MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY Environmental Assessment

Water Protection Bureau

Name of Project: Town of Denton Waste Water Treatment Facility

Location of Project: Township 18N Range 14E Section 16

City/Town: Denton

County: Fergus

Type of Project: Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (MPDES) permit renewal for a publicly owned treatment works.

Description of Project:

The Town of Denton wastewater treatment facility (WWTF) is a publicly owned treatment works (POTW) originally constructed in 2000 consists of a three-cell facultative lagoon system. The facility has an average design flow 0.044 million gallons per day and serves a current population of approximately 275 people.

The Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) received a renewal application from Denton for renewal of the WWTF discharge permit MPDES No. MT0022462 on January 29, 2015. DEQ deemed the application complete in a letter to the permittee dated August 5, 2015, and proposed to renew the applicant's permit.

Agency Action and Applicable Regulations:

The proposed action is to renew the MPDES permit for another five-year cycle.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Subchapter 2 – Water Quality Permit Application and Annual Fees.
ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Subchapter 5 – Mixing Zones in Surface and Ground Water.
ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Subchapter 6 – Surface Water Quality Standards.
ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Subchapter 7 – Nondegradation of Water Quality.
ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Subchapter 12 – MPDES Effluent Limitations and Standards, Standards of Performance, and Treatment Requirements
ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Subchapter 13 – MPDES Permits
Montana Water Quality Act, MCA 75-5-101 *et. seq.*

Summary of Issues:

The permit will ensure compliance with the Montana Water Quality Act and protection of the beneficial uses of the receiving waters. The proposed permit prohibits discharge during the nutrient season of July 1 – September 30. All other effluent limitations remain the same as the previous permit.

Affected Environment & Impacts of the Proposed Project:

Y = Impacts may occur. N = Not present or No Impact will likely occur.

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT		
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND	
	MITIGATION MEASURES	
1. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE: Are soils present which are fragile, erosive, susceptible to compaction, or unstable? Are there unusual or unstable geologic features? Are there special reclamation considerations?	[N] No impact will likely occur. This facility is long established and represents no new impacts.	
2. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION: Are important surface or groundwater resources present? Is there potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality?	[N] No impact will likely occur. Retained effluent limitations will protect designated and existing uses of the receiving water.	
3. AIR QUALITY: Will pollutants or particulates be produced? Is the project influenced by air quality regulations or zones (Class I airshed)?	[N] Not present.	
4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY: Will vegetative communities be significantly impacted? Are any rare plants or cover types present?	[N] No impact will likely occur. This facility is long established and represents no new impacts. There are no identified plant species of concern within the township and range of the facility.	
5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS: Is there substantial use of the area by important wildlife, birds or fish?	[N] No impact will likely occur. Effluent limitations will protect aquatic/wildlife uses.	
6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES: Are any federally listed threatened or endangered species or identified habitat present? Any wetlands? Species of special concern?	 [N] No impact will likely occur. Based on a review of the Montana Natural Heritage Program (MNHP) species of concern database, there are no aquatic species of concern residing within the township and range of the facility. 	
	The MNHP review identified three bird species of concern, the Bobolink, Long Billed Curlew, and the McCown's Longspur. The facility is long established is the reissuance of the MPDES permit is not likely to impact these species. No other threatened or endangered animal species were identified within the Township and Range of the facility.	
	There are no identified plant species of concern within the township and range of the facility.	

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT		
7. SAGE GROUSE EXECUTIVE ORDER: Is the project proposed in core, general or connectivity sage grouse habitat, as designated by the Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program (Program) at: http://dnrc.mt.gov/divisions/cardd/sage-grouse? If yes, did the applicant attach documentation from the Program showing compliance with Executive Order 12-2015 and the Program's recommendations? If so, attach the documentation to the EA and address the Program's recommendations in the permit. If project is in core, general or connectivity habitat and the applicant did not document consultation with the Program, refer the applicant to the Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program.	[N] No impact will likely occur. DEQ has determined that the facility is not located within a core area, connectivity area, or in general sage grouse habitat.	
8. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES: Are any historical, archaeological or paleontological resources present?	[N] No impact will likely occur. No known historical or archaeological sites present.	
9. AESTHETICS: Is the project on a prominent topographic feature? Will it be visible from populated or scenic areas? Will there be excessive noise or light?	[N] No impact will likely occur. This facility is long established and represents no new impacts	
10. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY: Will the project use resources that are limited in the area? Are there other activities nearby that will affect the project? Will new or upgraded powerline or other energy source be needed)	[N] No impact will likely occur. This facility is long established and represents no new impacts.	
11. IMPACTS ON OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES: Are there other activities nearby that will affect the project?	[N] Not present. No other nearby activities that would affect the project are known.	

project.		
IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT		
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND	
	MITIGATION MEASURES	
12. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY: Will	[N] No impact will likely occur. This facility is long established and	
this project add to health and safety risks in the	represents no new impacts.	
area?		
13. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND	[N] No impact will likely occur. This facility is long established and	
AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND	represents no new impacts	
PRODUCTION: Will the project add to or alter		
these activities?		
14. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	[N] No impact will likely occur. This facility is long established and	
EMPLOYMENT: Will the project create, move	represents no new impacts	
or eliminate jobs? If so, estimated number.	1 1	
15. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND	[N] No impact will likely occur. This facility is long established and	
TAX REVENUES: Will the project create or	represents no new impacts	
eliminate tax revenue?	· ·	
16. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT	[N] No impact will likely occur. This facility is long established and	
SERVICES: Will substantial traffic be added to	represents no new impacts	
existing roads? Will other services (fire	· ·	
protection, police, schools, etc.) be needed?		

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT		
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND	
THE SO OTHER	MITIGATION MEASURES	
17. LOCALLY ADOPTED		
ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:	[N] No impact will likely occur. This facility is long established and	
Are there State, County, City, USFS, BLM,	represents no new impacts	
Tribal, etc. zoning or management plans in		
effect?		
18. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF	[N] No impact will likely occur. This facility is long established and	
RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS	represents no new impacts	
ACTIVITIES: Are wilderness or recreational	Topresents no new impuets	
areas nearby or accessed through this tract? Is		
there recreational potential within the tract?		
19. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	[N] No impact will likely occur. This facility is long established and	
POPULATION AND HOUSING: Will the	represents no new impacts	
project add to the population and require	1	
additional housing?		
20. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:	[N] No impact will likely occur. This facility is long established and	
Is some disruption of native or traditional	represents no new impacts	
lifestyles or communities possible?		
21. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND	[N] No impact will likely occur.	
DIVERSITY: Will the action cause a shift in		
some unique quality of the area?		
22. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND	[N] Not present.	
ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:		
23(a). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Are	[N] Not present.	
we regulating the use of private property under		
a regulatory statute adopted pursuant to the		
police power of the state? (Property		
management, grants of financial assistance, and the exercise of the power of eminent domain		
are not within this category.) If not, no further		
analysis is required.		
23(b). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Is	[N] Not present.	
the agency proposing to deny the application or	[N] Not present.	
condition the approval in a way that restricts		
the use of the regulated person's private		
property? If not, no further analysis is		
required.		
23(c). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: If	[N] Not present.	
the answer to 21(b) is affirmative, does the		
agency have legal discretion to impose or not		
impose the proposed restriction or discretion as		
to how the restriction will be imposed? If not,		
no further analysis is required. If so, the		
agency must determine if there are alternatives		
that would reduce, minimize or eliminate the		
restriction on the use of private property, and		
analyze such alternatives. The agency must		
disclose the potential costs of identified restrictions.		

24. Description of and Impacts of other Alternatives Considered: None

25. Summary of Magnitude and Significance of Potential Impacts: None

- 26. Cumulative Effects: None
- 27. Preferred Action Alternative and Rationale:

The preferred action is to reissue the MPDES permit. This action is preferred because the permit program provides the regulatory mechanism for protecting water quality by enforcing the terms of the MPDES permit.

Recommendation for Further Environmental Analysis:

[] EIS [] More Detailed EA [X] No Further Analysis

Rationale for Recommendation: An EIS is not required under the Montana Environmental Policy Act (MEPA) because the project lacks significant adverse effects to the human and physical environment.

28. Public Involvement:

A 30-day public comment period will be held.

29. Persons and agencies consulted in the preparation of this analysis: None

EA Checklist Prepared By:

Derek Fleming, March, 2019

Approved By:

DRAFT

Jon Kenning, Chief Water Protection Bureau Date