

December 11, 2019

Jenny Ellette ONEOK Rockies Midstream, LLC North Compressor Station P.O. Box 871 Tulsa, OK 74102-0871

Dear Ms. Ellette:

Montana Air Quality Permit 2982-07 is deemed final as of December 10, 2019, by the Department of Environmental Quality (Department). All conditions of the Department's Decision remain the same. Enclosed is a copy of your permit with the final date indicated.

For the Department,

Julis A Merkel

Julie A. Merkel Permitting Services Section Supervisor Air Quality Bureau (406) 444-3626

JM:CH Enclosure

Craig Henrikson

Craig Henrikson P.E. Environmental Engineer Air Quality Bureau (406) 444-6711

Montana Department of Environmental Quality Air, Energy & Mining Division

Montana Air Quality Permit #2982-07

ONEOK Rockies Midstream, LLC North Compressor Station P.O. Box 871 Tulsa, OK 74102-0871

December 10, 2019



MONTANA AIR QUALITY PERMIT

Issued to:	ONEOK Rockies Midstream, LLC	Montana Air Quality Permit #2982-07
	North Compressor Station	Application Complete: 10/3/2019
	P.O. Box 871	Preliminary Determination: 11/6/2019
	Tulsa, OK 74102-0871	Department's Decision: 11/22/2019
		Permit Final: 12/10/2019

A Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP), with conditions, is hereby granted to ONEOK Rockies Midstream, LLC, (ORM) pursuant to Sections 75-2-204 and 211 of the Montana Code Annotated (MCA), as amended, and Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.8.740, *et seq.*, as amended, for the following:

SECTION I: Permitted Facilities

A. Plant Location

ORM operates a natural gas compressor station and associated equipment located in Section 4, Township 9 North, Range 58 East in Fallon County, Montana. The facility is known as the North Compressor Station, and its purpose is to compress and dry field gas that flows to the Baker Gas Plant, located 15 miles south of the compressor station. A list of permitted equipment is located in the Permit Analysis section of this permit.

B. Current Permit Action

On October 3, 2019, the Department of Environmental Quality (Department) received a request from ORM for a modification to MAQP #2982-06. The modification does not request any physical changes to the permitted facility but instead requests to update the potential emissions calculations based on the latest gas and liquids analyses. It also requests removal of the emission limitations on the emergency flare. The revised analysis results in ORM no longer being considered a synthetic minor source.

SECTION II: Conditions and Limitations

- A. Emission Limitations:
 - 1. ORM shall not operate more than one natural gas compressor engine at any given time, and any engine operated shall be a rich-burn compressor engine with a maximum rated design capacity not to exceed 600 brake horsepower (bhp) equipped with a non-selective catalytic reduction (NSCR) unit and an air to fuel ratio (AFR) controller (ARM 17.8.749 and 752).
 - 2. The pound per hour (lb/hr) emission limits for each engine shall be determined using the following equations and pollutant specific grams per brake horsepower-hour (g/bhp-hr) emission factors (ARM 17.8.752):

Equation

Emission Limit (lb/hr) = Emission Factor (g/bhp-hr) * maximum rated design capacity of engine (bhp) * 0.002205 lb/g

Rich-Burn Emission Factors (600 bhp)

Nitrogen oxides (NO _x)	2.0 g/bhp-hr
Carbon monoxide (CO)	2.0 g/bhp-hr
Volatile organic compounds (VOC)	1.0 g/bhp-hr

- 3. ORM shall operate all equipment to provide the maximum air pollution control for which it was designed (ARM 17.8.752).
- 4. ORM shall not cause or authorize emissions to be discharged into the outdoor atmosphere from any sources installed after November 23, 1968, that exhibit an opacity of 20% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.304).
- 5. ORM shall not cause or authorize the use of any street, road, or parking lot without taking reasonable precautions to control emissions of airborne particulate matter (ARM 17.8.308).
- ORM shall treat all unpaved portions of the haul roads, access roads, parking lots, or general plant area with water and/or chemical dust suppressant as necessary to maintain compliance with the reasonable precautions limitation in Section II.A.5 (ARM 17.8.749).
- 7. The flare shall be used only for equipment blow-downs, or for emergency use at the North Compressor Station (ARM 17.8.752).
- 8. ORM shall install a flash tank to operate as part of the glycol dehydration system. The flash tank shall operate at sufficient pressure to keep the flash off gases within the process and to minimize VOC and Hazardous Air Pollutant (HAP) emissions (ARM 17.8.749 and ARM 17.8.752).
- 9. ORM shall comply with all applicable standards and limitations, and the reporting, record keeping, and notification requirements contained in 40 CFR 63, Subpart HH, *National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from Oil and Natural Gas Production Facilities* for all applicable components. For area sources, this includes each TEG dehydration unit at subject facilities (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart HH).
- 10. The condensate loading at the North Compressor Station shall be operated under a vapor balance system. All condensate loading to tank trucks shall be conducted using bottom loading. Vapor flash resulting from loadout operations shall be returned to the condensate storage tank to maintain vapor balanced emissions control (ARM 17.8.752).
- 11. ORM shall comply with all applicable standards and limitations, and the reporting, record keeping, and notification requirements contained in 40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ, *Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines* and 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ, *National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants*

for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines, for any applicable natural gas engine (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ and ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ).

- B. Testing Requirements
 - 1. The compressor engine shall be tested for NOx and CO concurrently, on an every 4-year basis or according to another testing/monitoring schedule as may be approved by the Department, to demonstrate compliance with the NOx and CO lb/hr emission limits as calculated in Section II.A.2. If ORM replaces the existing engine, the replacement engine must undergo an initial test demonstration within 180 days of the start-up date of the replacement engine (ARM 17.8.105 and ARM 17.8.749).
 - 2. All compliance source tests shall conform to the requirements of the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual (ARM 17.8.106).
 - 3. The Department may require further testing (ARM 17.8.105).
- C. Operational Reporting Requirements
 - 1. ORM shall supply the Department with annual production information for all emission points, as required by the Department in the annual emission inventory request. The request will include, but is not limited to, all sources of emissions identified in the emission inventory contained in the permit analysis.

Production information shall be gathered on a calendar-year basis and submitted to the Department by the date required in the emission inventory request. Information shall be in the units required by the Department. This information may be used to calculate operating fees, based on actual emissions from the facility, and/or to verify compliance with permit limitations (ARM 17.8.505).

- 2. ORM shall notify the Department of any construction or improvement project conducted, pursuant to ARM 17.8.745, that would include *the addition of a new emissions unit*, change in control equipment, stack height, stack diameter, stack flow, stack gas temperature, source location, or fuel specifications, or would result in an increase in source capacity above its permitted operation. The notice must be submitted to the Department, in writing, 10 days prior to startup or use of the proposed de minimis change, or as soon as reasonably practicable in the event of an unanticipated circumstance causing the de minimis change, and must include the information requested in ARM 17.8.745(l)(d) (ARM 17.8.745).
- 3. All records compiled in accordance with this permit must be maintained by ORM as a permanent business record for at least 5 years following the date of the measurement, must be available at the plant site for inspection by the Department, and must be submitted to the Department upon request (ARM 17.8.749).

SECTION III: General Conditions

- A. Inspection ORM shall allow the Department's representatives access to the source at all reasonable times for the purpose of making inspections or surveys, collecting samples, obtaining data, auditing any monitoring equipment (Continuous Emission Monitoring System (CEMS), Compliance Emission Rate Monitoring System (CERMS)) or observing any monitoring or testing, and otherwise conducting all necessary functions related to this permit.
- B. Waiver The permit and the terms, conditions, and matters stated herein shall be deemed accepted if ORM fails to appeal as indicated below.
- C. Compliance with Statutes and Regulations Nothing in this permit shall be construed as relieving ORM of the responsibility for complying with any applicable federal or Montana statute, rule, or standard, except as specifically provided in ARM 17.8.740, *et seq.* (ARM 17.8.756).
- D. Enforcement Violations of limitations, conditions, and requirements contained herein may constitute grounds for permit revocation, penalties, or other enforcement action as specified in Section 75-2-401, *et seq.*, MCA.
- E. Appeals Any person or persons jointly or severally adversely affected by the Department's decision may request, within 15 days after the Department renders its decision, upon affidavit setting forth the grounds therefore, a hearing before the Board of Environmental Review (Board). A hearing shall be held under the provisions of the Montana Administrative Procedures Act. The filing of a request for a hearing does not stay the Department's decision, unless the Board issues a stay upon receipt of a petition and a finding that a stay is appropriate under Section 75-2-211(11)(b), MCA. The issuance of a stay on a permit by the Board postpones the effective date of the Department's decision until conclusion of the hearing and issuance of a final decision by the Board. If a stay is not issued by the Board, the Department's decision is final 16 days after the Department's decision is made.
- F. Permit Inspection As required by ARM 17.8.755, Inspection of Permit, a copy of the air quality permit shall be made available for inspection by the Department at the location of the source.
- G. Permit Fee Pursuant to Section 75-2-220, MCA, as amended by the 1991 Legislature, failure to pay the annual operation fee by ORM may be grounds for revocation of this permit, as required by that section and rules adopted thereunder by the Board.
- H. Duration of Permit Construction or installation must begin or contractual obligations entered into that would constitute substantial loss within 3 years of permit issuance and proceed with due diligence until the project is complete or the permit shall expire (ARM 17.8.762).

Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP) Analysis ONEOK Rockies Midstream, LLC. Baker North Compressor Station MAQP #2982-07

I. Introduction/Process Description

ONEOK Rockies Midstream, LLC (ORM) owns and operates a natural gas compressor and dehydration station known as the North Compressor Station. The facility is located on Anticline Road in Section 4, Township 9 North, Range 58 East, in Fallon County, near Baker, Montana.

A. Permitted Equipment

The North Compressor Station consists of the following equipment:

- One natural gas rich-burn compressor engine (up to 600 brake horsepower (bhp)) with a non-selective catalytic reduction (NSCR) unit, an air to fuel ratio (AFR) controller;
- One triethylene glycol (TEG) dehydration reboiler unit (up to 200,000 British thermal units per hour (Btu/hr);
- One flash tank;
- One Utility Safety Emergency Flare Pilot (up to 3.7 million Btu per hour (MMBtu/hr));
- One Utility Safety Emergency Flare (up to 3.2 million standard cubic feet per day (MMscfd));
- Two 400-barrel (bbl) condensate storage tanks; and
- Miscellaneous support equipment and materials.
- B. Source Description

The North Compressor Station serves two primary purposes that include compressing and dehydrating natural gas that flows to the ORM Baker Gas Plant located 15 miles south of the compressor station. The natural gas contains moisture that must be removed from the system prior to being sent into the transmission system. This is accomplished with a dehydrator, also commonly called a reboiler or glycol unit.

The designed maximum throughput of the compressor station is 3.2 MMscfd, but approximately 2.7 MMscfd of produced gas will enter the suction of the three-stage compressor at 30-50 pounds per square inch gauge (psig). The compressor will compress the gas to approximately 650 psig at the third-stage discharge. The third-stage discharge will be cooled to approximately 120 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) and the resulting liquids collected for truck transfer. The scrubber's liquids collected for truck transfer will be held in a tank with a gas line recycled to the first-stage discharge. The cooled third-stage discharge gas flows to the TEG dehydration skid.

By contacting the water-saturated gas with the TEG, also known as lean glycol, the gas stream is "dried" by removing the water to approximately 4 to 5 pounds per million standard cubic feet (lb/MMscf), which results in a 20°F dewpoint for the gas. The TEG-

to-water ratio (how many gallons of TEG are required to absorb 1 pound of water) varies between 2 and 5 gallons of TEG per pound of water; the industry accepted rule-of-thumb is 3 gallons of TEG per pound of water removed. Emissions are related to the glycol recirculation rate.

The dried gas flows through the pipeline to the ORM Baker Gas plant. The rich glycol stream, laden with moisture, methane, and Volatile Organic Compound (VOC), will pass through a flash tank to remove up to 50% of the VOC as "flash off gas". This collected flash off gas is reintroduced into the station inlet. The rich glycol stream is then processed in the TEG regenerator, also known as the reboiler, to remove the absorbed water, remaining methane and VOC. The glycol returns to the absorber as lean glycol. The TEG regenerator off gas will be directly emitted from the still vent.

The 25-foot flare stack provides emergency pressure relief and blowdown capability for the North Compressor Station down time. In order to maintain potential emissions below major source thresholds, use of the emergency flare is limited to 1,800 hours per year.

C. Permit History

On April 1, 1997, BPE submitted an application for **Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP) #2982-00** to construct a new facility, the Baker North Compressor Station. The permit application was not deemed to be complete until July 15, 1997, and the final MAQP #2982-00 was issued on September 3, 1997.

On February 15, 2000, the Department received, from TransMontaigne, Inc., a notification of an error contained in the permit in the legal description of BPE's North Compressor Station. MAQP #2982-00 incorrectly identified the location of the compressor station as being in Section 3. The correct legal description for BPE's North Compressor Station is SW NW Section 4, Township 9 North, Range 58 East, Fallon County, Montana. The permit action was an administrative action. MAQP #2982-01 replaced MAQP #2982-00.

On June 19, 2003, BPE submitted an application for MAQP #2982-02 to replace the existing vapor recovery unit (VRU) control system with a flare for the dehydration still vent gases. On October 10, 2003, the Department issued a deficiency letter that requested a Best Available Control Technology (BACT) analysis. BPE sent a letter on December 17, 2003, requesting additional time, but since no information was forthcoming the permit application was considered withdrawn.

On August 15, 2005, the Department received from BPE a permit application for the proposed replacement of the VRU by a continuous flare to control VOC emissions from the reboiler still vent. The application also requested operational restrictions of 1,800 hours per year for the facility's existing Emergency Flare, to allow the facility to operate as a synthetic minor source.

The Department determined the application was incomplete and requested more information on August 29, 2005. BPE provided a response on September 30, 2005. After review, it was determined that additional information was required and the Department requested this information on October 13, 2005. On December 9, 2005, the Department received the BACT analysis for the proposed project. BPE's December submittal concluded that it was economically infeasible to install a flare, and revised their proposal to

reflect the removal of the VRU and installation of a flash tank. **MAQP #2982-02** replaced MAQP #2982-01.

On January 28, 2008, the Department received a letter from BPE requesting language changes to allow for greater operational flexibility. Specifically, the letter requested conditions pertaining to the natural gas compressor engine be written in a 'de minimis friendly' manner. The permit was updated to reflect current permit language and format. **MAQP #2982-03** replaced MAQP #2982-02.

On December 3, 2008, the Department received a letter from BPE requesting removal of the requirement in II.A. – Emission Limitations – that stated "BPE shall maintain the glycol recirculation rate at an optimal TEG-to-water ratio to minimize VOC emissions (Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.8.749)". Specifically BPE refers to the Department's determination stated in the Permit Analysis (II.C.8.) that "the glycol dehydration unit emits less than one ton per year (TPY) of benzene; therefore is exempt from the control requirements listed in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 63, Subpart HH." However, BPE must maintain records of the determinations applicable to this exemption as required in 40 CFR 63.774(d)(1).

On January 20, 2009, the Department received information of an existing 400-bbl condensate tank at the North Compressor Station facility.

On January 21, 2009, the Department received information indicating that the North Compressor Station facility has two existing 400-bbl condensate tanks. On March 12, 2009, **MAQP #2982-04** replaced MAQP #2982-03.

On August 26, 2009, the Department received a letter from BPE requesting removal of the final two sentences of Section II.A.11 of MAQP #2982-04 which pertained to the vapor balance system of the condensate storage tank that prevents vapor flash resulting from loadout operations to be emitted to the atmosphere. The sentences that BPE requested to have removed stated that "Upon completion of the loadout, all lines used for loading shall be purged of VOC vapors. These VOC vapors shall be recycled for compression". This portion of the requirement pertained to a pressurized condensate tank that is no longer in use at the facility and no longer included in the permitted equipment. BPE removed the pressurized condensate tank along with the VRU and replaced it with a flash tank as part of the MAQP #2982-02 permit action. That permit action resulted in the creation of the permit condition currently found in Section II.A.9 which states that the flash tank shall be operated at sufficient pressure to keep flash gases within the process and minimize any VOC and hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions. Section II.A.9 maintained the requirement that BPE minimize VOC and HAP emissions from the condensate loading operations. The Department concurred with BPE's request to remove the sentences from the MAQP. This permit action updated the permit to reflect the change. MAQP #2982-05 replaced MAQP #2982-04.

The Department received notification on June 18, 2012, from Bear Paw Energy, LLC requesting an amendment to change their name to ONEOK Rockies Midstream, LLC (ORM). All permit references to the facility name with the exception of the permit history have been changed throughout this document. **MAQP #2982-06** replaced MAQP #2982-05.

D. Current Permit Action

On October 3, 2019, the Department received a request from ORM for a modification to MAQP #2982-06. The modification does not request any physical changes to the permitted facility but instead requests to update the potential emissions calculations based on the latest gas and liquids analyses. It also requests removal of the emission limitations on the emergency flare. **MAQP #2982-07** replaces MAQP #2982-06.

- E. Response to Public Comments (None Received)
- F. Additional Information

Additional information, such as applicable rules and regulations, Best Available Control Technology (BACT)/Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) determinations, air quality impacts, and environmental assessments, is included in the analysis associated with each change to the permit.

II. Applicable Rules and Regulations

The following are partial explanations of some applicable rules and regulations that apply to the facility. The complete rules are stated in the ARM and are available, upon request, from the Department. Upon request, the Department will provide references for location of complete copies of all applicable rules and regulations or copies where appropriate.

- A. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 1 General Provisions, including but not limited to:
 - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.101 Definitions</u>. This rule includes a list of applicable definitions used in this chapter, unless indicated otherwise in a specific subchapter.
 - 2. <u>ARM 17.8.105 Testing Requirements</u>. Any person or persons responsible for the emission of any air contaminant into the outdoor atmosphere shall, upon written request of the Department, provide the facilities and necessary equipment (including instruments and sensing devices) and shall conduct tests, emission or ambient, for such periods of time as may be necessary using methods approved by the Department.
 - 3. <u>ARM 17.8.106 Source Testing Protocol</u>. The requirements of this rule apply to any emission source testing conducted by the Department, any source or other entity as required by any rule in this chapter, or any permit or order issued pursuant to this chapter, or the provisions of the Clean Air Act of Montana, 75-2-101, *et seq.*, Montana Code Annotated (MCA).

ORM shall comply with the requirements contained in the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual, including, but not limited to, using the proper test methods and supplying the required reports. A copy of the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual is available from the Department upon request.

4. <u>ARM 17.8.110 Malfunctions</u>. (2) The Department must be notified promptly by telephone whenever a malfunction occurs that can be expected to create emissions in excess of any applicable emission limitation or to continue for a period greater than four hours.

- 5. <u>ARM 17.8.111 Circumvention</u>. (1) No person shall cause or permit the installation or use of any device or any means that, without resulting in reduction of the total amount of air contaminant emitted, conceals, or dilutes an emission of air contaminant that would otherwise violate an air pollution control regulation. (2) No equipment that may produce emissions shall be operated or maintained in such a manner as to create a public nuisance.
- B. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 2 Ambient Air Quality, including, but not limited to the following:
 - 1. ARM 17.8.204 Ambient Air Monitoring
 - 2. <u>ARM 17.8.210 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Sulfur Dioxide</u>
 - 3. ARM 17.8.211 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Nitrogen Dioxide
 - 4. ARM 17.8.212 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Carbon Monoxide
 - 5. ARM 17.8.213 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Ozone
 - 6. ARM 17.8.214 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Hydrogen Sulfide
 - 7. ARM 17.8.220 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Settled Particulate Matter
 - 8. ARM 17.8.221 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Visibility
 - 9. ARM 17.8.222 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Lead
 - 10. ARM 17.8.223 Ambient Air Quality Standard for PM₁₀
 - 11. ARM 17.8.230 Fluoride in Forage

ORM must maintain compliance with the applicable ambient air quality standards.

- C. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 3 Emission Standards, including, but not limited to:
 - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.304 Visible Air Contaminants</u>. This rule requires that no person may cause or authorize emissions to be discharged into the outdoor atmosphere from any source installed after November 23, 1968, that exhibit an opacity of 20% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes.
 - 2. <u>ARM 17.8.308 Particulate Matter, Airborne</u>. (1) This rule requires an opacity limitation of less than 20% for all fugitive emission sources and that reasonable precautions be taken to control emissions of airborne particulate matter. (2) Under this rule, ORM shall not cause or authorize the use of any street, road, or parking lot without taking reasonable precautions to control emissions of airborne particulate matter.
 - 3. <u>ARM 17.8.309 Particulate Matter, Fuel Burning Equipment</u>. This rule requires that no person shall cause, allow, or permit to be discharged into the atmosphere particulate matter caused by the combustion of fuel in excess of the amount determined by this rule.
 - 4. <u>ARM 17.8.310 Particulate Matter, Industrial Process</u>. This rule requires that no person shall cause, allow, or permit to be discharged into the atmosphere particulate matter in excess of the amount set forth in this rule.
 - <u>ARM 17.8.322 Sulfur Oxide Emissions--Sulfur in Fuel</u>. (4) Commencing July 1, 1972, no person shall burn liquid or solid fuels containing sulfur in excess of one pound of sulfur per million Btu fired. (5) Commencing July 1, 1971, no person shall burn any

gaseous fuel containing sulfur compounds in excess of 50 grains per 100 cubic feet of gaseous fuel, calculated as hydrogen sulfide at standard conditions. ORM will utilize natural gas for operating its fuel burning equipment, which will meet this limitation.

- 6. <u>ARM 17.8.324 Hydrocarbon Emissions--Petroleum Products</u>. (3) No person shall load or permit the loading of gasoline into any stationary tank with a capacity of 250 gallons or more from any tank truck or trailer, except through a permanent submerged fill pipe, unless such tank is equipped with a vapor loss control device as described in (1) of this rule.
- <u>ARM 17.8.340 Standard of Performance for New Stationary Sources and Emission</u> <u>Guidelines for Existing Sources</u>. This rule incorporates, by reference, 40 CFR Part 60, Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources (NSPS), including the following subparts:
 - a. <u>40 CFR 60, Subpart A General provisions</u> apply to all equipment or facilities subject to an NSPS Subpart as listed below:
 - b. <u>40 CFR 60, Subpart KKK</u> Standards of Performance for Equipment Leaks of VOC From Onshore Natural Gas Processing Plants does not apply to the North Compressor Station because the North Compressor Station does not extract or fractionate natural gas liquids from field gas; therefore, the North Compressor Station does not meet the definition of a natural gas processing plant as defined in 40 CFR 60, Subpart KKK.
 - c. <u>40 CFR 60, Subpart LLL</u> Standards of Performance for Onshore Natural Gas Processing: SO₂ Emissions does not apply to the North Compressor Station because the North Compressor Station does not utilize a sweetening unit to process sour gas.
 - d. <u>40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition</u> <u>Internal Combustion Engines</u> contains NSPS requirements that apply to owners or operators of stationary spark ignition (SI) internal combustion engine (ICE) that commence construction, modification, or reconstruction after June 12, 2006, where the stationary ICE is manufactured after July 1, 2007, for engines greater than 500 bhp, or after January 1, 2008, for engines less than 500 bhp. This NSPS will apply if the engine remains or will remain at the permitted location for more than 12 months, or a shorter period of time for an engine located at a seasonal source. A seasonal source remains at a single location on a permanent basis (at least 2 years) and operates three months or more each year. Because the natural gas SI ICE engine was manufactured before July 1, 2007, this NSPS does not currently apply. However, because the permit is written in a de minimis-friendly manner, the NSPS could apply to future engines.
- 8. <u>ARM 17.8.342 Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories</u>. The source, as defined and applied in 40 CFR Part 63, shall comply with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 63, as listed below:

- <u>40 CFR 63, Subpart A General provisions</u> apply to all equipment or facilities subject to a National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) Subpart as listed below:
- b. <u>40 CFR 63, Subpart HH National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air</u> <u>Pollutants from Oil and Natural Gas Production Facilities</u>. Owners or operators of oil and natural gas production facilities, as defined and applied in 40 CFR Part 63 shall comply with the applicable provisions of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HH. In order for a natural gas production facility to be subject to 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HH requirements, certain criteria must be met. First, a facility must either process, upgrade, or store natural gas prior to the point at which natural gas enters the natural gas transmission and storage source category or is delivered to a final end user. Second, the facility must also contain an affected source as specified in paragraphs (b)(1) through (b)(4) of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HH. Finally if the criteria are met, and the exemptions contained in paragraphs (e)(1) and (e)(2) of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HH do not apply, the facility is subject to the applicable provisions of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HH. The facility can be either a major or area source of HAPs.

Based on previous information provided by ORM, the North Compressor Station facility is considered an area source of HAPs that is subject to 40 CFR 63, Subpart HH. For area sources, the affected source includes each TEG dehydration unit. However, because the glycol dehydration unit emits less than 0.9 megagrams (1 ton per year (TPY)) of benzene, it is exempt from the control requirements listed in 40 CFR 63, Subpart HH. Records of the determinations applicable to this exemption must be maintained as required in 40 CFR 63.774(d)(1).

- 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHH National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air c. Pollutants from Natural Gas Transmission and Storage Facilities. Owners or operators of natural gas transmission or storage facilities, as defined and applied in 40 CFR 63, shall comply with the standards and provisions of 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHH. In order for a natural gas transmission and storage facility to be subject to 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHH requirements, certain criteria must be met. First, the facility must transport or store natural gas prior to the gas entering the pipeline to a local distribution company or to a final end user if there is no local distribution company. In addition, the facility must be a major source of HAPs as determined using the maximum natural gas throughput as calculated in either paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) or paragraphs (a)(2) and (a)(3) of 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHH. Second, a facility must contain an affected source (glycol dehydration unit) as defined in paragraph (b) of 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHH. Finally, if the first two criteria are met, and the exemptions in paragraph (f) of 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHH, do not apply, the facility is subject to the applicable provisions of 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHH. Based on previous information submitted by ORM, the North Compressor Station facility is not subject to the provisions of 40 CFR 63, Subpart HHH, because the facility is not a major source of HAPs.
- d. <u>40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air</u> <u>Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines (RICE)</u>. An affected engine is any existing, new or reconstructed stationary RICE that remains

or will remain at the permitted location for more than 12 months, or a shorter period of time for an engine located at a seasonal source. A seasonal source remains at a single location on a permanent basis (at least 2 years) and operates 3 months or more each year.

Since the natural gas RICE was installed before June 12, 2006, the engine is considered *existing* stationary RICE, and is subject to work practice standards prescribed by this MACT as specified by 40 CFR 63.6590(b)(3).

- D. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 5 Air Quality Permit Application, Operation, and Open Burning Fees, including, but not limited to:
 - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.504 Air Quality Permit Application Fees</u>. This rule requires that an applicant submit an air quality permit application fee concurrent with the submittal of an air quality permit application. A permit application is incomplete until the proper application fee is paid to the Department. ORM submitted the appropriate permit application fee for the current permit action.
 - 2. <u>ARM 17.8.505 Air Quality Operation Fees</u>. An annual air quality operation fee must, as a condition of continued operation, be submitted to the Department by each source of air contaminants holding an air quality permit (excluding an open burning permit) issued by the Department. The air quality operation fee is based on the actual or estimated actual amount of air pollutants emitted during the previous calendar year.

An air quality operation fee is separate and distinct from an air quality permit application fee. The annual assessment and collection of the air quality operation fee, described above, shall take place on a calendar-year basis. The Department may insert into any final permit issued after the effective date of these rules, such conditions as may be necessary to require the payment of an air quality operation fee on a calendaryear basis, including provisions that prorate the required fee amount.

- E. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 7 Permit, Construction, and Operation of Air Contaminant Sources, including, but not limited to:
 - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.740 Definitions</u>. This rule is a list of applicable definitions used in this chapter, unless indicated otherwise in a specific subchapter.
 - 2. <u>ARM 17.8.743 Montana Air Quality Permits--When Required</u>. This rule requires a person to obtain an air quality permit or permit modification to construct, modify, or use any air contaminant sources that have the Potential to Emit (PTE) more than 25 tons per year of any pollutant. ORM's North Compressor Station has the potential to emit nitrogen oxides (NO_x), sulfur oxides (SO_x), carbon monoxide (CO), and VOC at greater than 25 tons per year (TPY); therefore, an MAQP is required.
 - 3. <u>ARM 17.8.744 Montana Air Quality Permits--General Exclusions</u>. This rule identifies the activities that are not subject to the Montana Air Quality Permit program.
 - 4. <u>ARM 17.8.745 Montana Air Quality Permits--Exclusion for De Minimis Changes</u>. This rule identifies the de minimis changes at permitted facilities that do not require a permit under the Montana Air Quality Permit Program.

- 5. <u>ARM 17.8.748 New or Modified Emitting Units--Permit Application Requirements</u>. (1) This rule requires that a permit application be submitted prior to installation, modification, or use of a source. ORM submitted the required permit application for the current permit action. (7) This rule requires that the applicant notify the public by means of legal publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the area affected by the application for a permit. ORM submitted an affidavit of publication of public notice for the October 4, 2019, issue of the Fallon County Times, a newspaper of general circulation in the City of Baker in Fallon County, as proof of compliance with the public notice requirements.
- 6. <u>ARM 17.8.749 Conditions for Issuance or Denial of Permit</u>. This rule requires that the permits issued by the Department must authorize the construction and operation of the facility or emitting unit subject to the conditions in the permit and the requirements of this subchapter. This rule also requires that the permit must contain any conditions necessary to assure compliance with the Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA), the Clean Air Act of Montana, and rules adopted under those acts.
- 7. <u>ARM 17.8.752 Emission Control Requirements</u>. This rule requires a source to install the maximum air pollution control capability that is technically practicable and economically feasible, except that BACT shall be utilized. The required BACT analysis is included in Section III of this permit analysis.
- 8. <u>ARM 17.8.755 Inspection of Permit</u>. This rule requires that air quality permits shall be made available for inspection by the Department at the location of the source.
- 9. <u>ARM 17.8.756 Compliance with Other Requirements</u>. This rule states that nothing in the permit shall be construed as relieving ORM of the responsibility for complying with any applicable federal or Montana statute, rule, or standard, except as specifically provided in ARM 17.8.740, *et seq.*
- 10. <u>ARM 17.8.759 Review of Permit Applications</u>. This rule describes the Department's responsibilities for processing permit applications and making permit decisions on those permit applications that do not require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
- 11. <u>ARM 17.8.760 Additional Review of Permit Applications</u>. This rule describes the Department's responsibilities for processing permit applications and making permit decisions on those applications that require an environmental impact statement.
- 12. <u>ARM 17.8.762 Duration of Permit</u>. An air quality permit shall be valid until revoked or modified, as provided in this subchapter, except that a permit issued prior to construction of a new or modified source may contain a condition providing that the permit will expire unless construction is commenced within the time specified in the permit, which in no event may be less than one year after the permit is issued.
- 13. <u>ARM 17.8.763 Revocation of Permit</u>. An air quality permit may be revoked upon written request of the permittee, or for violations of any requirement of the Clean Air Act of Montana, rules adopted under the Clean Air Act of Montana, the FCAA, rules adopted under the FCAA, or any applicable requirement contained in the Montana State Implementation Plan (SIP).

- 14. <u>ARM 17.8.764 Administrative Amendment to Permit</u>. An air quality permit may be amended for changes in any applicable rules and standards adopted by the Board of Environmental Review (Board) or changed conditions of operation at a source or stack that do not result in an increase of emissions as a result of those changed conditions. The owner or operator of a facility may not increase the facility's emissions beyond permit limits unless the increase meets the criteria in ARM 17.8.745 for a de minimis change not requiring a permit, or unless the owner or operator applies for and receives another permit in accordance with ARM 17.8.748, ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.755, and ARM 17.8.756, and with all applicable requirements in ARM Title 17, Chapter 8, Subchapters 8, 9, and 10.
- 15. <u>ARM 17.8.765 Transfer of Permit</u>. This rule states that an air quality permit may be transferred from one person to another if written notice of intent to transfer, including the names of the transferor and the transferee, is sent to the Department.
- F. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 8 Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality, including, but not limited to:
 - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.801 Definitions</u>. This rule is a list of applicable definitions used in this subchapter.
 - 2. <u>ARM 17.8.818 Review of Major Stationary Sources and Major Modifications--Source</u> <u>Applicability and Exemptions</u>. The requirements contained in ARM 17.8.819 through ARM 17.8.827 shall apply to any major stationary source and any major modification, with respect to each pollutant subject to regulation under the FCAA that it would emit, except as this subchapter would otherwise allow.

This facility is not a major stationary source since this facility is not a listed source and the facility's PTE is below 250 tons per year of any pollutant (excluding fugitive emissions).

- G. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 12 Operating Permit Program Applicability, including, but not limited to:
 - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.1201 Definitions</u>. (23) Major Source under Section 7412 of the FCAA is defined as any source having:
 - a. PTE greater than 100 TPY of any pollutant;
 - b. PTE greater than 10 TPY of any one HAP, PTE greater than 25 TPY of a combination of all HAPs, or lesser quantity as the Department may establish by rule; or
 - c. PTE greater than 70 TPY of particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less (PM₁₀) in a serious PM₁₀ nonattainment area.
 - 2. <u>ARM 17.8.1204 Air Quality Operating Permit Program</u>. (1) Title V of the FCAA amendments of 1990 requires that all sources, as defined in ARM 17.8.1204(1), obtain a Title V Operating Permit. In reviewing and issuing MAQP #2982-07 for the ORM North Compressor Station, the following conclusions were made:

- a. The facility's PTE is less than 100 TPY for any pollutant.
- b. The facility's PTE is less than 10 TPY for any one HAP and less than 25 TPY for all HAPs.
- c. This source is not located in a serious PM_{10} nonattainment area.
- d. This facility is not subject to any current NSPS.
- e. This facility is subject to the area source provisions of NESHAP standard 40 CFR 63, Subparts HH. This facility may be subject to the area source provisions of 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ in the future.
- f. This source is not a Title IV affected source, nor a solid waste combustion unit.
- g. This source is not an EPA designated Title V source.

Based on these facts, the Department determined that ORM will be a minor source of emissions as defined under Title V.

III. BACT Determination

A BACT determination is required for each new or modified source. ORM shall install on the new or modified source the maximum air pollution control capability which is technically practicable and economically feasible, except that BACT shall be utilized.

A BACT analysis was not required for the current permit action because the current permit action does not request any new or modified emitting units.

IV. Emission Inventory

The following table presents the annual potential to emit in TPY for ORM's North Compressor Station. The PTE was revised according to a recent analysis of gas and liquid streams (2017) as part of MAQP #2982-07. The revised emission inventory removes the facility as a synthetic minor source.

North Compressor Station Emission Inventory (Tons per Year)							
Unit ID	Description	NOx	СО	VOC	SO2	PM	HAP
C-1.2	600-hp Caterpillar	11.59	11.59	5.79	0.01	0.4	0.33
D-1	3.2-MMSCFD Dehydration Unit	-	-	13.42	-	-	0.76
H-1	0.20 mmBtu/hr Reboiler	0.06	0.05	<0.01	<0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
FL-1	Emergency Flare	0.66	2.95	6.1	1.7	< 0.01	0.11
TK-1	400-bbl Condensate Tank	-	-	20.93	-	-	1.42
ТК-2	400-bbl Condensate Tank	-	-	20.93	-	-	1.42
TL-1	Condensate Truck Loading	-	-	1.35	-	-	0.4
FUG	Fugitive Emissions	-	-	13.31	-	-	0.74
BD	Miscellaneous Venting and Blowdown	-	-	2.97	-	-	0.06
	Total	12.32	14.6	84.81	1.72	0.4	5.24

Notes:

The updated emission inventory used the following supporting documents from the application to develop the revised inventory.

Inlet Gas Analysis Inlet to Dehydration Unit Analysis GRI GLYCalc[™] Input Summary GRI GLYCalc[™] Aggregate Calculations Report Process Simulation Results TANKS 4.0.9d Report

V. Existing Air Quality

The facility is located in Section 4, Township 9 North, Range 58 East, in Fallon County. Fallon County is unclassifiable/attainment for the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for all criteria pollutants.

VI. Ambient Air Impact Analysis

The Department determined that the impacts from this permitting action will be minor. This current permit action will not result in an increase of emissions from the facility and therefore will not cause or contribute to a violation of any set ambient air quality standard.

VII. Taking or Damaging Implication Analysis

As required by 2-10-105, MCA, the Department conducted the following private property taking and damaging assessment.

YES	NO		
Х		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation	
		affecting private real property or water rights?	
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private	
		property?	
	X	3. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership? (ex.: right to exclude	
		others, disposal of property)	
	Х	4. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?	
	Х	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant	
		an easement? [If no, go to (6)].	
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and	
		legitimate state interests?	
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed	
		use of the property?	
	Х	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property? (consider	
		economic impact, investment-backed expectations, character of government action)	
	Χ	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with	
		respect to the property in excess of that sustained by the pubic generally?	
	X	7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?	

YES	NO	
	X	7b. Has government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible,
		waterlogged of flooded?
	Х	7c. Has government action lowered property values by more than 30% and necessitated
		the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property
		in question?
	Х	Takings or damaging implications? (Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is
		checked in response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions:
		2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b; the shaded
		areas)

Based on this analysis, the Department determined there are no taking or damaging implications associated with this permit action.

VIII. Environmental Assessment

An environmental assessment, required by the Montana Environmental Policy Act, was completed for this project. A copy is attached.

Analysis Prepared By: Craig Henrikson Date: October 15, 2019

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY Air, Energy & Mining Division Air Quality Bureau P.O. Box 200901, Helena, Montana 59620 (406) 444-3490

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA)

Issued To: ONEOK Rockies Midstream, L.L.C

Montana Air Quality Permit Number: #2982-07

Draft EA Issued:	11/6/2019
Final EA Issued:	11/22/2019
Permit Final:	12/10/2019

- 1. *Legal Description of Site:* ONEOK Rockies Midstream, L.L.C. (ORM) operates a natural gas compressor station and associated equipment located in Section 4, Township 9 North, Range 58 East in Fallon County, Montana. The facility is known as the North Compressor Station, and its purpose is to compress and dry field gas that flows to the Baker Gas Plant, located 15 miles south of the compressor station.
- 2. *Description of Project:* Under the proposed action, ORM proposes to update the potential emission inventory to reflect more recent lab analyses and to also remove the limitations on the emergency flare.
- 3. *Objective of Project:* Update the potential emission inventory and remove emergency flare limitations.
- 4. *Alternatives Considered:* In addition to the proposed action, the Department also considered the "no-action" alternative. The "no-action" alternative would deny issuance of the revised permit. However, the Department of Environmental Quality (Department) does not consider the "no-action" alternative to be appropriate because ORM demonstrated compliance with all applicable rules and regulations as required for permit issuance. Additionally, no increase in emissions is expected with the proposed project. Therefore, the "no-action" alternative was eliminated from further consideration.
- 5. *A Listing of Mitigation, Stipulations, and Other Controls:* A list of enforceable conditions, including the revised emission inventory, would be included in MAQP #2982-07.
- 6. *Regulatory Effects on Private Property:* The Department considered alternatives to the conditions imposed in this permit as part of the permit development. The Department determined that the permit conditions are reasonably necessary to ensure compliance with applicable requirements and demonstrate compliance with those requirements and do not unduly restrict private property rights.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS ON POTENTIAL PHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS: The following comments have been prepared by the Department.

A. Terrestrial and Aquatic Life and Habitats

The modification request does not request any physical changes to the facility, does not result in any emission increases and therefore no impacts to terrestrial and aquatic life and habitats are expected.

B. Water Quality, Quantity, and Distribution

The modification request does not request any physical changes to the facility, does not result in any emission increases and therefore no impacts to water quality, quantity and distribution are expected.

C. Geology and Soil Quality, Stability, and Moisture

The modification request does not request any physical changes to the facility, does not result in any emission increases and therefore no impacts on geology and soil quality, stability and moisture are expected.

D. Vegetation Cover, Quantity, and Quality

The modification request does not request any physical changes to the facility, does not result in any emission increases and therefore no impacts on vegetation cover, quantity and quality are expected.

E. *Aesthetics*

The modification request does not request any physical changes to the facility and therefore no impacts on the aesthetics are expected.

F. Air Quality

The modification request does not request any physical changes to the facility, does not result in any emission increases and therefore no impacts on air quality are expected.

G. Unique Endangered, Fragile, or Limited Environmental Resources

The modification request would not be expected to have any impacts on unique endangered, fragile or any limited environmental resources.

H. Demands on Environmental Resource of Water, Air, and Energy

The modification request would not be expected to have any impacts on the demands on environmental resources of water, air or energy.

I. Historical and Archaeological Sites

The modification request would not be expected to have any impacts on historical and archaeological sites.

J. Cumulative and Secondary Impacts

The modification request does not request any physical changes to the facility, does not result in any emission increases and therefore no cumulative and secondary impacts are expected.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS ON POTENTIAL ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL EFFECTS: The following comments have been prepared by the Department.

A. Social Structures and Mores

The modification request would have no impacts on the social structures and mores.

B. Cultural Uniqueness and Diversity

The modification request would have no impact on the cultural uniqueness and diversity.

C. Local and State Tax Base and Tax Revenue

The modification request would have no impacts on the local and state tax base and tax revenue.

D. Agricultural or Industrial Production

The modification request would have no impacts on the agricultural or industrial production.

E. *Human Health*

The modification request would have no impacts on human health.

F. Access to and Quality of Recreational and Wilderness Activities

The modification request would have no impacts on the access to and quality of recreational and wilderness activities.

G. Quantity and Distribution of Employment

The modification request would have no impacts on the quantity and distribution of employment.

H. Distribution of Population

The modification request would have no impacts on the distribution of population.

I. Demands of Government Services

The modification request would have no impacts on the demands of government services.

J. Industrial and Commercial Activity

The modification request would have no impacts on the industrial and commercial activity.

K. Locally Adopted Environmental Plans and Goals

The modification request would have no impacts on any locally adopted environmental plans and goals.

L. Cumulative and Secondary Impacts

The modification request would have no cumulative and secondary impacts.

Recommendation: No Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is required.

If an EIS is not required, explain why the EA is an appropriate level of analysis: The current permitting action is for revising the potential emission inventory and for removing unnecessary limitations on the emergency flare. In addition, there are no new emitting units or physical modifications associated with this proposal.

Individuals or groups contributing to this EA: Department of Environmental Quality – Air Quality Bureau

EA prepared by: Craig Henrikson Date: October 15, 2019