



Montana Department of
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Brian Schweitzer, Governor

P. O. Box 200901

Helena, MT 59620-0901

(406) 444-2544

Website: www.deq.mt.gov

July 6, 2011

Mr. David Wiediger
Rock It Crushing, LLC
P.O. Box 308
Darby, MT 59829

Dear Mr. Wiediger:

Montana Air Quality Permit #4533-01 is deemed final as of July 6, 2011, by the Department of Environmental Quality (Department). This permit is for a portable crushing and screening operation. All conditions of the Department's Decision remain the same. Enclosed is a copy of your permit with the final date indicated.

For the Department,

Vickie Walsh
Air Permitting Program Supervisor
Air Resources Management Bureau
(406) 444-9741

Shawn Juers
Environmental Engineer
Air Resources Management Bureau
(406) 444-2049

VW:SJ
Enclosure

Montana Department of Environmental Quality
Permitting and Compliance Division

Montana Air Quality Permit #4533-01

Rock It Crushing, LLC
P.O. Box 308
Darby, MT 59829

July 6, 2011



MONTANA AIR QUALITY PERMIT

Issued To: Rock It Crushing, LLC
P.O. Box 308
Darby, MT 59829

MAQP: # 4533-01
Administrative Amendment (AA) Request
Received: May 17, 2011
Department's Decision on AA: 6/17/2011
Permit Final: 7/6/2011
AFS #: 777-4533

A Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP), with conditions, is hereby granted to Rock It Crushing, LLC (Rock It) pursuant to Sections 75-2-204 and 211 of the Montana Code Annotated (MCA), as amended, and Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.8.740, *et seq.*, as amended, for the following:

SECTION I: Permitted Facilities

A. Plant Location

Rock It proposes to operate a portable crushing and screening operation, which will initially be located at Section 35, Township 5 North, Range 21 West, in Ravalli County, Montana. However, MAQP 4533-01 applies while operating at any approved location in Montana, except those areas having a Department of Environmental Quality (Department)-approved permitting program, areas considered tribal lands, or areas in or within 10 kilometers (km) of certain particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less (PM₁₀) nonattainment areas. *A Missoula County air quality permit will be required for locations within Missoula County, Montana.* An addendum will be required for locations in or within 10 km of certain PM₁₀ nonattainment areas.

B. Current Permit Action

On May 17, 2011, the Department of Environmental Quality (Department) received a letter from Rock It and Two Rivers Sand and Gravel, Inc. (Two Rivers) requesting transfer of equipment ownership from Two Rivers to Rock It. This permit action allows the change, pursuant to ARM 17.8.765(2).

SECTION II: Conditions and Limitations

A. Emission Limitations

1. All visible emissions from any Standards of Performance for New Stationary Source (NSPS)-affected crusher shall not exhibit an opacity in excess of the following averaged over 6 consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60, Subpart OOO).
 - a. For crushers that commence construction, modification, or reconstruction on or after April 22, 2008: 12% opacity
 - b. For crushers that commence construction, modification, or reconstruction after August 31, 1983, but before April 22, 2008: 15% opacity
2. All visible emissions from any other NSPS-affected equipment, such as screens or conveyor transfers, shall not exhibit an opacity in excess of the following averaged over 6 consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60, Subpart OOO).

- a. For equipment that commences construction, modification, or reconstruction on or after April 22, 2008: 7% opacity
- b. For equipment that commences construction, modification, or reconstruction after August 31, 1983, but before April 22, 2008: 10% opacity
3. All visible emissions from any non-NSPS affected equipment shall not exhibit an opacity of 20% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.304).
4. Water and spray bars shall be available on-site at all times and operated as necessary to maintain compliance with the opacity limitations in Sections II.A.1, II.A.2, and II.A.3 (ARM 17.8.749 and ARM 17.8.752).
5. Rock It shall not cause or authorize the use of any street, road or parking lot without taking reasonable precautions to control emissions of airborne particulate matter (ARM 17.8.308).
6. Rock It shall treat all unpaved portions of the haul roads, access roads, parking lots, or the general plant area with water and/or chemical dust suppressant, as necessary, to maintain compliance with the reasonable precautions limitation in Section II.A.5 (ARM 17.8.749 and ARM 17.8.752).
7. Rock It shall not operate more than one crushing plant with a maximum design capacity not to exceed 50 TPH (ARM 17.8.749).
8. Rock It shall not operate more than one screening plant with a maximum design capacity not to exceed 25 TPH (ARM 17.8.749).
9. Rock It shall not operate, or have on-site, more than two nonroad diesel engines. The engines shall have a combined maximum rated design capacity not to exceed 475 hp (ARM 17.8.749).
10. Crushing production is limited to 438,000 tons during any rolling 12-month time period (ARM 17.8.749).
11. Screening production is limited to 219,000 tons during any rolling 12-month time period (ARM 17.8.749).
12. If the permitted equipment is used in conjunction with any other equipment owned or operated by Rock It, at the same site, production shall be limited to correspond with an emission level that does not exceed 250 tons during any rolling 12-month period. Any calculations used to establish production levels shall be approved by the Department (ARM 17.8.749).
13. Rock It shall comply with all applicable standards and limitations, and the reporting, recordkeeping, testing, and notification requirements contained in 40 CFR 60, Subpart 000, *Standards of Performance for Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants* (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60, Subpart 000).

14. Rock It shall comply with all applicable standards and limitations, and the reporting, recordkeeping, testing, and notification requirements contained in 40 CFR 60, Subpart III, *Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines* and 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ, *National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines*, for any applicable diesel engine (ARM 17.8.340; 40 CFR 60, Subpart III; ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ).

B. Testing Requirements

1. Within 60 days after achieving maximum production, but no later than 180 days after initial start-up, an Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 9 opacity test and/or other methods and procedures as specified in 40 CFR 60.675 must be performed on all NSPS-affected equipment to demonstrate compliance with the emission limitations contained in Section II.A.1 and II.A.2 (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60, Subpart A and Subpart OOO).
2. All compliance source tests shall conform to the requirements of the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual (ARM 17.8.106).
3. The Department may require further testing (ARM 17.8.105).

C. Operational Reporting Requirements

1. If this crushing/screening plant is moved to another location, an Intent to Transfer form must be sent to the Department and a Public Notice Form for Change of Location must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the area to which the transfer is to be made, at least 15 days prior to the move. The proof of publication (affidavit) of the Public Notice Form for Change of Location must be submitted to the Department prior to the move. These forms are available from the Department (ARM 17.8.749 and ARM 17.8.765).
2. Rock It shall supply the Department with annual production information for all emission points, as required by the Department in the annual emission inventory request. The request will include, but not be limited to, all sources of emissions identified in the emission inventory contained in the permit analysis.

Production information shall be gathered on a calendar-year basis and submitted to the Department by the date required in the emission inventory request. Information shall be in the units required by the Department. This information may be used for calculating operating fees, based on actual emissions from the facility, and/or to verify compliance with permit limitations (ARM 17.8.505).

3. Rock It shall notify the Department of any construction or improvement project conducted, pursuant to ARM 17.8.745, that would include ***the addition of a new emissions unit***, change in control equipment, stack height, stack diameter, stack flow, stack gas temperature, source location, or fuel specifications, or would result in an increase in source capacity above its permitted operation. The notice must be submitted to the Department, in writing, 10 days prior to startup or use of the proposed de minimis change, or as soon as reasonably practicable in the event of an unanticipated circumstance causing the de minimis change, and must include the information requested in ARM 17.8.745(l)(d) (ARM 17.8.745).

4. Rock It shall maintain on-site records showing daily hours of operation and daily production rates for the last 12 months. The records compiled in accordance with this permit shall be maintained by Rock It as a permanent business record for at least 5 years following the date of the measurement, must be available at the plant site for inspection by the Department, and must be submitted to the Department upon request (ARM 17.8.749).
5. Rock It shall document, by month, the crushing production from the facility. By the 25th day of each month, Rock It shall calculate the crushing production from the facility for the previous month. The monthly information will be used to verify compliance with the rolling 12-month limitation in Section II.A.10. The information for each of the previous months shall be submitted along with the annual emission inventory (ARM 17.8.749).
6. Rock It shall document, by month, the screening production from the facility. By the 25th day of each month, Rock It shall calculate the screening production from the facility for the previous month. The monthly information will be used to verify compliance with the rolling 12-month limitation in Section II.A.11. The information for each of the previous months shall be submitted along with the annual emission inventory (ARM 17.8.749).

SECTION III: General Conditions

- A. Inspection – Rock It shall allow the Department's representatives access to the source at all reasonable times for the purpose of making inspections or surveys, collecting samples, obtaining data, auditing any monitoring equipment (continuous emissions monitoring equipment (CEMS), continuous emissions rate monitoring system (CERMS)) or observing any monitoring or testing, and otherwise conducting all necessary functions related to this permit.
- B. Waiver – The permit and all the terms, conditions, and matters stated herein shall be deemed accepted if Rock It fails to appeal as indicated below.
- C. Compliance with Statutes and Regulations – Nothing in this permit shall be construed as relieving Rock It of the responsibility for complying with any applicable federal or Montana statute, rule, or standard, except as specifically provided for in ARM 17.8.740, *et seq.* (ARM 17.8.756)
- D. Enforcement – Violations of limitations, conditions and requirements contained herein may constitute grounds for permit revocation, penalties or other enforcement as specified in Section 75-2-401, *et seq.*, MCA.
- E. Appeals – Any person or persons jointly or severally adversely affected by the Department's decision may request, within 15 days after the Department renders its decision, upon affidavit setting forth the grounds therefore, a hearing before the Board of Environmental Review (Board). A hearing shall be held under the provisions of the Montana Administrative Procedures Act. The filing of a request for a hearing does not stay the Department's decision, unless the Board issues a stay upon receipt of a petition and a finding that a stay is appropriate under Section 75-2-211(11)(b), MCA. The issuance of a stay on a permit by the Board postpones the effective date of the Department's decision until conclusion of the hearing and issuance of a final decision by the Board. If a stay is not issued by the Board, the Department's decision on the application is final 16 days after the Department's decision is made.

- F. Permit Inspection – As required by ARM 17.8.755, Inspection of Permit, a copy of the MAQP shall be made available for inspection by Department personnel at the location of the permitted source.
- G. Permit Fee – Pursuant to Section 75-2-220, MCA, failure to pay the annual operation fee by Rock It may be grounds for revocation of this permit, as required by that section and rules adopted thereunder by the Board.
- H. Duration of Permit – Construction or installation must begin or contractual obligations entered into that would constitute substantial loss within 3 years of permit issuance and proceed with due diligence until the project is complete or the permit shall expire (ARM 17.8.762).
- I. The Department may modify the conditions of this permit based on local conditions of any future site. These factors may include, but are not limited to, local terrain, meteorological conditions, proximity to residences, etc.
- J. Rock It shall comply with the conditions contained in this permit while operating in any location in Montana, except within those areas that have a Department-approved permitting program or areas considered tribal lands.

Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP) Analysis
Rock It Crushing, LLC.
MAQP #4533-01

I. Introduction/Process Description

Rock It Crushing, LLC (Rock It) owns and operates a portable gravel crushing and screening facility.

A. Permitted Equipment

A portable gravel crushing and screening facility consisting of one screen plant with a maximum rated design capacity not to exceed 25 tons per hour (TPH), one crusher plant with a maximum rated design capacity not to exceed 50 TPH, up to two diesel engines with a combined maximum rated design capacity not to exceed 475 horsepower (hp), and associated material handling equipment.

B. Source Description

Rock It proposes to operate this crushing and screening plant, using the equipment described above, to crush and sort rock into specific sized gravel. For a typical crusher plant operation, material is introduced into a feeder where adequately sized aggregate passes through the feeder onto a conveyor which transports the material to the crushing plant. The conveyor drops the material onto a screen and then it proceeds into the crusher. Crushed material is then sent via conveyor to a stockpile area. A 275 hp diesel engine runs the jaw and roll of the crushing plant. A 200 hp diesel engine/generator supplies electricity for the facility.

For a typical screening plant operation, material will be loaded into a feeder where adequately sized aggregate passes through the feeder onto a conveyor which transports the material to the screening plant. The screen will sort the material into different sizes and convey the sorted aggregate to stockpile areas via conveyors.

C. Permit History

On May 26, 2010, the Department issued final **MAQP #4533-00** to Two Rivers Sand & Gravel, Inc for a portable crushing and screening operation.

D. Current Permit Action

On May 17, 2011, the Department of Environmental Quality (Department) received a letter from Rock It and Two Rivers Sand and Gravel, Inc. (Two Rivers) requesting transfer of equipment ownership from Two Rivers to Rock It. This permit action allows the change, pursuant to ARM 17.8.765(2). **MAQP #4533-01** replaces MAQP #4533-00.

II. Applicable Rules and Regulations

The following are partial explanations of some applicable rules and regulations that apply to the facility. The complete rules are stated in the Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) and are available, upon request, from the Department. Upon request, the Department will provide references for location of complete copies of all applicable rules and regulations or copies where appropriate.

A. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 1 – General Provisions, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.101 Definitions. This rule includes a list of applicable definitions used in this chapter, unless indicated otherwise in a specific subchapter.
2. ARM 17.8.105 Testing Requirements. Any person or persons responsible for the emission of any air contaminant into the outdoor atmosphere shall, upon written request of the Department, provide the facilities and necessary equipment (including instruments and sensing devices) and shall conduct tests, emission or ambient, for such periods of time as may be necessary using methods approved by the Department.
3. ARM 17.8.106 Source Testing Protocol. The requirements of this rule apply to any emission source testing conducted by the Department, any source, or other entity as required by any rule in this chapter, or any permit or order issued pursuant to this chapter, or the provisions of the Clean Air Act of Montana, 75-2-101, *et seq.*, Montana Code Annotated (MCA).

Rock It shall comply with the requirements contained in the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual, including, but not limited to, using the proper test methods and supplying the required reports. A copy of the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual is available from the Department upon request.

4. ARM 17.8.110 Malfunctions. (2) The Department must be notified promptly by telephone whenever a malfunction occurs that can be expected to create emissions in excess of any applicable emission limitation or to continue for a period greater than 4 hours.
5. ARM 17.8.111 Circumvention. (1) No person shall cause or permit the installation or use of any device or any means that, without resulting in reduction of the total amount of air contaminant emitted, conceals or dilutes an emission of air contaminant that would otherwise violate an air pollution control regulation. (2) No equipment that may produce emissions shall be operated or maintained in such a manner as to create a public nuisance.

B. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 2 – Ambient Air Quality, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.210 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Sulfur Dioxide
2. ARM 17.8.211 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Nitrogen Dioxide
3. ARM 17.8.212 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Carbon Monoxide
4. ARM 17.8.220 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Settled Particulate Matter
5. ARM 17.8.221 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Visibility
6. ARM 17.8.223 Ambient Air Quality Standard for PM₁₀

Rock It must maintain compliance with the applicable ambient air quality standards.

C. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 3 – Emission Standards, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.304 Visible Air Contaminants. This rule requires that no person may cause or authorize emissions to be discharged into the outdoor atmosphere from any source installed after November 23, 1968, that exhibit an opacity of 20% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes.

2. ARM 17.8.308 Particulate Matter, Airborne. (1) This rule requires an opacity limitation of less than 20% for all fugitive emission sources and that reasonable precautions be taken to control emissions of airborne particulate matter. (2) Under this rule, Rock It shall not cause or authorize the use of any street, road, or parking lot without taking reasonable precautions to control emissions of airborne particulate matter.
3. ARM 17.8.309 Particulate Matter, Fuel Burning Equipment. This rule requires that no person shall cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere particulate matter caused by the combustion of fuel in excess of the amount determined by this section.
4. ARM 17.8.310 Particulate Matter, Industrial Process. This rule requires that no person shall cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere particulate matter in excess of the amount set forth in this section.
5. ARM 17.8.322 Sulfur Oxide Emissions--Sulfur in Fuel. This rule requires that no person shall burn liquid, solid, or gaseous fuel in excess of the amount set forth in this section.
6. ARM 17.8.340 Standard of Performance for New Stationary Sources. This rule incorporates, by reference, 40 CFR Part 60, Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources (NSPS). This facility is not currently an NSPS-affected source. The following subparts could potentially become applicable to the facility during the life of the permit:
 - a. 40 CFR 60, Subpart A – General Provisions apply to all equipment or facilities subject to an NSPS Subpart as listed below:
 - b. 40 CFR 60, Subpart OOO – Standards of Performance for Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants. In order for a crushing plant to be subject to this subpart, the facility must meet the definition of an affected facility, must have a maximum rated design capacity of more than 150 TPH for a portable facility, and the affected equipment must have been constructed, reconstructed, or modified after August 31, 1983. Based on the information submitted by Rock It, the portable crushing equipment to be used under MAQP #4533-01 is not subject to this subpart because the crusher has a maximum design capacity less than 150 TPH. Since the permit is written in a de minimis-friendly manner, this subpart may apply to facility crushers in the future.
 - c. 40 CFR 60, Subpart IIII – Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition (CI) Internal Combustion Engines (ICE). This rule indicates that NSPS requirements apply to owners or operators of stationary CI ICE that commence construction after April 1, 2006, and are not fire pump engines. Based on the information submitted by Rock It, the diesel engines to be used under MAQP #4533-01 are not subject to this subpart because they were manufactured before April 1, 2006. Since the permit is written in a de minimis-friendly manner, this subpart may apply to facility compression ignition ICE in the future.
7. ARM 17.8.342 Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories. This requires that a source, as defined and applied in 40 CFR Part 63, comply with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 63. This facility is not currently an affected source as defined in 40 CFR Part 63. The following subparts could potentially become applicable to the facility during the life of the permit:

- a. 40 CFR 63, Subpart A – General Provisions apply to all equipment or facilities subject to a National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) Subpart as listed below:
 - b. 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ – NESHAPs for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines (RICE). Diesel RICE are an affected source if they are new or reconstructed on or after June 12, 2006. Any diesel RICE operated by Rock It that is new or reconstructed on or after June 12, 2006 will be subject to this Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) standard if the engine remains or will remain at the permitted location for more than 12 months, or a shorter period of time for an engine located at a seasonal source. A seasonal source remains at a single location on a permanent basis (at least 2 years) and operates 3 months or more each year. Based on the information submitted by Rock It, the diesel RICE to be used under MAQP #4533-01 are not subject to this subpart because they were manufactured or reconstructed before June 12, 2006. Since the permit is written in a de minimis-friendly manner, area source provisions of the MACT requirements may apply to facility engines in the future.
- D. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 5 – Air Quality Permit Application, Operation, and Open Burning Fees, including, but not limited to:
1. ARM 17.8.504 Air Quality Permit Application Fees. This rule requires that an applicant submit an air quality permit application fee concurrent with the submittal of an air quality permit application. A permit application is incomplete until the proper application fee is paid to the Department. Rock It was not required to submit an application fee for the current permit action.
 2. ARM 17.8.505 Air Quality Operation Fees. An annual air quality operation fee must, as a condition of continued operation, be submitted to the Department by each source of air contaminants holding an MAQP, excluding an open burning permit, issued by the Department; the air quality operation fee is based on the actual or estimated actual amount of air pollutants emitted during the previous calendar year.
- An air quality operation fee is separate and distinct from an MAQP application fee. The annual assessment and collection of the air quality operation fee, described above, shall take place on a calendar-year basis. The Department may insert into any final permit issued after the effective date of these rules, such conditions as may be necessary to require the payment of an air quality operation fee on a calendar-year basis, including provisions that pro-rate the required fee amount.
- E. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 7 – Permit, Construction, and Operation of Air Contaminant Sources, including, but not limited to:
1. ARM 17.8.740 Definitions. This rule is a list of applicable definitions used in this chapter, unless indicated otherwise in a specific subchapter.
 2. ARM 17.8.743 Montana Air Quality Permits--When Required. This rule requires a person to obtain an MAQP or permit modification to construct, modify, or use any asphalt plant, crusher or screen that has the potential to emit (PTE) greater than 15 tons per year of any pollutant. Rock It has a PTE greater than 15 tons per year (TPY) of particulate matter (PM) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x); therefore, an MAQP is required.

3. ARM 17.8.744 Montana Air Quality Permits--General Exclusions. This rule identifies the activities that are not subject to the MAQP program.
4. ARM 17.8.745 Montana Air Quality Permits--Exclusion for De Minimis Changes. This rule identifies the de minimis changes at permitted facilities that do not require a permit under the MAQP Program.
5. ARM 17.8.748 New or Modified Emitting Units--Permit Application Requirements.
(1) This rule requires that a permit application be submitted prior to installation, modification, or use of a source. Rock It submitted the required permit application for the current permit action. (7) This rule requires that the applicant notify the public by means of legal publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the area affected by the application for a permit. The current permit action is an administrative action; therefore, no public notice was required.
6. ARM 17.8.749 Conditions for Issuance or Denial of Permit. This rule requires that the permits issued by the Department must authorize the construction and operation of the facility or emitting unit subject to the conditions in the permit and the requirements of this subchapter. This rule also requires that the permit must contain any conditions necessary to assure compliance with the Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA), the Clean Air Act of Montana, and rules adopted under those acts.
7. ARM 17.8.752 Emission Control Requirements. This rule requires a source to install the maximum air pollution control capability that is technically practicable and economically feasible, except that BACT shall be utilized. The required BACT analysis is included in Section III of this permit analysis.
8. ARM 17.8.755 Inspection of Permit. This rule requires that MAQPs shall be made available for inspection by the Department at the location of the source.
9. ARM 17.8.756 Compliance with Other Requirements. This rule states that nothing in the permit shall be construed as relieving Rock It of the responsibility for complying with any applicable federal or Montana statute, rule, or standard, except as specifically provided in ARM 17.8.740, *et seq.*
10. ARM 17.8.759 Review of Permit Applications. This rule describes the Department's responsibilities for processing permit applications and making permit decisions on those permit applications that do not require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
11. ARM 17.8.762 Duration of Permit. An MAQP shall be valid until revoked or modified, as provided in this subchapter, except that a permit issued prior to construction of a new or modified source may contain a condition providing that the permit will expire unless construction is commenced within the time specified in the permit, which in no event may be less than 1 year after the permit is issued.
12. ARM 17.8.763 Revocation of Permit. An MAQP may be revoked upon written request of the permittee, or for violations of any requirement of the Clean Air Act of Montana, rules adopted under the Clean Air Act of Montana, the FCAA, rules adopted under the FCAA, or any applicable requirement contained in the Montana State Implementation Plan (SIP).

13. ARM 17.8.764 Administrative Amendment to Permit. An MAQP may be amended for changes in any applicable rules and standards adopted by the Board of Environmental Review (Board) or changed conditions of operation at a source or stack that do not result in an increase of emissions as a result of those changed conditions. The owner or operator of a facility may not increase the facility's emissions beyond permit limits unless the increase meets the criteria in ARM 17.8.745 for a de minimis change not requiring a permit, or unless the owner or operator applies for and receives another permit in accordance with ARM 17.8.748, ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.755, and ARM 17.8.756, and with all applicable requirements in ARM Title 17, Chapter 8, Subchapters 8, 9, and 10.
14. ARM 17.8.765 Transfer of Permit. (1) This rule states that an MAQP may be transferred from one location to another if the Department receives a complete notice of intent to transfer location, the facility will operate in the new location for less than 1 year, the facility will comply with the FCAA and the Clean Air Act of Montana, and the facility complies with other applicable rules. (2) This rule states that an MAQP may be transferred from one person to another if written notice of intent to transfer, including the names of the transferor and the transferee, is sent to the Department.

F. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 8 - Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.801 Definitions. This rule is a list of applicable definitions used in this subchapter.
2. ARM 17.8.818 Review of Major Stationary Sources and Major Modification--Source Applicability and Exemptions. The requirements contained in ARM 17.8.819 through ARM 17.8.827 shall apply to any major stationary source and any major modification with respect to each pollutant subject to regulation under the FCAA that it would emit, except as this subchapter would otherwise allow.

This facility is not a major stationary source because it is not a listed source and the facility's PTE is less than 250 tons per year of any pollutant (excluding fugitive emissions).

G. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 12 – Operating Permit Program Applicability, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.1201 Definitions. (23) Major Source under Section 7412 of the FCAA is defined as any stationary source having:
 - a. PTE > 100 TPY of any pollutant;
 - b. PTE > 10 TPY of any one hazardous air pollutant (HAP), PTE > 25 TPY of a combination of all HAPs, or lesser quantity as the Department may establish by rule; or
 - c. PTE > 70 TPY of particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less (PM₁₀) in a serious PM₁₀ nonattainment area.
2. ARM 17.8.1204 Air Quality Operating Permit Program Applicability. (1) Title V of the FCAA Amendments of 1990 requires that all sources, as defined in ARM 17.8.1204 (1), obtain a Title V Operating Permit. In reviewing and issuing MAQP #4533-01 for Rock It, the following conclusions were made:

- a. The facility's PTE is less than 100 TPY for any pollutant.
- b. The facility's PTE is less than 10 TPY for any one HAP and less than 25 TPY of all HAPs.
- c. This source is not located in a serious PM₁₀ nonattainment area.
- d. This facility is not subject to any current NSPS.
- e. This facility is not subject to any current NESHAP standards.
- f. This source is not a Title IV affected source or a solid waste combustion unit.
- g. This source is not an EPA designated Title V source.

Based on these facts, the Department has determined that Rock It will be a minor source of emissions as defined under Title V.

III. BACT Determination

A BACT determination is required for each new or modified source. Rock It shall install on the new or modified source the maximum air pollution control capability which is technically practicable and economically feasible, except that BACT shall be utilized.

A BACT determination was not required for the current permit action because the permit change is considered an administrative permit change.

IV. Emission Inventory

Emission Source	TPY						
	PM	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5}	NO _x	CO	VOC	SO ₂
Cold Aggregate Storage Piles	1.09	0.51	0.08	--	--	--	--
Cold Aggregate Handling/Conveyors	6.57	2.41	0.99	--	--	--	--
Cold Aggregate Screens	4.11	1.43	0.62	--	--	--	--
Cold Aggregate Crushing	0.59	0.26	0.09	--	--	--	--
Haul Roads / Vehicle Traffic	5.68	1.57	0.16	--	--	--	--
275 hp Diesel Engine powering Crusher	2.65	2.65	2.65	37.34	8.05	3.03	2.47
200 hp Diesel Engine Generator	1.93	1.93	1.93	27.16	5.85	2.20	1.80
Total Emissions	22.62	10.76	6.50	64.50	13.90	5.23	4.27

NOTE: All PM values include filterable and condensable fractions.

CO = carbon monoxide

hp = horsepower

hr = hour

mph = miles per hour

NO_x = oxides of nitrogen

PM = particulate matter

PM₁₀ = particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less

PM_{2.5} = particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 microns or less

SO₂ = sulfur dioxide

TPY = ton per year

VOC = volatile organic compounds

VMT = vehicle miles traveled

yr = year

Calculations

Cold Aggregate Storage Piles

Maximum Process Rate = 50 ton/hr (Maximum plant process rate)

Maximum Hours of Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr

Number of Piles = 3 piles

Filterable PM Emissions:

Predictive equation for emission factor provided per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06.

Emission Factor = $k (0.0032) * (U/5)^{1.3} * (M / 2)^{-1.4} = 0.00331 \text{ lb/ton}$

Where: k = particle size multiplier = 0.74 (Value for PM < 30 microns per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)

U = mean wind speed = 10 mph (Estimate)

M = material moisture content = 3% (Estimate based on values provided in AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)

Control Efficiency = 50% (Water or chemical spray)

Calculation: $(50 \text{ ton/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.00331 \text{ lb/ton}) * (\text{ton}/2000 \text{ lb}) * (3 \text{ piles}) * (1 - 50/100) = 1.09 \text{ TPY}$

Filterable PM₁₀ Emissions:

Predictive equation for emission factor provided per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06.

Emission Factor = $k (0.0032) * (U/5)^{1.3} * (M / 2)^{-1.4} = 0.00156 \text{ lb/ton}$

Where: k = particle size multiplier = 0.35 (Value for PM < 10 microns per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)

U = mean wind speed = 10 mph (Estimate)

M = material moisture content = 3% (Estimate based on values provided in AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)

Control Efficiency = 50% (Water or chemical spray)

Calculation: $(50 \text{ ton/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.00156 \text{ lb/ton}) * (\text{ton}/2000 \text{ lb}) * (3 \text{ piles}) * (1 - 50/100) = 0.51 \text{ TPY}$

Filterable PM_{2.5} Emissions:

Predictive equation for emission factor provided per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06.

Emission Factor = $k (0.0032) * (U/5)^{1.3} * (M / 2)^{-1.4} = 0.00024 \text{ lb/ton}$

Where: k = particle size multiplier = 0.053 (Value for PM < 2.5 microns per AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)

U = mean wind speed = 10 mph (Estimate)

M = material moisture content = 3% (Estimate based on values provided in AP 42, Sec. 13.2.4.3, 11/06)

Control Efficiency = 50% (Water or chemical spray)

Calculation: $(50 \text{ ton/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.00024 \text{ lb/ton}) * (\text{ton}/2000 \text{ lb}) * (3 \text{ piles}) * (1 - 50/100) = 0.08 \text{ TPY}$

Conveyor Transfer Point (SCC 3-05-02006)

Maximum Process Rate = 50 ton/hr (Maximum plant process rate)

Maximum Hours of Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr

Number of Transfers = 10 transfer (Company Information, Excludes RAP transfers)

Filterable PM Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.003 lb/ton (0.0030 uncontrolled, 0.00014 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04)

Calculation: $(50 \text{ ton/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.003 \text{ lb/ton}) * (\text{ton}/2000 \text{ lb}) * (10 \text{ transfer}) = 6.57 \text{ TPY}$

Filterable PM₁₀ Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.0011 lb/ton (0.00110 uncontrolled, 0.000046 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04)

Calculation: $(50 \text{ ton/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.0011 \text{ lb/ton}) * (\text{ton}/2000 \text{ lb}) * (10 \text{ transfer}) = 2.41 \text{ TPY}$

Filterable PM_{2.5} Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.00045 lb/ton (15% * PM uncontrolled, AP-42, Appendix B-2.2 Cat. 3, 9/90)

Calculation: $(50 \text{ ton/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.00045 \text{ lb/ton}) * (\text{ton}/2000 \text{ lb}) * (10 \text{ transfer}) = 0.99 \text{ TPY}$

Crushing (SCC 3-05-020-05)

Maximum Process Rate = 50 ton/hr (Maximum plant process rate)

Maximum Hours of Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr

Number of Crushers = 1 crusher(s) (Company Information)

Total PM Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.0054 lb/ton (0.0054 uncontrolled, 0.0012 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04)

Control Efficiency = 50% (Water or chemical spray)

Calculation: $(50 \text{ ton/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.0054 \text{ lb/ton}) * (\text{ton}/2000 \text{ lb}) * (1 \text{ crusher(s)}) * (1 - 50/100) = 0.59 \text{ TPY}$

Total PM₁₀ Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.0024 lb/ton (0.0024 uncontrolled, 0.00054 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04)

Control Efficiency = 50% (Water or chemical spray)

Calculation: $(50 \text{ ton/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.0024 \text{ lb/ton}) * (\text{ton}/2000 \text{ lb}) * (1 \text{ crusher(s)}) * (1 - 50/100) = 0.26 \text{ TPY}$

Total PM_{2.5} Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.00081 lb/ton (15% * PM uncontrolled, AP-42, Appendix B-2.2 Cat. 3, 9/90)

Control Efficiency = 50% (Water or chemical spray)

Calculation: $(50 \text{ ton/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.00081 \text{ lb/ton}) * (\text{ton}/2000 \text{ lb}) * (1 \text{ crusher(s)}) * (1 - 50/100) = 0.09 \text{ TPY}$

Screening (SCC 3-05-020-02, 03)

Maximum Process Rate = 50 ton/hr (Screen associated with crusher, maximum plant process rate)

Maximum Hours of Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr

Number of Screens = 1 screen(s) (Company Information)

Total PM Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.025 lb/ton (0.025 uncontrolled, 0.0022 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04)

Control Efficiency = 50% (Water or chemical spray)

Calculation: $(50 \text{ ton/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.025 \text{ lb/ton}) * (\text{ton}/2000 \text{ lb}) * (1 \text{ screen(s)}) * (1 - 50/100) = 2.74 \text{ TPY}$

Total PM₁₀ Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.0087 lb/ton (0.0087 uncontrolled, 0.00074 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04)

Control Efficiency = 50% (Water or chemical spray)

Calculation: $(50 \text{ ton/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.0087 \text{ lb/ton}) * (\text{ton}/2000 \text{ lb}) * (1 \text{ screen(s)}) * (1 - 50/100) = 0.95 \text{ TPY}$

Total PM_{2.5} Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.00375 lb/ton (15% * PM uncontrolled, AP-42, Appendix B-2.2 Cat. 3, 9/90)

Control Efficiency = 50% (Water or chemical spray)

Calculation: $(50 \text{ ton/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.00375 \text{ lb/ton}) * (\text{ton}/2000 \text{ lb}) * (1 \text{ screen(s)}) * (1 - 50/100) = 0.41 \text{ TPY}$

Screening (SCC 3-05-020-02, 03)

Maximum Process Rate = 25 ton/hr (Screening Plant, maximum plant process rate)

Maximum Hours of Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr

Number of Screens = 1 screen(s) (Company Information)

Total PM Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.025 lb/ton (0.025 uncontrolled, 0.0022 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04)

Control Efficiency = 50% (Water or chemical spray)

Calculation: $(25 \text{ ton/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.025 \text{ lb/ton}) * (\text{ton}/2000 \text{ lb}) * (1 \text{ screen(s)}) * (1 - 50/100) = 1.37 \text{ TPY}$

Total PM₁₀ Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.0087 lb/ton (0.0087 uncontrolled, 0.00074 controlled, AP 42, Table 11.19.2-2, 8/04)

Control Efficiency = 50% (Water or chemical spray)

Calculation: $(25 \text{ ton/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.0087 \text{ lb/ton}) * (\text{ton}/2000 \text{ lb}) * (1 \text{ screen(s)}) * (1 - 50/100) = 0.48 \text{ TPY}$

Total PM_{2.5} Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.00375 lb/ton (15% * PM uncontrolled, AP-42, Appendix B-2.2 Cat. 3, 9/90)

Control Efficiency = 50% (Water or chemical spray)

Calculation: $(25 \text{ ton/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.00375 \text{ lb/ton}) * (\text{ton}/2000 \text{ lb}) * (1 \text{ screen(s)}) * (1 - 50/100) = 0.21 \text{ TPY}$

Haul Roads

Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) per Day = 5 VMT/day (Estimate)

VMT per hour = (5 VMT/day) * (day/24 hrs) = 0.21 VMT/hr

Hours of Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr

PM Emissions:

Predictive equation for emission factor for unpaved roads at industrial sites provided per AP 42, Ch. 13.2.2, 11/06.

Emission Factor = $k * (s / 12)^a * (W / 3)^b = 12.46 \text{ lb/VMT}$

Where: k = constant = 4.9 lbs/VMT (Value for PM30/TSP, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)

s = surface silt content = 7.1 % (Mean value, sand/gravel processing, material storage area, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-1, 11/06)

W = mean vehicle weight = 54 tons (1994 average loaded/unloaded or a 40 ton truck)

a = constant = 0.7 (Value for PM30/TSP, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)

b = constant = 0.45 (Value for PM30/TSP, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)

Control Efficiency = 50% (Water or chemical spray)

Calculation: (8760 hrs/yr) * (0.21 VMT/hr) * (12.46 lb/VMT) * (ton/2000 lb) * (1-50/100) = 5.68 TPY

PM₁₀ Emissions:

Predictive equation for emission factor for unpaved roads at industrial sites provided per AP 42, Ch. 13.2.2, 11/06.

Emission Factor = $k * (s / 12)^a * (W / 3)^b = 3.43 \text{ lb/VMT}$

Where: k = constant = 1.5 lbs/VMT (Value for PM10, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)

s = surface silt content = 7.1 % (Mean value, sand/gravel processing, material storage area, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-1, 11/06)

W = mean vehicle weight = 54 tons (1994 average loaded/unloaded or a 40 ton truck)

a = constant = 0.9 (Value for PM10, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)

b = constant = 0.45 (Value for PM10, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)

Control Efficiency = 50% (Water or chemical spray)

Calculation: (8760 hrs/yr) * (0.21 VMT/hr) * (3.43 lb/VMT) * (ton/2000 lb) * (1-50/100) = 1.57 TPY

PM_{2.5} Emissions:

Predictive equation for emission factor for unpaved roads at industrial sites provided per AP 42, Ch. 13.2.2, 11/06.

Emission Factor = $k * (s / 12)^a * (W / 3)^b = 0.34 \text{ lb/VMT}$

Where: k = constant = 0.15 lbs/VMT (Value for PM2.5, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)

s = surface silt content = 7.1 % (Mean value, sand/gravel processing, material storage area, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-1, 11/06)

W = mean vehicle weight = 54 tons (1994 average loaded/unloaded or a 40 ton truck)

a = constant = 0.9 (Value for PM2.5, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)

b = constant = 0.45 (Value for PM2.5, AP 42, Table 13.2.2-2, 11/06)

Control Efficiency = 50% (Water or chemical spray)

Calculation: (8760 hrs/yr) * (0.21 VMT/hr) * (0.34 lb/VMT) * (ton/2000 lb) * (1-50/100) = 0.16 TPY

Diesel Engine powering Crusher

Note: Emissions are based on the power output of the engine (275 hp).

Operational Capacity of Engine = 275 hp

Hours of Operation = 8,760.00 hours

Total PM/PM₁₀/PM_{2.5} Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.0022 lbs/hp-hr (All PM < 1 mm, AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, 10/96)

Calculation: (8,760 hours) * (275 hp) * (0.0022 lbs/hp-hr) * (ton/2000 lb) = 2.65 TPY

NO_x Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.031 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, 10/96)

Calculation: (8,760 hours) * (275 hp) * (0.031 lbs/hp-hr) * (ton/2000 lb) = 37.34 TPY

CO Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.00668 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, 10/96)

Calculation: (8,760 hours) * (275 hp) * (0.00668 lbs/hp-hr) * (ton/2000 lb) = 8.05 TPY

VOC Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.0025141 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, TOC, Exhaust & Crankcase, 10/96)

Calculation: (8,760 hours) * (275 hp) * (0.0025141 lbs/hp-hr) * (ton/2000 lb) = 3.03 TPY

SO_x Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.00205 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, 10/96)

Calculation: (8,760 hours) * (275 hp) * (0.00205 lbs/hp-hr) * (ton/2000 lb) = 2.469 TPY

Diesel Engine Generator

Note: Emissions are based on the power output of the engine (200 hp).

Operational Capacity of Engine = 200 hp

Hours of Operation = 8,760.00 hours

Total PM/PM₁₀/PM_{2.5} Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.0022 lbs/hp-hr (All PM < 1 mm, AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, 10/96)

Calculation: (8,760 hours) * (200 hp) * (0.0022 lbs/hp-hr) * (ton/2000 lb) = 1.93 TPY

NO_x Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.031 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, 10/96)

Calculation: (8,760 hours) * (200 hp) * (0.031 lbs/hp-hr) * (ton/2000 lb) = 27.16 TPY

CO Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.00668 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, 10/96)

Calculation: (8,760 hours) * (200 hp) * (0.00668 lbs/hp-hr) * (ton/2000 lb) = 5.85 TPY

VOC Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.0025141 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, TOC, Exhaust & Crankcase, 10/96)

Calculation: (8,760 hours) * (200 hp) * (0.0025141 lbs/hp-hr) * (ton/2000 lb) = 2.20 TPY

SO_x Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.00205 lbs/hp-hr (AP-42, Sec. 3.3, Table 3.3-1, 10/96)

Calculation: (8,760 hours) * (200 hp) * (0.00205 lbs/hp-hr) * (ton/2000 lb) = 1.80 TPY

VI. Air Quality Impacts

MAQP #4533-01 is issued for the operation of a portable gravel crushing and screening plant. MAQP #4533-01 will cover the plant while operating at any approved location within Montana, excluding those counties that have a Department-approved permitting program, areas considered tribal lands, or areas in or within 10 kilometers (km) of certain PM₁₀ nonattainment areas. In the view of the Department, the amount of controlled emissions generated by this facility will not exceed any set ambient air quality standard. In addition, this source will normally operate in a seasonal and portable manner and any air quality impacts would be expected to be minimal and temporary. Furthermore, the current permit action is administrative, with no increase in emissions associated with the action. Therefore, the Department determined that the impact from this permitting action will be minor. The Department believes it will not cause or contribute to a violation of any ambient air quality standard.

VII. Taking or Damaging Implication Analysis

As required by 2-10-105, MCA, the Department conducted the following private property taking and damaging assessment.

YES	NO	
X		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation affecting private real property or water rights?
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private property?
	X	3. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership? (ex.: right to exclude others, disposal of property)
	X	4. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?
	X	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant an easement? [If no, go to (6)].
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and legitimate state interests?
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the property?
	X	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property? (consider economic impact, investment-backed expectations, character of government action)
	X	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with respect to the property in excess of that sustained by the public generally?
	X	7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?
	X	7b. Has government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible, waterlogged or flooded?
	X	7c. Has government action lowered property values by more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?
	X	Takings or damaging implications? (Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is checked in response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b; the shaded areas)

Based on this analysis, the Department determined there are no taking or damaging implications associated with this permit action.

IX. Environmental Assessment

This permitting action will not result in an increase of emissions from the facility and is considered an administrative action; therefore, an environmental assessment is not required.

Analysis Prepared By: Shawn Juers
Date: 5/27/2011