BEFORE THE BOARD OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW 1 OF THE STATE OF MONTANA 2 3 4 BOARD MEETING 5) August 5, 2016 6) 7 8 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9 10 Heard at Room 111 of the Metcalf Building 1520 East Sixth Avenue 11 12 Helena, Montana 13 August 5, 2016 14 9:00 a.m. 15 16 17 18 BEFORE CHAIRMAN MICHELE REINHART-LEVINE, 19 BOARD MEMBERS MARIETTA CANTY, CHRIS TWEETEN, ROY O'CONNOR 20 21 (By telephone) 22 23 PREPARED BY: LAURIE CRUTCHER, RPR 24 COURT REPORTER, NOTARY PUBLIC 25

2 WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were 1 2 had and testimony taken, to-wit: * * * * 3 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Now we have a 4 5 quorum, with me, Chris, Marietta, and Roy. That's four out of seven Board members, so with that, I 6 7 can call this meeting to order, and we can start with review and approval of the minutes. Were 8 there any edits to the minutes? 9 10 (No response) 11 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Hearing none, 12 can I have a motion to approve the minutes? 13 MR. O'CONNOR: So moved. This is Roy. CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Is there a 14 15 second? MS. CANTY: I'll second that. 16 17 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: All in favor, 18 vote aye. 19 (Response) 20 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: The minutes 21 have been approved. The next agenda item is 22 contested case updates. Is Mr. Reed there? 23 MR. REED: I am. Thank you very much, 24 Madam Chair. 25 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Thank you,

1

Mr. Reed.

2 MR. REED: As to the enforcement cases 3 that have been assigned to me at this point, (a) 4 Highlander Bar seems to be on track to resolving 5 itself. For both of the Copper Ridge 6 7 Subdivisions, unfortunately the non-contested case resolution was unsuccessful, and so the parties 8 are now on schedule to have a contested case 9 10 hearing sometime next year. That's for both (b) 11 and (c). 12 In (d), in the matter of the violations 13 of the Water Quality Act by Buscher Construction, I should be getting pretrial motions along with 14 15 supporting briefs and exhibits no later than 16 October 12th, and we're on track to have a hearing 17 in this matter on or about October 12th of this 18 year. 19 In non-enforcement cases assigned to me, 20 that's No. 2, in (a), the parties currently remain 21 in compliance with the terms of the stipulation. 22 With (b), Columbia Falls Aluminum 23 Company, we are on track to have our proposed 24 stipulated statements of fact and motions in 25 limine and a prehearing conference with a hearing

4 1 scheduled for November of this year. 2 Heart K Land and Cattle has a motion for summary judgment that's been entirely briefed that 3 4 is currently before me. 5 In (d), an amended scheduling order has been filed in Absaloka Mine. 6 7 In the matter of the notice of appeal in (e), that requires very little comment. 8 The 9 schedule is moving on. 10 In (f), the parties filed cross motions 11 for summary judgment, in Payne Logging. I issued a proposed order granting the Department's motion 12 13 for summary judgment. However, the parties are 14 probably -- Payne is going to take some time and 15 determine whether it's going to ask for a hearing before the entire Board on the matter. 16 That 17 however will not come before the Board prior to 18 its end of December meeting, so I'll keep the 19 Board updated on that matter. 20 For (g), Western Energy Company Rosebud 21 Strip Mine, that matter has been briefed for 22 summary judgment, but we're still awaiting a reply 23 brief. 24 In the matter of LT Trucking, moving on 25 to (h), the matter was appealed by the person that I believe is the Director, or President, or owner of LT Trucking in his personal capacity. However because LT Trucking is in fact a limited liability company, he can't represent LT Trucking, so I've issued a prehearing order as indicated that requires him to find Counsel or the matter is going to need to be dismissed.

8 And a scheduling order was issued in 9 (i), the appeal of the denial of the nondeg review 10 for Lakes at Heron Subdivision on July 13th, so 11 the parties should be moving forward with that.

12 And then under No. 3, the Western Energy 13 Company's BER 2012-12, Judge Seeley has issued an order on summary judgment, but has not entered a 14 15 formal final judgment, so the Board is not really 16 in a position to act on that matter. I've asked Mr. North whether he's inclined to kind of chivvy 17 18 the Judge along, and he's indicated that he's not, 19 so I think the matter will simply stay on the 20 Judge's desk until such time as it returns to the 21 Board. Mr. North is signalling that he'd like to 22 correct me.

23 MR. NORTH: Madam Chair, members of the 24 Board, this is John North. What you said is 25 absolutely correct, but I want to add one thing,

and that is the issue of whether or not attorneys 1 2 fees will be granted needs to be determined before the judgment can become final, and there may be a 3 petition for attorney fees filed soon. I'm not 4 But that will need to be resolved first, 5 sure. and that would be what's holding it up until that 6 7 can be determined. So my only comment to that to 8 MR. REED: the Board is that in my experience, that's likely 9 10 to add a rather significant amount of time for this matter to come back to the Board. 11 12 And that wraps up the contested case 13 Do the Board members have any questions, update. comments, or concerns? 14 15 (No response) 16 MR. REED: Madam Chair, that concludes 17 this portion of the agenda. 18 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Thank you, 19 Mr. Reed. We'll now move on to Item B, other 20 briefing items. I'll turn that over to you, Mr. 21 Mathieus. 22 MR. MATHIEUS: Thank you, Madam Chair. 23 Item No. 1. So in conversations I had with Joan 24 Miles, there was a request to provide a couple 25 briefing items today on specifically Otter Creek

1 and Senate Bill 325. So first up is Mr. Eric 2 Urban. Madam Chair, members of the MR. URBAN: 3 Board, for the record, my name is Eric Urban. I'm 4 5 the Bureau Chief of the Water Quality Planning Bureau. 6 7 So a little brief background on the site specific standards for Otter Creek. 8 The Department reviewed the existing numeric water 9 quality standards for salinity, and compared that 10 11 against a forty plus year data set, and came to 12 the finding that implementing the existing numeric 13 standard was difficult given the natural condition 14 of the water body. 15 So we proposed to the Board a modification of that, to which additional time was 16 17 requested. Given current circumstances at the Otter Creek watershed, pursuing site specific 18 standards is not a Department priority at this 19 20 time, and little work has been done since our last time in front of the Board. 21 22 The work that has been done has been 23 additional data collection throughout the winter 24 months to shore up our data set there, and at this 25 point, our future efforts are working on other

rulemakings that may potentially address similar
issues, and those are the Senate Bill 325 Work
Group and rulemakings for that.

So with that, I think I will leave this briefing quite brief, and turn it back to you. If you have questions, I'm available.

7 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Are there any8 questions from the Board?

MS. CANTY: This is Marietta. 9 I just 10 wanted to ask Eric. We went through this before, 11 and it seems like we just weren't reaching a 12 resolution. So at this point then are we just 13 collecting more data -- if I'm hearing that right 14 -- collecting more data, and seeing what happens 15 with Senate Bill 325 as maybe possibly resolving some of the issues at Otter Creek? 16

17 MR. URBAN: Madam Chair, Ms. Canty. 18 That's partially correct. We are pursuing with Senate Bill 325, and seeing how that will address 19 20 the concerns. As far as data collection, we will 21 be scaling back any future data collection. Our 22 data set is quite substantive, and we will not be 23 pursuing additional information at this time. 24 MS. CANTY: Thank you.

MR. MATHIEUS: Madam Chair, if I may.

25

9 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: 1 Yes. 2 MR. MATHIEUS: This is George. I think simply put, the Otter Creek site specific 3 standards were a priority for us because we had a 4 5 permit waiting, and we wanted to ensure that we could provide the best permit available. And so 6 7 now with that not sitting right at our front door, obviously it is not a high priority for us, and so 8 9 we're using our resources elsewhere, as Eric 10 indicated. 11 And obviously we do anticipate, as we have these conversations in this room with this 12 13 body, about the similarities between Senate Bill 14 325 and the site specific standards on Otter 15 Creek, just from the perspective of dealing with the natural conditions. So we'll focus our 16 17 efforts there. 18 MR. O'CONNOR: George, this is Roy. Are you heading in the direction of making a statewide 19 20 ruling on this, or are you considering still doing

21 it on a drainage basis?

MR. MATHIEUS: Roy, I'll just say that the concept behind Senate Bill 325 was a broader across-the-state look at natural conditions, and trying to set up -- for lack of a better word --

10 sort of a formula for how to determine that. 1 2 We'll always have the ability to even take that a step further, and do site specific standards, and 3 I think we would weigh those on a case-by-case 4 5 basis. And I think probably the driving factor is technically going to be the amount of data, but 6 7 Eric may be able to expand on that better than I, unless you're satisfied with that answer. 8 MR. O'CONNOR: I'm satisfied. I know it 9 is going to take a lot of work and thought about 10 11 I was just curious what approach you were this. 12 taking. Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Mr. Mathieus, 14 Mr. Urban, it seems like that was the extent of 15 the briefing on Agenda Item No. 1. Do we take 16 public comment on Agenda Item No. 1? 17 MR. MATHIEUS: No need to, Madam Chair. 18 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: With that, 19 I'm going to segue into Agenda Item No. 2 20 regarding SB325. Were there additional things 21 that you would like to say about that, Mr. 22 Mathieus or Mr. Urban? 23 MR. MATHIEUS: Madam Chair, this is 24 I believe Myla Kelly is going to speak George. 25 specifically to the progress made in Senate Bill

1 325.

-	
2	MS. KELLY: Madam Chair, members of the
3	Board, my name is Myla Kelly. I'm the Water
4	Quality Standards and Modeling supervisor here at
5	the Water Quality Division at Montana DEQ.
6	The Department has been working with a
7	diverse group of stakeholders to develop rules for
8	MCA 75-5-222, Senate Bill 325, also referred to as
9	the State regulations for natural conditions, and
10	I wanted to give you just a brief on the
11	progression of this effort.
12	So as a little bit of a point of
13	context, in case you don't remember verbatim what
14	that statute involves, the statute is divided into
15	two sections. Part 1 we refer to as the
16	non-anthropogenic section, and that section
17	specifies that the Department may not apply a
18	standard to a water body that is more stringent
19	than the non-anthropogenic or the non-human caused
20	condition of the water body.
21	So in a scenario where we have a
22	parameter iron, arsenic, salinity, whatever
23	that parameter may be and the current water
24	quality standard is more stringent than the
25	non-anthropogenic condition, the standard would be

12 1 the non-anthropogenic condition. So that's Part 1 2 of the statute.

Then Part 2 of the statute is what we 3 refer to as the variance section, and that section 4 5 specifies that in a scenario where we have a water quality standard that, again, is more stringent 6 7 than the condition of a water body, but Part 1 does not apply, so it is not a result of a 8 9 non-anthropogenic cause. In that case, the Board would adopt rules to issue a variance from the 10 11 standards under the condition that, No. 1, the water body condition cannot be remediated during 12 13 the permit term, and No. 2, the discharge won't materially contribute to the condition. So that's 14 15 the statute itself in the two parts.

16 So to develop rules to actually 17 implement the statute, the Department has convened 18 a Senate Bill 325 Work Group that's comprised of 19 representatives of the Northern Plains Resource 20 Council, the Montana Mining Association, the 21 Treasure State Resource Industry Council, the 22 Montana Coal Council, the Clark Fork Coalition, 23 the Tongue River Water Users Group, Montana 24 Environmental Information Center, Montana 25 Stockgrowers, Montana League of Cities and Towns,

13 1 Montana Association of Conservation Districts, 2 representatives of the oil and gas and forestry 3 industries, as well as our own Department 4 technical staff and EPA representatives.

5 So we meet monthly, and that process 6 began in January, so we're about seven months into 7 the process, and the members of the work group 8 have been engaged and present at the meetings 9 throughout the entirety.

10 The scope of the effort is significant, 11 and we optimistically began with a one year time 12 frame from our point of inception of the work 13 group to an actual Senate Bill 325 rulemaking 14 package, where we had buy-in from the work group. 15 We began with tackling what we thought was sort of the easier bit of rulemaking, and that was the 16 17 Part 2 and the variance section.

We now have draft rule language on which we're addressing work group comments, and we have a draft guidance document which will support that rule language.

With regards to Part 1, we spent a significant amount of time discussing various approaches on just how to determine what a non-anthropogenic condition is. This 14 1 demonstration of natural or non-anthropogenic is 2 not new to the Department, and the methods that we 3 have utilized and developed up to this point 4 include modeling, mass balance equations, and 5 comparison to reference conditions.

So we have spent quite a bit of time 6 7 talking about those different methodologies. We've drafted some rule language that's undergoing 8 internal Department review, and we've queried on 9 monitoring and assessment, as well as our TMDL 10 11 programs, to get an idea of what those particular 12 parameters are that they're seeing out on the 13 ground that are often higher than our standards, 14 without seeming to be influenced by anthropogenic 15 conditions, and that list included iron, salinity, and aluminum. 16

17 So our next steps include finalizing our 18 Part 2 rules and guidance, and then further 19 refining our Part 1 rules, and developing an 20 associated supporting document which would be a 21 circular to support those Part 1 rules. 22 Any guestions?

23CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Are there any24questions from the Board?

25

MS. CANTY: This is Marietta again. I

1 was just wondering. So you think you have sort of 2 draft guidance of the first part; is that right? 3 I'm just wondering when we'll see that, or when we 4 can expect schedule wise.

5 MS. KELLY: Sure. Thank you. We will be -- I think that we would provide that to the 6 7 Board once we had a buy-in and okay from the work I don't know. I'm not sure what the 8 aroup. 9 schedule would be for that. Maybe if we would --I guess that's a question, if we would do that in 10 11 parts.

12 This is George. MR. MATHIEUS: I think 13 first off, our goal is to ensure that we provide a rule package to the Board that's gained unanimous 14 15 support. As Myla has alluded to, we want to just 16 ensure that we get all of the information needed 17 to the Board in adequate time before we would ever 18 propose rulemaking, so that the Board is well 19 versed in the subject matter. And I think at this 20 point it is just a little hard to determine what 21 the time frame of that is, because you heard the 22 size of the work group, and those things could all 23 take more time, but I think in the end, they're 24 worth it.

25

MS. CANTY: Okay. Thank you.

16 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: 1 Are there 2 additional questions from Board members? 3 (No response) CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Mr. Mathieus, 4 5 Ms. Kelly, I have a question. Do you have a sense of about how many streams this would apply to? 6 7 MS. KELLY: No, we have not gone down that road yet. 8 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: When do you 9 anticipate looking at that question about how many 10 11 streams currently exceed standards in their 12 natural conditions? 13 MS. KELLY: Well, I think the first 14 heavy part in that question is determining how we 15 figure out what's anthropogenic and what's not 16 anthropogenic, and so when we get to the point 17 where we have a process that's approved by the 18 work group members and also by EPA, where we do 19 have that buy-in and that approval process on how 20 we determine that, then we could take the step as 21 far as determining which streams or water bodies 22 qualified under that process. 23 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Okay. Thank 24 you. Anything else, Ms. Kelly or Mr. Mathieus? 25 MS. KELLY: No.

17 MR. MATHIEUS: 1 Not from me. 2 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Okay. With that, let's move on to Briefing Item No. 3. 3 MR. MATHIEUS: Madam Chair, Chief Legal 4 5 Counsel John North. Madam Chair, members of the MR. NORTH: 6 7 Board, I think you have a letter dated July 12th of this year in your packet from the Director, and 8 that's the basis or the subject matter of this 9 briefing. Chairman Miles asked that somebody 10 brief the Board a little bit more on that. 11 12 And this relates to the Signal Peak 13 As you will recall, in January the Board Mine. 14 issued a final order ordering that the Department 15 redo the CHIA for the Bull Mountain Mine, and 16 ordering that the revised findings and the CHIA be 17 completed within 180 days, and that then would 18 pencil out to July 12th of this year. We received a revised application 19 20 including a preliminary hydrologic consequences 21 document, or a probable hydrologic consequences 22 document, and proceeded through the regular permit 23 review process. That includes issuance of a 24 notice of acceptability, and then that triggers a 25 public review period, including the right of the

18
1 public to submit comments, objections, and that
2 sort of thing.

The statute provides that we then must 3 issue the final findings within 45 days. Because 4 5 the public notice was issued in the Roundup paper, which is a weekly paper, we were not able to 6 7 completely synchronize the 45 day period and the 180 day period; and because the 45 day period 8 9 expired on July 8th, which was Friday, whereas the 180 day period expired the next Tuesday on July 10 11 12th.

As we got to the end of the process, we determined that it could actually benefit from the additional four days, and so we contacted the company, the company had no objection for us taking the full 180 days, and so we did that, and we issued the final findings and revised CHIA on July 12th.

You were provided the letter because the statute provides that anytime that we exceed the time limit provided in the statute, we must notify the Board, and so that's what we did on July 12th. That is all I have at this point.

CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Okay. Thank
 you, Mr. North. Are there questions from the

1 Board?

2	MR. O'CONNOR: I've not seen the letter.
3	I don't know if it was in a packet that I missed
4	or what. I would appreciate a copy of it, please.
5	MR. NORTH: We will certainly get that
6	to you right after the meeting.
7	MR. O'CONNOR: Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Any
9	additional questions for Mr. North?
10	(No response)
11	CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Hearing none,
12	let's move on to Action Item 4, Subpart A, New
13	Contested Cases.
14	MR. REED: Madam Chair, if I may. The
14 15	MR. REED: Madam Chair, if I may. The Department received an appeal in this matter, and
15	Department received an appeal in this matter, and
15 16	Department received an appeal in this matter, and then I issued a proposed scheduling order.
15 16 17	Department received an appeal in this matter, and then I issued a proposed scheduling order. According to the proposed schedule filed by the
15 16 17 18	Department received an appeal in this matter, and then I issued a proposed scheduling order. According to the proposed schedule filed by the Department, it was my impression that Big Rock,
15 16 17 18 19	Department received an appeal in this matter, and then I issued a proposed scheduling order. According to the proposed schedule filed by the Department, it was my impression that Big Rock, LLC, was going to be providing the Department with
15 16 17 18 19 20	Department received an appeal in this matter, and then I issued a proposed scheduling order. According to the proposed schedule filed by the Department, it was my impression that Big Rock, LLC, was going to be providing the Department with a more definite statement. I'm not sure that
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Department received an appeal in this matter, and then I issued a proposed scheduling order. According to the proposed schedule filed by the Department, it was my impression that Big Rock, LLC, was going to be providing the Department with a more definite statement. I'm not sure that that's happened, but in any case, the Department
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Department received an appeal in this matter, and then I issued a proposed scheduling order. According to the proposed schedule filed by the Department, it was my impression that Big Rock, LLC, was going to be providing the Department with a more definite statement. I'm not sure that that's happened, but in any case, the Department and Big Rock have provided a proposed schedule to

19

20 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: All right. 1 2 So Mr. Reed, given what you said that last part, 3 may we assign you as the Hearing Examiner in that 4 matter? 5 MR. REED: I'd be delighted, Madam Chair. 6 7 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Thank you, Mr. Reed. Is there a motion to that effect? 8 MS. CANTY: So moved. This is Marietta. 9 10 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Is there a 11 second? 12 MR. O'CONNOR: I'll second. 13 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Thank you. 14 All those in favor, say aye. 15 (Response) 16 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Mr. Reed, 17 you've been so assigned. 18 MR. REED: Thank you very much, Madam 19 Chair. 20 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Moving on to 21 Action Item 4, Subpart B and C, and initiation of 22 rulemaking. 23 MR. MATHIEUS: Madam Chair, this is 24 George. Today Liz Ulrich from the Air Quality 25 Bureau will be presenting this item.

1 MS. ULRICH: Good morning, Madam Chair, 2 members of the Board. My name is Liz Ulrich, and 3 I'm representing the DEQ'S Air Quality Bureau. 4 I'm here today to request that the Board adopt the 5 proposed amendment to an air quality rule related 6 to prevention of significant deterioration 7 permitting provisions.

8 Earlier this year at the April 8th BER 9 meeting, I on behalf of the Department recommended 10 the Board initiate rulemaking to remove the 24 11 hour averaging period from the PM2.5 SMC, or the 12 significant monitoring concentration for 13 particulate matter with a diameter of less than 14 2.5 microns.

15 We didn't receive any comments regarding 16 the proposed amendment to ARM 17.8.818. Upon 17 adoption of this amendment, the Department will 18 submit the revised rule into the Montana State 19 Implementation Plan, ensuring Montana's ongoing 20 new resource review, prevention of significant 21 deterioration program authority. Again, I'm 22 requesting that the Board adopt the amended ARM 23 17.8.818 as proposed in the draft notice for 24 amendment. Are there any questions?

25

CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Are there any

22 1 questions? 2 (No response) CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Hearing no 3 4 questions from the Board, is there any public 5 comment? 6 (No response) 7 MR. MATHIEUS: It doesn't look like there is any, Madam Chair. 8 9 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Hearing no 10 questions and no comments, is there a motion to 11 amend the Administrative Rules as proposed? 12 MR. TWEETEN: Madam Chair, this is 13 Chris. So moved. CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Thank you, 14 Is there a second? 15 Chris. 16 MS. CANTY: I'll second. 17 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: All those in 18 favor, say aye. 19 (Response) 20 CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: The motion to 21 amend the Administrative Rules as proposed has 22 passed. 23 The next item on the agenda is general 24 public comment. Is there any general public 25 comment?

(No response) MR. MATHIEUS: It doesn't look like there is any, Madam Chair. CHAIRMAN REINHART-LEVINE: Hearing none, this meeting is adjourned. (The proceedings were concluded at 9:39 a.m.) * * * * *

24 CERTIFICATE 1 2 STATE OF MONTANA) : SS. 3 COUNTY OF LEWIS & CLARK 4) I, LAURIE CRUTCHER, RPR, Court Reporter, 5 Notary Public in and for the County of Lewis & 6 7 Clark, State of Montana, do hereby certify: That the proceedings were taken before me at 8 the time and place herein named; that the 9 10 proceedings were reported by me in shorthand and transcribed using computer-aided transcription, 11 12 and that the foregoing - 23 - pages contain a true 13 record of the proceedings to the best of my 14 ability. 15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal 16 17 this _____ day of _____, 2016. 18 19 LAURIE CRUTCHER, RPR 20 Court Reporter - Notary Public 21 My commission expires 22 March 9, 2020. 23 24 25

				2	5
		21:17	associated	18:22, 19:1,	6:16, 6:22,
1	7	affixed 24:16	14:20	21:2, 21:4,	7:3, 8:17,
		against 7:11	Association	21:10, 21:22,	8:25, 10:17,
1 [10] - 6:23 ,	75-5-222	agenda [6] -	[2] - 12:20,	22:4	10:23, 11:2,
10:15, 10:16,	11:8	2:21, 6:17,	13:1	bodies 16:21	17:4, 17:6,
11:15, 12:1,		10:15, 10:16,	attorney 6:4	body [6] -	19:14, 20:6,
12:7, 12:11,	8	10:19, 22:23	attorneys 6:1	7:14, 9:13,	20:19, 20:23,
13:22, 14:19,		alluded 15:15	August [2] -	11:18, 11:20,	21:1, 22:8,
14:21	8th [2] - 18:9,	aluminum [2] -	1:6, 1:13	12:7, 12:12	22:12, 23:3
111 1:10	21:8	3:22, 14:16	authority	brief [5] -	Chairman
12th [7] -		amend [2] -	21:21	4:23, 7:7,	[35] - 1:18,
3:16, 3:17,	9	22:11, 22:21	available [2] -	8:5, 11:10,	2:4, 2:11,
17:7, 17:18,		amended [2] -	8:6, 9:6	17:11	2:14, 2:17,
18:11, 18:18,	9 24:22	4:5, 21:22	Avenue 1:11	briefed [2] -	2:20, 2:25,
18:22	9:00 1:14	amendment	averaging	4:3, 4:21	6:18, 8:7,
13th 5:10	9:39 23:7	[4] - 21:5,	21:11	briefing [6] -	9:1, 10:13,
1520 1:11		21:16, 21:17,	awaiting 4:22	6:20, 6:25,	10:18, 14:23,
17.8.818 [2] -	A	21:24	aye [3] - 2:18,	8:5, 10:15,	16:1, 16:4,
21:16, 21:23		amount [3] -	20:14, 22:18	17:3, 17:10	16:9, 16:23,
180 [4] -	a.m [2] - 1:14,	6:10, 10:6,		briefs 3:15	17:2, 17:10,
17:17, 18:8,	23:7	13:23	В	broader 9:23	18:24, 19:8,
18:10, 18:16	ability [2] -	anthropogenic		Building 1:10	19:11, 20:1,
	10:2, 24:14	[3] - 14:14,	background	Bull 17:15	20:7, 20:10,
2	able [2] -	16:15, 16:16	7:7	Bureau [4] -	20:13, 20:16,
	10:7, 18:6	anticipate	balance 14:4	7:5, 7:6,	20:20, 21:25,
2 [6] - 3:20,	Absaloka 4:6	[2] - 9:11,	Bar 3:4	20:25, 21:3	22:3, 22:9,
10:19, 12:3,	absolutely	16:10	become 6:3	Buscher 3:13	22:14, 22:17,
12:13, 13:17,	5:25	anytime	behalf 21:9	buy-in [3] -	22:20, 23:4
14:18	acceptability	18:20	behind 9:23	13:14, 15:7,	CHIA [3] -
2.5 21:14	17:24	appeal [3] -	benefit 18:13	16:19	17:15, 17:16,
2012-12 5:13	According	4:7, 5:9,	BER [2] -		18:17
2016 [3] - 1:6,	19:17	19:15	5:13, 21:8	С	Chief [2] -
1:13, 24:17	across-the-stat	eappealed	best [2] - 9:6,		7:5, 17:4
2020 24:22	9:24	4:25	24:13	can't <u>5:4</u>	chivvy <u>5</u> :17
23 24:12	act [2] - 3:13,	application	better [2] -	cannot 12:12	Chris [4] -
24 21 :10	5:16	17:19	9:25, 10:7	Canty [9] -	1:20, 2:5,
	Action [2] -	apply [3] -	Bill [10] - 7:1,	1:19, 2:16,	22:13, 22:15
3	19:12, 20:21	11:17, 12:8,	8:2, 8:15,	8:9, 8:17,	circular
	actual 13:13	16:6	8:19, 9:13,	8:24, 14:25,	14:21
3 [2] - 5:12,	add [2] - 5:25,	appreciate	9:23, 10:25,	15:25, 20:9,	circumstances
17:3	6:10	19:4	11:8, 12:18,	22:16	7:17
325 [10] - 7:1,	additional	approach	13:13	capacity 5:2	Cities 12:25
8:2, 8:15,	[7] - 7:16,	10:11	bit [4] -	case [7] -	Clark [3] -
8:19, 9:14,	7:23, 8:23,	approaches	11:12, 13:16,	2:22, 3:7,	12:22, 24:4,
9:23, 11:1,	10:20, 16:2,	13:24	14:6, 17:11	3:9, 6:12,	24:7
11:8, 12:18,	18:14, 19:9	approval [2] -	Board [35] -	11:13, 12:9,	Coal 12:22
13:13	address [2] -	2:8, 16:19	1:1, 1:5,	19:21	Coalition
	8:1, 8:19	approve 2:12	1:19, 2:6,	case-by-case	12:22
4	addressing	approved [2] -	4:16, 4:17,	10:4	collecting
	13:19	2:21, 16:17	4:19, 5:15,	cases [3] -	[2] - 8:13,
4 [2] - 19:12,	adequate	April 21:8	5:21, 5:24,	3:2, 3:19,	8:14
20:21	15:17	ARM [2] -	6:9, 6:11,	19:13	collection
45 [3] - 18:4,	adjourned	21:16, 21:22	6:13, 7:4,	Cattle 4:2	[3] - 7:23,
18:7, 18:8	23:5	arsenic 11:22	7:15, 7:21,	cause 12:9	8:20, 8:21
	Administrative	assessment	8:8, 11:3,	caused 11:19	Columbia
5	[2] - 22:11,	14:10	12:9, 14:24,	Center 12:24	3:22
E [0] 4:0	22:21	assign 20:3	15:7, 15:14,	certainly 19:5	comment [6] -
5 [2] - 1:6,	adopt [3] -	assigned [3] -	15:17, 15:18,	certify 24:7	4:8, 6:8,
1:13	12:10, 21:4,	3:3, 3:19,	16:2, 17:7,	Chair [20] -	10:16, 22:5,
	21:22	20:17	17:11, 17:13,	2:24, 5:23,	22:24, 22:25
	adoption				

				2	6
comments	contested	denial 5:9	drainage 9:21		15:8, 15:22,
[5] - 6:14,	[4] - 2:22,	Department	driving 10:5	2:17, 20:14,	16:18
13:19, 18:1,	3:9, 6:12,	[15] - 7:9,		22:18	guess 15:10
21:15, 22:10	19:13	7:19, 11:6,	E	fees [2] - 6:2,	guidance [3] -
commission	context 11:13	11:17, 12:17,		6:4	13:20, 14:18,
24:21	contribute	13:3, 14:2,	Earlier 21:8	figure 16:15	15:2
company [5] -	12:14	14:9, 17:14,	easier 13:16	filed [4] - 4:6,	
3:23, 4:20,	convened	19:15, 19:18,	East 1:11	4:10, 6:4,	H
5:4, 18:15,	12:17	19:19, 19:21,	edits 2:9	19:17	. ——
18:15	conversations	21:9, 21:17	effect 20:8	final [5] -	happened
Company's	[2] - 6:23,	Department's	effort [2] -	5:15, 6:3,	19:21
5:13	9:12	4:12	11:11, 13:10	17:14, 18:4,	happens 8:14
compared	Copper 3:6	DEQ 11:5	efforts [2] -	18:17	haven't 19:23
7:10	correct [3] -	DEQ's 21:3	7:25, 9:17	finalizing 14:17	he'd <u>5:21</u> he's [3] -
comparison 14:5	5:22, 5:25, 8:18	desk 5:20 deterioration	elsewhere 9:9	finding 7:12	5:17, 5:18,
-	Council [3] -	[2] - 21:6,	Energy [2] -	findings [3] -	5:17, 5.18,
completed	12:20, 12:21,	21:21	4:20, 5:12	17:16, 18:4,	heading 9:19
completely	12:20, 12:21,	determine	enforcement	18:17	heard [2] -
18:7	Counsel [2] -	[5] - 4:15,	3:2	focus 9:16	1:10, 15:21
compliance	5:6, 17:5	10:1, 13:24,	engaged 13:8	foregoing	hearing [12] -
3:21	County [2] -	15:20, 16:20	ensure [3] -	24:12	2:11, 3:10,
comprised	24:4, 24:6	determined	9:5, 15:13,	forestry 13:2	3:16, 3:25,
12:18	couple 6:24	[3] - 6:2, 6:7,	15:16	Fork 12:22	4:15, 8:13,
computer-aided		18:13	ensuring	formal 5:15	19:11, 19:25,
24:11	1:24, 24:5,	determining	21:19	formula 10:1	20:3, 22:3,
concentration	24:20	[2] - 16:14,	entered 5:14	forty 7:11	22:9, 23:4
21:12	Creek [6] -	16:21	entire 4:16	forward 5:11	Heart 4:2
concept 9:23	6:25, 7:8,	develop [2] -	entirely 4:3	frame [2] -	heavy 16:14
concerns [2] -	7:18, 8:16,	11:7, 12:16	entirety 13:9	13:12, 15:21	Helena 1:12
6:14, 8:20	9:3, 9:15	developed	Environmental	Friday 18:9	hereby 24:7
concluded	cross 4:10	14:3	[2] - 1:1,	front [2] -	herein 24:9
23:6	CRUTCHER	developing	12:24	7:21, 9:7	hereunto
concludes	[3] - 1:23,	14:19	EPA [2] -	full 18:16	24:15
6:16	24:5, 24:19	diameter 21:13	13:4, 16:18 equations	future [2] - 7:25, 8:21	Heron 5:10 higher 14:13
condition [9] - 7:13, 11:20,	curious 10:11 current [2] -	difficult 7:13	14:4	1.20, 0.21	Highlander
11:25, 12:1,	7:17, 11:23	direction	Eric [5] - 7:1,		3:4
12:7, 12:11,	currently [3] -	9:19	7:4, 8:10,	G	holding 6:6
12:12, 12:14,	3:20, 4:4,	Director [2] -	9:9, 10:7	gained 15:14	hour 21:11
13:25	16:11	5:1, 17:8	Examiner [2] -	gas 13:2	however [3] -
conditions		discharge	19:25, 20:3	general [2] -	4:13, 4:17,
[6] - 9:16,	D	12:13	exceed [2] -	22:23, 22:24	5:2
9:24, 11:9,		discussing	16:11, 18:20	George [5] -	hydrologic
14:5, 14:15,	data [9] -	13:23	exhibits 3:15	9:2, 9:18,	[2] - 17:20,
16:12	7:11, 7:23,	dismissed	existing [2] -	10:24, 15:12,	17:21
conference	7:24, 8:13,	5:7	7:9, 7:12	20:24	
3:25	8:14, 8:20,	Districts 13:1	expand 10:7	given [3] -	I
consequences	8:21, 8:22,	diverse 11:7	expect 15:4	7:13, 7:17,	
[2] - 17:20,	10:6	divided 11:14	experience	20:2	idea 14:11
17:21	dated 17:7	Division 11:5	6:9	goal 15:13	implement
Conservation	dealing 9:15 December	document [4] - 13:20,	expired [2] - 18:9, 18:10	gone 16:7 granted 6:2	12:17 Implementation
considering	4:18	14:20, 17:21,	expires 24:21	granting 4:12	21:19
9:20	definite	17:22	extent 10:14	ground 14:13	implementing
Construction	19:20	door 9:7		group [11] -	7:12
3:13	delighted	draft [4] -	F	8:3, 11:7,	impression
contacted	20:5	13:18, 13:20,		12:18, 12:23,	19:18
18:14	demonstration	15:2, 21:23	factor 10:5	13:7, 13:13,	inception
contain 24:12	14:1	drafted 14:8	Falls 3:22	13:14, 13:19,	13:12

$ \begin{array}{ l $					2	7
$ \begin{array}{ l $	inclined 5:17	5:24, 17:5		Metcalf 1:10		October [2] -
included Judge's 5:20 Madam [20] - methods 14:15 named 24:3 optimistically 17:20	include [2] -	Judge [2] -	M	methodologies	N	3:16, 3:17
$ \begin{array}{ $	included	Judge's 5:20		methods 14:2		ongoing
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	14:15					
$ \begin{array}{ \textbf{ncluding [2] - 1}{ 17.20, $	includes	4:3, 4:11,	6:16, 6:22,	1:18		optimistically
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		4:13, 4:22,	7:3, 8:17,	microns	9:24, 11:9,	13:11
$ \begin{array}{ lclclcled}{ lclclcled} [3] - \\ lclcled} [3] - \\ lc$	including [2] -	5:14, 5:15,		21:14	14:1, 16:12	order [9] -
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		6:3		Miles [2] -		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	indicated [3] -	July [7] -		6:24, 17:10		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	5:5, 5:18,	5:10, 17:7,	19:14, 20:5,	Mine [4] - 4:6,	non-anthropoge	n5:8, 5:14,
	9:10	17:18, 18:9,				17:14, 19:16,
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		18:22				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
		K				
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						owner 5:1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						P
$\begin{array}{ l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l $		10:25				naakaga [2]
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		L				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					[0.17, 0.21, 5.22	
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						
issue [3] - 6:1, 12:10, 18:4 later 3:15 LAURIE [3] - 1:23, 24:5, 13:8:4 17:4, 20:23, 22:7, 23:2 21:18, 24:2, 24:7 Northern 12:19 parameters 14:12 issued [9] - 4:11, 5:5, 5:8, 5:13, 19:23 12:4;19 12:19 notana's 24:10 notana's 4:11, 5:5, 5:8, 5:13, 19:23 League 12:25 4:7, 4:16, 4:19, 4:24, 4:25, 19:23 months [2] - 5:19, 6:11, 19:24 notice [4] - motion [6] - 19:25 notice [4] - 4:7, 17:24, 13:6 particular 19:23 16'ts [2] - 17:3, 19:12 15:19, 17:9, 19:15, 19:25, 2:21, 6:19, 19:2 15:19, 17:9, 19:15, 19:25, 19:15, 19:25, 19:12 21:1,4, 20:8, 19:16, 10:19, 10:16, 10:19, 10:16, 10:19, 12:23 16'ts [2] - 11:5, 22:7, 11:stelf [2] - 2:223 16:19, 11:5, 22:7, 11:stelf [2] - 11:stelf [2] - 11:stelf [2] - 11:stelf [2] - 11:stelf [2] - 12:13 Nothern 10:24, 11:3 notice [4] - motions [3] - 11:5, 2:7, 11:stelf [2] - 11:stelf [2] - 11:stelf [2] - 11:stelf [2] - 12:13, 9:12 Notwember 4:1 particular J J 11:4, 12:4 11:8, 19:6, 11:5, 2:7, 11:stelf [2] - 11:stelf [2] - 11:stelf [2] - 12:13, 9:12 Notentern 11:5, 2:7, 11:stelf [2] - 12:13, 9:14, 3:24, 11:2, 13:7, 13:6, 17:13 10:9, 19:2, 19:12 10:9, 19:2, 19:12 11:1, 1:14 J 11:2, 13:7, 13:6, 17:13 11:2, 13:7, 13:6, 17:13 11:2, 13:7, 13:6, 17:13 11:2, 13:7, 10:24, 11:3, 10:22, 11:3, 10:24, 11:3, 10:22, 11:3, 10:22, 12:1 11:21:1, 12:1 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
18:4 1:23, 24:5, matter [18] - Montana's notarial partially 8:18 issued [9] - 24:19 3:12, 3:17, 21:19 Notary [3] - 14:11 4:11, 5:5, League 12:25 4:7, 4:16, monthly 13:5 Notary [3] - 14:11 17:14, 18:5, Legal 17:4 4:24, 4:25, 7:24, 13:6 notice [4] - particulate 19:23 let's [2] - 17:3, 19:12 15:19, 6:11, motion [6] - 4:7, 17:24, 3:8, 3:20, issues [2] - 17:7, 18:19, 20:4, 21:13 22:10, 22:20 notify 18:21 5:11 particulate item [11] - 17:7, 18:19, 20:4, 21:13 22:10, 22:20 notify 18:21 5:11 item [11] - 17:7, 18:19, 20:4, 21:13 22:10, 22:20 November partice [2] - 0:21, 0:22, Lewis [2] - 8:15, 15:9 3:14, 3:24, numeric [2] - 11:1, 4:14 10:16, 10:19, 24:4, 24:6 MCA 11:8 4:10 7:9, 7:12 Payne [2] - 1imine 3:25 11:5, 2:7, meeting [6] - 17:15 0'CONNOR 18:10, 21:11 10:24, 21:2		LAURIE [3] -				
issued [9] - 4:11, 5:5, 5:8, 5:13, 18:17, 19:16, 19:23 24:19 League 12:25 18:17, 4:16, 19:42, 4:25, 18:17, 19:16, 19:23 3:12, 3:17, 4:7, 4:16, monthly 13:5 24:16 Notary [3] - 1:24, 24:6, Notary [3] - 1:24, 24:6, Notery [3] - 1:21, 3, 9:18, Nogang 4:11 November particular 14:11 particulate 121 - 122 - 123 - 123, 125, 12:15 24:20 12:13, 14, 22; November 14:10 November 122 - 22:23 Nime 3:25 1:5, 2:7, November 1:5, 2:7, November 1:5, 2:7, November 122 - 22:23 1:8:1, 10:20, November 0'CONNOR 18:8, 18:8, 18:8, 10, 21:11 13:6, 17:13 1:2[2] - 20:24, 21:2 1:3:8, 18:1, 22:13, 17:22 0'CONNOR 18:1, 21:3, 17:22 13:6, 17:13 Joan 6:23 1:21, 5:3, 5:4 11:2, 13:7, 13:7, 35:14 10:24, 11:3, 0b 18:1 9:15 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
4:11, 5:5, League 12:25 4:7, 4:16, monthly 13:5 Notary [3] - 14:11 5:8, 5:13, Legal 17:4 4:24, 4:25, formula 13:5 monthly 13:5 notics [2] - 14:11 17:14, 18:5, Legal 17:4 4:24, 4:25, 7:24, 13:6 notics [2] - 24:20 21:13 18:17, 19:16, let's [2] - 5:19, 6:11, motion [6] - 4:7, 17:24, 3:8, 3:20, issues [2] - 17:3, 19:12 15:19, 17:9, 2:12, 4:2, 18:5, 21:23 4:10, 4:13, item [11] - 17:7, 18:19, 20:4, 21:13 motions [3] - notify 18:21 5:11 10:16, 10:19, Lewis [2] - 8:15, 15:9 3:14, 3:24, numeric [2] - 4:11, 4:14 10:16, 10:19, Likely 6:9 meet 13:5 motions [3] - numeric [2] - 4:11, 4:14 10:21, 20:25, limit 18:21 4:18, 19:6, 6:19, 17:3, 19:12 17:12 Peak 17:12 22:23 limit 2[2] - 13:8 21:9, 23:5 19:12 0'CONNOR 17:25, 18:7, items [2] - Liz [2] - meetings moved [3] - 2:13, 20:9, 0:9, 19:2,						
5:8, 5:13, 17:14, 18:5, 18:17, 19:16, 19:23 leave 8:4 Legal 17:4 less 21:13 4:19, 4:21, 4:24, 4:25, 5:6, 5:16, morning 21:1 motion [6] - 19:23 months [2] - 7:24, 13:6 morning 21:1 motion [6] - 17:3, 19:12 particulate 21:13 particulate 21:13 issues [2] - 19:23 17:3, 19:12 15:19, 6:11, 1etter [3] - 19:15, 19:25, 2:21, 6:19, 19:2 15:19, 6:11, 19:15, 19:25, 2:212, 4:2, 18:5, 21:23 notice [4] - 4:7, 17:24, 18:5, 21:23 particulate 21:13 item [11] - 10:16, 10:19, 2:22, 10, 2:25, 2:23, 10:15, 10:16, 10:19, 2:223 17:7, 18:19, 19:2 20:4, 21:13 maybe [2] - 8:15, 15:9 motions [3] - 3:14, 3:24, 4:10 notify 18:21 numeric [2] - 17:15 passed 22:22 Payne [2] - motins [3] - 17:15 items [2] - 10:16, 10:19, 2:223 Lewis [2] - 10:16, 10:19, 2:24:4, 24:6 MCA 11:8 meet 13:5 meet 13:5 Mountain moved [3] - 15; 2:7, 11:2 O'CONNOR 19:12 17:12 pernit [4] - 9:5, 9:6, 6 items [2] - 3:5, 12:15 Liz [2] - 20:24, 21:2 meeting [6] - 15; 2:7, 11:2 13:8 meetings 0''CONNOR 19:12 18:10, 21:11 permit [4] - 9:5, 9:6, 6 January [2] - 13:6, 17:13 January [2] - 13:6, 17:13 LT [4] - 4:24, 5:2, 5:3, 5:4 6:13, 7:3, 5:2, 5:3, 5:4 11:2, 13:7, 16:2, 16:18, 10:24, 11:3, 10:24, 11:3, obviously [2] - 9:15						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					1:24, 24:6.	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		less 21:13	5:6, 5:16,		notice [4] -	parties [5] -
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		let's [2] -				3:8, 3:20,
8:2, 8:16 item [11] - 2:21, 6:19, 6:23, 10:15, 10:16, 10:19, 17:3, 19:12, 22:4, 24:4, 24:6 19:15, 19:25, 20:4, 21:13, maybe [2] - 8:15, 15:9 4:12, 20:8, 22:10, 22:20, maybe [2] - 8:15, 15:9 notify 18:21, November 5:11 passed 22:22 10:16, 10:19, 17:3, 19:12, 20:21, 20:25, 22:23 Lewis [2] - 1iability 5:3 8:15, 15:9, meet 13:5 3:14, 3:24, 4:10 4:1 Payne [2] - 17:15, 7:9, 7:12 20:21, 20:25, 22:23 liability 5:3 meet 13:5, 1imine 3:25 meet 13:5, 1:5, 2:7, 1imine 3:25 Mountain 17:15, 1:5, 2:7, 1imit 18:21 11:5, 2:7, 1:5, 2:7, 1imit 18:21 Move [3] - 17:25, 18:7, 20:24, 21:2 13:8, 21:9, 23:5 0'CONNOR 18:8, 18:8, 19:12 J January [2] - 13:6, 17:13 LC 19:19 January [2] - 13:6, 17:13 I1] - 4:24, 5:2, 5:3, 5:4 I1:2, 13:7, 16:2, 16:18, moving [4] - 10:24, 11:3, 0bjections 18:10 9:5, 9:6, 21:7	issues [2] -	17:3, 19:12	15:19, 17:9,	2:12, 4:2,	18:5, 21:23	4:10, 4:13,
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	8:2, 8:16	letter [3] -	19:15, 19:25,	4:12, 20:8,		5:11
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					7:9, 7:12	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	20:21, 20:25,				0	
6:20, 6:25 limited 5:3 21:9, 23:5 19:12 [7] - 1:20, 2:13, 9:18, 2:13, 9:18, 10:9, 19:2, 10:9, 19:7, 20:12 18:10, 21:11 January [2] - 13:6, 17:13 Joan 6:23 11:2, 13:7, 16:2, 16:18, 10:24, 11:3, 10:2						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						
3:5, 12:15 20:24, 21:2 13:8 2:13, 20:9, 22:13 10:9, 19:2, 19:7, 20:12 9:5, 9:6, 12:13, 17:22 J LC 19:19 members 2:13, 20:9, 22:13 19:7, 20:12 12:13, 17:22 January [2] - 13:6, 17:13 LT [4] - 4:24, 5:2, 5:3, 5:4 6:13, 7:3, 11:2, 13:7, 16:2, 16:18, 16:2, 16:18, 16:2, 16:18, 16:2, 16:18, 10:24, 11:3, 10:24, 10						
JLLC 19:19 Logging 4:11 looking 16:10 January [2] - 13:6, 17:13members Logging 4:11 Iooking 16:10 LT [4] - 4:24, 5:2, 5:3, 5:422:13 III] - 1:19, 2:6, 5:23, 6:13, 7:3, 11:2, 13:7, 16:2, 16:18,19:7, 20:12 objection 18:15 0bjections 18:15 objections 18:10 obviously [2] -12:13, 17:22 permitting 21:7 personal 5:2 perspective 9:15						
JLogging 4:11 looking 16:10[11] - 1:19, 2:6, 5:23, 6:13, 7:3, 13:6, 17:13moving [4] - 4:9, 4:24, 5:11, 20:20objection 18:15 objections objections 18:12permitting 21:7 personal 5:2 perspective 9:15	5.5, 12.15					
Iooking 16:102:6, 5:23, 6:13, 7:3, 13:6, 17:134:9, 4:24, 5:2, 5:3, 5:418:15 objections 18:121:7 personal 5:2January [2] - 13:6, 17:13LT [4] - 4:24, 5:2, 5:3, 5:46:13, 7:3, 11:2, 13:7, 16:2, 16:18,4:9, 4:24, 5:11, 20:2018:15 objections 18:121:7 personal 5:2						
January [2] - 13:6, 17:13LT [4] - 4:24, 5:2, 5:3, 5:46:13, 7:3, 11:2, 13:7, 16:2, 16:18,5:11, 20:20 Myla [3] - 10:24, 11:3,objections 18:1 obviously [2] -personal 5:2 perspective	J					
13:6, 17:13 5:2, 5:3, 5:4 11:2, 13:7, 16:2, 16:18, Myla [3] - 10:24, 11:3, 18:1 perspective Joan 6:23 16:2, 16:18, 10:24, 11:3, obviously [2] - 9:15	January [2] -					
Joan 6:23 16:2, 16:18, 10:24, 11:3, obviously [2] - 9:15						-
		0.2, 0.0, 0.4				
	[-]				,	

				2	8
Plains 12:19	[11] - 3:23,	6:16, 6:19,	requesting	14:19, 14:21,	13:10, 13:23,
Plan 21:19	4:12, 7:15,	19:14, 20:2,	21:22	22:11, 22:21	21:6, 21:12,
Planning 7:5	19:16, 19:17,	20:5, 20:8,	requires [2] -	ruling 9:20	21:20
please 19:4	19:22, 21:5,	20:16, 20:18	4:8, 5:6		similar <mark>8:1</mark>
plus 7:11	21:16, 21:23,	refer [2] -	resolution	S	similarities
PM2.5 21:11	22:11, 22:21	11:15, 12:4	[2] - 3:8, 8:12		9:13
point [9] -	provide [4] -	reference	resolved 6:5	salinity [3] -	simply [3] -
3:3, 7:25,	6:24, 9:6,	14:5	resolving [2] -	7:10, 11:22,	5:19, 9:3,
8:12, 11:12,	15:6, 15:13	referred 11:8	3:4, 8:15	14:15	19:23
13:12, 14:3,	provided [3] -	refining	resource [3] -	satisfied [2] -	site [5] - 7:7,
15:20, 16:16,		14:19	12:19, 12:21,	10:8, 10:9	7:18, 9:3,
18:23	19:22	regarding	21:20	SB325 10:20	9:14, 10:3
portion 6:17	provides [2] -	[2] - 10:20,	resources 9:9	scaling 8:21	sitting 9:7
position 5:16	18:3, 18:20	21:15	response	scenario [2] -	Sixth 1:11
possibly 8:15	providing	regards	[10] - 2:10,	11:21, 12:5	size 15:22
potentially	19:19	13:22	2:19, 6:15,	schedule [7] -	SMC 21:11
8:1	provisions	regular 17:22	16:3, 19:10,	3:9, 4:9,	somebody
prehearing	21:7	regulations	20:15, 22:2,	15:4, 15:9,	17:10
[2] - 3:25, 5:5	public [10] -	11:9	22:6, 22:19,	19:17, 19:22,	sometime
preliminary	1:24, 10:16,	REINHART-LEV		19:24	3:10
17:20	17:25, 18:1,	[34] - 1:18,	result 12:8	scheduled	sort [4] -
PREPARED	18:5, 22:4,	2:4, 2:11,	returns 5:20	4:1	10:1, 13:15,
1:23	22:24, 22:24,	2:14, 2:17,	review [7] -	scheduling	15:1, 18:2
present 13:8	24:6, 24:20	2:20, 2:25,	1:1, 2:8, 5:9,	[4] - 4:5, 5:8,	space 19:24
presenting	pursuing [3] -	6:18, 8:7,	14:9, 17:23,	19:16, 19:23	speak 10:24
20:25	7:18, 8:18,	9:1, 10:13,	17:25, 21:20	scope 13:10	specific [5] -
President 5:1	8:23	10:18, 14:23,	reviewed 7:9	seal 24:16	7:8, 7:18,
pretrial 3:14		16:1, 16:4,	revised [4] -	section [5] -	9:3, 9:14,
prevention	Q	16:9, 16:23,	17:16, 17:19,	11:16, 11:16,	10:3
[2] - 21:6,	×	17:2, 18:24,	18:17, 21:18	12:4, 12:4,	specifically
21:20	qualified	19:8, 19:11,	Ridge 3:6	13:17	[2] - 6:25,
prior 4:17	16:22	20:1, 20:7,	River 12:23	sections	10:25
priority [3] -	quality [10] -	20:10, 20:13,	road 16:8	11:15	specifies [2] -
7:19, 9:4, 9:8	3:13, 7:5,	20:16, 20:20,	Rock [2] -	seeing [3] -	11:17, 12:5
probable	7:10, 11:4,	21:25, 22:3,	19:18, 19:22	8:14, 8:19,	spent [2] -
17:21	11:5, 11:24,	22:9, 22:14,	room [2] -	14:12	13:22, 14:6
probably [2] -	12:6, 20:24,	22:17, 22:20,	1:10, 9:12	Seeley 5:13	SS 24:3
4:14, 10:5	21:3, 21:5	23:4	Rosebud 4:20	seeming	staff 13:4
proceeded	queried 14:9	related 21:5	Roundup	14:14	stakeholders
17:22	quite [3] -	relates 17:12	18:5	seems [3] -	11:7
proceedings	8:5, 8:22,	remain 3:20	Roy [5] -	3:4, 8:11,	standard [5] -
[6] - 1:8, 2:1,	14:6	remediated	1:20, 2:5,	10:14	7:13, 11:18,
23:6, 24:8,	quorum 2:5	12:12	2:13, 9:18,	segue 10:19	11:24, 11:25,
24:10, 24:13		remove 21:10	9:22	Senate [10] -	12:6
process [7] -	R	reply 4:22	RPR [3] -	7:1, 8:2,	standards
13:5, 13:7,		reported	1:23, 24:5,	8:15, 8:19,	[10] - 7:8,
16:17, 16:19,	rather 6:10	24:10	24:19	9:13, 9:23,	7:10, 7:19,
16:22, 17:23,	reaching 8:11	Reporter [3] -	rule [6] -	10:25, 11:8,	9:4, 9:14,
18:12	really 5:15	1:24, 24:5,	13:18, 13:21,	12:18, 13:13	10:3, 11:4,
program	receive 21:15	24:20	14:8, 15:14,	sense 16:5	12:11, 14:13,
21:21	received [2] -	represent 5:4	21:5, 21:18	seven [2] -	16:11
programs	17:19, 19:15	representatives		2:6, 13:6	start 2:7
14:11	recommended	[3] - 12:19,	[5] - 13:13,	shore 7:24	State [6] -
progress	21:9	13:2, 13:4	13:16, 15:18,	shorthand	1:2, 11:9,
10:25	record [2] -	representing	20:22, 21:10	24:10	12:21, 21:18,
progression	7:4, 24:13	21:3	rulemakings	Signal 17:12	24:2, 24:7
11:11	redo 17:15	request [2] -	[2] - 8:1, 8:3	signalling	statement
propose	Reed [13] -	6:24, 21:4	rules [8] -	5:21	19:20
15:18	2:22, 2:23,	requested	11:7, 12:10,	significant	statements
proposed	3:1, 3:2, 6:8,	7:17	12:16, 14:18,	[6] - <u>6</u> :10,	3:24

				29
statewide	technical	20:24, 21:1,	6:6, 16:15,	
9:19	13:4	21:2	16:15	
statute [9] -	technically	unanimous	whatever	
11:14, 11:14,	10:6	15:14	11:22	
12:2, 12:3,	telephone	undergoing	whereas 18:9	
12:15, 12:17,	1:21	14:8	WHEREOF	
18:3, 18:20,	term 12:13	unfortunately	24:15	
18:21	terms 3:21	3:7	WHEREUPON	
stay 5:19	testimony 2:2	unless 10:8	2:1	
step [2] -	Thank [15] -	unsuccessful	whether [3] -	
10:3, 16:20	2:23, 2:25,	3:8	4:15, 5:17,	
steps 14:17	6:18, 6:22,	update 6:13	6:1	
stipulated	8:24, 10:12,	updated 4:19	winter 7:23	
3:24	15:5, 15:25,	updates 2:22	wise 15:4	
stipulation	16:23, 18:24,	Upon 21:16	within [2] -	
3:21	19:7, 20:7,	Urban [6] -	17:17, 18:4	
Stockgrowers	20:13, 20:18,	7:2, 7:3, 7:4,	WITNESS	
12:25	22:14	8:17, 10:14,	24:15	
streams [3] -	they're [2] -	10:22	won't 12:13	
16:6, 16:11,	14:12, 15:23	Users 12:23	wondering	
16:21	thing [2] -	using [2] -	[2] - 15:1,	
stringent [3] -	5:25, 18:2	9:9, 24:11	15:3	
11:18, 11:24,	throughout	utilized 14:3	worth 15:24	
12:6	[2] - 7:23,		wraps 6:12	
Strip 4:21	13:9	v		
Subdivision	TMDL 14:10		Y	
5:10	to-wit 2:2	variance [3] -		
Subdivisions	today [3] -	12:4, 12:10,	yet [2] - 16:8,	
3:7	6:25, 20:24,	13:17	19:24	
subject [2] -	21:4	various 13:23		
15:19, 17:9	Tongue 12:23	verbatim		
submit [2] -	Towns 12:25	11:13		
18:1, 21:18	track [3] -	versed 15:19		
Subpart [2] -	3:4, 3:16,	violations		
19:12, 20:21	3:23	3:12		
substantive	transcribed	vote 2:18		
8:22	24:11			
summary [5] -	TRANSCRIPT			
4:3, 4:11,	1:8	W		
	transcription	waiting 9:5		
4:13, 4:22,		wanted [3] -		
5:14 Supervisor	24:11 Troceuro			
supervisor	Treasure	8:10, 9:5,		
11:4	12:21	11:10 watershed		
support [3] -	triggers			
13:20, 14:21,	17:24	7:18		
15:15	Trucking [4] -	we'll [4] -		
supporting	4:24, 5:2,	6:19, 9:16,		
[2] - 3 :15,	5:3, 5:4	10:2, 15:3		
14:20	true 24:12	we're [5] -		
synchronize	Tuesday	3:16, 4:22,		
18:7	18:10	9:9, 13:6,		
	turn [2] -	13:19		
T	6:20, 8:5	we've [2] -		
	TWEETEN	14:8, 14:9		
tackling	[2] - 1:20,	weekly 18:6		
13:15	22:12	weigh 10:4		
taken [2] -		weren't 8:11		
2:2, 24:8		Western [2] -		
taking [2] -	U	4:20, 5:12		
10:12, 18:16	Ulrich [3] -	what's [3] -		
10.12, 10.10				