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WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had:

CHAIR DEVENY: Good morning, everybody. I'm Chris Deveny, Chair of the Board of Environmental Review, and I'd like to call this meeting to order. Lindsay, would you please take the roll call.

MS. FORD: Chris Deveny.
CHAIR DEVENY: Present.
MS. FORD: Dexter Busby.
MR. BUSBY: I'm here.
MS. FORD: Hillary Hanson.
MS. HANSON: Here.
MS. FORD: John Dearment.
MR. DEARMENT: Here.
MS. FORD: Tim Warner.
MR. WARNER: I'm here.
MS. FORD: Chris Tweeten.
MR. TWEETEN: Present.
MS. FORD: John Felton.
(No response)
MS. FORD: John did say he would not be attending, so he's not present.

CHAIR DEVENY: So we have a quorum.

Great. At this time I'd like to ask people in the room to identify themselves, and also then we'll be asking people who might have called in telephonically to also identify themselves. So if we could start with John.

MR. NORTH: John North, Chief Counsel for the DEQ.

MR. CRONIN: Chris Cronin, Section
Supervisor for the Opencut Program.
MR. MOSER: Kurt Moser, attorney with DEQ.

MR. LUCAS: Mark Lucas, attorney with DEQ.

MR. HAYES: Ed Hayes, attorney for DEQ.
MS. SHERER: Sandy Scherer, legal
secretary DEQ.
MR. PETTIS: Aaron Pettis, attorney for DEQ.

MR. GARBER: Jason Garber, Water Protection Bureau, DEQ.

MS. ULRICH: Liz Ulrich, Air Quality Planning.

MS. MERKEL: Julie Merkel, Air Quality Permitting.

MS. ACKERLUND: Julie Ackerlund, Air

Quality Planning.
MR. CHRISTENSEN: Brady Christensen, DEQ Solid Waste.

MR. KENNING: Jon Kenning, Water Protection Bureau Chief.

MR. JONES: Brad Jones, DEQ attorney.
MR. BROWN: Jim Brown, attorney for Payne Logging.

CHAIR DEVENY: Thank you. And could I ask anybody else who is on the phone to identify themselves, anybody that might have called in, besides our two Board members.
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Hearing none, we'll go on and review the minutes. First we have two meeting minutes to review. The December 8 th meeting minutes, are there any additions or corrections to these minutes from the Board or from staff?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Hearing none, are there any comments on the minutes from members of the public?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Hearing or seeing none, is there a motion to approve the minutes from the

December 8 th meeting?
MS. HANSON: So moved.
MR. BUSBY: Second.
CHAIR DEVENY: It's been moved and seconded. Any discussion?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: None. All in favor, say aye.
(Response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Any opposed?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Motion carries. The minutes from December 8 th have been approved.

Let's look at the January 5 th meeting minutes that you've all reviewed by now. Are there any additions or corrections to these minutes from Board members, or DEQ?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Hearing or seeing none, are there any from the public?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Hearing or seeing none,
do $I$ have a motion to approve the minutes?
MR. BUSBY: So moved.
CHAIR DEVENY: It's been moved.

MR. DEARMENT: Second.
CHAIR DEVENY: It's been moved and seconded. All in favor, say aye.
(Response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Any opposed?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: None. Okay. The meeting minutes from January 5 th have been approved.

And next we'll turn this over to $D E Q$ to talk about the potential 2018 Board rulemaking.

MR. MATHEIUS: Madam Chair, thank you. I'm going to hand this out to the Board. It would be easier.

So this is just the same potential rulemaking schedule that we handed out the last Board meeting, and $I$ would just note that there has been a couple of just quick changes, and they're just date changes.

The one today, for example, it is on the agenda for today, already proposed initiation, so today would be potential adoption. And then the one near the end, $I$ think we were originally shooting for December on proposed initiation, and we moved that back to October.
I apologize for being late. I was
frantically looking for someone in Water Quality to come and talk about the two DEQ Water Quality related rulemakings. I was unsuccessful, but I could probably talk about it generally. But there are folks from Air Quality here that can talk about the air ones, and Jon Dilliard is here, and he could talk about the public water supply one.

So I guess the first one, so we'll skip the nitrate sampling requirements, and just jump to public water supplies, modifying requirements regarding materials and fixtures containing lead. Jon will offer some thoughts on what that's going to entail.

MR. DILLIARD: Thank you, George. Madam Chair, members of the Board, my name is Jon Dilliard. I am with the Public Water Supply Bureau, and I'm the Bureau Chief, and I'd like to talk to you just a moment about our proposed rulemaking.

First of all, $I$ would like to apologize that the title of the rulemaking is not very descriptive of what we're actually doing. What we're proposing in our rules is to put together some requirements for a public water supply to use when they are doing water main replacement that
involves lead service lines.
When activity like that occurs, what we've found out in many cases -- and Flint is a good indication of it -- is when water main work occurs, there is a release of lead from lead service lines that goes into the homes. So we have to take special care, and we want communities to take special care of those people when they're doing that kind of work.

So we're coming up with some
requirements for the system to follow, such as prior notification to the owners, offering them with either bottled or filtered water of some sort, periodic monitoring during the construction and after the construction for awhile to make sure their lead levels don't spike on them.

And that's just in a nutshell what we're proposing in these rules. It is just something for the communities to follow when they have to work in those situations involving lead service lines.

CHAIR DEVENY: Thank you, Jon. It looks like we'll be looking at those in April.

MR. DILLIARD: Thank you. I guess I'm going to move on to the next one for Public Water

Supply. Once again, I'm Jon Dilliard, Public Water Supply Bureau.

The next one that we're proposing is to modify our Circular PWS5, which is actually the Groundwater Under the Influence of Surface Water Circular that we use to determine if a system that is supposedly groundwater has surface water influence.

And what we're doing on that is primarily housekeeping. One of the things we were doing is one of the rules that is contained or surrounded that is the total coliform rule. EPA has recently changed that, and we have recently adopted those changes, and now it's called the Revised Total Coliform Rule.

So we're going in, we're going to make those changes, we're updating some of the forms, some of the language to make it easier to read, easier to follow, and easier to fill out the forms that we have. So it is pretty much all housekeeping effort.

CHAIR DEVENY: All right.
MR. DILLIARD: Any questions?
CHAIR DEVENY: I think we don't need a lot of detail right now because we'll be hearing
those. Thank you.
MR. MATHEIUS: So Madam Chair, it looks like we have about three of them from Air Quality Bureau, so $I$ think Liz will probably talk about those. Do you have the list, Liz?

MS. ULRICH: I do.
CHAIR DEVENY: And this could just be really brief.

MS. ULRICH: Good morning, Madam Chair, members of the Board. My name is Liz Ulrich, U-I-R-I-C-H, and I'm the supervisor of the Analysis and Planning Services Section in the Air Quality Bureau at DEQ.

The first thing that's going to be happening today, we are going to be requesting adoption of our 2016 IBR package. So you've already approved initiation of that one. And then the next item is hopefully we can initiate in August. That will be the 2017 version of our IBR and QAPP update, which is the Quality Assurance Project Plan.

Those two things are a yearly update. We incorporate the federal regulations into our rules to kind of keep the authority in DEQ's hands rather than Federal oversight.

Is there any questions about the IBR or the QAPP update?

CHAIR DEVENY: NO.
MS. ULRICH: That one is a pretty standard thing.

And then lastly we have, we moved our initiation up hopefully to October. That might be a little ambitious of us, but we would like to get that done hopefully before -- initiate before the end of the year, so we're kind of trying to get it in fall rather than December. And that's the registration program for portable sources.

This is something we currently have a registration program for oil and gas well facilities, and it just is a -- we're looking to do it for portable sources, initially crushers and screens. And I have Julie Merkel here, the Permitting Supervisor. If you have further questions about this, she can speak to it.

But having them follow one set of rules, rather than having permits for each of those sources, they would just be able to follow one set of rules, register their source. It's really just a more efficient way for us to permit for the sources and for us.

But we are also hoping to have a briefing before we bring that rulemaking to you. So hopefully a meeting or two before that, we'll brief you on what that's going to look like.

CHAIR DEVENY: That's great. And I had asked DEQ to put this together so the Board members would have an idea of what rules are coming down the next meetings and months for this calendar year, so that's really helpful. Thank you.

MR. MATHEIUS: Madam Chair, we are just going to have two more that we could briefly - Jon Kenning could probably, at least one for sure I know he can discuss.

MR. KENNING: Madam Chair, members of the Board, my name is Jon Kenning. I am the Bureau Chief of the Water Protection Bureau.

Coming up in June, we hope to have a rule package on the 401 program. The 401 is part of the Clean Water Act that deals with basically certifying federal projects in water ways, such as Army Corps projects, or hydroelectric dams. When they put those projects in, the state has the right to condition those projects to make sure they're protecting Water Quality.

Currently, our rules, the timelines in our rules do not line up with the Federal rules, so we have to make a decision before we even know what the project is. And so we hope to come to you with a rule package to rectify that.

CHAIR DEVENY: Thank you, Jon. Is that it, George?

MR. MATHEIUS: Yes.
CHAIR DEVENY: Do any Board members have any questions about this, or can we move on to the actual rulemaking?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: I don't hear any, so let's go ahead then, and move on to the rule adoption for the Montana Ambient Air Monitoring Program.

MS. CLERGET: No, I think you're on the briefing items. You're getting ahead of yourself.

CHAIR DEVENY: Yes, I am. We have to go to the briefing items first. I'll turn it over to Sarah to talk about contested case updates.

MS. CLERGET: A few updates I have orally for you that are a little different than what's on the agenda, so I'm going to try and go through these relatively quickly.

Columbia Falls, you know where we are in that. I'm reviewing the hearing, so that's going to take a little bit, and then we have to do an order and exceptions. So it's probably going to be a few meetings before that's done.

In Copper Ridge, which is the (b) and (c), under "A," those are two cases that are being handled together. They're scheduled for hearing at the end of this month.

Then (d), the matter of Goran has actually been dismissed since we did this agenda, so that's going to fall off your agenda. You won't hear that anymore.
(e), this is on the second page, Oil Field Rock and Logistics, I should be issuing an order on that relatively soon. Then we have exceptions, so hopefully that one will be before you at the next meeting.
(f), Dickinson, that one has actually been dismissed since we did this agenda as well, so that one will fall off the agenda. You won't see it anymore.

Under Section 2, Non-Enforcement Cases, Westmoreland, we have a status update coming from the parties on this one, because there is some
question about whether we need to put in a scheduling order or whether we need to continue with a stay. So I'm monitoring this one, and hopefully I'll have a better update for you at the next meeting.

Signal Peak. I've asked for a status update, or a proposed prehearing order which was supposed to come in yesterday. I don't know if that actually did or not. But the point is we're monitoring that one. It is in a stay, but we're watching it pretty closely.

In Western Energy, that's scheduled for a hearing. It is going to be a big hearing, five days in March. So they're working on prehearing briefs right now.

Montanore, the scheduling order is in place, and they're proceeding based on the scheduling order. So they're in the discovery stage right now.

Laurel Refinery. We have stayed this, but only until February $16 t h$. So they're going to file a status update. We're monitoring that one pretty carefully.

And JR Civil, which is (f) on Page 3, I issued a scheduling order. They asked for a two week delay while they work on some settlement discussions, which $I$ granted, but it shouldn't mess with the scheduling overall, so they're still proceeding until $I$ hear otherwise, based on the scheduling order.

In Section 3, this is a new case that you need to assign, and if you want to assign it, I'll remind you that your options are you can keep it; you can keep it for substantive decisions and give it to a Hearing Examiner for procedural matters; or you can hand it over to the Hearing Examiner for procedural and substantive matters.

So that should be in your packet pages -- I'm sorry. I'm getting ahead of myself. No, this is DEQ's update. It's a new contested case, so it's not until later. Sorry. I'm turning it over to John North for an update on this one.

MR. NORTH: Madam Chair, members of the Board, John North, Chief Legal Counsel at DEQ.

Actually there has been something occurred since this was given, was prepared two weeks ago, and that is the parties did reach settlement on the attorneys fees, and the court has entered judgment in accordance with the settlement.

So I suspect that perhaps next week a notice of entry of judgment will be entered, and then the case, I suspect, will be appealed to the Montana Supreme Court. So $I$ would expect by next Board meeting, the report will be that it's in the Supreme Court and in the briefing phase.

CHAIR DEVENY: Thank you, John.
MS. CLERGET: That's all for me at this point. I apologize. The new contested case comes under Action Items later.

CHAIR DEVENY: Thank you, Sarah. Any questions from Board members about Sarah's update?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Or John's update.
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Hearing none, we will move on now to the Montana Ambient Air Monitoring Program Rules.

MR. MATHEIUS: Yes, Madam Chair.
MS. ACKERLUND: Madam Chair, members of the Board, my name is Julie Ackerlund. I'm with the Department's Air Quality Bureau. Ackerlund is spelled $A-C-K-E-R-L-U-N-D$.

And I'm here today to ask the Board to adopt rulemaking to incorporate by reference up to
date editions of the Federal Air Quality Regulations and Contact Information that we have in the Administrative Rules of Montana, and to adopt the rulemaking to incorporate the 2017 Montana Ambient Air Monitoring Program, Quality Assurance Project Plan, also affectionately known as QAPP.

Annually the Department requests rulemaking to ensure that Montana's air quality rules are at least as stringent as the Federal regulations, which allows Montana to continue to have administrative authority to implement and enforce Federal emission standards.

The Board initiated rulemaking on September 29th of 2017 . We received no public comments, and the Department did submit supporting comments. The Department requests that the Board adopt the rules as proposed, and that they adopt the stringency and takings analysis that's in your Board packet. Thank you.

CHAIR DEVENY: Thank you, Julie. Are there questions from Board members of Ms. Ackerlund or other $D E Q$ representatives about these proposed rules? We did have a presentation on this $I$ believe at the September meeting.
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Hearing none, before I proceed, there have been some additional folks that came into the room since we had people identify themselves, so if people could do that for the record, it would be very helpful. We'll start on this side of the room. Ed, do you want to start. We're just identifying new people.

MR. THAMKE: Good morning, everybody. My name is Ed Thamke. I'm the Waste and Underground Tank Management Bureau Chief for the Department.

MR. COLEMAN: And I'm Ed Coleman. I'm the Bureau Chief over the Coal and Opencut Mining Bureau.

MS. BAWDEN: Susan Bawden, DEQ Enforcement.

MR. MULLEN: Norm Mullen. I'm the Air Quality and Energy attorney for DEQ.

CHAIR DEVENY: Are there other folks that came in since we last asked?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Okay. Thank you. So we've had no questions from the Board members. Are there any comments from the public on the
proposed air quality rules?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Hearing and seeing none, I guess $I$ would like the Board to entertain a motion, if there is.

MR. DEARMENT: I move that we adopt the rules.

CHAIR DEVENY: I would second that motion. Is there discussion about the motion?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Hearing none, we'll take a vote. All those in favor of adopting these rules, please signify by saying aye.
(Response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Any opposed?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Hearing none, the motion passes, and the air quality rules have been adopted. Thank you. So now, Sarah, we'll move on to the new contested case that we have before us.

MS. CLERGET: Yes. So under Action
Items Section III.B(1), you have a new contested case that needs to be assigned. And the materials on this case are in your packet, so it should be pages -- they're not numbered, but the second sort
of pink section in your binder, the Matter of Notice of Appeal and Request for Hearing by CMG Construction.

CHAIR DEVENY: Give everybody a few seconds to find that, if we could.

MS. CLERGET: Sure.
CHAIR DEVENY: Go ahead.
MS. CLERGET: So I'll remind you your options are the three $I$ discussed before, and you just need to do whichever of those options by a seconded motion.

CHAIR DEVENY: Is there discussion by Board members on this new contested case?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: I would like to move that we assign this case to Sarah, our Board attorney.

MS. CLERGET: For substantive and procedural purposes?

CHAIR DEVENY: Yes, for both procedural and substantive.

MS. HANSON: Second.
CHAIR DEVENY: It's been moved and seconded. Is there any discussion on this motion?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Hearing none, we'll take
a vote. All those in favor, say aye.
(Response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Any opposed?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Motion passes.
MS. CLERGET: Just another note. There is a new contested case that came in, but it came in after this agenda had closed, so you'll be hearing about it at your next meeting. But just an FYI that it's coming. I think that's all for new cases.
(9:26 a.m.)
(Board heard oral arguments
in BER 2015-08JV, Payne Logging
and BER 2017-020C, Wagoner Family Partnership and reconvened at 11:14 a.m.)

*     *         *             *                 * 

CHAIR DEVENY: Okay. Moving on to our next agenda item, we have Board Counsel update from Sarah.

MS. CLERGET: The main thing in this section is the policy that we've put before you guys. This is a draft policy. It's entirely meant to be something that you guys can consider, and change as you see fit.

I put in the materials there is the -there should be the old policy as well as the new one, so you kind of see a difference. We did red line changes, but then the number of red line changes actually broke words, so I decided not to give you the red line version because it was incomprehensible. So you have just the two versions you can kind of compare in general.

The biggest difference with this policy is the timeline of submitting things to the Board before a meeting. Right now, the policy is that it is five weeks before a meeting everything has to be submitted; and this pushes it back for the public to two weeks before; and then materials are posted on the website at least a week before the meetings.

Chris and $I$ have had some discussion and disagreement about how long you guys need with materials. This meeting was a good indication of the heft that some of your materials can have. As you can tell from the amount that we end up modifying stuff, even orally as it comes to you, is sort of a moving target all the time.

So at some point, I'm going to want more time always because I'm going to want you to have
the most up to date information, and we just sort of cut it off. So $I$ would like to push that you don't get the materials before a week before the meeting, because with my experience with the Board, usually people don't look at it until a couple days before the meeting.

Chris is very certain that everybody is going to read the materials two weeks in advance, so she wants them two weeks in advance. And $I$ can understand why, given -- you can see how big they can get. So it is sort of your pleasure.

In this policy there is not a deadline on purpose for your Hearing Examiner to put stuff in, or for the Board secretary to put stuff in the materials. So this policy would allow us to update materials right up to the meeting if we needed to, and only sets a deadline for the public.

So all of that is to say that if you want to put a deadiine for us in the policy, you can. If you want to just give us some direction about what you would like orally, that will work, too. I'm trying to just go into the policy with your eyes wide open about what $I$ have and have not put in there.

For the purpose of the public, though, it does put a two week deadline in, that anything that doesn't get to the Board within two weeks before a meeting, the Board has the discretion to not consider because it is untimely. And that is very clearly a "may." You certainly can consider something that comes in within two weeks of a meeting if you want to, even based on this policy, but it gives you a ground to refuse something if it is too big or for whatever reason.

MS. HANSON: When the public submits something, is it just automatically added to the Board materials, or does staff review it, and go through it, and maybe have to respond to it also?

MS. CLERGET: It depends. Currently the way it works is usually it is submitted to Lindsay, and then Lindsay gives a heads up to me, John, George, anybody else who might need to look at it, but we've sort of been doing it on a case-by-case basis.

This would in my mind formalize that process a little bit, so that everything absolutely comes to Lindsay, and then she can triage it from there. My concern is that right now $I$ don't want people submitting things directly
to Board members, which is a potential without this policy, is my concern.

MS. HANSON: Which I agree with. I guess my question was more related to if they turn it in two weeks in advance, and you guys are -let's say we decide a week in advance things are posted. Is that enough time for you guys to do the components you may need to do for it before it is posted to the Board?

MS. CLERGET: I think so, but I'd ask Lindsay if she has any other feelings.

MS. FORD: Yes, that's more than enough time for me.

MS. CLERGET: I speak for Aleisha also.
MS. HANSON: That's fine. I just know sometimes people can turn stuff in that requires a lot of work, and want to make sure it is not putting a burden on you guys if that time period has been shortened.

MS. CLERGET: I think two weeks gives us enough time from the public.

MS. HANSON: I can only speak for myself, Chris, but $I$ read the materials last night.

MR. BUSBY: I had trouble downloading it
in 40 pages. I've had trouble at home. I can't handle -- my stuff can't handle big things. You know, the small stuff, two or three pages that come out, $I$ can get that, but the big ones $I$ can't.

CHAIR DEVENY: Dexter, you can request that they be sent to you via mail, and $I$ think it's perfectly fine to do, and that is another reason why you need a little bit more time.

MR. BUSBY: Lindsay is very helpful, so that's that --

CHAIR DEVENY: Sarah, just a question. You and $I$ and Aleisha sat down and talked about this a couple weeks ago, and at the time we were going to take out the informally and formally submitting information. You left that in here. Is there a --

MS. CLERGET: That was just a mistake.
CHAIR DEVENY: So I would suggest that we take that out, because you either submit information or you don't.

MS. CLERGET: Right. Just an oversight. Sorry.

CHAIR DEVENY: Chris, I'm curious.
You've been on the Board before. None of the rest
of us have. What do you feel about having this, 1 guess, much more strategic policy in place versus what was done by previous Hearing Officers, Board attorneys, and Hearing Officers, and Board members before?

MR. TWEETEN: Madam Chair, first of all, I'm inclined in matters of procedure to -- I guess my default is to defer to the staff, and Madam Chair, and our Counsel, with respect to what works best for them. So unless $I$ saw some serious flaw in it, $I$ would be inclined to want to accept your proposals.

As has been discussed, $I$ think it is very much an individual thing as to how individual members of the Board process these materials, and what amount of time they're going to need to prepare for the meetings. I'm a natural procrastinator, so a week would be fine for me, but other Board members might feel differently about it.

> I guess at this point I'm inclined to vote to adopt the proposal, but $I$ would certainly defer to other -- I mean if it's going to cause a burden on other members of the Board to change this timeline, then $I$ would suggest we leave it
the same.
But $I$ guess $I$ haven't heard that.
Dexter, $I$ don't know whether receiving these materials by mail a week before would be satisfactory for you or not.

So I'd like just to hear from the rest of the Board as to what their preferences are.

MR. BUSBY: Chris, $I$ think a week in advance of the Board meeting would actually be better. Then $I$ wouldn't forget about getting through them.

CHAIR DEVENY: John, what are your thoughts, Dearment?

MR. DEARMENT: A week is fine for me. CHAIR DEVENY: Tim, are you still with us? How about you?

MR. WARNER: I'm fine with the proposal.
CHAIR DEVENY: So looking at No. 7 on Page 2, this is where the difference is, right? Before was there a five week period?

MS. CLERGET: For the public, yes.
CHAIR DEVENY: And now it would just be one week?

MS. CLERGET: It would be two weeks for the public, not in this policy. As a matter of
procedure for you guys, it would be a week for us, for the Board secretary and the Hearing Examiner, to get you your materials a week in advance. But that week in advance deadline is not in this policy. The only deadline that's in this policy is two weeks for the public. So it just more of a working deadline for us.

CHAIR DEVENY: So it is possible you might have them early?

MS. CLERGET: Anything is possible.
CHAIR DEVENY: But it would never be later.

MS. CLERGET: And $I$ do want to make one point that $I$ should have made at the beginning. There is some difficulty with having this as a policy that $I$ want to just make you guys aware of.

MAPA and the case law surrounding MAPA is very clear that things that affect a party's rights need to be done by rulemaking, not by policy. So ultimately $I$ think this is something that you may want to consider moving into rulemaking and not having as a policy.

But at this point I'm comfortable defending it as policy because we need to see if it works for a little while before we go through
the whole process of rulemaking, and allowing that to happen is $I$ think appropriate and reasonable. And I also think that there is an argument that because everything in here is sort of permissive, it is a "may" deadline, it is not a "must," that there is an argument to be made that it doesn't necessarily affect anybody's substantial rights.

So just want to flag for you that I'm comfortable having this as a policy for awhile. I don't think it can sit as a policy forever. And $I$ just want to be clear that if you want it as a policy, there is some danger that it could come back to you as a problem.

CHAIR DEVENY: That said, Sarah, I would like to move then that we adopt this proposed policy, or proposed -- we adopt this policy as a proposed rule, and try it out for the next two Board meetings, and then at our October meeting we come back and decide if we want to put it into formal rule.

MS. CLERGET: So just to clarify your language a little bit. You want to adopt it as a policy until October?

CHAIR DEVENY: That's right, and then a
rule. What do Board members think about it? Would somebody second that?

MR. BUSBY: I'll second that.
CHAIR DEVENY: Discussion?
MR. TWEETEN: Madam Chair, this is Chris. Would you accept an amendment to your motion?

CHAIR DEVENY: Yes.
MR. TWEETEN: That would remove the suggestion that we adopt it as a policy today. That adoption will expire at the October meeting.

CHAIR DEVENY: Yes, I would.
MR. TWEETEN: The reason $I$ say that is that if we decide in October that we want to make it rule, it is going to take us 60 days to probably to go through the rulemaking process, and if it expires in October, then we have nothing in place until we get the rule done. So $I$ would suggest we adopt it as a policy, and then decide in October whether we want to pursue rulemaking to convert into Administrative Rule.

CHAIR DEVENY: I would welcome that amendment to my motion. Is there a second to that amendment?

MR. BUSBY: Yes, I'll second it, just as
an amendment.
CHAIR DEVENY: Discussion on the amendment?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Let's vote on the amendment. All those in favor.
(Response)
CHAIR DEVENY: None opposed?
(No response)
MS. CLERGET: You need public comment before the motion.

CHAIR DEVENY: Before we take a vote -MS. CLERGET: That's okay. You may have to revote if you need to.

CHAIR DEVENY: Is there any public comment on the motion that is before us that we just approved the amendment on?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Hearing none, we will
proceed. Is there any discussion now on the motion that's been amended?

MR. BUSBY: I need to ask Sarah a question. If we decide to take this to rulemaking, does the permissives go away, and it becomes "shall"?

MS. CLERGET: Probably not. We can probably write the rules so that it's permissive. It is going to take a little crafting, but $I$ think that's possible.

CHAIR DEVENY: Further discussion on the motion?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Let's take a vote. All
those in favor of the amended motion before us, signify by saying aye.
(Response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Any opposed?
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Motion carries.
MS. CLERGET: The only other item on the Board Counsel update is just an FYI for the Board that there was a petition for rulemaking that came in again after the materials were ready, and the agenda was done for this meeting. So just a heads up that at your next meeting you're going to get a petition for rulemaking that came in from the public. So $I$ just wanted to let you know that that was happening.
With that, I'll conclude my Counsel
update.

MR. BUSBY: Do we have the subject matter for the petition?

MS. CLERGET: It is from the Cottonwood Council, and it involves a classification of an outstanding resource water. And George is coming back, so he can clarify that for me.

MR. MATHEIUS: Madam Chair, that is
correct. It is outstanding water resource. It is a classification, it is a unique classification for a water body. It is on the Gallatin.

CHAIR DEVENY: We'll look for that at our next meeting then. So Hillary.

MS. HANSON: This is probably not the time, but as we are talking about materials, one thing $I$ guess doesn't need to be a policy obviously, but it would be nice if the whole Board packet could be like one link that you could download the whole thing, instead of everything individually. If there was a way to add that somewhere on the website, that would be super helpful.

MS. CLERGET: We also just talked about getting it Bates stamped first. We'll work on that.

> CHAIR DEVENY: Chris, did you say something?

MR. TWEETEN: No, I'm just musing to myself.

CHAIR DEVENY: All right. At this time, let's open up our meeting to -- unless Board members had anything else to bring up.
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Let's open up the meeting for public comment at this time, if anybody would like to comment on any issues not related to contested cases.
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Seeing none, we will move on toward adjournment. Is there a motion to adjourn?

MS. HANSON: So moved.
CHAIR DEVENY: It has been moved.
MR. DEARMENT: Second.
CHAIR DEVENY: It's been seconded. And we'll have a vote. All those in favor, signify by saying aye.
(Response)
CHAIR DEVENY: All those opposed.
(No response)
CHAIR DEVENY: Meeting adjourned.

(The proceedings were concluded at 11:32 a.m. )
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