

**MONTANA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY  
OPERATING PERMIT TECHNICAL REVIEW DOCUMENT**

**Permitting and Compliance Division  
1520 E. Sixth Avenue  
P.O. Box 200901  
Helena, Montana 59620-0901**

Allied Waste Systems of Montana, LLC  
Missoula Landfill  
SE¼ of the NE¼ of Section 8 and 9, Township 13 North, Range 19 West, Missoula County  
P.O. Box 8449; 3737 Old Coal Mine Road  
Missoula, MT 59802

The following table summarizes the air quality programs testing, monitoring, and reporting requirements applicable to this facility.

<b>Facility Compliance Requirements</b>	Yes	No	Comments
Source Tests Required	X		
Ambient Monitoring Required		X	
COMS Required		X	
CEMS Required		X	
Schedule of Compliance Required		X	
Annual Compliance Certification and Semiannual Reporting Required	X		
Monthly Reporting Required		X	
Quarterly Reporting Required		X	
<b>Applicable Air Quality Programs</b>			
ARM Subchapter 7 – Montana Air Quality Permit	X		Missoula County permit MC2831-02
New Source Performance Standards (NSPS)	X		40 CFR 60, Subpart WWW and Subpart IIII
National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPS)	X		40 CFR 61, Subpart M
Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT)	X		40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ
Major New Source Review (NSR) – includes Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) and/or Non-attainment Area (NAA) NSR		X	
Risk Management Plan Required (RMP)		X	
Acid Rain Title IV		X	
Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM)		X	
State Implementation Plan (SIP)	X		General SIP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

**SECTION I. GENERAL INFORMATION..... 3**

- A. PURPOSE..... 3
- B. FACILITY LOCATION ..... 3
- C. FACILITY BACKGROUND INFORMATION ..... 3
- D. CURRENT PERMIT ACTION ..... 5
- E. TAKING AND DAMAGING ANALYSIS..... 5
- F. COMPLIANCE DESIGNATION ..... 6

**SECTION II. SUMMARY OF EMISSION UNITS ..... 7**

- A. FACILITY PROCESS DESCRIPTION ..... 7
- B. EMISSION UNITS AND POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE IDENTIFICATION ..... 8
- C. CATEGORICALLY INSIGNIFICANT SOURCES/ACTIVITIES ..... 8

**SECTION III. PERMIT CONDITIONS ..... 9**

- A. EMISSION LIMITS AND STANDARDS ..... 9
- B. MONITORING REQUIREMENTS ..... 9
- C. TEST METHODS AND PROCEDURES..... 9
- D. RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS ..... 10
- E. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS ..... 10
- F. PUBLIC NOTICE ..... 10
- G. DRAFT PERMIT COMMENTS ..... 10

**SECTION IV. NON-APPLICABLE REQUIREMENT ANALYSIS ..... 11**

**SECTION V. FUTURE PERMIT CONSIDERATIONS ..... 13**

- A. MACT STANDARDS ..... 13
- B. NESHAP STANDARDS ..... 13
- C. NSPS STANDARDS ..... 13
- D. RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN..... 13
- E. CAM APPLICABILITY ..... 13

## SECTION I. GENERAL INFORMATION

### A. Purpose

This document establishes the basis for the decisions made regarding the applicable requirements, monitoring plan, and compliance status of emission units affected by the operating permit proposed for this facility. The document is intended for reference during review of the proposed permit by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the public. It is also intended to provide background information not included in the operating permit and to document issues that may become important during modifications or renewals of the permit. Conclusions in this document are based on information provided in the original operating permit application submitted by BFI Waste Systems of North America, Inc. (BFI) and received by the Department of Environmental Quality (Department) on June 10, 1997, the Title V operating permit renewal application received on February 6, 2004, an amendment request received on September 20, 2004; and, a de minis request submitted April 18, 2008, and the amendment request, renewal application and supplemental information submitted by Allied Waste Systems of Montana, LLC (AW) received on January 20, 2009, April 10, 2009, April 20, 2009.

### B. Facility Location

AW owns and operates the Missoula Landfill. This facility is located in the SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of the NE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of Sections 8 and 9, Township 13 North, Range 19 West, in Missoula County, Montana. Missoula County is designated as an Unclassifiable/Attainment area for National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for all criteria pollutants except for carbon monoxide (CO) and particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less (PM<sub>10</sub>). The landfill is on the north-northwestern edge of Missoula.

### C. Facility Background Information

#### Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP)/Missoula County Air Pollution Control Permit (APCP):

On December 25, 1994, the Department issued BFI **MAQP #2831-00** to operate a John Zink Company enclosed landfill flare system that included all of the equipment downstream of the gas extraction system at BFI's landfill. BFI uses the landfill flare system to combust landfill gas collected by a gas extraction system. The collected gas is composed mainly of methane (approximately 50%), carbon dioxide (approximately 40%), and other trace gases. The gas extraction system was installed to comply with the Resource Conservation and Recovery (RCRA) Subtitle D regulations, prevent the mitigation of gas into adjacent soils, and remove excess gas from within the waste mass to prevent vegetative stress, control odors, and maintain ground water quality.

On March 20, 1996, BFI requested that MAQP #2831-00 be modified to extend the deadline on the initial testing requirements. BFI had been having difficulties keeping the flare operating continuously. This permit modification issued on April 18, 1996, **MAQP #2831-01**, provided BFI with additional time to perform the initial testing required to monitor compliance with permit conditions. BFI was required to monitor compliance with the emission limits October 1, 1996. This modification did not result in an increase in any emissions from the facility.

On August 21, 1997, BFI was issued **MAQP #2831-02** as a modification. The modification changed the facility's name from Browning-Ferris Industries of Montana, Inc. to BFI Waste Systems of North America, Inc. Also, BFI is subject to the requirements of 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 60, Subpart WWW that were promulgated by EPA on March 12, 1996; therefore, the Department added Section II.A.5 to MAQP # 2831-02. In addition, the rule citations were updated to reflect the new rule citations.

On May 5, 2003, BFI was issued **APCP #MC2831-00** by the Missoula-County Air Pollution Program. This permit modification made the APCP the permitting authority for BFI. According to APCP, MC2831-00 replaced Montana Air Quality Permit #2831-02. The Department has not revoked MAQP #2831-02.

In 2007 BFI changed its name to AW. To reflect this change Missoula County issued **APCP #MC2831-01** on January 25, 2008. The permit modification reflected the name change and updated the permit language. APCP #MC2831-01 replaced APCP #MC2831-00.

On April 18, 2008, AW submitted a notification of de minimis change to permit #MC2831-01 to incorporate vertical expansion of the landfill. The proposed vertical expansion of the landfill increased the total waste design capacity by 0.9 million megagrams (Mg) from a total design capacity of 7.5 million Mg to 8.4 million Mg. EPA's LandGEM Landfill Gas Emissions Model, Version 3.02 was used by AW to estimate potential emissions of the proposed landfill expansion. Modeling results indicated peak potential emissions from the landfill of non-methane organic compounds would occur in the year 2021 at a rate of 21.12 tons per year (TPY), an increase of 1.66 TPY increase from pre-expansion estimated potential emissions. The modeling results indicated that the corresponding peak landfill gas flow rate to the flare associated with the post-expansion model would be 1,612 cubic feet per minute (ft<sup>3</sup>/min), which is within the permitted 2,000 ft<sup>3</sup>/min limitation. Based on this information it was concluded that flare emissions associated with the vertical expansion would not increase as a result of the expansion.

APCP rules do not provide for de minimis changes to permitted activities; however, the proposed expansion did not violate a permit condition or increase the facilities potential to emit. Therefore, no revisions to APCP #MC2831-01 were necessary as a result of the proposed expansion.

On October 13, 2009, AW requested a permit modification to include a portable 52 horsepower (hp) engine used for warming up mobile equipment at the site during cold weather. To reflect these change Missoula County issued **APCP #MC2831-02** on January 8, 2010. APCP #MC2831-02 replaced APCP #MC2831-01.

#### Title V Operating Permit

**Title V Operating Permit #OP2831-00** was issued final and effective on July 30, 1999.

On February 6, 2004, BFI applied for a renewal of their Title V Operating Permit. BFI's Operating Permit #OP2831-00 was applicable for 5 years and expired on July 30, 2004. This action also incorporated changes made under MAQP #2831-02. **Operating Permit #OP2831-01** replaced Operating Permit #OP2831-00.

On September 20, 2004, BFI submitted a request for an administrative amendment to correct and clarify language in Section III.B.11 of Operating Permit #OP2831-01. Section III.B.11 stated, "BFI shall maintain on-site continuous recording charts of the flowrate to the flare and stack temperature of the flare as required by Section III.B.7. The charts shall be reviewed weekly and include the date, time, and reviewer's initials. The temperature shall be logged daily and include the date, time, and reviewer's initials (ARM 17.8.1212)." The condition refers to the flow chart recorder which continually records the temperature, date, and time. However, although the temperature chart may have been checked every day, it was not removed and signed every day (those actions took place on a weekly basis when the chart was changed). The system is designed to shut down during any temperature deviation outside the permitted temperature boundaries; therefore BFI felt it was essentially impossible for the system to operate out of compliance with the temperature requirements and that daily initialization (and therefore, removal) was unnecessary and redundant. The Department agreed, and changed the language to reflect the weekly chart review and initialization, which satisfies the intent of the original condition. **Operating Permit #OP2831-02** on October 29, 2004, and replaced Operating Permit #OP2831-01.

#### D. Current Permit Action

On January 20, 2009, AW applied for a renewal of their Title V Operating Permit. AW's Operating Permit #OP2831-02 was applicable for 5 years and expired on July 20, 2009. The application requested updates to administrative information pertinent to the name change from BFI to AW and addresses requested updated language for notifications and submittals. Also, AW requested removal of the Oil Effluent Water Separator language and conditions in the permit (Condition A.13 and Section III.A) to reflect the fact the facility no longer operates this unit. Also AW proposed updated language for Sections III.B.7. and B.11 to more accurately reflect changes proposed for permit #OP2831-02. Finally, AW requested that Appendix A, Insignificant Emission Units, be updated to reflect current operations at the facility.

The Department has determined that the vertical expansion to the landfill, submitted as a de minimis change on April 18, 2008, will not result in a significant change in potential to emit, that it does not constitute a minor modification; however, pursuant to 40 CFR 60, Subpart WWW the proposed changes constitute a modification. **Operating Permit #OP2831-03** and this document have been updated to reflect the changes in operation at the facility as described in this section and replaces Operating Permit #OP2831-02.

#### E. Taking and Damaging Analysis

HB 311, the Montana Private Property Assessment Act, requires analysis of every proposed state agency administrative rule, policy, permit condition or permit denial, pertaining to an environmental matter, to determine whether the state action constitutes a taking or damaging of private real property that requires compensation under the Montana or U.S. Constitution. As part of issuing an operating permit, the Department is required to complete a Taking and Damaging Checklist. As required by 2-10-101 through 2-10-105, MCA, the Department conducted the following private property taking and damaging assessment.

YES	NO	
X		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation affecting private real property or water rights?
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private property?
	X	3. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership? (ex.: right to exclude others, disposal of property)
	X	4. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?
	X	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant an easement? [If no, go to (6)].
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and legitimate state interests?
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the property?
	X	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property? (consider economic impact, investment-backed expectations, character of government action)
	X	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with respect to the property in excess of that sustained by the public generally?
	X	7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?
	X	7b. Has government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible, waterlogged or flooded?
	X	7c. Has government action lowered property values by more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?
	X	Takings or damaging implications? (Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is checked in response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b; the shaded areas)

Based on this analysis, the Department determined there are no taking or damaging implications associated with this permit action.

#### **F. Compliance Designation**

Since issuance of Operating Permit # OP2831-02 on October 29, 2004, the Department and/or Missoula County conducted 31 compliance action for this facility including six annual compliance certification reviews, three offsite full compliance evaluations, two full compliance evaluations with onsite inspections, four onsite partial compliance evaluations, nine offsite partial compliance evaluations, and observed onsite and reviewed one stack testing event. The most recent onsite inspection at the facility occurred on August 27, 2009, which was included in the most recent Full Compliance Evaluation (FCE) completed on August 31, 2009. The inspection findings and material reviewed for the FCE indicate that the facility is in compliance with the limits and conditions of the Air Quality Permit #MC2831-02 and Title V Operating Permit #OP2831-02.

## SECTION II. SUMMARY OF EMISSION UNITS

### A. Facility Process Description

AW operates a landfill flare system to combust landfill gas collected by a gas extraction system.

#### Gas Extraction System

The gas extraction system originally consisted of approximately 25 gas extraction wells drilled in the existing used landfill area. As the landfill expanded in size, more gas extraction wells have been connected to the extraction system. AW reports that 49 gas extraction wells are currently in operation at the facility. Each gas extraction well consists of 36 inch diameter wellbore, a six inch diameter perforated high density polyethylene (HDPE) well pipe, a gravel pack, bentonite well seals to isolate the well and prevent air from being drawn into the landfill as vacuum is applied, and a control valve to control the vacuum applied to each well. Each of the extraction wells are connected to an underground piping system that transports the landfill gas and associated liquid condensate into a condensate sump. Periodically, the condensate sumps will be pumped into a municipal sewer system. (Note: Previous experience has indicated that the condensate can be treated effectively without causing any adverse impacts on the local wastewater system.) Finally, the landfill gas will flow to the blower building.

#### Blower Building

Landfill gas entering the blower building first flows through a knock-out pot to remove any remaining condensate and then through one of two centrifugal blowers. A fail-closed valve will be located between the knock-out pot and the blowers and will automatically shut if the flare ceases to operate, to isolate the landfill gas from the blowers. The building will be equipped with ventilators to prevent an explosive environment from developing, posted with signs, and secured from the public.

#### Flare System

Start-up of the enclosed flare will begin with a small blower located at the base of the flare purging the flare chamber of fugitive hydrocarbon vapors. After the purge cycle is complete, the pilot management system will ignite a propane stream with a spark from an electronic transformer. Once an ultraviolet (UV) flame scanner verifies the presence of the flame, the landfill gas inlet valve will be opened and one of the two blowers will be started. This will cause landfill gas to enter the flare chamber through a set of burner tips. A flow meter and recorder will be used to monitor the flowrate (maximum of 2000 standard cubic feet per minute (scfm)) of the landfill gas into the flare chamber. AW has the ability with this data to calculate the daily volume to the flare. To prevent a flame from traveling upstream into the blower system, a flame arrester will be located between the blower building and the flare chamber.

A UV flame scanner will be used to detect the presence of a flame in the flare chamber. When a flame is not detected the flare system will automatically shut down and begin an automated restart sequence.

The flare stack temperature will be continuously monitored by a thermocouple mounted near the flare exit and recorded on a circular chart recorder. When the thermocouple detects that combustion is occurring outside of a specified temperature range (1400-2000°F), the temperature controller will transmit signals to an air damper located at the base of the flare. The air damper's actuator will either open the damper to allow more quench air into the flare and decrease the chamber temperature or close the damper to raise the chamber temperature.

The Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) for this facility is "Municipal Solid Waste Landfill" which has a SIC Code of "4953."

**B. Emission Units and Pollution Control Device Identification**

AW operates a municipal solid waste landfill in Missoula, Montana, and emissions are controlled with an enclosed flare. The emitting units are the landfill itself, which is controlled with the flare and is subject to 40 CFR 60, Subpart WWW. The flare is also an emitting unit that must maintain compliance with the opacity, nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), CO, volatile organic compounds (VOC), and hydrochloric acid (HCl) limitations.

**C. Categorically Insignificant Sources/Activities**

The Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.8.1201(22)(a) defines an insignificant emissions unit as one that emits less than 5 tons per year of any regulated pollutant, has the potential to emit less than 500 pounds per year of lead or any hazardous air pollutant, and is not regulated by an applicable requirement other than a generally applicable requirement. The following are the insignificant emitting unit located at the facility.

Emissions Unit ID	Description
IEU01	Commercial Fuel Combustion (engine) <0.50 million British thermal units per hour (MMBtu/hr)
IEU03	Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) Propane Tanks <40,000 gallon capacity
IEU04	Natural gas combustion heaters < 5 MMBtu/hr
IEU05	Space heaters < 0.50 MMBtu/hr
IEU06	5 hp Gas-Fired Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engine (Generator).

## SECTION III. PERMIT CONDITIONS

### A. Emission Limits and Standards

The following emitting units are required to be installed and operated with the equipment listed below.

The landfill is subject to 40 CFR 60, Subpart WWW requirements. The flare is operated as the control device for the landfill. The landfill gas sent to the flare is required to be operated at a minimum of 1400 degrees Fahrenheit and is limited to  $2.88 \times 10^6$  standard cubic feet per day. The flare is limited to a 10% opacity and 0.10 grains per dry standard cubic foot (gr/dscf) corrected to 12% carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), emissions are limited as follows: NO<sub>x</sub> emissions-5.46 pounds per hour (lb/hr), CO emissions-21.84 lb/hr, VOC emissions-0.69 lb/hr, and HCl emissions-1.51 lb/hr. The source demonstrated compliance with these limitations on July 25, 1996, during the initial testing and further testing performed in June 2001 and June 2006.

In additions to these limitations and conditions AW is required to maintain fugitive emission from the facility below 20% opacity through reasonable precautions. Also AW is authorized to operate one compression ignition reciprocating internal combustion engine that is not to exceed 52 hp.

### B. Monitoring Requirements

ARM 17.8.1212(1) requires that all monitoring and analysis procedures or test methods required under applicable requirements are contained in operating permits. In addition, when the applicable requirement does not require periodic testing or monitoring, periodic monitoring must be prescribed that is sufficient to yield reliable data from the relevant time period that is representative of the source's compliance with the permit.

The requirements for testing, monitoring, recordkeeping, reporting, and compliance certification sufficient to assure compliance do not require the permit to impose the same level of rigor for all emissions units. Furthermore, they do not require extensive testing or monitoring to assure compliance with the applicable requirements for emission units that do not have significant potential to violate emission limitations or other requirements under normal operating conditions. When compliance with the underlying applicable requirement for a insignificant emissions unit is not threatened by lack of regular monitoring and when periodic testing or monitoring is not otherwise required by the applicable requirement, the status quo (**i.e., no monitoring**) will meet the requirements of ARM 17.8.1212(1). Therefore, the permit does not include monitoring for insignificant emission units.

The permit includes periodic monitoring or recordkeeping for each applicable requirement. The information obtained from the monitoring and recordkeeping will be used by the permittee to periodically certify compliance with the emission limits and standards. However, the Department may request additional testing to determine compliance with the emission limits and standards.

### C. Test Methods and Procedures

The operating permit may not require testing for all sources if routine monitoring is used to determine compliance, but the Department has the authority to require testing if deemed necessary to determine compliance with an emission limit or standard. In addition, the permittee may elect to voluntarily conduct compliance testing to confirm its compliance status.

#### D. Recordkeeping Requirements

The permittee is required to keep all records listed in the operating permit as a permanent business record for at least 5 years following the date of the generation of the record.

#### E. Reporting Requirements

Reporting requirements are included in the permit for each emissions unit and Section V of the operating permit "General Conditions" explains the reporting requirements. However, the permittee is required to submit semi-annual and annual monitoring reports to the Department and to annually certify compliance with the applicable requirements contained in the permit. The reports must include a list of all emission limit and monitoring deviations, the reason for any deviation, and the corrective action taken as a result of any deviation.

#### F. Public Notice

In accordance with ARM 17.8.1232, a public notice was published in the *Missoulian* newspaper on or before June 1, 2010. The Department provided a 30-day public comment period on the draft operating permit from June 1, 2010, to July 1, 2010. ARM 17.8.1232 requires the Department to keep a record of both comments and issues raised during the public participation process. The comments and issues received by July 1, 2010, will be summarized, along with the Department's responses, in the following table. All comments received during the public comment period will be promptly forwarded to AW so they may have an opportunity to respond to these comments as well.

#### G. Draft Permit Comments

##### Summary of Permittee Comments

Permit Reference	Permittee Comment	Department Response
NA	No comments	NA

##### Summary of EPA Comments

Permit Reference	EPA Comment	Department Response
NA	No comments	NA

## SECTION IV. NON-APPLICABLE REQUIREMENT ANALYSIS

Section IV of the operating permit "Non-applicable Requirements" contains the requirements that the Department determined were non-applicable. The following table summarizes the requirements that AW identified as non-applicable and contains the reasons that the Department did not include these requirements as non-applicable in the permit.

Applicable Requirements		Reason
State	Federal	
ARM17.8.201 Definitions ARM 17.8.202 Incorporation by Reference ARM 17.8.204 Ambient Air Monitoring ARM 17.8.205 Enforceability ARM 17.8.206 Methods and Data ARM 17.8.210 Ambient Standards for SO <sub>2</sub> ARM 17.8.211 Ambient Standards for NO <sub>x</sub> ARM 17.8.212 Ambient Standards for CO ARM 17.8.213 Ambient Standards for Ozone ARM 17.8.214 Ambient Standards for HS ARM 17.8.220 Ambient Standards for Settled Particulate ARM 17.8.221 Ambient Standards for Visibility ARM 17.8.222 Ambient Standards for Lead ARM 17.8.223 Ambient Standards for PM <sub>10</sub> ARM 17.8.230 Fluoride in Forage ARM 17.8.401 Definitions ARM 17.8.601 Definitions ARM 17.8.602 Incorporations by Reference ARM 17.8.801 through 17.8.808 ARM 17.8.825 - 17.8.826 ARM 17.8.1001 Definitions ARM 17.8.1002 Incorporations by Reference ARM 17.8.1004 When Air Quality Preconstruction Permit Required ARM 17.8.1103 Applicability - Visibility Requirements ARM 17.8.1101 Definitions		These rules consist of either a statement of purpose, applicability statement, regulatory definitions or a statement of incorporation by reference. These types of rules do not have specific requirements associated with them.
ARM 17.8.403 Exemptions ARM 17.8.604 Prohibited Open Burning - When Permit Required ARM 17.8.605 Special Burning Periods ARM 17.8.606 Minor Open Burning Source Requirements ARM 17.8.611 Emergency Open Burning Permits ARM 17.8.612 Conditional Air Quality Open Burning Permits ARM 17.8.613 Christmas Tree Waste Open Burning Permits ARM 17.8.614 Commercial Film Production Open Burning Permits ARM 17.8.615 Firefighter Training ARM 17.8.828 Innovative Control Technology ARM 17.8.1005 Additional Conditions of Air Quality Preconstruction Permit ARM 17.8.1006 Review of Specified Sources for Air Quality Impact ARM 17.8.1007 Baseline for Determining Credit for Emissions and Air Quality Offsets ARM 17.8.1108 Notification of Permit Application ARM 17.8.1109 Adverse Impact and Federal Land Manager		These are procedural rules that have specific requirements that may become relevant to a major source during the permit span

	<p>40 CFR 50 National Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards</p> <p>40 CFR 51 Requirements for Preparation, Adoption, and Submittal of Implementation Plans</p> <p>40 CFR 64 Compliance Assurance Monitoring</p> <p>40 CFR 65 Delayed Compliance Orders</p> <p>40 CFR 67 Federal Approval of State Noncompliance Penalty Program</p> <p>40 CFR 71 Federal Operating Permits Program</p> <p>40 CFR 81 Non-Attainment Designations</p>	<p>These rules do not have specific requirements for major sources because they are requirements for EPA or state and local authorities. Furthermore, these rules can be used as authority to impose specific requirements on a major source.</p>
	<p>40 CFR 52 Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans</p> <p>40 CFR 62 National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories</p>	<p>These rules contain requirements for regulatory authorities and not major sources; these rules can be used to impose specific requirements on a major source.</p>
	<p>40 CFR 66 Assessment and Collection of Noncompliance Penalties</p> <p>40 CFR 70 State Operating Permit Programs</p>	<p>These rules do not have specific requirements and may or may not be relevant to a major source and should never be listed in the applicable requirements or non-applicable requirements.</p>

## SECTION V. FUTURE PERMIT CONSIDERATIONS

### A. MACT Standards

As of June 1, 2010, one recently promulgated/revised MACT standard, 40 CFR 63 Subpart ZZZZ (National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines), has been promulgated that potentially affects this facility.

### B. NESHAP Standards

As of June 1, 2010, the Department is unaware of any future NESHAP Standards that may be promulgated that will affect this facility. The facility is currently subject to 40 CFR 61, Subpart M.

### C. NSPS Standards

As of June 1, 2010, one recently promulgated/revised NSPS may affect this facility, 40 CFR 60, Subpart IIII (Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines). The Department is unaware of any future NSPS Standards that may be promulgated that will affect this facility. The facility is currently subject to 40 CFR 60, Subpart WWW.

### D. Risk Management Plan

As of this date (June 1, 2010) this facility does not exceed the minimum threshold quantities for any regulated substance listed in 40 CFR 68.115 for any facility process. Consequently, this facility is not required to submit a Risk Management Plan.

If a facility has more than a threshold quantity of a regulated substance in a process, the facility must comply with 40 CFR 68 requirements no later than June 21, 1999; 3 years after the date on which a regulated substance is first listed under 40 CFR 68.130; or the date on which a regulated substance is first present in more than a threshold quantity in a process, whichever is later.

### E. CAM Applicability

An emitting unit located at a Title V facility that meets the following criteria listed in ARM 17.8.1503 is subject to Subchapter 15 and must develop a CAM Plan for that unit:

- The emitting unit is subject to an emission limitation or standard for the applicable regulated air pollutant (other than emission limits or standards proposed after November 15, 1990, since these regulations contain specific monitoring requirements,
- The emitting unit uses a control device to achieve compliance with such limit; and
- The emitting unit has potential pre-control device emissions of the applicable regulated air pollutant that is greater than major source thresholds.

AW does not currently have any emitting units that meet all the applicability criteria in ARM 17.8.1503 under Operating Permit #OP2831-03, and is therefore not currently required to develop a CAM Plan for the Missoula landfill facility.