



# EPA Electronic Reporting

Status, Experience and Trends – 2015



# Speakers for Today's Session



Chris Hiltunen, P.E.  
Field Services Department Manager  
Bison Engineering



Nathan Bartow, PMP  
Project Engineer  
Bison Engineering



# Current Electronic Reporting Programs

## Trends and Observations

- Increase in electronic data and summary reporting to EPA
- Increase in industries subject to electronic reporting requirements
- Custom solutions for each new regulatory program
- Trend towards web-based solutions, away from local software installs



# Current Electronic Reporting Programs

- Acid Rain Program via  eECMPS
- MATS Testing via  eECMPS\*
- GHGRP via  e-GGRT  
Electronic Greenhouse Gas Reporting Tool
- NSPS testing via ERT, CEDRI,  
 CDX
- TRI via TRI-MEweb,  
 CDX

# Proposed Electronic Reporting and Recordkeeping for NSPS Sources

- Published in 80 FR 15100 on March 20, 2015
- EPA proposed to expand NSPS source reporting requirements
- Take effect 90 days after final rule published
- Claim no additional reporting, but state reporting remains unchanged; i.e. both paper and electronic reports may still be required of sources.



# Proposed Electronic Reporting and Recordkeeping for NSPS Sources

## Proposed Submittals

- Excess Emissions and Summary Reports
- Performance Test Reports
  - For ERT supported test methods only, continue to use hardcopy for others.
- RATA Reports
- Additional data as required by state agencies, via PDF.

# Proposed Electronic Reporting and Recordkeeping for NSPS Sources

- ERT sole available tool to generate submittal files to CDX/CEDRI
- EPA will release XML schema to allow third-party software to generate data files
  - Would allow DAHS or plant historians to generate files in lieu of ERT

# Proposed Electronic Reporting and Recordkeeping for NSPS Sources

## Benefits:

- Data availability
- Deduplication
- Standardization
- Remove Human Error
- Emission Factor Updates

## Disadvantages:

- Steep learning curve, high labor/contract costs
- Initial duplication of submittals
- Potential capital expense
- And more...

# Electronic Reporting Experiences

- Each new regulatory program develops a new webpage or software tool
  - Little knowledge carry-over from tool to tool
  - EPA has developed better training for recent programs, e.g. eGGRT.
- Steep learning curves for some programs, e.g. Acid Rain and GHGRP
  - Familiarity with several hundred pages of detailed regulations beneficial



# Electronic Reporting Experiences

- Buggy software solutions
  - Crashes and incompatibilities with Microsoft Access-based ERT
  - SQL database experience with networked ECMPS installation.
  - Slow response to electronic requests for help, phone calls may be quicker during working hours

# Electronic Reporting Experiences

- “Black-Box” calculations performed with no user visibility
- Automated/Minimum QA
- Duplicate Submittals
  - Plan additional time and costs to enter data electronically from hardcopy.
- Manual data entry
  - Error potential
  - Recovery issues



# Electronic Reporting Experiences

- Initial software installations and account authorizations
  - Acid Rain and GHGRP Designated Representatives and Authorized Agents
  - Certificate of Representation
  - Interagency data
  - Electronic Signature Authorizations
    - Hardcopy forms required in some cases



# Electronic Reporting Experiences

- Time Intensive Reporting
  - Some industries do their own reporting
  - Some consultants/CEMS contractors will do reporting for client
  - ERT stack test entry/upload for new user > 8 hours
  - Acid Rain Quarterly reporting > 12 hours
  - Plan ahead, allow lots of time before deadline



# Questions and Discussion



Nathan Bartow, PMP  
Bison Engineering, Inc.  
406-442-5768  
nathan@bison-eng.com

Chris Hiltunen, P.E.  
Bison Engineering, Inc.  
406-442-5768  
hiltunen@bison-eng.com

