## DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY WATER PROTECTION BUREAU

## **Environmental Assessment**

Name of Project: City of Whitefish, Water Treatment Plant (WTP)

Location of Project: 350 Reservoir Road, Whitefish, MT 59937

City/Town: Whitefish County: Flathead

**Description of Project**: This is a reissuance of an MPDES permit MT0030414 for the City of Whitefish Water Treatment Plant, which discharges treated wastewater to the 1907-built reservoir on an unnamed tributary to Whitefish Lake.

**Agency Action and Applicable Regulations**: The proposed action of the Department is to reissue the MPDES permit for another five-year cycle.

Applicable rules and statute:

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 2 - Water Quality Permit Application and Annual Fees.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 5 - Mixing Zones in Surface and Ground Water.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 6 - Surface Water Quality Standards.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 7 - Nondegradation of Water Quality.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapters 12 and 13 - Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Standards.

Department Circular DEQ-12A – Montana Base Nutrient Standards

Montana Water Quality Act, MCA 75-5-101 et. seq.

**Summary of Issues**: The discharge from the WTP must meet the final permit limits for dissolved aluminum, total residual chlorine, turbidity, and pH.

## **Affected Environment & Impacts of the Proposed Project**:

Y = Impacts may occur (explain under Potential Impacts). *Include frequency, duration (long or short term), magnitude, and context for any significant impacts identified. Reference other permit analyses when appropriate (ex: statement of basis). Address significant impacts related to substantive issues and concerns. Identify reasonable feasible mitigation measures (before and after) where significant impacts cannot be avoided and note any irreversible or irretrievable impacts. <i>Include background information on affected environment if necessary to discussion.* 

N = Not present or No Impact will likely occur. *Use negative declarations where appropriate* (wetlands, T&E, Cultural Resources).

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT				
RESOURCE   [Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES				
1. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY,	[N] No changes.			
STABILITY AND MOISTURE: Are soils present				
which are fragile, erosive, susceptible to				
compaction, or unstable? Are there unusual or				
unstable geologic features? Are there special				
reclamation considerations?				
2. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND	[N] Facility is long established; no new impacts are expected.			
DISTRIBUTION: Are important surface or				
groundwater resources present? Is there potential				
for violation of ambient water quality standards,				
drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or				
degradation of water quality?	[NI] Facility is long autoblished; no navy impacts are avecated			
3. AIR QUALITY: Will pollutants or particulate be produced? Is the project influenced by air	[N] Facility is long established; no new impacts are expected.			
quality regulations or zones (Class I airshed)?				
4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND	[N] Facility is long established; no new impacts are expected.			
QUALITY: Will vegetative communities be	[13] I wentey is long established, no new impacts are expected.			
significantly impacted? Are any rare plants or				
cover types present?				
5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC	[N] Facility is long established; no new impacts are expected.			
LIFE AND HABITATS: Is there substantial use				
of the area by important wildlife, birds or fish?				
6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR	[N] Facility is long established; no new impacts are expected.			
LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:				
Are any federally listed threatened or endangered				
species or identified habitat present? Any				
wetlands? Species of special concern?				
7. SAGE GROUSE EXECUTIVE ORDER: Is the	N] The Department has verified the facility is not within core,			
project proposed in core, general or connectivity sage grouse habitat, as designated by the Sage Grouse	connectivity or general sage grouse habitat as identified on the DNRC			
Habitat Conservation Program (Program) at:	habitat map for the State of Montana.			
http://dnrc.mt.gov/divisions/cardd/sage-grouse? If yes,				
did the applicant attach documentation from the				
Program showing compliance with Executive Order 12-				
2015 and the Program's recommendations? If so, attach the documentation to the EA and address the Program's				
recommendations in the permit. If project is in core,				
general or connectivity habitat and the applicant did not				
document consultation with the Program, refer the				
applicant to the Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation				
Program.  8. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL	INI Facility is long astablished, no new imports are surrected			
SITES: Are any historical, archaeological or	[N] Facility is long established; no new impacts are expected.			
paleontological resources present?				
9. AESTHETICS: Is the project on a prominent	[N] Facility is long established; no new impacts are expected.			
topographic feature? Will it be visible from	[13] I define is long established, no new impacts are expected.			
populated or scenic areas? Will there be excessive				
noise or light?				
10. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL	[N]			
RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR				
ENERGY: Will the project use resources that are				
limited in the area? Are there other activities nearby				
that will affect the project? Will new or upgraded				
powerline or other energy source be needed)	Dil			
11. IMPACTS ON OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL	[N]			
RESOURCES: Are there other activities nearby				
that will affect the project?				

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT				
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES			
12. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY: Will this	[N] Effluent limits will protect public health.			
project add to health and safety risks in the area?				
13. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND	[N]			
AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND				
PRODUCTION: Will the project add to or alter				
these activities?				
14. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	[N]			
EMPLOYMENT: Will the project create, move or				
eliminate jobs? If so, estimated number.				
15. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX	[N]			
REVENUES: Will the project create or eliminate				
tax revenue?				
16. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:	[N]			
Will substantial traffic be added to existing roads?				
Will other services (fire protection, police, schools,				
etc.) be needed?				
17. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL	[N]			
PLANS AND GOALS: Are there State, County,				
City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, etc. zoning or				
management plans in effect?				
18. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF	[N]			
RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS				
ACTIVITIES: Are wilderness or recreational areas				
nearby or accessed through this tract? Is there				
recreational potential within the tract?				
19. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	[N]			
POPULATION AND HOUSING: Will the project				
add to the population and require additional				
housing?				
20. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES: Is	[N]			
some disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or				
communities possible?				
21. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND	[N]			
DIVERSITY: Will the action cause a shift in some				
unique quality of the area?				
22. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND	[N]			
ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:				
23(a). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Are we	[N]			
regulating the use of private property under a				
regulatory statute adopted pursuant to the police				
power of the state? (Property management, grants				
of financial assistance, and the exercise of the				
power of eminent domain are not within this				
category.) If not, no further analysis is required.				
23(b). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Is the	[N]			
agency proposing to deny the application or				
condition the approval in a way that restricts the				
use of the regulated person's private property? If				
not, no further analysis is required.				

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT				
	RESOURCE		AND MITIGATION MEASURES	
answer have le propos restrict analysi determ reduce use of alterna	PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: If the r to 21(b) is affirmative, does the agency egal discretion to impose or not impose the red restriction or discretion as to how the restriction will be imposed? If not, no further is is required. If so, the agency must raine if there are alternatives that would, minimize or eliminate the restriction on the private property, and analyze such tives. The agency must disclose the restrictions.			
24.	Description of and Impacts of other	Alternatives Considered: Non	e	
25.	Summary of Magnitude and Significance of Potential Impact: None			
26.	Cumulative Effects: None			
27.	Preferred Action Alternative and Rationale: The preferred action is to reissue the MPDES permit. This action is preferred because the permit program provides the regulatory mechanism for protecting water quality by enforcing the terms of the MPDES permit.			
Reco	mmendation for Further Environme	ntal Analysis:		
	[ ] EIS	[ ] More Detailed EA	[x] No Further Analysis	
Ratio	onale for Recommendation:			
28.	Public Involvement: A 30-day public comment period will be held.			
29.	Persons and agencies consulted in the preparation of this analysis: None			
EA C	Checklist Prepared By: Jeff May	Date: Noven	nber, 2018	
Approved by:				
	Jon Kenning, Chief Water Protection Burea	<del></del> au	Date	