:29:32 PM

Attachments: Notice to Parties 3-1-17.pdf

Memo to Board 3-1-2017.docx.pdf

Attached Please find the Notice to Parties and the attached Memorandum to the Board.

Lori L. O'Brien
Civil Investigator/Paralegal
Montana Department of Justice
Agency Legal Services Bureau
1712 9th Avenue
Helena, Montana 59620
(406) 444-1496
lobrien @mt.gov

This email contains information from the Montana Department of Justice – Agency Legal Services Bureau which is confidential and/or privileged.

Environmental Review

STATE OF MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE This 1

AGENCY LEGAL SERVICES BUREAU

o'clock p.m.

Tim Fox Attorney General



P.O. Box 201440 Helena, MT 59620-1440

TO: The Montana Board of Environmental Review

FROM: Andres Haladay, Board Attorney

RE: In the Matter of Columbia Falls Aluminum Company's Appeal of Montana

Pollutant Discharge Elimination Permit, BER 2014-06 WQ.

DATE: March 1, 2017

This matter was the subject of a multi-day hearing, presided over by former Board Attorney Ben Reed. At the December 9, 2016 Board of Environmental Review Meeting, former Mr. Reed informed the Board he would retain the above-referenced matter, in order to generate a proposal for decision. Generally, a proposal for decision must be prepared by the person who conducted the hearing. Mont. Code Ann. § 2-4-621.

However, there is an exception if the hearing examiner becomes unavailable. *Id.* In the event the person who conducted the hearing becomes unavailable, proposed findings of fact may be prepared by another person who reviews the evidentiary record. Mont. Code Ann. § 2-4-621(1). There are two circumstances under which this can occur:

- 1. All parties agree the demeanor of witnesses is considered immaterial; or
- 2. All parties (1) waive the requirements that the proposed order be prepared by the person who conducted the hearing, and (2) waive any objections to witness demeanor.

Mont. Code Ann. § 2-4-622. If the parties do not agree to either of these options, it appears the only recourse is to hold a new hearing.

I recommend that at the March 31, 2017 Board of Environmental Review Meeting, the Board take action to re-assign this case to its current Board Attorney, and give the parties the options listed above. I believe this is the most prudent course for the Board to ensure a timely proposed order. This item will be placed on the agenda for the March 31, 2017 meeting, and the parties will be given the opportunity to provide comment, in writing beforehand, in person at the Board Meeting, or both.

TELEPHONE: (406) 444-2026 FAX: (406) 444-4303

Board of Environmental Review March 1, 2017 Page 2

Recommended Motion: Move to assign In the Matter of Columbia Falls Aluminum Company's Appeal of Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination Permit, BER 2014-06 WQ, to the Board Attorney so the Board Attorney can determine how the parties wish to proceed.

From: O"Brien, Lori

To: cathyl@bkbh.com; Kenning, Jon; Moser, Kurt; Houle, Hillary

Subject: BER 2014-06 WQ - Columbia Falls Aluminum Co Appeal

Date: Wednesday, March 01, 2017 12:29:32 PM

Attachments: Notice to Parties 3-1-17.pdf

Memo to Board 3-1-2017.docx.pdf

Attached Please find the Notice to Parties and the attached Memorandum to the Board.

Lori L. O'Brien
Civil Investigator/Paralegal
Montana Department of Justice
Agency Legal Services Bureau
1712 9th Avenue
Helena, Montana 59620
(406) 444-1496
lobrien @mt.gov

This email contains information from the Montana Department of Justice – Agency Legal Services Bureau which is confidential and/or privileged.

Catherine A. Laughner W. John Tietz

BROWNING, KALECZYC, BERRY & HOVEN, P.C.

801 W. Main, Suite 2A Bozeman, MT 59715-3336

Phone: (406) 585-0888 Email: cathyl@bkbh.com john@bkbh.com

Attorneys for Appellant Columbia Falls Aluminum Company

MONTANA BOARD OF

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

This 13th day of March, 201

at 4:40 o'clock P.m.

By: Hillary Houle

BEFORE THE BOARD OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

IN THE MATTER OF:
COLUMBIA FALLS ALUMINUM
COMPANY'S (CFAC) APPEAL OF
DEQ'S MODIFICATIONS OF
MONTANA POLLUTANT DISCHARGE
ELIMINATION SYSTEM PERMIT NO.
MT0030066, COLUMBIA FALLS,
FLATHEAD COUNTY, MT.

Case Nos. BER 2014-06 WQ

RESPONSE TO MARCH 1, 2017 NOTICE TO PARTIES

Columbia Falls Aluminum Company ("CFAC"), by and through its counsel of record, respectfully submits this response to the Board's *Notice to Parties* regarding the availability of former Board Attorney Mr. Ben Reed to continue with the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law after presiding over the November 16-18, and 21, 2016 Hearing ("Hearing").

CFAC believes additional information and more detail are needed before it can make a decision on the direction of the pending Hearing Officer matter. On the final day of the Hearing, CFAC learned for the first time that Mr. Reed, the assigned Hearing Officer, would be leaving state employment. Upon learning there was an issue regarding the Hearing Officer, CFAC reserved all arguments concerning the issue. (Tr. 710: 8-14.) Hearing officer Reed indicated to the parties that he was available to draft the findings, conclusion, and order. (Tr. 710: 14-19.) The March 1, 2017 *Memorandum* to the Board from Andres Haladay, attached to the March 1, 2017 Notice notes that Hearing Officer Reed stated on December 9, 2016 that he would retain

the matter. However, neither the *Notice* nor the *Memorandum* explains the change in circumstances which would make Mr. Reed unavailable.

CFAC requests the following information:

- 1. An explanation of why Mr. Reed is unavailable.
- 2. An explanation of why the November Hearing was conducted by Mr. Reed if he was leaving.

After obtaining further information, CFAC will be better able to consider this matter.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 13th day of March, 2017,

Catherine A. Laughner

W. John Tietz

Browning, Kaleczyc, Berry & Hoven, P.C.

Attorneys for Appellant Columbia Falls Aluminum Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this 13th day March, 2017, I caused to be served a true and correct

copy of the foregoing document and any attachments to all parties or their counsel of record as set forth below: Kurt R. Moser 1 U.S. Mail, postage prepaid X | Electronic Mail Department of Environmental Quality 1 Facsimile Transmission P.O. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620 1 Personal Delivery Phone: (406) 444-4009 Email: kmoser2@gmail.com Attorney for Department Ben Reed, Esq.] U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Agency Legal Services Bureau 1 Electronic Mail 1712 Ninth Avenue 1 Facsimile Transmission Personal Delivery P.O. Box 201440 Helena, MT 59620-1440 Phone: (406) 444-0160 Email: BenReed@mt.gov; BER@mt.gov Hearing Examiner/Attorney for the Board of Environmental Review Andres Haladay, Esq. U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Agency Legal Services Bureau [X] Electronic Mail [] Facsimile Transmission 1712 Ninth Avenue] Personal Delivery P.O. Box 201440 Helena, MT 59620-1440 Phone: (406) 444-0160 Email: AndresHaladay@mt.gov Attorney for the Board of Environmental Review U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Jon Kenning, Bureau Chief [X] Electronic Mail Water Protection Bureau] Facsimile Transmission 1712 Ninth Avenue 1 Personal Delivery P.O. Box 200301 Helena, MT 59620 Phone: (406) 444-0420

BROWNING, KALECZYC, BERRY & HOVEN, P.C.

Email: jkenning@mt.gov

Kurt R. Moser

Department of Environmental Quality

P.O. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620

Phone: (406) 444-4009 Fax: (406) 444-4386 Email: kmoser2@mt.gov

Attorney for the Department



BEFORE THE BOARD OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

IN THE MATTER OF: COLUMBIA FALLS ALUMIMUM COMPANY'S (CFAC) APPEAL OF DEQ'S MODIFICATIONS OF MONTANA POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM PERMIT NO. MT0030066, COLUMBIA FALLS, FLATHEAD COUNTY, MT.) Case No.: BER 2014-06 WQ))))) DEQ'S RESPONSE TO BOARD) ATTORNEY'S) RECOMMENDATION)))
---	--

The Montana Department of Environmental Quality ("DEQ"), by and through undersigned counsel of record, files its Response to the Board Attorney's Recommendation for case transfer. DEQ objects to a transfer as any such decision would be premature. Mr. Reed indicated both during the four-day hearing and later to the Board that he would retain this matter and issue a proposed decision. These representations were made with knowledge of his impending departure and move to Europe. DEQ has no knowledge that Mr. Reed has withdrawn or refused to follow through on his

commitment to issue a proposed decision. Furthermore, Mr. Reed requested post-hearing briefing on the matter, which concluded on December 23, 2016, and also required the parties to submit Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law by February 3, 2017, which both parties have done.

While DEQ can appreciate the Board Attorney's desire to issue a timely decision, there is no statute or administrative rule which requires the Board's Hearing Examiner to issue a proposed decision at this time. Section 2-4-621, MCA, provides that "a final decision must be issued within 90 days after a contested case is considered to be submitted for a final decision, unless, for good cause shown, the period is extended for an additional time not to exceed 90 days." However, as the Montana Supreme Court has clarified, this 90-day deadline only applies to the time period the Board would have to issue a final decision after a hearing examiner issues a proposed decision; it does not apply to the time period the hearing examiner has in which to issue a proposed decision. See Micone v. DPHHS, 2011 MT 178, ¶ 17, 361 Mont. 258, 258 P.3d 403 (upholding a decision where a hearing officer took 9 months to issue a proposed decision and clarifying that the administrative board issues the "final decision" not the board's hearing officer).

Furthermore, the Board must also consider the costs of a possible rehearing of the case. A four-day contested case hearing requires significant investment in time and resources. This particular matter included the testimony of several expert witnesses, one from out of state, as well as several DEQ employees who spent considerable amounts of time away from their normal duties to prepare and participate. Considering the potential

for the lost-expense of the first hearing, as well as the potential cost of conducting another hearing, transferring the case at this time is not prudent.

DEQ has no indication that Mr. Reed has abandoned his commitment to issue a proposal for decision at this time. The Board should make efforts to contact and encourage Mr. Reed to fulfill his commitment as Hearing Examiner before it determines a transfer is necessary.

DATED this 13th day of March, 2017.

URT R. MOSER

Department of Environmental Quality

Attorney for the Department

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this 13th day of March, 2017, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document and any attachments to all parties or their counsel of record as set forth below:

Catherine A. Laughner W. John Tietz Browning, Kaleczyc, Berry & Hoven, P.C. 801 Main Street, Suite 2A Bozeman, MT 59715-3336 (406) 585-0888 Email: cathyl@bkbh.com Email: john@bkbh.com Attorneys for Appellant Columbia Falls Aluminum Company	[x] []	U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Electronic Mail Facsimile Transmission Personal Delivery
Andres Haladay, Esq. Agency Legal Services Bureau 1712 Ninth Avenue/P.O. Box 201440 Helena, MT 59620-1440 Phone: (406) 444-5779 Email: ahaladay2@mt.gov	[] [x] []	U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Electronic Mail Facsimile Transmission Personal Delivery
Ben Reed, Esq. Agency Legal Services Bureau 1712 Ninth Avenue P.O. Box 201440 Helena, MT 59620-1440	[x] [] []	U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Electronic Mail Facsimile Transmission Personal Delivery
Jon Kenning, Bureau Chief Water Protection Bureau 1712 Ninth Avenue P.O. Box 200301 Helena, MT 59620 Phone: (406) 444-0420 Email: jkenning@mt.gov	[] [] [x]	U.S. Mail, postage prepaid Electronic Mail Facsimile Transmission Personal Delivery
MT-Department of Environmental Quality		

Electronically Filed with the Montana Board of Environmental Review

This 2 day of March 2017

at 4:18 o'clock p.m.

By: Hungfur

BEFORE THE BOARD OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

IN THE MATTER OF: APPEAL OF SECTION 401 WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION ISSUED FOR DEQ APPLICATION NUMBER MT4011012, THE CLARK HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT, BEAVERHEAD COUNTY, MONTANA Case: BER 2016-08 WQ

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Upon the filing of the Stipulation for Dismissal with Prejudice and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Upper Missouri Waterkeeper's appeal is dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

DATED this 2nd day of March, 2017.

By Andres Haladay

Andres Haladay

Hearing Examiner

Agency Legal Services Bureau

1712 Ninth Avenue

P.O. Box 201440

Helena, MT 59620-1440

From: O"Brien, Lori

srbrown@garlington.com; Kenning, Jon; Houle, Hillary

Subject: 2016 -08WQ - Clark Canyon

Date: Thursday, March 02, 2017 4:18:17 PM
Attachments: Order dismissing with prejudice.pdf

Attached please find the Order on Dismissal

Lori L. O'Brien
Civil Investigator/Paralegal
Montana Department of Justice
Agency Legal Services Bureau
1712 9th Avenue
Helena, Montana 59620
(406) 444-1496
lobrien @mt.gov

This email contains information from the Montana Department of Justice – Agency Legal Services Bureau which is confidential and/or privileged.

Stephen R. Brown
Katelyn J. Hepburn
GARLINGTON, LOHN & ROBINSON, PLLP
350 Ryman Street • P. O. Box 7909
Missoula, MT 59807-7909
Telephone (406) 523-2500
Telefax (406) 523-2595
srbrown@garlington.com
kjhepburn@garlington.com

Peter D. Mohr (pro hac vice) Jordan Ramis PC P.O. Box 230669 Portland, OR 97281 Telephone (503) 598-7070 Telefax (503) 598-7373 peter.mohr@jordanramis.com

Attorneys for Clark Canyon Hydro, LLC

Electronically Filed with the Montana Board of Environmental Review

This 2 day of March 2017

nt 12:33 o'clock p.m.

By: Jungfar

BEFORE THE BOARD OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

IN THE MATTER OF: APPEAL OF SECTION 401 WATER QUALITY CERTIFICATION ISSUED FOR DEQ APPLICATION NUMBER MT4011012, THE CLARK HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT, BEAVERHEAD COUNTY, MONTANA Case: BER 2016-08 WQ

STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

COME NOW, Appellant Upper Missouri Waterkeeper, the Montana Department of Environmental Quality and Intervenors Clark Canyon Hydro, LLC, by and through their respective counsel of record and pursuant to Montana Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), and hereby submit this Stipulation for Dismissal with Prejudice in the above matter in that the claims have been fully and finally resolved. Each party is to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees. A proposed order of dismissal is attached.

STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE 2184746

DATED this day of March, 2017.	
	Attorneys for Clark Canyon Hydro, LLC:
	GARLINGTON, LOHN & ROBINSON, PLLE 350 Ryman Street • P. O. Box 7909 Missoula, MT 59807-7909 Telephone (406) 523-2500 Telefax (406) 523-2595 By Stephen R. Brown
DATED this day of March, 2017.	
DATED this day of March, 2017.	Attorney for Upper Missouri Waterkeeper
	24 S. Wilson Ave., Ste 6-7 Bozeman, MT 59715 Telephone (406) 570-2202
	ByGuy Alsentzer
DATED this day of March, 2017.	
	Attorney for Montana Department of Environmental Quality P.O. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620-0901 Telephone (406) 444-4009
	By Kurt R. Moser

DATED this day of March, 2017.	
	Attorneys for Clark Canyon Hydro, LLC:
*	GARLINGTON, LOHN & ROBINSON, PLLP 350 Ryman Street • P. O. Box 7909 Missoula, MT 59807-7909 Telephone (406) 523-2500 Telefax (406) 523-2595
	ByStephen R. Brown
DATED this day of March, 2017.	
	Attorney for Upper Missouri Waterkeeper
	24 S. Wilson Ave., Ste 6-7 Bozeman, MT 59715 Telephone (406) 570-2202
	By Guy Alsentzer
DATED this day of March, 2017.	
	Attorney for Montana Department of Environmental Quality
	P.O. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620-0901 Telephone (406) 444-4009
	By
	Kurt R. Moser

DATED this day of March, 2017.	
	Attorneys for Clark Canyon Hydro, LLC:
	GARLINGTON, LOHN & ROBINSON, PLLP 350 Ryman Street • P. O. Box 7909 Missoula, MT 59807-7909 Telephone (406) 523-2500 Telefax (406) 523-2595
	ByStephen R. Brown
DATED this day of March, 2017.	
	Attorney for Upper Missouri Waterkeeper
	24 S. Wilson Ave., Ste 6-7 Bozeman, MT 59715 Telephone (406) 570-2202
	By Guy Alsentzer
DATED this	
	Attorney for Montana Department of Environmental Quality
	P.O. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620-0901 Telephone (406) 444-4009
	By Kurt R. Moser

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 2, 2017, a copy of the foregoing document was served on the following persons by the following means:

	Hand Delivery
1 - 6	Mail
	Overnight Delivery Service
	Fax (include fax number in address)
1 - 6	E-Mail (include email in address)

- 1. Kurt Moser
 Legal Counsel
 Department of Environmental Quality
 PO Box 200901
 Helena, MT 59620-0901
 kmoser2@mt.gov
- 2. John Kenning
 Bureau Chief
 Water Protection Bureau
 Department of Environmental Quality
 PO Box 200901
 Helena, MT 59620-0901
 jkenning@mt.gov

Original to:

- 3. Ms. Hillary Houle
 Secretary Board of Environmental Review
 Department of Environmental Quality
 PO Box 200901
 Helena, MT 59620-0901
 hhoule@mt.gov
- 4. Guy Alsentzer
 Upper Missouri Waterkeeper
 24 S. Wilson Ave., Ste 6-7
 Bozeman, MT 59715
 guy@uppermissouriwaterkeeper.org

5. Jack Tuholske
Tuholske Legal Office
PO Box 7458
Missoula, MT 59807-7458
jtuholske@gmail.com

6. Andres Haladay
Hearing Examiner
Agency Legal Services Bureau
PO Box 201440
Helena, MT 59620-1440
ahaladay2@mt.gov

Monda Duranea

BEFORE THE BOARD OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

IN THE MATTER OF: APPEAL OF **SECTION 401 WATER QUALITY** CERTIFICATION ISSUED FOR DEQ APPLICATION NUMBER MT4011012, THE CLARK HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT, BEAVERHEAD COUNTY, MONTANA

Case: BER 2016-08 WQ

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Upon the filing of the Stipulation for Dismissal with Prejudice and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Upper Missouri Waterkeeper's appeal is dismissed with prejudice, each party to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

DATED this ____ day of March, 2017.

By__

Andres Haladay Hearing Examiner Agency Legal Services Bureau 1712 Ninth Avenue P.O. Box 201440 Helena, MT 59620-1440

From: Rhonda A. Dursma

To: <u>Haladay, Andres; Houle, Hillary; Kenning, Jon; Moser, Kurt; jtuholske@gmail.com;</u>

guy@uppermissouriwaterkeeper.org

Cc: peter.mohr@jordanramis.com; Katelyn J. Hepburn; Stephen R. Brown

Subject: BER 2016-08 WQ

Date: Thursday, March 02, 2017 12:33:07 PM

Attachments: Proposed order dismissing with prejudice.PDF
BER 2016-08 WQ Stip Dismiss with Prejudice.pdf

Attached is a Stipulation to Dismiss with Prejudice and a proposed Order in the abovementioned case. If you have any questions, please contact our office.

Thank you,

Rhonda Dursma

Assistant to Stephen "Steve" Brown

Direct Line: 406-523-2568

E-mail: radursma@garlington.com

garlington | Iohn | robinson

A Professional Limited Liability Partnership Attorneys at Law Since 1870

PO Box 7909 (350 Ryman Street)

Missoula, MT 59807-7909

Phone: (406) 523-2500, Fax: (406) 523-2595

CONFIDENTIALITY: The information contained in this e-mail message may be privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail or telephone and delete the original message from your computer.