DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY WATER PROTECTION BUREAU

Environmental Assessment

Name of Project: Abbey Main House

Location of Project: Shelter Island on Flathead Lake at T25N, R20W, Section 28

City/Town: near Rollins County: Lake

Description of Project: This is a reissuance of an MPDES permit MT0030651 for the Abbey Main House on Shelter Island which discharges non-contact cooling water from a heat exchange system to Flathead Lake. The only pollutant added or removed from the use of Flathead Lake water is temperature. The parameter pH is expected to vary slightly with effluent temperature. No treatment is provided.

Agency Action and Applicable Regulations: The proposed action of the Department is to reissue the MPDES permit for another five-year cycle.

Applicable rules and statute:

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 2 - Water Quality Permit Application and Annual Fees.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 5 - Mixing Zones in Surface and Ground Water.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 6 - Surface Water Quality Standards.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 7 - Nondegradation of Water Quality.

ARM Title 17, Chapter 30, Sub-chapter 12 and 13 - Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Standards.

Montana Water Quality Act, MCA 75-5-101 et. seq.

Summary of Issues: This is a discharge of non-contact cooling water to Flathead Lake at a depth of about 53 feet. The only pollutant added or removed to the water is temperature. The effluent limits of the 2012-issued permit on temperature and pH have been applied to the renewed permit. The effects of the discharge have been modeled and the water quality of the receiving water will be unaffected beyond the 46-foot radius mixing zone allowed.

Affected Environment & Impacts of the Proposed Project:

Y = Impacts may occur (explain under Potential Impacts). Include frequency, duration (long or short term), magnitude, and context for any significant impacts identified. Reference other permit analyses when appropriate (ex: statement of basis). Address significant impacts related to substantive issues and concerns. Identify reasonable feasible mitigation measures (before and after) where significant impacts cannot be avoided and note any irreversible or irretrievable impacts. Include background information on affected environment if necessary to discussion.

N = Not present or No Impact will likely occur. *Use negative declarations where appropriate* (wetlands, T&E, Cultural Resources).

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT			
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES		
1. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY,	[N] No construction involved.		
STABILITY AND MOISTURE: Are soils present	[]		
which are fragile, erosive, susceptible to			
compaction, or unstable? Are there unusual or			
unstable geologic features? Are there special			
reclamation considerations?			
2. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND	[N] Lake water is withdrawn and passed through heat		
DISTRIBUTION: Are important surface or	pumps/exchangers then returned to the lake at a higher temperature		
groundwater resources present? Is there potential	during cooling months and a cooler temperature during heating months.		
for violation of ambient water quality standards,	Modeling of the discharge shows compliance with temperature change		
drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or	water quality standards within the 46-foot radius mixing zone. Effluent		
degradation of water quality?	limits will protect water quality of the receiving water.		
3. AIR QUALITY: Will pollutants or particulate	[N]		
be produced? Is the project influenced by air			
quality regulations or zones (Class I airshed)?			
4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND	[N]		
QUALITY: Will vegetative communities be			
significantly impacted? Are any rare plants or			
cover types present?			
5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC	[N] Aquatic life not expected to be impacted.		
LIFE AND HABITATS: Is there substantial use			
of the area by important wildlife, birds or fish?			
6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR	[N]		
LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:			
Are any federally listed threatened or endangered			
species or identified habitat present? Any			
wetlands? Species of special concern?			
7. SAGE GROUSE EXECUTIVE ORDER: Is the	N] The Department has verified the facility is not within core,		
project proposed in core, general or connectivity sage	connectivity or general sage grouse habitat as identified on the DNRC		
grouse habitat, as designated by the Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program (Program) at:	habitat map for the State of Montana.		
http://dnrc.mt.gov/divisions/cardd/sage-grouse? If yes,			
did the applicant attach documentation from the			
Program showing compliance with Executive Order 12-			
2015 and the Program's recommendations? If so, attach			
the documentation to the EA and address the Program's			
recommendations in the permit. If project is in core,			
general or connectivity habitat and the applicant did not document consultation with the Program, refer the			
applicant to the Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation			
Program.			
8. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL	[N]		
SITES: Are any historical, archaeological or			
paleontological resources present?			
9. AESTHETICS: Is the project on a prominent	[N]		
topographic feature? Will it be visible from			
populated or scenic areas? Will there be excessive			
noise or light?			
10. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL	[N]		
RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR			
ENERGY: Will the project use resources that are			
limited in the area? Are there other activities nearby			
that will affect the project? Will new or upgraded			
powerline or other energy source be needed) 11. IMPACTS ON OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL	INI		
RESOURCES: Are there other activities nearby	[N]		
that will affect the project?			
mai win arrest the project:			

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT				
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES			
12. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY: Will this	[N]			
project add to health and safety risks in the area?				
13. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND	[N]			
AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND				
PRODUCTION: Will the project add to or alter				
these activities?				
14. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	[N]			
EMPLOYMENT: Will the project create, move or				
eliminate jobs? If so, estimated number.				
15. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX	[N]			
REVENUES: Will the project create or eliminate	[. 1]			
tax revenue?				
16. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:	[N]			
Will substantial traffic be added to existing roads?	[,,]			
Will other services (fire protection, police, schools,				
etc.) be needed?				
17. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL	[N]			
PLANS AND GOALS: Are there State, County,	[. 1]			
City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, etc. zoning or				
management plans in effect?				
18. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF	[N]			
RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS	[, ,]			
ACTIVITIES: Are wilderness or recreational areas				
nearby or accessed through this tract? Is there				
recreational potential within the tract?				
19. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF	[N]			
POPULATION AND HOUSING: Will the project				
add to the population and require additional				
housing?				
20. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES: Is	[N]			
some disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or				
communities possible?				
21. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND	[N]			
DIVERSITY: Will the action cause a shift in some				
unique quality of the area?				
22. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND	[N]			
ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:				
23(a). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Are we	[N]			
regulating the use of private property under a				
regulatory statute adopted pursuant to the police				
power of the state? (Property management, grants				
of financial assistance, and the exercise of the				
power of eminent domain are not within this				
category.) If not, no further analysis is required.				
23(b). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Is the	[N]			
agency proposing to deny the application or				
condition the approval in a way that restricts the				
use of the regulated person's private property? If				
not, no further analysis is required.				

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT				
	RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS	AND MITIGATION MEASURES	
	PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: If the			
	to 21(b) is affirmative, does the agency			
	gal discretion to impose or not impose the			
	ed restriction or discretion as to how the ion will be imposed? If not, no further			
	s is required. If so, the agency must			
	ine if there are alternatives that would			
	minimize or eliminate the restriction on the			
use of p	private property, and analyze such			
	tives. The agency must disclose the			
potenti	al costs of identified restrictions.			
24.	Description of and Impacts of other	Alternatives Considered: Non-	e	
25.	Summary of Magnitude and Significance of Potential Impact: None			
26.	Cumulative Effects: None			
27.	Preferred Action Alternative and Rationale: The preferred action is to reissue the MPDES permit. This action is preferred because the permit program provides the regulatory mechanism for protecting water quality by enforcing the terms of the MPDES permit.			
Recommendation for Further Environmental Analysis:				
	[] EIS	[] More Detailed EA	[x] No Further Analysis	
Ratio	nale for Recommendation:			
28.	Public Involvement: A 30-day public comment period will be held.			
29.	Persons and agencies consulted in th	e preparation of this analysis:	None	
EA C	hecklist Prepared By: James F. Brov	wn Date:	May 23, 2018	
Appro	oved by:			
	Jon Kenning, Chief Water Protection Burea	 au	Date	