

Water Protection Bureau P.O. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620-0901

PERMIT FACT SHEET

MONTANA GROUND WATER POLLUTION CONTROL SYSTEM

Permittee/Applicant:	Yellowstone Energy Limited Partnership
Permit Number:	MTX000061
Permit Type:	Industrial – Monitoring
Application Type:	Renewal
Facility Name:	Yellowstone Energy Limited Partnership Ash Monofill
Facility Location:	SE 1/4, Section 24, Township 08 South, Range 25 East
	NE 1/4, Section 25, Township 08 South, Range 25 East
	Carbon County
	Latitude: 45.12015°, Longitude: -108.60194°
Facility Contact:	Bruce Stevenson, Plant Engineer
Treatment Type:	None
Receiving Water:	Class I Ground Water
Number of Outfalls:	1
Outfall / Type:	001 / Ash Monofill
Effluent Type:	Industrial – Petroleum Coke Fly Ash
Mixing Zone:	None
Effluent Limits:	None
Fact Sheet Date:	June 10, 2019
Prepared By:	Chris Boe

1.0 PERMIT INFORMATION

DEQ authorizes placement of petroleum coke ash at an existing monofill located near Warren. This fact sheet provides the basis for DEQ's decision to continue monitoring and best management practice (BMPs) requirements at the Yellowstone Energy Limited Partnership (YELP) Ash Monofill. DEQ issues Montana Ground Water Pollution Control System (MGWPCS) permits for a period of five years.

The MGWPCS permit is for the ash monofill located near Warren. YELP also maintains the following permits and authorizations for their electricity generating facility in Billings that generates the petroleum coke ash byproduct:

- Montana Pollution Discharge Elimination System (MPDES) Individual Surface Water discharge permit, MT0030180;
- MPDES General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Industrial Activity, MTR000364; and,
- Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP), 2650-08.

1.1 PERMIT HISTORY

On August 23, 1991, the State of Montana issued a new MGWPCS permit to Billings Generation, Inc. The permit established: ash monitoring, ground water monitoring, fugitive dust emission BMPs, rehabilitation procedures, and sedimentation and erosion control BMPs.

On October 17, 1996, DEQ reissued the permit and transferred ownership to YELP.

DEQ administratively continued the existing permit on March 25, 2003, and June 06, 2011.

On April 12, 2013, DEQ reissued the permit with a ground water monitoring emphasis on the shallow portion of the underlying water table.

1.3 APPLICATION

On December 22, 2017, DEQ received notice of the permittee's intent to renew their existing permit. DEQ determined that the respective application was complete, and administratively continued permit coverage on January 16, 2018.

1.4 CHANGES TO THIS PERMIT

DEQ received reports from the permittee in regards to dry well conditions at the existing MW-6 monitoring well, and an obstruction within the MW-7 monitoring well. After a reanalysis of current shallow ground water conditions, DEQ will require the replacement and deepening of these wells in order to best ensure long-term monitoring and data collection (**Section 6**).

DEQ reanalyzed the Parameters of Interest (POIs) for this permit based on long-term ash and ground water monitoring results, research, and regulations (**Section 3**). This has resulted in a slight modification to the ash, underdrain, and ground water monitoring requirements (**Section 5**).

DEQ will require monitoring and reporting standard operating procedures (SOPs) to be created or updated for quality assurance purposes (**Section 6**). DEQ has updated the reporting requirements and methods to provide for data quality control (**Section 5**).

2.0 FACILITY INFORMATION

2.1 LOCATION

The existing monofill site is located in the southwest foothills of the Pryor Mountains, 4.5 miles northeast of Warren on Quarry Road (Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3, Figure 4).







Base aerial photo from http://svc.mt.gov/msl/mtcadastral/layout.aspx?8



2.2 OPERATIONS

System operations are summarized in **Table 1**, and the water hydration line diagram is provided in **Figure 5**.

Table 1: Operations Summary
Collection
Contributing Sources of Wastewater: Industrial Standard Industrial Code(s) (SIC) of contributing sources: 2999-Petroleum Coke Fly Ash and Bottom Ash; generated from 4911-Electrical Services.
Treatment
None
Sampling/Monitoring
Ash: Combined fly ash and bottom ash samples are collected prior to hydration.
Disposal and Cementation Operation
Disposal Site: Outfall 001/Petroleum Coke Ash Monofill
Method of Disposal: Fly ash and bottom ash is a byproduct of the petroleum coke-fueled combustion process at the Yellowstone Energy Limited Partnership's electrical generating power plant in Billings. The ash is transported and deposited by truck on top of the existing monofill site that is located in the foothills of the Pryor Mountains, northeast of Warren. Each load of ash is hydrated with approximately 9,000 gallons of water by on-site water tender trucks. Hydration triggers the pozzolanic reaction of the ash's calcium hydroxide and siliceous/aluminous materials resulting in cementation.
Location: SE 1/4, Section 24, Township 08 South, Range 25 East NE 1/4, Section 25, Township 08 South, Range 25 East Carbon County Latitude: 45.12015°, Longitude: -108.60194°
Ash Disposal Rates: Design rate of 120,000 tons/year, with an average rate of 107,000 tons/year.

MTX000061 YELP	
Figure 5 Line Diagram	

(2018 Application)

Line Drawing of Water Application at the YELP Ash Pit



2.3 GEOLOGY

The ash monofill is situated in the southwest foothills of the Pryor Mountains. The Pryor Mountains lie in the rain shadow cast by the Beartooth Mountains to the west. While topographically distinct from the Bighorn Mountains, the Pryor Mountains represent the northwest extension of the Bighorn structural uplift (Blackstone, 1975). The Pryor Mountains, formed by the erosion of uplifted limestone, began as large limestone blocks that were faulted and uplifted during the late Cretaceous period. Five tilted fault blocks compose the Pryor Mountains, with each block being uplifted highest at its northeast corner (Blackstone, 1975). Block boundaries include faults, and faulted or unfaulted folds (Van Gosen et al., 1996). The Pryor Mountains define a broad-topped uplift having steeply dipping or faulted flanks (Blackstone, 1940). The Tensleep Sandstone, Amsden Formation, and Madison Group are widely exposed on the crest of the uplift; steeply dipping Triassic and Jurassic rocks form the eastern flank (Mapel et al., 1975). The North Pryor (or Nye-Bowler lineament) fault abruptly terminates the uplift at its north end; the maximum displacement on this fault is 2,000 feet (Mapel et al., 1975). The surficial rocks on the southwest flanks of both the Big Pryor and East Pryor Mountain blocks dip gently to the southwest forming an incised dip slope. These slopes consist mainly of Madison Group and dip 5-8° southwesterly into the Bighorn basin (Van Gosen et al., 1996). The ash monofill site is located in this general area.

The ash monofill is in a small, steep (side slopes ranging from 10-70%) coulee incised into the Madison Formation (limestone and dolomitic limestone). The Amsden Formation (red shale and cherty to sandy limestone) starts near the base of the monofill trending to the southwest (down drainage) and overlying the Madison. The Madison Group in the vicinity of the ash monofill is 700 to 750 feet thick and forms cliffs and broad dip slopes on the flanks and crests of the Bighorn and Pryor Mountains (Mapel et al., 1975). The upper part of the Madison Group is the source of much of the high-purity limestone used in Montana (Chelini, 1965).



2.4 HYDROGEOLOGY

The Madison Group is the major water-bearing aquifer unit in the region (Keller, 2011). The ash monofill overlies the Madison aquifer on the southwest flank of the Pryor Mountains. The Madison aquifer is a heterogeneous and anisotropic fractured limestone aquifer deposited during the Mississippian subperiod of the Paleozoic era. Regional tectonics in the area has deformed the original depositional orientation of the strata in the area. The assumed recharge of Madison aquifer is from the area of the southwest Pryor Mountains (Envirocon, 1995).

Table 2: Hydrog	Table 2: Hydrogeologic Site Information										
Mixing Zone	None. A discharge of pollutants to statewaters has not been authorized and is not expected.										
Ground Water Flow Direction	Azimuth/bearing: S30°W Estimated using on-site well logs.										
Geology	The Madison Formation (limestone and dolomitic limestone) underlies the region and serves as the basement for the ash monofill. The Amsden Formation (red shale and cherty to sandy limestone) starts near the base of the monofill trending to the southwest (down drainage) and overlying the Madison. Surficial alluvial and/or colluvial deposits cover most of the facility area.										
Aquifer	The Madison Formation in and around the facility has a southwest strike and a 8° dip. This formation is the main source of groundwater in the region.										
Water/Monitoring Well Construction	Ground water monitoring is representative of the shallow water bearing zone within the top portion of the Madison Formation. Facility production water wells are constructed deeper into the Madison Formation.										
Thickness of Water Bearing Zones	The Madison Formation is estimated to generally be 700 to 750 feet thick. The Madison is overlain by the Amsden Formation immediately downgradient from the monofill. The Amsden is approximately 16 to 27 feet in and around the YELP field office.										

2.5 HYDROLOGY

The only surface water in the area of the YELP ash monofill is Piney Creek located approximately one mile to the south-southeast (**Figure 2**). Piney Creek is fed from Piney Spring where ground water discharges at a rate of approximately 100-1,000 gallons per day (gpd); Piney Spring is the only significant aquifer discharge point on southwest flank of the Pryor Mountains (Envirocon, 1995).

2.6 GROUND WATER MONITORING WELLS AND UNDERDRAIN INFORMATION

There are two monitoring wells associated with this permit, MW-6 and MW-7. They are constructed to be representative of shallow ground water found in the top portion of the Madison Formation. There are also three production wells used for ash rehydration that are finished deeper into the Madison. A summary of these wells is provided in **Table 3**. The well locations are shown on **Figure 3**. Well construction diagrams and lithologic details are attached as **Appendix A**.

An underdrain was built into the incised coulee that serves as the basement of the monofill. The collection and monitoring point of the underdrain is located at the base of the lowest downgradient point of the monofill **(Figure 3).** The underdrain collection point serves as the convergence point for potential monofill permeate. A summary is provided in **Table 3**.

Maintenance requirements for these monitoring locations is discussed in Section 6.

Table 3: Underdrain and Ground Water Well Summary
Underdrain
Historic Permit Name: MW4A
Use: Monitoring
Permit Status: Active
Location: Located at the base of the ash monofill.
Latitude: 45.11750 Longitude: -108.60432
Drain Elevation: 5,140 feet (ft)-Mean Sea Level (MSL)
Representation: Convergence point for potential monofill permeate. The monofill underdrain is actively monitored for signs
of water.
Monitoring Well MW-6
Facility Name: BG-2
MBMG GWIC Id: Unassigned
Use: Monitoring of shallow ground water.
Permit Status: Active. Dry well conditions have been reported since 2016.
Location: Located in the ephemeral drainage, 635 ft downgradient and south of the monofill underdrain.
Latitude: 45.11578 Longitude: -108.60477
Representation: The well as finished represents shallow ground water within the top reach of the Madison Formation.
Unconfined conditions exist.
Casing Measuring Point Elevation: 5,106.6 ft-MSL
Height of Measuring Point: 2.5 ft above ground surface
Static Water Level: Since 2016, dry conditions have been common with the water table lower than 117 ft-below
ground surface (ft-bgs). Prior to this the water table was on average 104 ft-bgs (4,999 ft-MSL)
with a high of 86 ft-bgs (5.017 ft-MSL).
Total Depth Elevation: 117 ft-bgs (4.986 ft-MSL)
Monitoring Well: MW-7
Facility Name: BG-3
MBMG GWIC Id: Unassigned
Use: Monitoring of shallow ground water.
Permit Status: Active. An obstruction preventing access to the shallow water table was reported on September of 2018. From
September of 2017 through 2018, only one sample has been collected.
Location: Located above the ephemeral drainage, 540 ft sidegradient and east of the monofill underdrain.
Latitude: 45.11750 Longitude: -108.60222
Representation: The well as finished represents shallow ground water within the top portion of the Madison Formation
Inconfined conditions exist
Cosing Massuring Boint Elevation: 5 212 6 ft MSI
Light of Macquing Doint 2.2 ft above ground surface
Static Water Layal Elevation. A recent electricition has prevented continual measurements. Earlier monitoring of the
state water Level Elevation. A fecent obstruction has prevented continual measurements. Earlier monitoring of the water table was on average 177 ft bas (5.022 ft MSL) with a bigh of 160 ft bas (5.050 ft MSL)
Total Depth Elevation: 105 ft bas (5.015 ft MSL)
Weter Well, DC 4
Water Well: BC-4
MBMC CWIC : 4, 140250
MBMG GWIC Id: 149559
Use. On-she water production for ash renyuration. Fernitt sampling requirements were discontinued in 2015.
I again Josef the VELP field office in the enhanceral drainage downgradient and approximately 400 ft couth of
the monofill underdrain
Latitude: 45 11618 Longitude: 108 60/12
Representation: Confined. The well is finished 120 to 220 ft into the Madison Formation that underlies the Amsden Formation
and surficial colluvial and alluvial deposits.
Surface Elevation: 5,117 ft-MSL
Static Water Level Elevation: 5,020 ft-MSL

Completion/Perforation Elevation: 4,898-4,998 ft-MSL (TD: 220 ft-bgs)

Table 3: Underdrain and Ground Water Well Summary - Continued
Woter Wall, DG 5
Water Well, BO-5 Historia Dermit Name: MW1A (manifolded with PC 4)
MDMC CWIC id, 159427
Uldrid Owic Id. 136427
Eagility Status: Active Constructed on June 28, 1006
Facility Status. Active. Constructed on June 20, 1990
constrained by 280 ft south of the monofill underdrain
Latitude, 45 11672 Langitude, 108 60420
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Representation: Confined. The well is finished 160 to 230 ft into the Madison Formation that underlies the Amsden Formation
and shallow colluvial and alluvial deposits.
Surface Elevation: 5,124 ft-MSL
Static Water Level Elevation: 5,044 ft-MSL
Completion/Perforation Elevation: 4,895-4,964 ft-MSL (TD: 230 ft-bgs)
Water Well: 2002 YELP Well
MBMG GWIC id: 195710
Use: On-site water production for ash rehydration. No permit monitoring requirements.
Facility Status: Active. Constructed on March 09, 2002.
Location: Located near MW-6, in the ephemeral drainage downgradient of the monofill, approximately 730 ft southwest of the
underdrain.
Latitude: 45.11547 Longitude: -108.60498
Representation: Confined. The well is finished 140 to 220 ft into the Madison Formation that underlies the Amsden Formation
and shallow colluvial and alluvial deposits.
Surface Elevation: 5,102 ft-MSL (TD: 220 ft-bgs)
Static Water Level Elevation: 4,975 ft-MSL
Completion/Perforation Elevation: 4,882-4,962 ft-MSL
Water Well: Big Horn Limestone Wells
MBMG Names and GWIC Ids:
Big Horn Limestone Well (274484)
Montana Limestone Co. Well (161427, 204598, 285956)
Weaver Construction Well (105566, 8042)
Permit Use: None. The permit monitoring requirements for 274484 were discontinued in 2013.
Status: Well 285956 was installed in 2015 and is currently being used by the new quarry office building. Well 274484 was
abandoned on June 14, 2013 to make way for the new building. Well 105566 and 8042 have incomplete records and may be
the same well. Status of the other wells are unknown.
Location: The wells are located around the neighboring quarry building complex located approximately 1,000 ft southeast of
the monofill underdrain.
Representation: The wells are finished in the Madison Formation.
Name: Piney Spring
Historic Permit Name: MW3A
MBMG GWIC id: 215249
Permit Use: Inactive
Status: Perennial flowing spring. Permit monitoring requirements were discontinued in 2013.
Location: Located in the pinev creek drainage, 4.900 ft to the southeast of the monofill underdrain.
Latitude: 45.10579 Longitude: -108.59487
Spring Elevation: 5.020 ft-MSL
Representation: Potential ground water drainage from the Madison Formation. A high volume spring flowing at approximately
100-1000 gpm.

2.7 MONITORING INFORMATION

The permittee monitored and reported the characteristics of ash, underdrain, and shallow ground water during the 2013 permit cycle. A summary of the monitoring efforts are provided in **Table 4**. Side-by-side sample results are provided in **Table 5**. Additional statistical information on ground water sampling results are also provided in **Appendix B**.

Table 4: Mo	nitoring Information
Monitor Source	Representation
Ash	Combined fly ash and bottom ash samples were collected prior to hydration. The results are provided in Table 5. The 2013 permit required annual ash spectrometry (EPA Method 6010C) and ash extraction sampling (ASTM D3987-06). The period of record is from September 2013 through December 2018. Two additional ash spectrometry samples (Method 6010B) were submitted with application materials.
TT 1 1 ·	The 2013 permit required quarterly monitoring of the underdrain located at the base of the ash monofill. The permittee reported no discharge for all monitoring events during the period of record from September 2013 through December 2018.
Underdrain	DEQ will require individual observation records be maintained in the next permit cycle. An on-site visit by DEQ on 10/10/2017 documented no indications of water moving through or accumulating at or around the underdrain.
Crown d Water	The permit requires quarterly downgradient monitoring of the shallow ground water table. Sample data is not available from January 2016 through December 2018 (except 2nd quarter of 2018). The permittee has indicated that these no sample events were a result of dry well conditions. The period of record is from September 2013 through December 2018.
MW-6	The permit requires the permittee to maintain viable monitoring wells for the purpose of collecting long-term ground water samples. Special conditions have been established to address the dry/obstructed well conditions (Section 6).
	Nondetect (ND) levels are displayed for the parameters with unknown laboratory reporting levels. The permit requires quarterly downgradient monitoring of the shallow ground water table. Sample data is not available during: the first and fourth quarter of 2017; and the first, third, and fourth quarter of 2018. The permittee has indicated that these no sample events were a result of dry well
Ground Water MW-7	conditions. On September 10, 2018, the permittee reported a failure with their pump equipment which may have resulted in an obstructed well. The period of record is from September 2013 through December 2018.
	The permit requires the permittee to maintain viable monitoring wells for the purpose of collecting representative ground water samples. Special conditions have been established to address the dry/obstructed well conditions (Section 6).
	Nondetect (ND) levels are displayed for the parameters with unknown laboratory reporting levels.

Table 5: Sample Results						
I		Ash	Underdrain	Ground V	Vater	Ground
Analyte/Measurement	units	ASTM D3987-06 EPA Method 6010B EPA Method 6010C EPA Method 6020		MW-6	MW-7	Water Human Health Standard
		average		average	average	Ground Water Human Health Standard 75 12 JD 1 40 90 JD 1 .66 .76 .76 .76 .76 .76 .76 .76 .76 .76 .77 <
Alkalinity, Total [as CaCO ₃]	mg/L	2354	dry	191	175	
Bicarbonate [as HCO ₃]	mg/L	ND	dry	233	212	
Carbonate [as CO ₃]	mg/L	83.4	dry	ND	ND	
Chloride [as Cl]	mg/L	411	dry	1	1	
Specific Conductivity @ 25°C	μS/cm	10940	dry	403	340	
Hardness, Iotal [as $CaCO_3$]	mg/L	4408	dry	226	190	
Hydroxide [as OH]	mg/L	/53	dry	ND	ND	
Nitrogen, Nitrite + Nitrate Total [as N]	mg/L	NID	dry	0.(1	0.((
Nitrogen, Total [as N]	mg/L	ND		0.61	0.66	
Ull & Grease	mg/L	12.2	dur	7.60	776	
Phoephorus [as P O]	8.u.	0.017	dry	/.09	/./0	
Solids total dissolved [TDS]	70 by weight	0.017		226	186	
Solids, total suspended	mg/L		dru	220	100	
Sulfate [as S]	mg/L mg/I	1925	ury			
Sulfate, Total [as SO.]	mg/L mg/I	1723	drv	25	7	
Sulfur. Total	% by weight	NR	ury	2.3	1	
Sulfur [as SO ₂]	% by weight	11.8				
Sulfur [as SO ₄]	% by weight	NR				
Tomportura	°C					
Well depth	ft hmn					
Total production mass	tons/waar	107765				
Static Water Level (SWI)	ft hmp	107703				
Static Water Level (SWL)	ft-ollip			dmum to 5.017	5024	
				ury up to 5,017	5054	
Aluminum, I otal [as Al]	mg/L	0.52				
Aluminum [as Al_2O_3]	% by weight	0.52	1	ND	ND	0.010
Arsenic, I otal [as As]	mg/L	< 0.0005	dry	ND	ND 0.1	0.010
Barlum, Total [as Ba]	mg/L	29.5	dry	ND	0.1	1.0
Barlum [as BaO]	% by weight	0.004	dur	ND	ND	
Calaium, Total [as Ca]	mg/L	ND 1760	dry	ND 57	50	
Calcium [as CaO]	% by weight	1700	ury	57	30	
Chromium Total [as Cr]	70 by weight	45.5				0.10
Copper Total [as Cu]	mg/L					1.3
Iron Total [as Fe]	mg/L					1.5
Iron [as Fe ₂ O ₂]	% by weight	0.33				
I ead Total [as Ph]	mg/L	ND	drv	ND	ND	
Magnesium Total [as Mg]	mg/L	<0.005	dry	20	16	
Magnesium [as MgO]	% by weight	0.29	u	20	10	
Manganese. Total [as Mn]	mg/L	0.25				
Manganese [as MnO ₂]	% by weight	0.06				
Mercury, Total [as Hg]	mg/L	ND	drv	ND	ND	0.000005
Molybdenum, Total [as Mo]	mg/L	24	dry	ND	ND	
Nickle, Total	mg/L					
Potassium, Total [as K]	mg/L	ND				
Potassium [as K ₂ O]	% by weight	0.097				
Selenium, Total [as Se]	mg/L	0.02	dry	ND	ND	
Silicon [as SiO ₂]	% by weight	0.075				
Silver, Total [as Ag]	mg/L	ND				
Sodium, Total [as Na]	mg/L	6.9	dry	1	1	
Sodium [as Na ₂ O]	% by weight	0.043				
Strontium, Total [as Sr]	mg/L	119.5	dry	0.03	ND	4.0
Strontium [as SrO]	% by weight	0.011				
	% by weight	0.0084				
Titanium [as TiO ₂]						
Titanium [as TiO ₂] Vanadium, Total [as V]	mg/L	988.5	dry	ND	ND	
Titanium [as TiO ₂] Vanadium, Total [as V] Zinc, Total [as Zn]	mg/L mg/L	988.5 18.5	dry dry	ND ND	ND ND	2.0

ft-bmp: feet below measuring point MSL: mean sea level s.u.: standard units

2.8 FUGITIVE DUST CONTROL

The 1991 Permit and Environmental Assessment identified ash hauling and disposal as a potential impact on the physical environment. The DEQ precursor agency (Department of Health and Environmental Sciences) therefore required mitigation measures in the form of BMPs to control fugitive dust emissions. During a site visit in 2017, DEQ confirmed that the permittee is still actively using BMPs (DEQ, 2017). DEQ will continue to require dust control as a permit condition.

2.9 EROSION CONTROL

The 1991 Permit established permit requirements for the active control of on-site erosion and sedimentation. DEQ will continue these BMP requirements.

2.10 REHABILITATION

The 1991 Permit and Environmental Assessment identified the potential long term impacts of creating an ash monofill. The permittee must create and maintain plans for rehabilitation procedures prior to the creation of an additional monofill, or the major modification of the existing monofill. The design and implementation of rehabilitation procedures must include the placement of a surficial soil cap and the establishment of a native vegetation community. Post-rehabilitation requirements include: ground water and surface water monitoring, erosion control measures, and the successful establishment of a native vegetative cover. Post-rehabilitation monitoring shall be continued until approved and terminated by DEQ.

3.0 WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

The Montana Numeric Water Quality Standards Circular DEQ-7 document includes the Ground Water Human Health Standards associated with development of this permit. These standards are respectively listed in **Table 5** for each analyte as applicable. The standards along with long-term ash and ground water monitoring, research, and additional regulations (see **References**) were used by DEQ to reanalyze the POIs for this permit. The updated POIs are listed in **Table 6**. The POIs are used within the monitoring requirements of **Section 5**.

Table 6: Parameters of Interest
Analyte
Chloride [as Cl]
Specific Conductivity @ 25°C
Hydroxide [as OH]
рН
Solids, total dissolved [TDS]
Sulfate, Total [as SO ₄]
Sulfur, Total
Sulfur [as SO ₃]
Sulfur [as SO ₄]
Aluminum, Total [as Al]
Aluminum [as Al ₂ O ₃]
Arsenic, Total [as As]
Barium, Total [as Ba]
Barium [as BaO]
Chromium, Total [as Cr]
Copper, Total [as Cu]
Iron, Total [as Fe]
Iron [as Fe ₂ O ₃]
Manganese, Total [as Mn]
Manganese [as MnO ₂]
Mercury, Total [as Hg]
Molybdenum, Total [as Mo]
Strontium, Total [as Sr]
Strontium [as SrO]
Vanadium, Total [as V]
Zinc, Total [as Zn]

4.0 MIXING ZONE

A mixing zone has not been established as discharge of pollutants to state waters is not authorized under this permit.

5.0 MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

In compliance with the Montana Water Quality Act, ash, underdrain, and ground water monitoring will be continued as a condition of this permit. A discharge of pollutants to state waters is not authorized. This is not a traditional facility with end-of-pipe discharge structures that direct wastewater into state waters, but rather an ash monofill (**Section 2**). Therefore, last-point of control wastewater limitations are not feasible for this facility. DEQ will continue the monitoring requirements first established in 1991 to characterize the ash, and to monitor for any potential leeching.

All monitoring and sampling required by this permit must be representative of the nature of the monitored media. The permit therefore identifies specific monitoring locations (**Table 3**), and requires monitoring SOPs that are based on BMPs (**Section 6**).

Reporting requirements have been adjusted to collect and analyze:

- Operational status information;
- Non-detect and low-detection sample results;
- Dry-well monitoring well conditions; and,
- Underdrain observations.

Monitoring and reporting requirements are provided in Table 7, Table 8, Table 9, and Table 10.

Table 7: Ash Sampling H	Requiremen	ts - Combiı	ned Coke Fly A	sh and Bot	tom Ash										
Sampling Location	n: Prior to Hyd	ration and Dis	sposal												
Sampling Frequer	ncy: Annually														
Required Laborate	orv Method: AS	STM D3987-0	6 for all parameters	except EPA N	fethod 6010C fo	or the % by y	veight measurer	ments ⁽¹⁾							
Ash Departing	Poquiromo	$nts^{(2)}$	• ••• ••• ••• ••••												
Asii Keporting	Keyun enle	lits Jual Camela D	agulta through Torr	n of the Cummer	at Dommit Cruele										
			lesuits inrough Terr	n of the Curren	nt Permit Cycle	(3)									
Statistical Summa	ry Report of al	I Individual R	esults through Tern	n of the Currer	it Permit Cycle	1									
Report Action Da	te: To be Upda	ated Annually	on January 1st thro	ugh the Term	of the Permit C	ycle.									
	Each Annt	lai Report mu	st be received by D	EQ on or belo	re January 28th		<u>```</u>		r						
			Indiv	idual Sample	Record (Repe	at as Necess	ary)			1	Permit Cy	ycle Statistica	I Summary		1
Analyte/Measurement	Units	Sample Collection Date	Operational during sampling period? (y/n)	Lab Result ⁽⁴⁾	Laboratory Reporting Level	Non- Detect? (y/n)	Laboratory Method	Laboratory Qualifier Code(s) ⁽⁵⁾	Count of Samples Collected	Minimum	Lab I Average	Results Median	Maximum	Count of Non-detects	Average Laboratory Reporting Level
Chloride [as Cl]	mg/L		())						ł					<u> </u>	
Specific Conductivity @ 25°C	uS/cm													+	
Hydroxide [as OH]	mg/L														
pH	s.u.														
Solids, total dissolved [TDS]	mg/L														
Sulfate, Total [as SO ₄]	mg/L														
Sulfur, Total	% by weight													1	
Sulfur [as SO ₃]	% by weight														
Sulfur [as SO ₄]	% by weight														
Total production - mass	tons/year														
Aluminum, Total [as Al]	mg/L														
Aluminum [as Al ₂ O ₃]	% by weight														
Arsenic, Total [as As]	mg/L														
Barium, Total [as Ba]	mg/L														
Barium [as BaO]	% by weight														
Chromium, Total [as Cr]	mg/L														
Copper, Total [as Cu]	mg/L														
Iron, Total [as Fe]	mg/L														
Iron [as Fe ₂ O ₃]	% by weight														
Manganese, Total [as Mn]	mg/L														
Manganese [as MnO ₂]	% by weight														
Mercury, Total [as Hg]	mg/L														
Molybdenum, Total [as Mo]	mg/L														
Strontium, Total [as Sr]	mg/L													ļ	
Strontium [as SrO]	% by weight													ļ	
Vanadium, Total [as V]	mg/L													<u> </u>	
Zinc, Total [as Zn]	mg/L													<u> </u>	
Footnotes:															

s.u.: standard units

Submittal of reports will be required regardless of the operational status of the facility.

(1) The listed laboratory analytical methods must be used unless written approval by DEQ is received.

(2) The permittee may create their own report in a format that suits their operational and reporting needs. It must however contain all data inputs as shown above and in the respective permit condition. All submitted data must be in a digital format and the report must be queryable (e.g. excel table). Report submittals directly to the MGWPCS Program Lead via email will be accepted.

(3) Each submitted report must cumulate all samples collected to date, starting with the permit effective date and continuing through the term of the permit.

(4) For nondetects, the laboratory reporting level must be entered in as the respective lab result.

(5) Laboratory qualifiers are not common, leave blank if none. Attach a description of all listed codes if any.

Table 8: Underdrain Monitoring Requirements

Sampling Frequency: Quarterly

Required Laboratory Method: 40 CFR 136⁽¹⁾

Underdrain Reporting Requirements⁽²⁾

Cumulative Record of all Individual Observation and Sampling Results through Term of the Current Permit Cycle

Statistical Summary Report of all Individual Results through Term of the Current Permit Cycle⁽³⁾

Report Action Date: To be Updated Annually on January 1st through the Term of the Permit Cycle.

Each Annual Danaut m wat he manified by DEO on on hefere Ia

Each Annual Report must be received by DEQ on or before January 28th.																			
		Individual O	bservation Re	cord (Repeat as Necessary)		Individual Sample Record (Repeat as Necessary)							Permit Cycle Statistical Summary						
Analyte/Measurement	Units	Observation Date	Dry Underdrain Conditions? (y/n)	Monitoring Observation Notes ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	Sample Collection Date	Lab Result ⁽⁶⁾	Laboratory Reporting Level	Non- Detect? (y/n)	Laboratory Method	Laboratory Qualifier Code(s) ⁽⁷⁾	Count of Samples Collected	Count of Dry Underdrain Occurrences	Minimum	Lab F Average	Aesults Median	Maximum	Count of Non- detects	Average Laboratory Reporting Level	
Chloride [as Cl]	mg/L																		
Specific Conductivity @ 25°C	μS/cm																		
Hydroxide [as OH]	mg/L																		
pH	s.u.																		
Solids, total dissolved [TDS]	mg/L																		
Sulfate, Total [as SO ₄]	mg/L																		
Sulfur, Total	% by weight																		
Sulfur [as SO ₃]	% by weight																		
Sulfur [as SO ₄]	% by weight																		
Temperature	°C																		
Aluminum, Total [as Al]	mg/L																		
Aluminum [as Al ₂ O ₃]	% by weight																		
Arsenic, Total [as As]	mg/L																		
Barium, Total [as Ba]	mg/L																		
Barium [as BaO]	% by weight																		
Chromium, Total [as Cr]	mg/L																		
Copper, Total [as Cu]	mg/L																		
Iron, Total [as Fe]	mg/L																		
Iron [as Fe ₂ O ₃]	% by weight																		
Manganese, Total [as Mn]	mg/L																		
Manganese [as MnO ₂]	% by weight																		
Mercury, Total [as Hg]	mg/L																		
Molybdenum, Total [as Mo]	mg/L																		
Strontium, Total [as Sr]	mg/L																		
Strontium [as SrO]	% by weight																	ļ	
Vanadium, Total [as V]	mg/L																		
Zinc, Total [as Zn]	mg/L																		

Footnotes:

s.u.: standard units

Monitoring and Reporting will be required regardless of the operational status of the facility or of the condition of the underdrain. Footnote 5 further discusses sample requirements.

(1) The listed laboratory analytical method must be used unless written approval by DEQ is received.

(2) The permittee may create their own report in a format that suits their operational and reporting needs. It must however contain all data inputs as shown above and in the respective permit condition.

All submitted data must be in a digital format and the report must be queryable (e.g. excel table). Report submittals directly to the MGWPCS Program Lead via email will be accepted.

(3) Each submitted report must cumulate all observations and samples collected to date, starting with the permit effective date and continuing through the term of the permit.

(4) Provide a summary of field note observations for each individual monitoring event. The notes must provide site-specific reasoning as to how dry or wet underdrain conditions were determined.

(5) If wet underdrain conditions are observed, the permittee must determine and document whether this water originated from under the monofill or from another source. A sample is only required if the water originated from under the monofill. (6) For nondetects, the laboratory reporting level must be entered in as the respective lab result.

7) Laboratory qualifiers are not common, leave blank if none. Attach a description of all listed codes if any.

Table 9: Ground Water Monitoring Requirements for MW-6

Sampling Frequency: Quarterly

Required Laboratory Method: 40 CFR 136⁽¹⁾

Ground Water Reporting Requirements⁽²⁾

Cumulative Record of all Individual Monitoring and Sample Results through Term of the Current Permit Cycle

Statistical Summary Report of all Individual Results through Term of the Current Permit Cycle⁽³⁾

Report Action Date: To be Undated Annually on January 1st through the Term of the Permit Cycle

	Each Ann	ual Report mu	ist be received	by DEQ on or	before January	28th.			-								
Analyte/Measurement			Inc	lividual Samp	le Record (Rej	peat as Nece	essary)	1	Permit Cycle Statistical Summary								
	Units	Sample Collection Date	Dry-Well Conditions? (y/n)	Lab Result ⁽⁴	4) Laboratory Reporting Level	Non- Detect? (y/n)	Laboratory Method	Laboratory Qualifier Code(s) ⁽⁵⁾	Count of Samples Collected	Count of Dry-Well Occurrences	Minimum	Lab Average	Results Median	Maximum	Count of Non-detects	Average Laboratory Reporting Level	
Chloride [as Cl]	mg/L																
Specific Conductivity @ 25°C	μS/cm																
Hydroxide [as OH]	mg/L																
pH	s.u.																
Solids, total dissolved [TDS]	mg/L																
Sulfate, Total [as SO ₄]	mg/L																
Sulfur, Total	% by weight																
Sulfur [as SO ₃]	% by weight																
Sulfur [as SO ₄]	% by weight																
Temperature	°C																
Well depth	ft-bmp																
Static Water Level (SWL)	ft-bmp																
Static Water Level (SWL)	ft-MSL																
Aluminum, Total [as Al]	mg/L																
Aluminum [as Al ₂ O ₃]	% by weight																
Arsenic, Total [as As]	mg/L																
Barium, Total [as Ba]	mg/L																
Barium [as BaO]	% by weight																
Chromium, Total [as Cr]	mg/L																
Copper, Total [as Cu]	mg/L																
Iron, Total [as Fe]	mg/L																
Iron [as Fe ₂ O ₃]	% by weight																
Manganese, Total [as Mn]	mg/L																
Manganese [as MnO ₂]	% by weight																
Mercury, Total [as Hg]	mg/L																
Molybdenum, Total [as Mo]	mg/L																
Strontium, Total [as Sr]	mg/L																
Strontium [as SrO]	% by weight																
Vanadium, Total [as V]	mg/L																
Zinc, Total [as Zn]	mg/L																
Footnotes:																	

ootnotes:

ft-bmp: feet below measuring

ft-MSL: feet above mean sea

s.u.: standard units

Monitoring and Reporting will be required regardless of the operational status of the facility or of the condition of the monitoring well.

(1) The listed laboratory analytical method must be used unless written approval by DEQ is received.

(2) The permittee may create their own report in a format that suits their operational and reporting needs. It must however contain all data inputs as shown above and in the respective permit condition. All submitted data must be in a digital format and the report must be queryable (e.g. excel table). Report submittals directly to the MGWPCS Program Lead via email will be accepted.

(3) Each submitted report must cumulate all monitoring events and samples collected to date, starting with the permit effective date and continuing through the term of the permit.

(4) For nondetects, the laboratory reporting level must be entered in as the respective lab result.

(5) Laboratory qualifiers are not common, leave blank if none. Attach a description of all listed codes if any.

Table 10: Ground Water Monitoring Requirements for MW-7

Sampling Frequency: Quarterly

Required Laboratory Method: 40 CFR 136⁽¹⁾

Ground Water Reporting Requirements⁽²⁾

Cumulative Record of all Individual Monitoring and Sample Results through Term of the Current Permit Cycle

Statistical Summary Report of all Individual Results through Term of the Current Permit Cycle⁽³⁾

Report Action Date: To be Updated Annually on January 1st through the Term of the Permit Cycle.

	Each Ann	ual Report mu	ist be received l	by DEQ on or	before January	28th.										
	Units	Individual Sample Record (Repeat as Necessary)								Pern	nit Cycle Stat	istical Summ	ary			
Analyte/Measurement		Units Sample Collection	Dry-Well Conditions?	-Well itions? Lab Result ⁽⁴⁾	Laboratory Reporting	Non- Detect?	Laboratory Method	Laboratory Qualifier	Count of Samples	Count of Dry-Well	Minimum		Results	Maximum	Count of	Average Laboratory Reporting
		Date	(y/n)		Level	(y/n)	Witthou	Code(s) ⁽⁵⁾	Collected	Occurrences	Willington	Average	Wittuan	Waxinum	i (on acteur	Level
Chloride [as Cl]	mg/L															
Specific Conductivity @ 25°C	μS/cm															
Hydroxide [as OH]	mg/L															
pH	s.u.															
Solids, total dissolved [TDS]	mg/L															
Sulfate, Total [as SO ₄]	mg/L															
Sulfur, Total	% by weight															
Sulfur [as SO ₃]	% by weight															
Sulfur [as SO ₄]	% by weight															
Temperature	°C															
Well depth	ft-bmp															
Static Water Level (SWL)	ft-bmp															
Static Water Level (SWL)	ft-MSL															
Aluminum, Total [as Al]	mg/L						Ι			1						
Aluminum [as Al ₂ O ₃]	% by weight															
Arsenic, Total [as As]	mg/L															
Barium, Total [as Ba]	mg/L															
Barium [as BaO]	% by weight															
Chromium, Total [as Cr]	mg/L															
Copper, Total [as Cu]	mg/L															
Iron, Total [as Fe]	mg/L															
Iron [as Fe ₂ O ₃]	% by weight															
Manganese, Total [as Mn]	mg/L															
Manganese [as MnO ₂]	% by weight															
Mercury, Total [as Hg]	mg/L															
Molybdenum, Total [as Mo]	mg/L															
Strontium, Total [as Sr]	mg/L															
Strontium [as SrO]	% by weight															
Vanadium, Total [as V]	mg/L															
Zinc, Total [as Zn]	mg/L															

Footnotes:

ft-bmp: feet below measuring

ft-MSL: feet above mean sea level

s.u.: standard units

Monitoring and reporting will be required regardless of the operational status of the facility or of the condition of the monitoring well.

(1) The listed laboratory analytical method must be used unless written approval by DEQ is received.

(2) The permittee may create their own report in a format that suits their operational and reporting needs. It must however contain all data inputs as shown above and in the respective permit condition.

All submitted data must be in a digital format and the report must be queryable (e.g. excel table). Report submittals directly to the MGWPCS Program Lead via email will be accepted.

(3) Each submitted report must cumulate all monitoring events and samples collected to date, starting with the permit effective date and continuing through the term of the permit.

(4) For nondetects, the laboratory reporting level must be entered in as the respective lab result.

(5) Laboratory qualifiers are not common, leave blank if none. Attach a description of all listed codes if any.

6.0 PERMIT CONDITIONS

6.1 LIMITATIONS

A discharge of pollutants to state waters is not authorized. Monitoring rationale is provided in **Section 5**.

6.2 Special Conditions - Ground Water Monitoring, Analysis, and Reporting Plan

The permittee is required to use BMPs in developing SOPs for sampling, analyzing, and reporting of ground water. The plan needs to be site-specific and result in monitoring and reporting that is representative of the nature of shallow ground water. The plan will need to provide for consistent identification, development, monitoring, sampling, recording, calculating, and reporting of the monitoring wells. The plan will also need to provide for guidance on determining and documenting dry-well occurrences.

The completion and submittal dates for the plan are listed in **Table 11**. The permittee will need to maintain the plan, monitoring well development records, and dry well occurrence records on-site at all times (representative sample). Ground water monitoring requirements are further discussed in **Section 5**.

6.3 Special Conditions - Underdrain Monitoring, Analysis, and Reporting Plan

The permittee is required to use BMPs in developing SOPs for monitoring, analyzing, and reporting of the underdrain. The plan needs to be site-specific and result in monitoring and reporting that is representative of the nature of the convergence point for potential monofill permeate. The plan will need to provide for consistent identification, monitoring, recording, and reporting of the underdrain.

The completion and submittal dates for the plan are listed in **Table 11**. The permittee will need to maintain the plan and underdrain monitoring records on-site at all times. Underdrain monitoring requirements are further discussed in **Section 5**.

6.4 SPECIAL CONDITIONS - MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION

DEQ received reports from the permittee in regards to dry well conditions at the existing MW-6 monitoring well, and an obstruction within the MW-7 monitoring well. After a reanalysis of current shallow ground water conditions, DEQ will require the replacement and deepening of these wells in order to best ensure long-term monitoring and data collection.

The permittee is required to submit a report documenting their proposed monitoring well installation and construction plans. The report must be received and approved by DEQ prior to construction. Unless otherwise approved by DEQ, the wells shall be constructed as follows:

• The MW-6 replacement well must be located in the immediate vicinity of the existing 1993 well and be constructed to represent shallow ground water from 90 to 160 feet below ground surface (ft-bgs). Perforated inner casing must be installed from total depth to approximately 95 ft-bgs and be supported with centralizers. An accompanying sand filter pack must be installed from total depth to approximately 90 ft-bgs. A bentonite seal must be placed from the top of the sand pack to ground surface. The inner casing shall be protected with a lockable riser casing. Well identification markings shall be kept on the underside of the riser casing cap.

• The MW-7 replacement well shall be located in the immediate vicinity of the existing 1993 well and be constructed to represent shallow ground water from 160 to 220 ft-bgs. Perforated inner casing must be installed from total depth to approximately 165 ft-bgs and be supported with centralizers. An accompanying sand filter pack must be installed from total depth to approximately 160 ft-bgs. A bentonite seal must be placed from the top of the sand pack to ground surface. The inner casing shall be protected with a lockable riser casing. Well identification markings shall be kept on the underside of the riser casing cap.

The permittee is required to submit a final written report documenting the installation of the MW-6 and MW-7 replacement monitoring wells. The report must include: drilling methods used; detailed lithologic description of borehole cuttings; the saturation level of the cuttings; well construction details and diagrams; water level measuring point details; surveyed ground surface locations; surveyed water level measuring point elevation; depth to the top contact of the first saturated ground water bearing zone; well development records; and depth to static water levels (post development). This information must be included for each respective monitoring well. The detail of the lithology description must be similar to the 1993 well logs created by Envirocon (**Appendix A**).

Upon approval by DEQ, the permittee shall commence monitoring of the MW-6 and MW-7 replacement wells and discontinue monitoring of the 1993 wells.

The planning, installation, reporting, and commencement due dates are listed in Table 11.

6.5 Special Conditions – Sampling Location Maintenance

The permittee is required to maintain and, if necessary, rehabilitate the underdrain (MW4A) for the purposes of collecting samples representative of any potential monofill permeate.

The permittee is required to monitor and collect representative ground water samples from the shallow aquifer located in the upper portion of the Madison Formation. If any of the monitoring wells are abandoned, destroyed, decommissioned, or non-viable; or are no longer able to be sampled due to obstructions or fluctuations in the ground water table; the permittee shall install (or rehab) a new well to replace the abandoned, destroyed, decommissioned, or non-viable well(s).

COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE

The actions listed in **Table 11** must be completed on or before the respective scheduled completion date. A report documenting each respective action must be received by DEQ on or before the scheduled reporting date. Unless otherwise states, completion of all actions or deliverables must be reported to DEQ in accordance with Part II.D and Part IV.G of the permit.

Table 11: Compliance Schedu	Table 11: Compliance Schedule											
Action	Freq.	Scheduled Completion Date of Action ⁽¹⁾	Scheduled Report Due Date. ⁽²⁾									
Develop and implement a site-specific Ground Water Monitoring, Analysis, and Reporting Plan. ⁽³⁾	Single event	Within 180 days of the effective date of the permit.	Due on or before the 28th day of the month following the completion date.									
Develop and implement a site-specific Underdrain Monitoring, Analysis, and Reporting Plan. ⁽³⁾	Single event	Within 180 days of the effective date of the permit.	Due on or before the 28th day of the month following the completion date.									
Continue monitoring of the 1993 MW- 6 and MW-7 monitoring wells. ⁽⁴⁾	-	Upon the effective date of the permit.										
Complete a Monitoring Well Installation Plan for the replacement of the MW-6 and MW-7 wells. ⁽³⁾	Single event	Within 90 days of the effective date of the permit.	Due on or before the 28th day of the month following the completion date.									
Complete the installation of the replacement MW-6 and MW-7 monitoring wells.	Single event	Within one (1) year of the effective date of the permit.	Due on or before the 28th day of the month following the completion date.									
Submit Monitoring Well Installation Report for the MW-6 and MW-7 replacement monitoring wells. ⁽³⁾	Single event	Within 13 months of the effective date of the permit.	Due on or before the 28th day of the month following the completion date.									
Commence monitoring and reporting of the newly installed MW-6 and MW- 7 monitoring wells.	Single event	Within 13 months of the effective date of the permit.	Due on or before the 28th day of the month following the completion date.									
Submit Annual Ground Water Monitoring Reports. ⁽⁵⁾	Annually	At the end of each calendar year through term of permit.	Annually on January 28th.									
Submit Annual Underdrain Monitoring Reports. ⁽⁵⁾	Annually	At the end of each calendar year through term of permit.	Annually on January 28th.									
Submit Annual Ash Sampling Reports . ⁽⁵⁾	Annually	At the end of each calendar year through term of permit.	Annually on January 28th.									

Footnotes:

(1) The actions must be completed on or before the scheduled completion dates.

(2) Reports must be received by DEQ on or before the scheduled report due dates. The reports must include all information as required for each applicable action permit condition.

(3) The completed plan (action), in place of a written report, must be received by DEQ on or before the scheduled report due date.

(4) Monitoring of the existing monitoring wells shall continue until DEQ confirms the successful replacement of the wells.

(5) Sampling and reporting requirements are listed in Section 5.

PUBLIC NOTICE

Legal notice information for water quality discharge permits are listed at the following website: <u>http://deq.mt.gov/Public/notices/wqnotices</u>. Public comments on this proposal are invited any time prior to close of business on August 14, 2019. Comments may be directed to:

DEQWPBPublicComments@mt.gov

or to:

Montana Department of Environmental Quality Water Protection Bureau PO Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620

All comments received or postmarked prior to the close of the public comment period will be considered in the formulation of the final permit. DEQ will respond to all substantive comments pertinent to this permitting action and may issue a final decision within thirty days of the close of the public comment period.

All persons, including the applicant, who believe any condition of the draft permit is inappropriate, or that DEQ's tentative decision to deny an application, terminate a permit, or prepare a draft permit is inappropriate, shall raise all reasonably ascertainable issues and submit all reasonably available arguments supporting their position by the close of the public comment period (including any public hearing). All public comments received for this draft permit will be included in the administrative record and will be available for public viewing during normal business hours.

Copies of the public notice are mailed to the applicant, state and federal agencies, and interested persons who have expressed interest in being notified of permit actions. A copy of the distribution list is available in the administrative record for this draft permit. Electronic copies of the public notice, draft permit, fact sheet, and draft environmental assessment are available at the following website: http://deq.mt.gov/Public/notices/wqnotices.

Any person interested in being placed on the mailing list for information regarding this permit may contact the DEQ Water Protection Bureau at (406) 444-5546 or email <u>DEQWPBPublicComments@mt.gov</u>. All inquiries will need to reference the permit number (MTX000061), and include the following information: name, address, and phone number.

During the public comment period provided by the notice, DEQ will accept requests for a public hearing. A request for a public hearing must be in writing and must state the nature of the issue proposed to be raised in the hearing.

References

40 CFR § 116.4. Designation of Hazardous Substances. 2011.

40 CFR § 136. Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants. 2011.

40 CFR § 423. Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Standards for the Steam Electric Power Generating Point Source Category. 2013.

Administrative Rules of Montana, Title 17, Chapter 30, Water Quality:

- Subchapter 2 Water Quality Permit Fees.
- Subchapter 5 Mixing Zones in Surface and Ground Water.
- Subchapter 7 Nondegradation of Water Quality.
- Subchapter 10 Montana Ground Water Pollution Control System.
- Subchapter 13 Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System.

ATSM. 2006. D3987-06 Standard Test Method for Shake Extraction of Solid Waste with Water. ASTM International. West Conshohocken, PA. DOI: 10.1520/D3987-06.

Beck, M.A., 2007. Leachate Chemistry of Mixtures of Fly Ash and Alkaline Coal Refuse. Virginia Tech, Department of Crop and Soil Environmental Sciences.

Bison Engineering, Inc., December 27, 2012. Combined Fly Ash Justification letter to Montana DEQ.

Blackstone, D. L., Jr. 1940. Structure of the Pryor Mountains, Montana. Journal of Geology. vol. 48, no. 6.

Blackstone, D.L., Jr. 1975. Geology of the East Pryor Mountain quadrangle, Carbon County, Montana. Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology Special Publication 69.

Brady, N.C. and R. R. Weil. 2004. Elements of the Nature and Properties of Soils 2nd Edition. Prentice Hall. Upper Saddle River, NJ.

Chelini, J. M. 1965. Limestone, dolomite, and travertine in Montana. Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology. Bulletin 44.

Crowley et al., 2017. Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology (MBMG), Principal Aquifers of Montana, MBMG Hydrogeologic Map 11.

Department of Environmental Quality, Water Quality Circulars:

- Circular DEQ-2 Design Standards for Wastewater Facilities.
- Circular DEQ-4 Montana Standards for On-Site Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems.
- Circular DEQ-7 Montana Numeric Water Quality Standards, Required Reporting Values, and Trigger Values.

Department of Environmental Quality, Compliance Inspection Report, Yellowstone Energy Limited Partnership, MTX000061. November 28, 2016.

Department of Environmental Quality, Site Visit Report, Yellowstone Energy Limited Partnership Ash Monofill, MTX000061. October 10, 2017.

Driscoll, F.G. 1986. Groundwater and Wells 2nd Edition. Johnson Division. St. Paul, Minnesota.

Envirocon, Inc. 1991. Billings Generation Incorporated Fly Ash Disposal Site Facility Design and Construction. June 12, 1991.

Envirocon, Inc. 1995. Billings Generation, Inc. Fly Ash Disposal Site, Warren, Montana. March 9, 1994.

Fetter, C.W., Applied Hydrogeology, 1994.

Freeze, R., and Cherry, J., Groundwater, 1979.

Henke, K.R. Trace Element Chemistry of Fly Ashes from Co-combusted Petroleum Coke and Coal. University of Kentucky, Center for Applied Energy Research.

Keller, L.K. 2011. Bighorn Canyon National Recreation Area: geologic resources inventory report. Natural Resource Report NPS/NRSS/GRD/NRR—2011/447. National Park Service. Fort Collins, CO.

Kendy, E. and R.E. Tresch. 1996. Geographic, Geologic, and Hydrologic Summaries of Intermontane Basins of the Northern Rocky Mountains, Montana. USGS Water-Resources Investigations Report: 96-4025.

Lee, J. 1997. Quality Analysis of Petroleum Cokes and Coals. Long Beach, CA, 90813.

Lopez, 2000. Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology. Geologic Map of the Bridger 30' x 60' Quadrangle. Geologic Map Series No. 58.

Mapel, W.J., Roby, R.N., Sarnecki, J.C., Sokaski, M., Bohor, B.F. and G. McIntyre. 1975. Status of Mineral Resource Information for the Crow Indian Reservation. Administrative report BIA-7.

Montana Code Annotated, Title 75, Chapter 5, Montana Water Quality Act, 2011.

Schafer and Associates. 1991. Reclamation Plan for the Billings Generation, Inc. Ash Disposal Site near Warren, Montana. June 10, 1991.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Effluent Limitation Guidelines, <u>http://water.epa.gov/scitech/wastetech/guide/</u>, 2013.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Guidance Manual for Developing Best Management Practices <u>http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/owm0274.pdf</u>>, 1993.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, NPDES Permit Writers' Manual, 833-K-10-001, September 2010.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, *Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Manual*, 625/R-00/008, Office of Research and Development and Office of Water. 2002b.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1991. Handbook of Suggested Practices for the Design and Installation of Ground-Water Monitoring Wells. EPA160014-891034. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, Las Vegas, NV.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1991. *Technical Support Document for Water Quality-Based Toxics Control* (TSD). EPA-505/2-90-001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, DC. www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/owm0264.pdf

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1996. Test Method 6010B, Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometry.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2007. Test Method Method 6010C Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometry.

U.S. Department of Interior. National Park Service. 2003. Bighorn Canyon National Recreation Area: Reclamation of Abandoned Uranium Exploration Sites, Environmental Assessment. May 2003.

U.S. Geological Survey, Basic Ground Water Hydrology, <u>http://pubs.usgs.gov/wsp/2220/report.pdf</u>, 2016.

U.S. Geological Survey, Groundwater Basics, <u>http://water.usgs.gov/ogw/basics.html</u>, 2016.

Woessner, W., Troy, T., Ball, P. and D.C. DeBorde. 1998. Virus Transport in the Capture Zone of a Well Penetrating a High Hydraulic Conductivity Aquifer Containing a Preferential

Van Gosen, B.S., Wilson, A.B., and J.M. Hammarstrom. 1996. Mineral resource assessment of the Custer National Forest in the Pryor Mountains, Carbon County, south-central Montana. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 96-256.

Vuke et al., Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Geologic Map of Montana, Geologic Map 62, 2007.

APPENDIX A – WELL CONSTRUCTION DIAGRAMS

BG-2 MW-6	EN#IROCON WELL LOG Page 1_of 2_						
Project: BCI	Owner: BG						
Location: WARREN MT	Project No: 1107 Diameter						
Date Drilled: 11 /9 /93	Total Depth: 117' BCl Initial Wile 75.5' (78.0' 7 (500)						
Surface Flave - 5100'	Elevation TOC: 5100' Slot Size: 020"						
Screen Dig: 2"	Length: $20'$ Type: SCH 40 RVC						
Casing Dia: 2"	Length: 100'						
Drilling Co: ADT	Drilling Method: AIR ROTARY						
Driller: ROSS FHNFR	Logged By JM MICHALETZ						
WAYNE JEWETT	Logged by. We MIGHTLE 12						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
DEPTH WELL SPT (ft) CONST. (blows/ft)	PID SAMPLE GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION/SOIL CLASSIFICATION READING NUMBER LOG (Color, Texture, Structures)						
5 10 15 20 25 30	Lt. brown/red sandy, clayey SILT. NOTE: Imst. begins at 6' BGL It. grey, fractured, continue same to 12.5'. Change to white/It. grey fine- grd. SS, calcareous at 14.5', friable. At 17.5' change to very dark red sandy, clayey SILTSTONE, soft. NOTE: minor white, sand, silty clay post at 20'. Contiue white/It. grey fngrd. SS, V. friable, but becoming hard & well-indurated by 21'. Minor red silt zones. Back to It. grey HARD, fractured LMST. at 25.5'- 27'. Fractures discontinuous at 27'. Into Mm? At 27.5', hard, It. grey zone of CHERTY, V. fngrd. ss.						
35 40 40	Begin 5' Sampling NOTE: more fractures, now into mixed zone of hard, fngrd. SS. and sandy SILTSTONE, LT. GREY TO DARK RED, w/Imst. Alternating soft to hard, moderate voids (i.e. vugs or fractures).						
	Cuttings damp Cuttings damp						

1	INVIROCON WELL LOU											
ł	Well: BG	-2		Page	e <u>2</u> o	f <u>2</u>	•					
1	DEPTH (ft)	WELL CONST.	SPT (blows/ft)	PID READING	SAMPLE NUMBER	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION/SOIL CLASSIFICATION (Color, Texture, Structures)					
Access and a second	50 55		Centralizer				Continue soft, silty, LMST., slightly moist, It. grey, earthy feel & oder. Silt— filled korst? Slightly fractured, fine, alternating hard/soft beds. Slight color change to beige or buff—grey.					
	60				-		Contiue soft—medium hard, buff, silty LMST. (or calcerous, soft siltstone?) Becoming more uniform in color, texture, hardness. V. slightly fractured. less damp. Cuttings powdery.					
and the second	70				Silt may be falling into annulus from above		PINK color at 65'. Lmst. becoming harder, no fractures. MED—GRAINED w/visible CALCITE CLEAVAGE. Red silt zone splotchy IRON OXIDE STAINING. (maybe MnO2 stains). Dry.					
	75		Enviroplug. Med. Bentonite				Alternate pink—buff—grey, med. to fngrd. LMST. Slight, even fractures. BRACHIOPOD FOSSILS. Harder & fngrd. at 76'—80'. Continue uniform,					
and the second	80 85						Contiue hard, dense, uniform, very					
and the second s	90		¹ Bentonite Pellets				slightly fractured pink, medgrd. & buff-grey fngrd. Imst. NOTE: thin but obrupt fracture zone (1"-2") at 86', 88', & 92'. Same as above, w/minor BROWN CHERT chips.					
a free states	95		#10-20 Silica Sand				~2"-4" water in hole (overnight seepage)					
et have a series	100		. h20" Se				Continue hard, grey, fngrd. Imst. slightly damp zones at 101' & 104'.					
1	105		UPP DEFEEN		<u> </u>		Soft zone beginning at 106', driller notes moderate fractures. WATER AT 107.5'. (EST. 10 GPM) Lmst. harder again at 109'. NOTE: chert chips. and					
	110		Centralizer	×				a few carmel-brown CALCITE XTALS. Soft, red-brown SILTSTONE. 113'-114'. Fractures filled w/ Pa.				
-	115						11/10/93 @ 0930					

BG-3 MW-7	ENVIROCON WELL LC Page 1_of 4
Project: BGI Location: WARREN,MT Date Drilled: 11/11/93 Surface Elev: ~5250' Screen Dia: 2" Casing Dia: 2" Drilling Co: ADT Driller: RUSS LEHNER WAYNE JEWETT	Owner: BGI Project No: 1107 Diameter: Total Depth: 200' BGL Initial WL: 159.2' (162.5' T/PVC) Elevation TOC: ~5253' Slot Size: .020" Length: 30' Type: SCH. 40 PVC Length: 170' Drilling Method: AIR ROTARY Logged By: JM MICHALETZ
DEPTH WELL SPT	PID SAMPLE OF APRICE DESCRIPTION/SOIL CLASSIFICATION
5 10 10 6" Steel Casing 20 25 30	NOMBLE Continue (Color, Texture, Structures) Surface soil to 5': dark red silty, sandy, CLAY of Pa formation. Soft to med. stiff, plastic, damp. More moist at 7.5', then dry at 8'. Continue dry, red, SILTY CLAY. Same as above, slightly more silt. Powdery and harder. Siltstane Treestition Dark red, dry, clayey SILT/SILTSTONE, w/ minor sand. Also note minor dark-red-grey CHERT chips. Change to white, medium-dense, fine- med-grey SANDSTONE at 22'. Friable, w/colcite cement matrix. Drive casing w/shoe to 23.5'. Contine white to pinkish ss, moderately well sorted, subangular to subrounded. Back to red silt at 31', then light grey Limestone, hard, V. fractured at 33'.
35 40 45	Mim Mim Continued fngrd, buff-lt. grey limst. Minor color alterations to lt. yellow-brown, and medgrd. limst. At 40' pink, coarse-grd. limst. w/distinct calcite SCALENAHEDRON XTALS, indicating fracture/vug fill. Also some brown chert. At 45', medgrd., hard, moderately fractured, buff lmst. w/some SHELL FRAGMENTS.

EN#IROCON WELL LOP Page <u>2 of 4</u>

Well: BG-3									
DEPTH (ft)	WELL CONST.	SPT (blows/ft)	PID READING	SAMPLE NUMBER	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION/SOIL CLASSIFICATION (Color, Texture, Structures)			
50						Continue buff—It. grey fossilifcrous Imst., hard, well—fractured.			
55			8			Note 2"—4" tan CHERT LAYER at 52'. Same Imst. at 55', but at 55.5' change to med—brown, hard, very fine—grd. Imst. Unfractured.			
60				a a		Continuing v. hard, mottled yellow—tan to pink, v. fngrd. Soft, grey at 57.5'. Minor red silt in fracture zone at 59'. BRACH FOSSILS.			
65		#6 Granular Bentonite				Abrubt fracture zone at 65', cuttings of Imst. stuck together w/grey-brown and red silty CLAY. Continue fractured, soft Imst. w/clay fill.			
70						Becoming less fractured & less brown/ red clay fill matl. Continue soft, medgrd. FOSSILIFEROUS Imst.			
75						Lmst. hard, grey, less fractured at 76'-78', but then softer, fractured again at 79' with yellow—brown to red clay fill math			
80		Centralizer			6-1-1				
85						Continue hard, moderately—fractured, fine—to med.—grd., buff to grey, fossiliferous Imst., minor silty clay fill. CHERT LAYER 86'. alternating hard/soft layers.			
90					TOTA	Moderate fractures throughout, FOSSILIFEROUS, minor silty clays.			
95						Continued light grey, moderately			
100					101	EXTREMELY FRACTURED zone, soft from 100'-107', continue buff-grey limst, but containing ABUNDENT BROWN			
105						Minor chert. Harder formation again at 109', then softer, less clay fill, less fractured. Slight color change to tan.			
110									
115									

EN**∜IROCON WELL LO** Page <u>3</u>of <u>4</u>

1	Vell: BG-	-3					
1	DEPTH (ft)	WELL CONST.	SPT (blows/ft)	PID READING	SAMPLE NUMBER	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION/SOIL CLASSIFICATION (Color, Texture, Structures)
	120			·			Slightly harder at 116', less fractured w/less silty clay fill. Contiue fine—to med.—grd., buff grey to tan, fossiliferous Imst.
-	125						medgrd., minor-silt, foss., plus MINOR SPARRY CALCITE. Also note mottled pink-brown-grey color of Imst.
I T	130		Centralizer		10		Fractured zone at 131° w/clay & chert, back to hard, dense, less fractured Imst. at 133.5°.
	135						Less dense fracture zone again at 137.5', change to slighly finer-grd. buff-tan Imst. w/silty clay fill matl. VERY EDACTURED AT 134', circlinated to 144'
	140		Enviroplug				Abundant Brach. fossils & brown clay fill.
	145		Med. Bentonite				Back to hard, dense, fngrd. Imst. at 146', MULTI-COLORED (grey, ton,
	150						Very fractured again at 151' Abundant tan-brown silty clay fill.
	155		"a" Bentonite			1/1/	Less fractured at 157.5' w/ less clay. Pink hue to Imst. again.
the second se	160		Pellets				Mottled colors & varying fine-med-grd. Color changes to Itgrey, then yellow- beige at very fractured zone 162.5'. Sparry calcite-filled veins.
	165		Silica Sand			1/1/	Moist, soft, mustard—YELLOW
	170		:020° Screen				Soft, fractured, pale-yeliow imst. 170'.
	175		•		Water slowl		171'—178' DRILLING THROUGH CLAY ZONE No cuttings returned.
	180		Centralizer		rising in_ borehole		178'-180' v. fractured, mottled white-yellow-grey Imst. w/abundant red silt & clay, siltstone, sparry calcite, & chert, minOR fine sands (fines washed from sample). MAJOR FRACTURE FILL ZONE.
					1		La seconda da compañía da c

	ENVIROCON WELL LC Page <u>4 of 4</u>											
	Well: BG	-3 WELL	SPT	PID	SAMPLE	GRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION/SOIL CLASSIFICATION					
1444	(ft)	CONST.	(blows/ft)	READING	NUMBER	LOG	(Color, Texture, Structures)					
	185						w/pale-yellow, med-grd. Imst. very fractured, w/pink-red silt & tan clay, chert, & fngrd red ss fill material, & sparry calcite from vugs/fracture voids. Lots of red silt & tan clay fines in water,					
	190					XII	washed from sample.					
1	195						Fracture—fill matl. continues to 200', w/noted 3"—6" voids water is very clayey/silty, light					
	200		Natural Collapse			= / *	pink/beige color, flow est. 1 GPM. Total Depth 200' 11/13/93 @ 1530					
19 million					122		2 · ·					
(6.000 million							6					
Î							л р					
ļ							· · · · ·					
2							*					
							<i>*</i>					
			×									
1												
and the second se												
1				1								
ł												

MONTANA WELL LOG REPORT

This well log reports the activities of a licensed Montana well driller, serves as the official record of work done within the borehole and casing, and describes the amount of water encountered. This report is compiled electronically from the contents of the Ground Water Information Center (GWIC) database for this site. Acquiring water rights is the well owner's responsibility and is NOT accomplished by the filing of this report.

Other Options

<u>Go to GWIC website</u> <u>Plot this site in State Library Digital Atlas</u> <u>Plot this site in Google Maps</u> <u>View scanned well log (6/8/2006 1:15:13 PM)</u>

Site Name: YELLOW	STONE E	NERGY LIM	IITED			Section	n 7: We	ell Test Data	
GWIC ld: 149359			BG-	4					
DNRC Water Right: 9	92975			-		Total D	epth: 2	220	
Section 1: Well Own	or(e)		101 0 0	-IA	_	Static V	Vater L	Level: 97	
			" mar	nifolde	d	Water 7	Femper	rature:	
SUITE 200 1087 W/ES		NINTED (NA ST	with	BG-5					
BOISE ID 83702 [05/0	1/10051	01		• •		Pump	Test *		
20102 12 001 02 [00/0	, ", 1000]					D (1			
Section 2: Location						Depth p	oump s	set for test _ feet.	
Township R	ange	Section	Quarter	Sections		<u>20</u> gpi	n pum	p rate with _ reet of drawdown after <u>2</u> hours of pumping.	
08S	25E	25	NE¼ N\	<i>N1</i> 4 NE1⁄4		Pecove		ery_nouis. er level_feet	
County			Geocod	le		Pumpir	ny wate	er level 190 feet	
CARBON						i unpi	ig wate	<u>-130</u> 1001.	
Latitude	Longitude	e C	Geomethod	Datu	ım				
45.117171	-108.60298	8	TRS-SEC	NAD	83	* Durin	a the w	vell test the discharge rate shall be as uniform as possible.	
Ground Surface Alt	itude	Ground Sur	face Method	Datum	Date	This ra	te may	or may not be the sustainable yield of the well.	
						Sustair	able yi	ield does not include the reservoir of the well casing.	
Addition		Block		Lot					
				1		Section	n 8: Re	emarks	
						PROPO	SED U	SE-ASH PIT WATER	
Section 3: Proposed	Use of Wa	ater							
DOMESTIC (1)						Section	n 9: We	ell Log	
Section 4. Tune of M	lark.					Geolog	jic Sou	urce	
Drilling Method: DOTAR	OFK					330MD	SN - M	ADISON GROUP OR LIMESTONE	
Status: NEW/ WELL	. r					From	То	Description	
Status. NEW WELE						0	2	CLAY RED	
Section 5: Well Com	pletion Da	ite				2	12	RED CLAY MIXED WITH ROCK	
Date well completed: Th	ursdav. Ma	v 04. 1995				12	24	SMALL GRAVEL-RED CLAY	
	,					24	150	ROCK WITH RED CLAY SIRINGERS	
Section 6: Well Cons	struction D	Details				150	220	LIMESTONE	
Borehole dimensions									
From To Diameter									
0 24 12									
24 220 7									
Casing									
M N	/all P	Pressure							
From To Diameter T	hickness R	Rating Joi	int Type						
-1.8 24 8		WE	LDED STEEL						
5 220 5			PVC						
Completion (Perf/Scre	en)		•						
	# of	Size of							
From To Diameter	Openings	Openings	Description			Driller	Certific	cation	
119 219 5 1/8X5 SAW			All worl	<pre>c perfor</pre>	rmed and reported in this well log is in compliance with the				
Annular Space (Seal/Grout/Packer)				Montana well construction standards. This report is true to the best of my					
Cont.						knowledge.			
From To Description	Fed?						Na	ame:	
0 24 BENTONITE							Compa	any: AMERICAN DRILLING & SUPPLY	
						License No: WWC-344			
						Date 0	Comple	eted: 5/4/1995	

MONTANA WELL LOG REPORT

This well log reports the activities of a licensed Montana well driller, serves as the official record of work done within the borehole and casing, and describes the amount of water encountered. This report is compiled electronically from the contents of the Ground Water Information Center (GWIC) database for this site. Acquiring water rights is the well owner's responsibility and is NOT accomplished by the filing of this report.

Other Options

<u>Go to GWIC website</u> <u>Plot this site in State Library Digital Atlas</u> <u>Plot this site in Google Maps</u> <u>View scanned well log (6/8/2006 1:15:47 PM)</u>

Site Name: YELLOWSTONE ENERGY LIMIT	ED	Section 7: Well Test Data				
GWIC Id: 158427	BG-5					
DNRC Water Right: 99157		Total Depth: 230				
Section 1: Well Owner(s)	IVIVV-1A	Static Water Level: 80				
1) VELLOWSTONE ENERGY LIMITED (MAIL)	manifolded	Water Temperature:				
2215 NORTH FRONTAGE RD	with BG-4					
BILLINGS MT 59101 [06/28/1996]		Pump Test *				
		Danth nume act for test				
Section 2: Location		Depth pump set for test _ feet.				
Township Range Section	Quarter Sections	<u>So gpm pump rate with _ reet of drawdown after 4 hours of pumping.</u>				
08S 25E 25	NE¼	Recovery water level feet				
County	Geocode	Pumping water level 125 feet				
CARBON						
Latitude Longitude Ge	eomethod Datum					
45.114398 -108.601695 T	RS-SEC NAD83	* During the well test the discharge rate shall be as uniform as possible.				
Ground Surface Altitude Ground Surface	e Method Datum Date	^e This rate may or may not be the sustainable yield of the well.				
		Sustainable yield does not include the reservoir of the well casing.				
Addition Block	Lot					
		Section 8: Remarks				
Section 3: Proposed Use of Water		Section 9: Well Log				
OTHER (1)		Geologic Source				
Section 4. Tune of Work		330MDSN - MADISON GROUP OR LIMESTONE				
Drilling Method: POTABY		From To Description				
Status: NEW WELL		0 2 RED CLAY				
		2 16 CLAY MIXED WITH ROCKS				
Section 5: Well Completion Date		16 130 LIME STONE MIXED WITH RED CLAY LAYERS				
Date well completed: Friday, June 28, 1996		130 230 LIMESTONE				
Section 6: Well Construction Details						
Borehole dimensions						
From To Diameter						
0 20 12						
20 230 7						
Casing						
Wall Pressure						
From To Diameter Thickness Rating Joint	Туре					
-1.5 20 8 WELD	DED STEEL					
10 230 5	PLASTIC					
Completion (Perf/Screen)						
# of Size of		Driller Certification				
From To Diameter Openings Openings	Description	All work performed and reported in this well log is in compliance with the				
160 229 5 1/8X4	SAW CUT	Montana well construction standards. This report is true to the best of my				
Annular Space (Seal/Grout/Packer)		knowledge.				
Cont.		Name:				
From To Description Fed?		Company: AMERICAN DRILLING & SUPPLY				
		License No: WWC-344				
		Date Completed: 6/28/1996				

MONTANA WELL LOG REPORT

This well log reports the activities of a licensed Montana well driller, serves as the official record of work done within the borehole and casing, and describes the amount of water encountered. This report is compiled electronically from the contents of the Ground Water Information Center (GWIC) database for this site. Acquiring water rights is the well owner's responsibility and is NOT accomplished by the filing of this report.

Other Options

<u>Go to GWIC website</u> <u>Plot this site in State Library Digital Atlas</u> <u>Plot this site in Google Maps</u> <u>View scanned well log (6/8/2006 1:15:34 PM)</u>

Site Name: YELLOWSTONE ENERGY LIMITED GWIC Id: 195710					Section 7: Well Test Data				
DNRC Water Rig	ht:	200	2 YELP	Nell	Total Depth: 225				
Section 1. Wall (Static \	Nater L	Level: 127		
					Water	Tempe	erature:		
1) YELLOWSTON			L)						
	GE KD 101 [02/00/2/	0021			Pump	Test *			
DILLINGS MT 59	101 [03/09/20	002]							
Section 2. Locat	ion				Depth	pump s	set for test _ feet.		
Township Range Section Quarter Sections				Sections	<u>_50_</u> gp	m pum	np rate with _ feet of drawdown after <u>8</u> hours of pumping.		
085	25E	25	NE ¹ / ₄	NF ¹ ⁄ ₄	Lime o	t recov	very <u>1.5</u> hours.		
Co	untv		Geocod	e	Recove	ery wat	tter level <u>127</u> feet.		
CARBON	,				Pumpli	ng wate	ter level <u>154</u> leet.		
Latitude	Longitu	ude G	eomethod	Datum					
45.116247	-108.599	9124	TRS-SEC	NAD83	* Durin	a the w	well test the discharge rate shall be as uniform as possible		
Ground Surfac	e Altitude	Ground Surfa	ce Method	Datum Date	This ra	te mav	v or may not be the sustainable vield of the well		
					Sustail	nable v	vield does not include the reservoir of the well casing.		
Addition		Block		Lot	e d'el citali				
					Sectio	n 8: Re	emarks		
Section 3: Propo	osed Use of	Water							
OTHER (1)					Sectio	n 9: W	Vell Log		
					Geologic Source				
Section 4: Type	of Work				330MD	SN - N	MADISON GROUP OR LIMESTONE		
Drilling Method: RC	DTARY				From	То	Description		
Status: NEW WELI	_				0	2	2 TOP SOIL		
0					2	- 25	5 SOFT RED CLAY		
Section 5: Well (completion I	Date			25	52			
Date well complete	d: Saturday, N	/larch 09, 2002			23	55			
Section 6: Well (Construction	Dotaile			53	09			
Borehole dimensi	ons	TDetails			69	97			
From To Diamet	er				97	129			
0 43	12				129	136	6 SOFT CLAY		
43 225	7				136	225	5 WHITE FRACTURED LIMESTONE		
43 223	'								
	Wall	Brocouro	- ()						
From To Diamet	er Thickness	Rating Join	t Type						
-18 43 8	250	WEI							
10 225 5	.200	200.00 WEL							
Completion (Porf/	 Soroon)	200.00							
Completion (Pen/	# of	Size of							
From To Diame	eter Opening	as Openinas [Description		Driller	Certifi	fication		
140 220 5		1/4X4	SAW		All wor	k perfo	ormed and reported in this well log is in compliance with the		
Annular Space (S	eal/Grout/Pac	ker)			Montar	na well	I construction standards. This report is true to the best of m		
	Cont.				knowle	dge.			
From To Descrip	tion Fed?					Na	lame:		
0 25 BENTO	NITE					Comp	pany: AMERICAN DRILLING & SUPPLY		
					1	icense	e No: WWC-344		
					Date Completed: 3/9/2002				

APPENDIX B – INDIVIDUAL GROUND WATER MONITORING RESULTS

Ground Water Monitoring Results, MW-6												
Monitor Source	Parameter	Units	Reported Minimum Value	Reported Average Value	Reported Maximum ⁽¹⁾ Value	# of Samples	Source of Data					
	Alkalinity, total [as CaCO3]	mg/L	183	191	197	10	DMR					
	Arsenic, total [as As]	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	10	DMR					
	Barium, total [as Ba]	mg/L	ND	ND	0.09	10	DMR					
	Bicarbonate ion- [as HCO3]	mg/L	223	233	240	10	DMR					
	Cadmium, total [as Cd]	mg/L	ND	ND	0.0001	10	DMR					
	Calcium, total [as Ca]	mg/L	51	57	64	10	DMR					
	Carbonate ion- [as CO3]	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	10	DMR					
	Chloride [as Cl]	mg/L	ND	1	2	10	DMR					
	Conductivity	uS/cm	373	403	439	10	DMR					
	Hardness, total [as CaCO3]	mg/L	199	226	253	10	DMR					
	Hydroxide	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	10	DMR					
	Lead, total [as Pb]	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	10	DMR					
MW-6	Magnesium, total [as Mg]	mg/L	17	20	23	10	DMR					
	Mercury, total [as Hg]	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	10	DMR					
	Molybdenum, total [as Mo]	mg/L	ND	ND	0.002	10	DMR					
	Nitrogen, total [as N]	mg/L	ND	0.61	0.80	10	DMR					
	рН	SU	7.02	7.69	7.95	10	DMR					
	Selenium, total [as Se]	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	10	DMR					
	Sodium, total [as Na]	mg/L	1	1	2	10	DMR					
	Solids, total dissolved [TDS]	mg/L	194	226	255	10	DMR					
	Strontium, total [as Sr]	mg/L	ND	0.03	0.10	10	DMR					
	Sulfate [as S]	mg/L	14	25	45	10	DMR					
	Vanadium, total [as V]	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	10	DMR					
	Static water level	ft-MSL	4934.2	4998.8	5017.0	10	DMR					
	Zinc, total [as Zn]	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	10	DMR					
Footnotes:												

Supplemental sampling information provided in Appendix B.

ft-bmp: feet below measuring point

MSL: mean sea level

s.u.: standard units

(1) Maximum value of all quarterly reported values.

Ground Water Monitoring Results, MW-7							
Monitor Source	Parameter	Units	Reported Minimum Value	Reported Average Value	Reported Maximum ⁽¹⁾ Value	# of Samples	Source of Data
MW-7	Alkalinity, total [as CaCO3]	mg/L	151	175	200	16	DMR
	Arsenic, total [as As]	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	16	DMR
	Barium, total [as Ba]	mg/L	ND	0.13	0.20	16	DMR
	Bicarbonate ion- [as HCO3]	mg/L	174	212	244	16	DMR
	Cadmium, total [as Cd]	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	16	DMR
	Calcium, total [as Ca]	mg/L	37	50	58	16	DMR
	Carbonate ion- [as CO3]	mg/L	ND	ND	5	16	DMR
	Chloride [as Cl]	mg/L	ND	1	3	16	DMR
	Conductivity	uS/cm	296	340	370	16	DMR
	Hardness, total [as CaCO3]	mg/L	160	190	218	16	DMR
	Hydroxide	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	16	DMR
	Lead, total [as Pb]	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	16	DMR
	Magnesium, total [as Mg]	mg/L	14	16	18	16	DMR
	Mercury, total [as Hg]	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	16	DMR
	Molybdenum, total [as Mo]	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	16	DMR
	Nitrogen, total [as N]	mg/L	0.16	0.66	0.80	16	DMR
	pH	SU	6.86	7.76	7.99	16	DMR
	Selenium, total [as Se]	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	16	DMR
	Sodium, total [as Na]	mg/L	ND	1	1	16	DMR
	Solids, total dissolved [TDS]	mg/L	166	186	199	16	DMR
	Strontium, total [as Sr]	mg/L	ND	ND	0.08	16	DMR
	Sulfate [as S]	mg/L	6	7	10	16	DMR
	Vanadium, total [as V]	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	16	DMR
	Static water level	ft-MSL	5022.7	5033.7	5050.9	16	DMR
	Zinc, total [as Zn]	mg/L	ND	ND	0.02	16	DMR

Footnotes:

Supplemental sampling information provided in Appendix B.

ft-bmp: feet below measuring point

MSL: mean sea level

s.u.: standard units

(1) Maximum value of all quarterly reported values.