

Affected Environment & Impacts of the Proposed Project:

Y = Impacts may occur (explain under Potential Impacts).

N = Not Present or No Impact will likely occur.

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
RESOURCE	[Y/N] POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES
1. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE: Are soils present which are fragile, erosive, susceptible to compaction, or unstable? Are there unusual or unstable geologic features? Are there special reclamation considerations?	[N] No significant impacts have been identified. All discharge structures authorized by this permit are required to undergo DEQ design review under the Sanitation in Subdivisions Act and/or the Public Water Supply Act.
2. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION: Are important surface or groundwater resources present? Is there potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality?	[N] The facility covered under this permit must show evidence of treatment capable of meeting the established effluent limitation which was derived from the most restrictive ground water quality standards and nondegradation-nonsignificance criteria. This effluent limitation, along with special conditions and standard conditions of the permit has been developed to maintain the beneficial uses of all state ground waters including drinking water. Facilities must be able to meet this restrictive effluent limitation prior to discharge. Ground water monitoring at the hydraulically downgradient boundary of the mixing zone is maintained within the permit renewal. Please refer to the Fact Sheet document for further details.
3. AIR QUALITY: Will pollutants or particulate be produced? Is the project influenced by air quality regulations or zones (Class I airshed)?	[N]
4. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY: Will vegetative communities be significantly impacted? Are any rare plants or cover types present?	[N] Natural Heritage Program inventory of the area indicated no vegetative communities of concern. This system is already built. Reauthorization does not involve any new construction.
5. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS: Is there substantial use of the area by important wildlife, birds or fish?	[Y] The Natural Heritage Database indicates that there are 8 (eight) species of concern that could find suitable habitat within a one mile radius of the site.

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT	
	<p>These species are: Western Toad, Bald Eagle, Brewer’s Sparrow, West Slope Cutthroat Trout, Little Brown Myotis, Grizzly Bear, Wolverine and Bison.</p> <p>This system is already built. Reauthorization does not involve any new construction.</p>
<p>6. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES: Are any federally listed threatened or endangered species or identified habitat present? Any wetlands? Species of special concern?</p>	<p>[N]</p> <p>See #4 and #5 above. All discharge disposal structures must meet the minimum set back requirements of ARM 17.36.323, which includes surface water, flood plains, and springs. Site and habitat inventories for the applicable species were recommended in consultation with the Montana Natural Heritage Program. The applicant is encouraged to contact and consult with this program or other Natural Resource Information Programs available at the Montana State Library: http://nris.msl.mt.gov/</p>
<p>7. SAGE GROUSE EXECUTIVE ORDER: Is the project proposed in core, general or connectivity sage grouse habitat, as designated by the Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program (Program) at: http://dnrc.mt.gov/divisions/caridd/sage-grouse?</p>	<p>[N]</p> <p>The project is not listed as being within sage grouse habitat.</p>
<p>8. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES: Are any historical, archaeological or paleontological resources present?</p>	<p>[N] No sites known. This reauthorization does not require new construction.</p> <p>A general recommendation by the Montana State Historic Preservation Office (MSHPO) states that in the event that cultural materials are inadvertently discovered, the permittee should contact the MSHPO office for investigation.</p>
<p>9. AESTHETICS: Is the project on a prominent topographic feature? Will it be visible from populated or scenic areas? Will there be excessive noise or light?</p>	<p>[N] This system is already built, no light or noise is associated with this system reauthorization. Most drainfields are constructed sub surface. Most wastewater treatment systems are enclosed within buildings located on pre-disturbed lands previously used for agriculture practices.</p>
<p>10. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR, OR ENERGY: Will the project use resources that are limited in the area? Are there other activities nearby that will affect the project? Will new or upgraded power line or other energy source be needed?</p>	<p>[N] This system is already built. Reauthorization does not involve any new construction.</p>

IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

11. IMPACTS ON OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES: Are there other activities nearby that will affect the project?	[N] This system is already built. Reauthorization does not involve any new construction.
---	--

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT

12. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY: Will this project add to health and safety risks in the area?	[N] Reauthorization of this facility, if run in accordance to the limits and restrictions described in the existing permit, will not pose any threat to human health or safety.
13. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION: Will the project add to or alter these activities?	[N] This system is already built. Reauthorization does not involve any new construction.
14. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT: Will the project create, move or eliminate jobs? If so, estimated number.	[N] This system is already built. Reauthorization does not involve any new construction or additional employment.
15. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES: Will the project create or eliminate tax revenue?	[N] This project is already constructed. Reauthorization will not result in any changes in tax base.
16. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES: Will substantial traffic be added to existing roads? Will other services (fire protection, police, schools, etc.) be needed?	[N] This project is already constructed. Reauthorization will not result in any changes in required government services.
17. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS: Are there State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, etc. zoning or management plans in effect?	[N] Wastewater treatment systems may be owned and operated by local communities or sewer districts. These systems are a vital tool in protection of their own public and environmental health. This project is already constructed. Reauthorization will not result in any changes in land use.
18. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES: Are wilderness or recreational areas nearby or accessed through this tract? Is there recreational potential within the tract?	[N] Public land access is routed through a nearby track. This reauthorization will not affect any access to public land nor affect the quality of the recreational and wilderness experience.
19. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING: Will the project add to the population and require additional housing?	[N] This project is already constructed. Reauthorization will not result in any changes in proposed population densities.

IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN ENVIRONMENT	
20. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES: Is some disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities possible?	[N] Reauthorization of this treatment system will not result in any changes in social structures and mores.
21. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY: Will the action cause a shift in some unique quality of the area?	[N] This project is already constructed. Reauthorization will not result in any changes in uniqueness or diversity.
22. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:	[N] This project is already constructed. Reauthorization will not result in any changes.
23(a). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Are we regulating the use of private property under a regulatory statute adopted pursuant to the police power of the state? (Property management, grants of financial assistance, and the exercise of the power of eminent domain are not within this category.) If not, no further analysis is required.	[N] This project is already constructed. Reauthorization will not result in any impacts on private property.
23(b). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: Is the agency proposing to deny the application or condition the approval in a way that restricts the use of the regulated person's private property? If not, no further analysis is required.	[N] This project is already constructed. Reauthorization will not result in any impacts on private property.
23(c). PRIVATE PROPERTY IMPACTS: If the answer to 23(b) is affirmative, does the agency have legal discretion to impose or not impose the proposed restriction or discretion as to how the restriction will be imposed? If not, no further analysis is required. If so, the agency must determine if there are alternatives that would reduce, minimize or eliminate the restriction on the use of private property, and analyze such alternatives. The agency must disclose the potential costs of identified restrictions.	[N] No significant impacts were identified in 23(b).

24. Description of and Impacts of other Alternatives Considered:

- A. No Action: Under the “No Action” alternative, the Department would not issue this ground water discharge permit. “No Action” may lead to the creation of non-permitted wastewater systems. This may result in a net negative impact to ground water quality as the permit would prevent pollution and degradation of state waters.
- B. Approval with Modification: The Department has not identified any necessary modifications to grant approval.

25. **Cumulative Effects:**

Cumulative effects were analyzed as part of this EA and permitting determination. No cumulative impacts have been identified based on ambient receiving ground water, or downgradient monitoring conditions at the time of this analysis (see Fact Sheet). The final permit prohibits pollution and degradation of state waters.

26. **Summary of Magnitude and Significance of Potential Impacts:**

Impacts were assessed with the assumption that the facility will comply with the terms and conditions of the permit. Violations of the permit could lead to significant adverse impacts to state waters. Violations of the permit are not an effect of the agency action since the permit itself forbids such activities. However, the Department has taken steps to ensure that violations do not occur. The Department provides assistance to applicants in understanding and implementing the requirements of the permit. The Department also conducts periodic inspections of permitted facilities, and identifies potential problems with design or management practices. If violations of the permit do occur, the Department will take appropriate action under the water quality act (75-5-617, MCA). Enforcement sanctions for violations of the permit include injunctions, civil and administrative penalties, and cleanup orders.

27. **Preferred Action Alternative and Rationale:** The preferred action is to reissue the existing individual MGWPCS discharge permit. This action is preferred since the permit provides a regulatory mechanism for protecting ground water quality by applying effluent limits and monitoring requirements to the discharged wastewater.

Recommendation for Further Environmental Analysis:

EIS More Detailed EA No Further Analysis

Rationale for Recommendation: An EIS is not required under the Montana Environmental Policy Act because the project lacks significant adverse effects to the human and physical environment.

28. **Public Involvement:**

Legal notice information for water quality discharge permits are listed at the following website: <http://deq.mt.gov/Public/notices/wqnotices>. Public comments on this proposal are invited any time prior to close of business on **September 28, 2016** Comments may be directed to:

DEQWPBPublicComments@mt.gov

or at:

Water Protection Bureau
PO Box 200901
Helena, MT 59620

All comments received or postmarked prior to the close of the public comment period will be considered in the formulation of the final permit. DEQ will respond to all substantive comments pertinent to this permitting action and may issue a final decision within thirty days of the close of the public comment period.

All persons, including the applicant, who believe any condition of the draft permit is inappropriate, or that DEQ's tentative decision to deny an application, terminate a permit, or prepare a draft permit is inappropriate, shall raise all reasonably ascertainable issues and submit all reasonably available arguments supporting their position by the close of the public comment period (including any public hearing). All public comments received for this draft permit will be included in the administrative record and will be available for public viewing during normal business hours.

Copies of the public notice were mailed to the applicant, state and federal agencies and interested persons who have expressed interest in being notified of permit actions. A copy of the distribution list is available in the administrative record for this draft permit. Electronic copies of the public notice, draft permit, fact sheet, and draft environmental assessment are available at the following website:
<http://deq.mt.gov/Public/notices/wqnotices>.

Any person interested in being placed on the mailing list for information regarding this permit may contact the DEQ Water Protection Bureau at (406) 444-3080 or email DEQWPBPublicComments@mt.gov. All inquiries will need to reference the permit number (MTX000119), and include the following information: name, address, and phone number.

During the public comment period provided by the notice, DEQ will accept requests for a public hearing. A request for a public hearing must be in writing and must state the nature of the issue proposed to be raised in the hearing.

29. **Persons and/or Agencies Consulted or Referenced in the Preparation of this**

Analysis:

Montana Natural Heritage Program

Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology website

Natural Resource Information System, Montana State Library

Historical Preservation Society

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey

Previous Ground Water Discharge permits (2006 and 2000)

Montana DEQ

EA Checklist Prepared By:

Rich Morse

June 28, 2016

Approved By:

Jon Kenning, Chief
Water Protection Bureau

DRAFT

Signature

Date