



April 19, 2016

Mr. Steve Torpey
Phillips 66 Company
Billings Refinery
PO Box 30198
Billings, MT 59107-0198

Dear Mr. Torpey:

Montana Air Quality Permit #2619-34 is deemed final as of April 19, 2016, by the Department of Environmental Quality (Department). This permit is for the Phillips 66 Company Billings Petroleum Refinery. All conditions of the Department's Decision remain the same. Enclosed is a copy of your permit with the final date indicated.

For the Department,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Julie A. Merkel".

Julie A. Merkel
Air Permitting Supervisor
Air Quality Bureau
(406) 444-3626

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Shawn Juers".

Shawn Juers
Environmental Engineer
Air Quality Bureau
(406) 444-2049

JM:SJ
Enclosure

Montana Department of Environmental Quality
Permitting and Compliance Division

Montana Air Quality Permit #2619-34

Phillips 66 Company
Billings Refinery
PO Box 30198
Billings, MT 59107-0198

April 19, 2016



MONTANA AIR QUALITY PERMIT

Issued to: Phillips 66 Company
Billings Refinery
P.O. Box 30198
Billings, MT 59107-0198

MAQP: #2619-34
Administrative Amendment
Request Received: March 14, 2016
Department's Decision
on AA: April 1, 2016
Permit Final: April 19, 2016
AFS #: 111-0011

A Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP), with conditions, is hereby granted to Phillips 66 Company - Billings Refinery (Phillips 66), pursuant to Sections 75-2-204, 211, 213, and 215 of the Montana Code Annotated (MCA), as amended, and the Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.8.740, *et seq.*, and 17.8.801, *et seq.*, as amended, for the following:

SECTION I: Permitted Facility

A. Plant Location

Phillips 66 operates a petroleum refinery located at 401 South 23rd Street, Billings, Montana, in the NW¹/₄ of Section 2, Township 1 South, Range 26 East, in Yellowstone County. A complete list of the permitted equipment for Phillips 66 is contained in Section I.A of the Permit Analysis.

B. Refinery Operations

Phillips 66 operates a petroleum refinery, with those operations covered under this MAQP. The refinery operations at the source were provided a separate Title V Operating Permit for purposes of facilitating Responsible Official responsibilities in line with management structure. For Prevention of Significant Determination (PSD) and Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) permit review purposes, the Refinery Operations are considered the same source as the Transportation and Jupiter operations.

C. Transportation Department Operations

Phillips 66 has loading rack operations adjacent to the refinery operations that are covered under this MAQP. Portions of the source under the management of the Transportation Department were provided a separate Title V Operating Permit for purposes of facilitating Responsible Official responsibilities in line with management structure. For PSD and MACT permit review purposes, the Transportation Operations, Refinery Operations, and Sulfur Recovery Operations are considered one source.

D. Sulfur Recovery Operations - Jupiter Sulphur, LLC (Jupiter)

Jupiter is a sulfur recovery operation within the petroleum refinery area described above at 2201 7th Avenue South, Billings, Montana. This operation is a joint venture, of which Phillips 66 is a partner. The Phillips 66 refinery management is responsible for

maintaining air permit compliance of the Jupiter sulfur recovery operations. The Jupiter sulfur recovery operations consist of three primary units: the Ammonium Thiosulfate (ATS) Plant, the Ammonium Sulfide Unit (ASD), and the Claus Sulfur and Tail Gas Treating Units (TGTUs). Total sulfur recovery capacity is approximately 295 long tons per day (LT/D) of sulfur, with a feed rate capacity from the Phillips 66 refinery operations of approximately 235 LT/D of sulfur. A complete list of the permitted equipment is contained in Section I.B of the Permit Analysis. The Jupiter operations are covered under this MAQP and are a part of the Refinery Operations Title V Operating Permit. For PSD and MACT permit review purposes, the Jupiter operations are considered part of the same source as the Transportation and Refinery Operations.

E. Current Permit Action

On March 14, 2016, the Montana Department of Environmental Quality – Air Quality Bureau (Department) received from Phillips 66 a request for an administrative amendment of the MAQP. Changes requested include updating information regarding the cooling towers to be installed as part of the Vacuum Improvement Project to reflect changes made and approved through the de minimis provisions of the Administrative Rules of Montana 17.8.745, and to correct an error regarding identification of tanks which will be removed from service as part of the Vacuum Improvement Project. Lastly, the letter received on March 14th provided notice regarding a change in stack height for the Large Crude Unit Heater H-24, from 152 feet to 195 feet 10 inches. No revision to the MAQP was necessary for the stack height change and a separate de minimis approval letter was sent to Phillips 66 regarding this change.

SECTION II: Conditions and Limitations

A. Applicable Requirements

1. Phillips 66 shall comply with all applicable requirements of ARM 17.8.340, which reference 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 60, Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources (NSPS):
 - a. Subpart A - General Provisions applies to all equipment or facilities subject to an NSPS Subpart as listed below
 - b. Subpart Db - Standards of Performance for Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units shall apply to all affected boilers at the facility which were constructed after June 19, 1984, are larger than 100 million British thermal units per hour (MMBtu/hr), and combust fossil fuel. Phillips 66 shall comply with all applicable requirements of Subpart Db, for all affected boilers at the facility.
 - c. Subpart J - Standards of Performance for Petroleum Refineries shall apply to, but not be limited to:
 - i. All of the heaters and boilers at the Phillips 66 refinery (ARM 17.8.749);

- ii. The Claus units at the Jupiter sulfur recovery;
 - iii. The Fluid Catalytic Cracking Unit (FCCU) (CO, SO₂, PM, and opacity provisions) (ARM 17.8.749); and
 - iv. Any other affected equipment.
- d. Subpart Ja – Standards of Performance for Petroleum Refineries for which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification commenced after May 14, 2007, shall apply to, but not be limited to:
- i. The Delayed Coking Unit (Delayed Coker)
 - ii. Refinery Main Plant Relief Flare (ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.340, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja):
 - (a) Until November 11, 2015, the flare shall comply with:
 - 1. all applicable requirements with the exception of 60.103a(c-e and h) and 60.107a(a)(2) and
 - 2. the provisions in Section II.C.6.a of this permit in accordance with the language of 40 CFR 60.103a(f)
 - (b) Beginning November 11, 2015, the flare shall comply with all applicable requirements.
 - iii. Jupiter Flare (ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.340, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja):
 - (a) Until November 11, 2015, the flare shall comply with:
 - 1. all applicable requirements with exception of 40 CFR 60.103a(c-e and h) and 60.107a(a)(2) and
 - 2. the provisions in Section II.C.7.a of this permit in accordance with the language of 40 CFR 60.103a(f)
 - (b) Beginning November 11, 2015, the flare shall comply with all applicable requirements. The facility meets the requirements of 40 CFR 60.107a(e) by use of an Alternate Monitoring Plan approved by EPA January 6, 2015.
 - iv. Any other affected equipment
- e. Subpart Ka - Standards of Performance for Storage Vessels for Petroleum Liquids shall apply to all petroleum storage vessels for which construction, reconstruction or modification commenced after May 18, 1978, and prior to July 23, 1984, for requirements not overridden by 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC.

These requirements shall be as specified in 40 CFR 60.110a through 60.115a. The affected tanks include, but are not limited to, the following:

Tank ID

- a. T-100*
- b. T-101*
- c. T-102
- d. T-104*

* *Currently exempt from all emission control provisions due to vapor pressure of materials stored.*

- f. Subpart Kb - Standards of Performance for Volatile Organic Liquid Storage Vessels shall apply to all volatile organic storage vessels (including petroleum liquid storage vessels) for which construction, reconstruction or modification commenced after July 23, 1984, for requirements not overridden by 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC. These requirements shall be as specified in 40 CFR 60.110b through 60.117b. The affected tanks include, but are not limited to, the following:

Tank ID

- a. T-35
- b. T-36 (Currently out of service)
- c. T-72
- d. T-107*
- e. T-110
- f. T-0851 (No.5 HDS Feed Storage Tank)
- g. T-1102 (Crude Oil Storage Tank)
- h. T-2909 (LSG Tank)
- i. T-3201* (Currently out of service)

* *Currently exempt from all emission control provisions due to vapor pressure of materials stored.*

- g. Subpart UU - Standards of Performance for Asphalt Processing and Asphalt Roofing Manufacture shall apply to, but not be limited to, asphalt storage tank T-3201 and any other applicable storage tanks that commenced construction or modification after May 26, 1981. Asphalt storage tank T-3201 shall comply with the standards in 40 CFR 60.472(c).
- h. Subpart GGG – Standards of Performance for Equipment Leaks of VOC in Petroleum Refineries shall apply to the following compressors:
 - a. C-3901, Coker Unit Wet Gas Compressor
 - b. C-5301, Flare Gas Recovery Unit Liquid Ring Compressor
 - c. C-5302, Flare Gas Recovery Unit Liquid Ring Compressor
 - d. C-8301, Cryo Unit Inlet Gas Compressor
 - e. C-8302, Cryo Unit Refrigerant Compressor

- f. C-8303, Cryo Unit Regeneration Gas Compressor
- g. Subpart GGG – Standards of Performance for Equipment Leaks of VOC in Petroleum Refineries shall apply to the following compressors, which are in hydrogen service:
 - a. C-8401, No. 4 HDS Makeup/Recycle Hydrogen Compressor
 - b. C-7401, Hydrogen Makeup/Reformer Hydrogen Compressor
 - c. C-9401, Hydrogen Plant Feed Gas Compressor
 - d. C-9501 Makeup/Recycle Gas Compressor
 - e. C-9701, Feed Gas Compressor
- h. Subpart GGGa – Standards of Performance for Equipment Leaks of VOC in Petroleum Refineries shall apply to the C-8402, No. 4 HDS Makeup/Recycle Compressor, which is in hydrogen service.
- i. Subpart GGGa – Standards of Performance for Equipment Leaks of VOC in Petroleum Refineries for Which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification Commenced After November 7, 2006 shall apply to, but not be limited to the group of all equipment (as defined in 40 CFR 60.591a) in the following process units:
 - a. Delayed coker unit
 - b. Cryogenic unit
 - c. Hydrogen membrane unit
 - d. Gasoline merox unit
 - e. Crude vacuum unit
 - f. Gas oil hydrotreater unit (consisting of a reaction section, fractionation section, and an amine treating section)
 - g. No.1 H₂ Unit (22.0-million standard cubic feet per day (MMscfd) hydrogen plant feed system)
 - h. Alkylation Unit Butane Defluorinator Project (consisting of heat exchangers; X-453, X-223, X-450, X-451, X-452, pumps; P-646, Vessels; D-130, D-359, D-360)
 - i. Alkylation Unit Depropanizer Project
 - j. #3 Sour Water Stripper (SWS) Unit
 - k. Fugitive components associated with boilers #B-5 and #B-6

- l. The fugitive components associated with the No.2 H₂ Unit and the No.5 HDS Unit
 - m. HPU and
 - n. Any other applicable equipment constructed or modified after November 7, 2006
 - j. Subpart QQQ - Standards of Performance for VOC Emissions from Petroleum Refining Wastewater Systems, shall apply to, but not be limited to:
 - a. Coker unit drain system
 - b. Desalter wastewater break tanks
 - c. Corrugated Plate Interceptor (CPI) separators
 - d. Gas oil hydrotreater oily water sewer drain system
 - e. No. 1 H₂ Unit (22.0-MMscfd hydrogen plant)
 - f. C-23 compressor station oily water sewer drain system
 - g. Alkylation Unit Butane Defluorinator oily water sewer drain system
 - h. Alkylation Unit Depropanizer oily water sewer drain system
 - i. #3 SWS Unit oily water sewer drain system
 - j. South Tank Farm oily water sewer drain system
 - k. Tank T-4523 (wastewater surge tank)
 - l. No. 2 H₂ Unit and the No.5 HDS Unit new individual oily water drain system, and

Any other applicable equipment, for requirements not overridden by 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC
 - k. Subpart IIII – Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines shall apply to, but not be limited to diesel-fired engine used for operation of the Backup Coke Crusher.
2. Phillips 66 shall comply with all applicable requirements of ARM 17.8.341, which references 40 CFR Part 61, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP):
- a. Subpart A - General Provisions applies to all equipment or facilities subject to a NESHAP subpart as listed below.

- b. Subpart FF - National Emission Standards for Benzene Waste Operations shall apply to, but not be limited to, all new or recommissioned wastewater sewer drains associated with the Alkylation Unit Depropanizer Project, the Refinery's existing sewer system, the #3 SWS Unit, the new individual drain system for the waste streams associated with the No.2 H₂ Unit and the No.5 HDS Unit, and Tanks 34 and 35.
 - c. Subpart M - National Emission Standard for Asbestos shall apply to, but not be limited to, the demolition and/or renovation of regulated asbestos containing material.
3. Phillips 66 shall comply with all applicable requirements of ARM 17.8.342, which reference 40 CFR Part 63, NESHAP for Source Categories, including the reporting, recordkeeping, testing, and notification requirements:
- a. Subpart A, General Provisions, applies to all equipment or facilities subject to a NESHAP for source categories subpart as listed below.
 - b. Subpart R, National Emission Standards for Gasoline Distribution Facilities (Bulk Gasoline Terminals and Pipeline Breakout Stations), shall apply to, but not be limited to, the bulk loading rack.
 - c. Subpart CC, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants From Petroleum Refineries (Refinery MACT I), shall apply to, but not be limited to, Miscellaneous Process Vents; Equipment Leaks; Wastewater Streams; and Storage Vessels including but not limited to:
 - Group 1:
 - Crude Oil Storage Tanks #1, #2, and T-1102
 - Gasoline, Naphtha, and Other Storage Tanks: #3, #5, #7, #9, #11, #12, #16, #21, #41, #42, #45, #46, #49, #52, #55, #72, #75, #80, #86, #87, #102, #110, #851, #2909
 - Group 2:
 - Asphalt and PMA Storage Tanks #4, #62, #100, #101 & #3201
 - Jet A, Distillate, and Diesel Storage Tanks #8, #10, #14, #20, #33, #47, #48, #53, #54, #57, #74,
 - Residual and Fuel Oil Storage Tanks #6, #17, #39, #40, #69, #70, #81, #107
 - Other Storage Tanks #13, #18, #32, #59, #60, #82, #88, #91, #92, #116, #801
 - Organic Liquid Distribution (OLD) MACT:
 - Proto Gas Tanks #2901 - #2907
 - Dye & Other Tanks #78, #79 & #109
 - d. Subpart UUU, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Petroleum Refineries: Catalytic Cracking Units, Catalytic Reforming Units, and Sulfur Recovery Units (Refinery MACT II), shall apply to, but not be limited to, the FCCU and Catalytic Reforming Unit #2. Subpart UUU does not apply to the Catalytic Reforming Unit #1 as long as the reformer is dormant or the catalyst is regenerated off-site.

- e. Subpart EEEE, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Organic Liquids Distribution (Non-Gasoline) shall apply to, but not be limited to, Proto Gas storage tanks.
 - f. Subpart ZZZZ, National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines shall apply to, but not be limited to the diesel-fired engine used for operation of the Backup Coke Crusher, the Cryo Backup Air Compressor engine, the Boiler House Air Compressor engine, the Pump for Storm Water to Holding Pond engine, and the Boiler House Backup Air Compressor engine.
4. Phillips 66 shall comply with the provisions of 40 CFR 82, Subpart F, Recycling and Emission Reduction as applicable (ARM 17.8.749).

B. Emission Control Requirements

Phillips 66 shall install, operate, and maintain the following emission control equipment to provide the maximum air pollution control for which it was designed:

1. The Refinery Main Plant Relief flare must be equipped and operated with a steam injection system (ARM 17.8.752). The flare tip is to be based at a minimum of 142-feet plus or minus 2 feet elevation (ARM 17.8.749). Phillips 66 shall minimize SO₂ flaring activity by installing and operating flare gas recovery systems on the Refinery Main Plant Relief flare (ARM 17.8.749).
2. The Jupiter flare must be equipped and operated with a steam injection system (ARM 17.8.752). The flare tip is to be based at a minimum of 213-feet plus or minus 3 feet elevation (ARM 17.8.749).
3. Storage tank #49 shall be equipped with an internal floating roof with a double rim seal, liquid-mounted seal, or mechanical shoe seal system for VOC loss control (ARM 17.8.752).
4. Storage tanks #4510 and #4511 shall be equipped with internal floating roofs with double rim seals or a liquid-mounted seal system for VOC loss control (ARM 17.8.752).
5. The delayed coking unit drums shall depressure to 5 pounds per square inch gauge (psig) or less during reactor vessel depressuring (ARM 17.8.340, 40 CFR 60.103a(c)).
6. All compressors in Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) service (as defined in 40 CFR 60.591) subject to 40 CFR 60, Subpart GGG shall institute a compliance program as described under NSPS (40 CFR 60, Subpart VV, at 40 CFR 60.482 to 40 CFR 60.483 (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60, Subpart GGG):
7. The C-23 Compressor station shall have a VOC monitoring and maintenance program instituted as described in 40 CFR 60.482-2, 40 CFR 60.482-4 thru 10, 40 CFR 60.483-1 and 2, 40 CFR 60.485, 40 CFR 60.486 (b-k), and 40 CFR 60.486 (c-e). If monitoring or scheduled inspections indicate failure or leakage of the

compressor seal system, then the seals shall be repaired as soon as practicable (but not later than 15 calendar days after it is detected), except as provided in 40 CFR 60.482-9 (ARM 17.8.752).

8. All equipment (as defined in 40 CFR 60.591a) subject to 40 CFR 60, Subpart GGGa shall comply with the following (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60 Subpart GGGa):
 - a. All valves used shall be high-quality valves containing high-quality packing.
 - b. All open-ended valves shall be of the same quality as the valves described above. They will have plugs, caps or a second valve installed on the open end.
 - c. All pipe and tower flanges shall be installed using process compatible gasket material.
 - d. All pumps shall be fitted with the highest quality state-of-the-art mechanical seals, as appropriate.
 - ii. A monitoring and maintenance program as described under NSPS (40 CFR 60, Subpart VVa) shall be instituted.
9. All equipment subject to 40 CFR 60, Subpart QQQ shall comply with all applicable requirements, including (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60, Subpart QQQ):
 - a. All process drains shall consist of tightly sealed caps or P-leg traps for sewer drains with intermittent flow.
 - b. The secondary oil/water separator is an oil/water (CPI) separator with hydrocarbon collection and recovery equipment.
 - c. All equipment is operated and maintained as required by 40 CFR 60, Subpart QQQ.
10. All systems within the Phillips 66 refinery and Jupiter sulfur recovery operations (modifications) shall be totally enclosed and controlled such that any pollutant generated does not vent to atmosphere, except as expressly allowed in this permit (ARM 17.8.749).
11. Phillips 66 shall install and maintain the following burners:
 - a. The recycle hydrogen heater (H-8401) and fractionator feed heater (H-8402) shall be equipped with Ultra Low NO_x Burner (ULNB) (ARM 17.8.752).
 - b. The No.1 H₂ Plant Reformer Heater (H-9401) and the No. 2 H₂ Plant Reformer Heater (H-9701) shall be equipped with ULNBs (ARM 17.8.752 and ARM 17.8.819).

- c. The Claus SRU Incinerator (F-304) shall be equipped with LNB (ARM 17.8.752 and ARM 17.8.819).
 - d. The coker heater (H-3901) shall be equipped with LNB.¹
 - e. Boilers #B-5 and #B-6 shall be equipped with ULNB (ARM 17.8.819).
 - f. No.5 HDS Charge Heater and No.5 HDS Stabilizer Reboiler Heater (EPN-41 and 42, respectively) shall be equipped with ULNB (ARM 17.8.819).
12. Phillips 66 shall operate and maintain two CPI separator tanks with either carbon canister total VOC controls or a closed vent system routed to the wastewater treatment thermal oxidizer to comply with 40 CFR 60, Subpart QQQ, and 40 CFR 61, Subpart FF regulations. The CPI separators shall be vented to two carbon canisters in series, with no detectable emissions from the connections and components in the closed vent system and canisters (ARM 17.8.340, ARM 17.8.341, 40 CFR 60 Subpart QQQ, 40 CFR 61, Subpart FF).
13. The bulk loading gasoline and distillates loading rack shall be operated and maintained as follows:
- a. Phillips 66's loading rack shall be equipped with a vapor collection system designed to collect the organic compound vapors displaced from cargo tanks during product loading (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart R).
 - b. Phillips 66's collected vapors shall be routed to the Vapor Combustor Unit (VCU) at all times. In the event the VCU was inoperable, Phillips 66 may continue to load only distillates with a Reid vapor pressure of less than 27.6 kilopascals, provided the Department is notified in accordance with the requirements of ARM 17.8.110 (ARM 17.8.752).
 - c. The vapor collection and liquid loading equipment shall be designed and operated to prevent gauge pressure in the gasoline cargo tank from exceeding 4,500 Pascals (Pa) (450 millimeters (mm) of water) during product loading. This level shall not be exceeded when measured by the procedures specified in the test methods and procedures in 40 CFR 60.503(d) (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart R).
 - d. No pressure vacuum vent in the permitted terminal's vapor collection system shall begin to open at a system pressure less than 4,500 Pa (450 mm of water) (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart R).
 - e. The vapor collection system shall be designed to prevent VOC vapors collected at one loading position from passing to another loading position (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart R).

¹ The low NO_x burners for the coker heater are a requirement of the coker Permit #2619 issued April 19, 1990.

- f. Loading of liquid products into gasoline cargo tanks shall be limited to vapor-tight gasoline cargo tanks using the following procedures (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart R):
 - i. Phillips 66 shall obtain annual vapor tightness documentation described in the test methods and procedures in 40 CFR 63.425(e) for each gasoline cargo tank that is to be loaded at the loading rack.
 - ii. Phillips 66 shall require the cargo tank identification number to be recorded as each gasoline cargo tank is loaded at the terminal.
 - iii. Phillips 66 shall cross check each tank identification number obtained during product loading with the file of tank vapor tightness documentation within 2 weeks after the corresponding cargo tank is loaded.
 - iv. Phillips 66 shall notify the owner or operator of each non-vapor-tight cargo tank loaded at the loading rack within 3 weeks after the loading has occurred.
 - v. Phillips 66 shall take the necessary steps to ensure that any non-vapor-tight cargo tank will not be reloaded at the loading rack until vapor tightness documentation for that cargo tank is obtained which documents that:
 - a. The gasoline cargo tank meets the applicable test requirements in 40 CFR 63.425(e) of this permit.
 - b. For each gasoline cargo tank failing the test requirements in 40 CFR 63.425(f) or (g), the gasoline cargo tank must either:
 - i. Before the repair work is performed on the cargo tank, meet the test requirements in 40 CFR 63.425 (g) or (h).
 - ii. After repair work is performed on the cargo tank before or during the tests in 40 CFR 63.425 (g) or (h), subsequently passes, the annual certification test described in 40 CFR 63.425(e).
 - g. Phillips 66 shall ensure that gasoline cargo tanks at the loading rack are loaded only into cargo tanks equipped with vapor collection equipment that is compatible with the terminal's vapor collection system (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart R).
 - h. Phillips 66 shall ensure that the terminal and the cargo tank vapor recovery systems are connected during each loading of a gasoline cargo tank at the loading rack (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart R).
 - i. Loading of cargo tanks shall be restricted to the use of submerged fill and dedicated normal service (ARM 17.8.749).

- j. Phillips 66 shall install and continuously operate a thermocouple and an associated recorder for temperature monitoring in the firebox or ductwork immediately downstream in a position before any substantial heat occurs, and develop an operating parameter value for the VCU in accordance with the provisions of 40 CFR 63.425 and 63.427 (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart R; and ARM 17.8.752).
 - k. Phillips 66 shall perform a monthly leak inspection of all equipment in gasoline service. The inspection must include, but is not limited to, all valves, flanges, pump seals, and open-ended lines. For purposes of this inspection, detection methods incorporating sight, sound, or smell are acceptable. Each piece of equipment shall be inspected during the loading of a gasoline cargo tank (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart R).
 - l. A logbook shall be used and shall be signed by the owner or operator at the completion of each inspection. A section of the log shall contain a list, summary description, or diagram(s) showing the location of all equipment in gasoline service at the facility (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart R).
 - m. Each detection of a liquid or vapor leak shall be recorded in the logbook. When a leak is detected, an initial attempt at repair shall be made as soon as practicable, but no later than 5 calendar days after the leak is detected. Repair or replacement of leaking equipment shall be completed within 15 calendar days after detection of each leak, except as provided in “n” below (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart R).
 - n. Delay of repair of leaking equipment will be allowed upon a demonstration to the Department that repairs within 15 days are not feasible. The owner or operator shall provide the reason(s) a delay is needed and the date by which each repair is expected to be completed (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart R).
 - o. Phillips 66 shall not allow gasoline to be handled in a manner that would result in vapor releases to the atmosphere for extended periods of time. Measures to be taken include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - i. Minimize gasoline spills;
 - ii. Clean up spills as expeditiously as practicable;
 - iii. Cover all open gasoline containers with a gasketed seal when not in use and;
 - iv. Minimize gasoline sent to open waste collection systems that collect and transport gasoline to reclamation and recycling devices, such as oil/water separators (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart R).
14. Jupiter shall vent off-gas from the ASD unit operation to the B304 sulfur boiler except during malfunction or maintenance conditions, when the off-gases would be vented to the Jupiter SRU flare (ARM 17.8.749).

15. Phillips 66 shall operate a temporary natural gas-fired boiler for up to 8 weeks per rolling 12-month period. The temporary boiler will not exceed a firing rate of 51 MMBtu/hr, and will only be used during refinery turnarounds (ARM 17.8.749).
16. Phillips 66 shall operate and maintain an amine-based chemical absorption system on the refinery fuel gas system (ARM 17.8.752 and ARM 17.8.819).
17. The Claus SRU shall be equipped with a TGTU (ARM 17.8.752 and ARM 17.8.819).

C. Emission Limitations

1. Total refinery and sulfur recovery facility emissions shall not exceed the following (ARM 17.8.749, unless otherwise noted):
 - a. Jupiter SRU/ATS Main Stack (S-101/S-401)
 - i. SO₂ Emissions –
 - (A) 25.00 pounds per hour (lbs/hr) (ARM 17.8.749)
 - (B) 167 ppmv, corrected to 0% O₂ on a dry basis, on a rolling 12- hour average
 - (C) 0.30 tons/day
 - iii. NO_x Emissions - 18.92 lbs/hr, 454.0 lbs/day, 82.85 TPY
 - iv. PM₁₀ Emissions – 7.76 lbs/hr, 186.3 pounds per day (lb/day), 34.00 TPY
 - v. CO Emissions - 0.40 lb/hr, 1.76 TPY
 - vi. Ammonia - 13.36 lbs/hr, 320.5 lb/day, 58.5 TPY
 - vii. Opacity - 20% averaged over any 6 consecutive minutes.
 - b. Jupiter SRU Flare²
 - i. SO₂ Emissions - 25.00 lbs/hr, 0.30 tons/day.
 - ii. Hydrogen Sulfide (H₂S) content of the flare fuel gas (and pilot gas) burned shall not exceed 0.10 grain/dry standard cubic foot (gr/dscf) (ARM 17.8.749), with the exception of process upset gases or fuel gas that is released to the flare as a result of relief valve leakage or other emergency malfunctions (ARM 17.8.340, 40 CFR 60, Subpart J, and 40 CFR 60, Subpart Ja).

² Emissions occur only during times that the ATS plant is not operating.

- iii. PM and CO emissions shall be kept to their negligible levels as indicated in the permit application.
 - iv. Opacity - 20% averaged over any 6 consecutive minutes.
- c. Total SO₂ emissions from the Jupiter SRU/ATS main stack plus the Jupiter SRU flare shall not exceed 109.5 TPY (rolling 12-month average).
- d. FCCU Stack
- i. SO₂ emissions shall not exceed 328.8 lbs/hr, rolling 24-hour average; 3.945 ton/day; 48.86 TPY.
 - ii. SO₂ emissions from the FCCU shall not exceed 25 ppmvd at 0% O₂ based on a rolling 365-day average, as well as 50 ppmvd at 0% O₂ based on a rolling 7-day average. SO₂ emission data during startup, shutdown or malfunction of the FCCU or during periods of malfunction of a control system or pollutant reducing catalyst additive system will not be used in determining compliance with the 7-day SO₂ emission limit, provided that Phillips 66 implements good air pollution control practices to minimize SO₂ emissions. The 7-day SO₂ emission limit shall not apply during periods of hydrotreater outages provided that Phillips 66 is maintaining and operating the FCCU (including associated air pollution control equipment) in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions in accordance with the EPA-approved Hydrotreater Outage Plan (see Appendix G of Title V Operating Permit #OP2619-09). In those instances where Phillips 66 chooses (as allowed per the Plan provisions) to exclude the Hydrotreater Outage period from the 7-day SO₂ emission limit, it must demonstrate compliance with the applicable requirements of the Plan in the post-outage report required pursuant to the Plan. Hydrotreater outage shall mean the period of time during which the operation of an FCCU is affected as a result of catalyst change-out operations or shutdowns required by American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) pressure vessel requirements or state boiler codes, or as a result of malfunction that prevents the hydrotreater from effectively producing the quantity and quality of feed necessary to achieve established FCCU emission performance. For days in which the FCCU is not operating, no SO₂ value shall be used in the average, and those periods shall be skipped in determining the 7-day and 365-day averages. (ARM 17.8.749)
 - iii. SO₂ emissions from FCCU shall not exceed 9.8 kilograms per Megagram (kg/Mg, or 20 lb/ton) coke burnoff on a 7-day rolling average basis, in accordance with 40 CFR 60.104(b)(2) and (c). As an alternative, Phillips 66 shall process in the FCCU fresh feed that has a total sulfur content no greater than 0.30 percent by weight on a 7-day rolling average basis, in accordance with 40 CFR 60.104(b)(3) and (c). This limit became effective on February 1, 2005 (40 CFR 60 Subpart J and ARM 17.8.749).

- iv. CO emissions shall not exceed 150 ppmvd at 0% O₂ based on a rolling 365-day average basis (ARM 17.8.749)
- v. CO emissions shall not exceed 500 ppmvd at 0% O₂ based on a one-hour average emission limit. CO emissions during periods of startup, shutdown or malfunctions of the FCCU will not be used for determining compliance with this emission limit, provided that Phillips 66 implements good air pollution control practices to minimize CO emissions (ARM 17.8.749).
- vi. CO emissions shall not exceed 500 ppmvd based on a one-hour average (40 CFR 60 Subpart J and ARM 17.8.749)
- vii. NO_x emissions shall not exceed 49.2 ppmvd corrected to 0% O₂, on a rolling 365-day average and 69.5 ppmvd, corrected to 0% O₂, on a rolling 7-day average. NO_x emission data during startup, shutdown, or malfunction of the FCCU or during periods of malfunction of a control system or pollutant reducing catalyst additive system will not be used in determining compliance with the 7-day NO_x emission limit, provided that Phillips 66 implements good air pollution control practices to minimize NO_x emissions. The 7-day NO_x emission limit shall not apply during periods of hydrotreater outages provided that Phillips 66 is maintaining and operating the FCCU (including associated air pollution control equipment) in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions in accordance with the EPA-approved Hydrotreater Outage Plan (See Appendix G of Title V Operating Permit #OP2619-09). In those instances where Phillips 66 chooses (as allowed per the Plan provisions) to exclude the Hydrotreater Outage period from the 7-day NO_x emission limit, it must demonstrate compliance with the applicable requirements of the Plan in the post-outage report required pursuant to the Plan. Hydrotreater outage shall mean the period of time during which the operation of an FCCU is affected as a result of catalyst change-out operations or shutdowns required by ASME pressure vessel requirements or state boiler codes, or as a result of malfunction that prevents the hydrotreater from effectively producing the quantity and quality of feed necessary to achieve established FCCU emission performance. For days in which the FCCU is not operating, no NO_x value shall be used in the average, and those periods shall be skipped in determining the 7-day and 365-day averages (ARM 17.8.749).
- viii. PM Emissions - The FCCU shall not exceed the PM limit of 1.0 lb/1000 lbs coke burned (40 CFR 60, Subpart J and ARM 17.8.749).
- ix. Opacity – not to exceed 30%, except for one 6-minute average in any 1 hour period (40 CFR 60 Subpart J and ARM 17.8.749).
- e. Refinery Fuel Gas Heaters/Furnaces
 - i. Phillips 66 shall not burn fuel oil in any of its heaters (ARM 17.8.749).

- ii. Combined SO₂ Emissions shall not exceed: 614 lb/day, rolling 24-hour average; and 45.5 TPY, rolling 12-month average for the following fuel gas combustion units:
 - (A) Emission Point 2, H-1;
 - (B) Emission Point 3, H-2;
 - (C) Emission Point 4, H-4;
 - (D) Emission Point 5, H-5;
 - (E) Emission Point 7, H-10 – No. 2 HDS;
 - (F) Emission Point 8, H-11 – No. 2 HDS Debutanizer Reboiler;
 - (G) Emission Point 9, H-12 – No. 2 HDS Main Frac. Reboiler;
 - (H) Emission Point 10, H-13 – Catalytic Reforming Unit #2;
 - (I) Emission Point 11, H-14 – Catalytic Reforming Unit #2;
 - (J) Emission Point 12, H-15;
 - (K) Emission Point 13, H-16 – Saturated Gas Stabilizer Reboiler and PB Merox Disulfide Offgas;
 - (L) Emission Point 14, H-17;
 - (M) Emission Point 15, H-18;
 - (N) Emission Point 16, H-19;
 - (O) Emission Point 17, H-20;
 - (P) Emission Point 18, H-21;
 - (Q) Emission Point 20, H-23 – Catalytic Reforming Unit #2;
 - (R) Emission Point 21, H-24;
 - (S) Emission Point 6, H-3901 – Coker Heater;
 - (T) Emission Point 28, H-8401 – Recycle Hydrogen Heater;
 - (U) Emission Point 29, H-8402 – Fractionator Feed Heater.

- iii. H₂S content of fuel gas burned shall not exceed 0.10 gr/dscf, rolling 3-hr average (ARM 17.8.749).

- iv. H₂S content of fuel gas shall not exceed 0.073 gr/dscf (116.5 ppmv H₂S) per rolling 12-month time period, for fuel gas burned in (ARM 17.8.749):
 - (A) Emission point 35, H-9401, the No. 1 H₂ Reformer Heater
 - (B) Emission point 7, H-10, the No. 2 HDS
 - (C) Emission point 8, H-11, the Debutanizer Reboiler, No. 2 HDS
 - (D) Emission point 9, H-12, the Main Frac. Reboiler No. 2 HDS
 - (E) Emission point 10, H-13, Catalytic Reforming Unit #2
 - (F) Emission point 11, H-14, Catalytic Reforming Unit #2
 - (G) Emission point 13, H-16, the Stabilizer Reboiler, Sat Gas
 - (H) Emission point 20, H-23, Catalytic Reforming Unit #2
 - (I) Emission point 41, No.5 HDS Charge Heater
 - (J) Emission point 42, No.5 HDS Stabilizer Reboiler Heater
 - (K) Emission point 43, No. 2 H₂ Reformer Heater

- v. Opacity from each of the Refinery Fuel Gas Heaters/Furnaces constructed prior to 1968 shall not exceed 40% averaged over any 6 consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.304).
- vi. Opacity from each of the Refinery Fuel Gas Heaters/Furnaces constructed after 1968, including the No.5 HDS Charge Heater, No.5 HDS Stabilizer Reboiler Heater, No.2 H₂ Plant Reformer Heater (H-9701), Coker Heater, Recycle Hydrogen Heater, Fractionator Feed Heater, No. 1 H₂ Plant Reformer Heater (H-9401), and H-1 shall each not exceed 20% averaged over 6 consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.304).
- vii. NO_x emissions from the No.5 HDS Charge Heater shall not exceed 0.03 pound per million British thermal units (lb/MMBtu) per rolling 12-month time period (ARM 17.8.752).
- viii. CO emissions from the No.5 HDS Charge Heater shall not exceed 0.317 lb/MMBtu per rolling 12-month time period when the heater is operating at 10.9 MMBtu/hr or less (ARM 17.8.752).
- ix. CO emissions from the No.5 HDS Charge Heater shall not exceed 0.1585 lb/MMBtu per rolling 12-month time period when the heater is operating at greater than 10.9 MMBtu/hr (ARM 17.8.752).
- x. NO_x emissions from the No.5 HDS Stabilizer Reboiler Heater shall not exceed 0.03 lb/MMBtu per rolling 12-month time period (ARM 17.8.752).
- xi. CO emissions from the No.5 HDS Stabilizer Reboiler Heater shall not exceed 0.1585 lb/MMBtu per rolling 12-month time period when the heater is operating at 29.9 MMBtu/hr or less (ARM 17.8.752).
- xii. CO emissions from the No.5 HDS Stabilizer Reboiler Heater shall not exceed 0.091 lb/MMBtu per rolling 12-month time period when the heater is operating at greater than 29.9 MMBtu/hr (ARM 17.8.752).
- xiii. The PSA purge gas used as heater fuel in the No. 2 H₂ Plant Reformer Heater (H-9701) shall be sulfur free (ARM 17.8.752).
- xiv. The total NO_x emissions from the No.5 HDS Charge Heater (H-9501), the No.5 HDS Stabilizer Reboiler Heater (H-9502), and the No.2 H₂ Plant Reformer Heater (H-9701) shall not exceed 7.95 lbs/hr and 34.19 TPY (ARM 17.8.752).
- xv. NO_x emissions from the No. 1 H₂ Plant Reformer Heater (H-9401) and the No.2 H₂ Plant Reformer Heater (H-9701) shall not exceed 0.03 lb/MMBtu per rolling 12-month time period (ARM 17.8.752 and ARM 17.8.819).
- xvi. CO emissions from the No. 1 H₂ Plant Reformer Heater (H-9401) and the No. 2 H₂ Plant Reformer Heater (H-9701) shall not exceed 0.025 lb/MMBtu per rolling 12-month time period. The PSA purge gas used as heater fuel shall be sulfur free (ARM 17.8.752).

- xvii. NO_x emissions from the Coker Heater (H-3901) shall not exceed 0.08 lb/MMBtu and 7.38 lbs/hr (ARM 17.8.752).
- xviii. NO_x emissions from the Recycle Hydrogen Heater (H-8401) shall not exceed 0.03 lb/MMBtu (ARM 17.8.752).
- xix. NO_x emissions from the Fractionator Feed Heater (H-8402) shall not exceed 0.03 lb/MMBtu (ARM 17.8.752).
- xx. The total NO_x emissions from the Coker Heater (H-3901), Recycle Hydrogen Heater (H-8401), Fractionator Feed Heater (H-8402), and the No. 1 H₂ Plant Reformer Heater (H-9401) shall not exceed 13.54 lbs/hr and 58.95 TPY (ARM 17.8.752).
- xxi. PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} emissions from the No. 1 H₂ Plant Reformer Heater (H-9401) and No. 2 H₂ Plant Reformer Heater (H-9701) shall not exceed 0.0075 lb/MMBtu per rolling 12-month time period (ARM 17.8.752 and ARM 17.8.819).

f. Main Boilerhouse Stack

- i. SO₂ Emissions - 321.4 lbs/hr, rolling 24-hour average; 3.857 ton/day; 1,407.8 TPY (fuel oil and fuel gas combustion).
- ii. SO₂ Emissions – 300 TPY from fuel oil combustion, based on a rolling 365-day average as determined by the existing SO₂ Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) or replacement SO₂ CEMS subsequently installed and certified (ARM 17.8.749).
- iii. H₂S content of fuel gas burned shall not exceed 0.10 gr/dscf, rolling 3-hr average.
- iv. H₂S content of fuel gas burned in boilers #B-5 and #B-6 shall not exceed 96 ppmv on a rolling 365-day average (ARM 17.8.749).
- v. Opacity - 40% averaged over any 6 consecutive minutes, except during times that the exhaust from only boilers #B-5 and #B-6 are being routed to the main boiler stack, the opacity limit is 20% (ARM 17.8.340).
- vi. NO_x emissions from boilers #B-5 and #B-6 shall each, when fired on RFG, not exceed 0.03 lb/MMBtu based on a rolling 365-day average or 24.05 TPY based on a rolling 365-day average. Compliance with the limits shall be monitored with the NO_x and O₂ CEMS subsequently installed and certified (ARM 17.8.752).
- vii. CO emissions from boilers #B-5 and #B-6 shall each not exceed 0.04 lb/MMBtu based on a rolling 365-day average fired on RFG (ARM 17.8.752).

viii. VOC Emissions from boilers #B-5 and #B-6 shall each not exceed 4.32 tons/rolling 12-calendar month total (ARM 17.8.752).

g. Sulfur Pits of Sulfur Recovery Plant

Phillips 66 shall capture and treat or incinerate emissions from its sulfur pits with the other emissions from its sulfur recovery plant. Emissions sent to the incinerator are measured as part of the total emissions exiting the Jupiter Main Stack No. 1 as required by II.E.5.a (ARM 17.8.749).

h. PMA Storage Tank Vent (T-3201)

Opacity shall not exceed 0%, except for one consecutive 15-minute period in any 24-hour period when the transfer lines are being blown clear (40 CFR 60.472(c)).

i. Total SO₂ emissions for refinery and sulfur recovery facilities

Total SO₂ emissions for refinery and sulfur recovery facilities shall not exceed the limit of 3,103 TPY. In addition, where applicable, all other federal emission limitations shall be met. (ARM 17.8.749)

2. All access roads shall use either paving or chemical dust suppression as appropriate to limit excessive fugitive dust, with water as a back-up measure, to maintain compliance with ARM 17.8.308 and the 20% opacity limitation. Phillips 66 shall use reasonable precautions during construction, and earth-moving activities shall use reasonable precautions to limit excessive fugitive dust and to mitigate impacts to nearby residential and commercial places (ARM 17.8.308).
3. Emissions from the loading of gasoline and distillates at the loading rack shall be limited to the following:
 - a. The total VOC emissions to the atmosphere from the VCU due to loading liquid product into cargo tanks shall not exceed 10.0 milligrams per liter (mg/L) of gasoline loaded (ARM 17.8.342; 40 CFR 63, Subpart R; and ARM 17.8.752).
 - b. The total CO emissions to the atmosphere from the VCU due to loading liquid product into cargo tanks shall not exceed 10.0 mg/L of gasoline loaded (ARM 17.8.752).
 - c. The total NO_x emissions to the atmosphere from the VCU due to loading liquid product into cargo tanks shall not exceed 4.0 mg/L of gasoline loaded (ARM 17.8.752).
 - d. Phillips 66 shall not cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere from the enclosed VCU:

- i. Any visible emissions that exhibit an opacity of 10% or greater (ARM 17.8.749)
 - ii. Any particulate emissions in excess of 0.10 gr/dscf corrected to 12% CO₂ (ARM 17.8.749)
4. Phillips 66 shall operate and maintain the Saturate Gas Plant according to the Leak Detection and Repair (LDAR) program. Phillips 66 shall monitor and maintain all pumps, shutoff valves, relief valves, and other piping and valves associated with the Saturate Gas Plant, as described in 40 CFR 60.482-1 through 60.482-10. Records of monitoring and maintenance shall be maintained on site for a minimum of 5 years (ARM 17.8.342, 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC and ARM 17.8.752).
5. Phillips 66 shall operate and maintain all new (associated with the Low Sulfur Gasoline (LSG) project) fugitive component VOC emissions in the No.2 HDS Unit, the Gas Oil Hydrodesulfurizer (GOHDS) Unit, and the Tank Farm (including those fugitive emissions associated with the LSG tank) according to the LDAR program (ARM 17.8.342; 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC; and ARM 17.8.752).
6. Refinery Main Plant Relief Flare Stack
 - a. Until November 11, 2015, in accordance with the language of 40 CFR 60.103a(f), the facility shall comply with the Subpart J compliance mechanisms specified and developed under a federal consent decree (Civil Action H-01-4330) and listed below.
 1. Phillips 66 shall install and operate a flare gas recovery system (FGRS) to minimize flaring of fuel gas at this flare, as a means of implementing good air pollution control practices in accordance with 40 CFR 60.11(d) in lieu of meeting the emission limits and monitoring and recordkeeping requirements of 40 CFR 60.104, 105, and 107. Phillips 66 shall operate the FGRS at all times that the facility is operating. Periodic maintenance may be required to ensure that the flare gas recovery system operates properly. In addition, the FGRS may need to be by-passed in the event of an emergency or to ensure safe operations of the refinery processes. Phillips 66 shall take all reasonable measures to minimize emissions when periodic maintenance is performed on the FGRS or the FGRS is by-passed during an emergency or to ensure safe operation of the refinery processes. The facility shall maintain records of all periods of periodic maintenance or bypassing of the FGRS, including the cause, duration, and estimate of resulting emissions from the flare. (ARM 17.8.749).

2. For any acid gas, hydrocarbon, or tail gas flaring incident [defined as an emission of SO₂ that is equal or greater than 500 lbs in a block 24-hour period (from initial commencement of flaring)], Phillips 66 shall prepare a Root Cause Failure Analysis (RCFA) and corrective action. The facility shall maintain records of flaring incidents, including cause(s), duration, estimate of resulting emissions, and the resulting actions taken. (ARM 17.8.749).
- b. Beginning November 11, 2015, the Main Refinery Plant Flare shall not burn any fuel gas that contains H₂S in excess of 162 ppm determined hourly on a 3-hour rolling average basis. The combustion in a flare of process upset gases or fuel gas that is released to the flare as a result of relief valve leakage or other emergency malfunctions is exempt from this limit. (ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.340, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja).
- c. SO₂ emission increases, due to upset conditions or discontinuance of the SRU, shall be offset by an equivalent rate from any other sources covered by this permit (ARM 17.8.749).

7. Jupiter Flare

- a. Until November 11, 2015, in accordance with the language of 40 CFR 60.103a(f), the facility shall comply with the 40 CFR 60, Subpart J compliance mechanisms specified and developed under a federal consent decree (Civil Action H-01-4330) and listed below:
 1. Phillips 66 shall operate the flare such that it only receives process upset gas, fuel gas that is released to the flare as a result of relief valve leakage, or other emergency malfunctions (as defined in 40 CFR 60, Subpart J) (ARM 17.8.749).
 2. Phillips 66 shall prepare a RCFA and corrective action for any flaring incident that results in emissions of SO₂ that are equal or greater than 500 lbs in a 24-hour period. The facility shall maintain records of flaring incidents, including cause(s), duration, estimate of resulting emissions, and the resulting actions taken (ARM 17.8.749).
- b. Beginning November 11, 2015, the Jupiter Flare shall not burn any fuel gas that contains H₂S in excess of 162 ppm determined hourly on a 3-hour rolling average basis. The combustion in a flare of process upset gases or fuel gas that is released to the flare as a result of relief valve leakage or other emergency malfunctions is exempt from this limit. (ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.340, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja).

8. Backup Coke Crusher and Associated Diesel Fired Engine (CG3810)
 - a. The Coke Crusher and the Backup Coke Crusher shall not be operated simultaneously (ARM 17.8.749).
 - b. Engine associated with CG3810 shall not exceed a horsepower rating of 300 hp and shall have an EPA certification of Tier 3 or higher (ARM 17.8.749).
 - c. Phillips 66 shall use only ultra-low-sulfur diesel fuel with a sulfur content less than or equal to 0.0015% in the engine associated with CG3810 (ARM 17.8.752).

D. Testing Requirements – NSPS, NESHAP, and MACT

1. Phillips 66 shall meet, as applicable, the requirements of all testing and procedures of ARM 17.8.340, which reference 40 CFR 60, Subpart Db, Standards of Performance for Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units.
2. Phillips 66 shall meet, as applicable, the requirements of all testing and procedures of ARM 17.8.340, which reference 40 CFR 60, Subpart J, Standards of Performance for Petroleum Refineries.
3. Phillips 66 shall meet, as applicable, the requirements of all testing and procedures of ARM 17.8.340, which reference 40 CFR 60, Subpart Ja, Standards of Performance for Petroleum Refineries for Which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification Commenced After May 14, 2007.
4. Phillips 66 shall meet, as applicable, the requirements of all testing and procedures of ARM 17.8.340, which reference 40 CFR 60, Subpart Ka, Standards of Performance for Storage Vessels for Petroleum Liquids. This shall apply to all petroleum liquid storage vessels for which construction, reconstruction or modification commenced after May 18, 1978, and prior to July 23, 1984 (for requirements not overridden by 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC). These requirements shall be as specified in 40 CFR 60.110a through 60.115a.
5. Phillips 66 shall meet, as applicable, the requirements of all testing and procedures of ARM 17.8.340, which reference 40 CFR 60, Subpart Kb, Standards of Performance for Volatile Organic Liquid Storage Vessels. This shall apply to all volatile organic storage vessels (including petroleum liquid storage vessels) for which construction, reconstruction or modification commenced after July 23, 1984 (for requirements not overridden by 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC).
6. Phillips 66 shall meet, as applicable, the requirements of all testing and procedures of ARM 17.8.340, which reference 40 CFR 60, Subpart GGG, Standards of Performance for Equipment Leaks of VOC in Petroleum Refineries.
7. Phillips 66 shall meet, as applicable, the requirements of all testing and procedures of ARM 17.8.340, which reference 40 CFR 60, Subpart GGGa – Standards of Performance for Equipment Leaks of VOC in Petroleum Refineries for Which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification Commenced After November 7, 2006

8. Phillips 66 shall meet, as applicable, the requirements of all testing and procedures of ARM 17.8.340, which reference 40 CFR 60, Subpart QQQ, Standards of Performance for Volatile Organic Compound Emissions from Petroleum Refinery Wastewater Systems (for requirements not overridden by 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC).
9. Phillips 66 shall meet, as applicable, the requirements of all testing and procedures of ARM 17.8.342, which references 40 CFR 63, Subpart R, NESHAPs for Gasoline Distribution Facilities (Bulk Gasoline Terminals and Pipeline Breakout Stations).
10. Phillips 66 shall meet, as applicable, the requirements of all testing and procedures of ARM 17.8.342, which references 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC, NESHAPs from Petroleum Refineries.
11. Phillips 66 shall meet, as applicable, the requirements of all testing and procedures of ARM 17.8.342, which references 40 CFR 63, Subpart UUU, NESHAPs for Petroleum Refineries: Catalytic Cracking Units, Catalytic Reforming Units, and Sulfur Recovery Units.
12. Phillips 66 shall meet, as applicable, the requirements of all testing and procedures of ARM 17.8.342, which references 40 CFR 63, Subpart EEEE, NESHAPs for Organic Liquids Distribution (Non-Gasoline).

E. Emission Testing and Monitoring

1. Phillips 66 shall test boilers #B-5 and #B-6 for NO_x and CO, both pollutants concurrently, and demonstrate compliance with the NO_x and CO emission limits contained in Sections II.C.1.f.vi and vii. The compliance source testing shall be conducted on an every 5-year basis or according to another testing/monitoring schedule as may be approved by the Department (ARM 17.8.105 and 17.8.749).
2. Phillips 66 shall conduct compliance source tests on the Jupiter SRU Main stack for PM₁₀ and NO_x to determine compliance with the applicable emission standards in Section II.C.1.a in 1998, 2002, and every 5 years thereafter.
3. The bulk loading rack VCU shall be tested for total organic compounds, and compliance demonstrated with the emission limitation contained in Section II.C.3.a every 5 years. Phillips 66 shall conduct the test methods and procedures as specified in 40 CFR 63.425, Subpart R (ARM 17.8.105 and 17.8.342).
4. To demonstrate compliance with the PM limitations listed in Section II.C.1.d.viii, Phillips 66 shall conduct a PM stack test annually, unless another testing schedule is approved by the Department (ARM 17.8.749).
5. Phillips 66 shall install and operate the following CEMS/continuous emission rate monitors (CERMs):

- a. Jupiter SRU/ATS Stack
 - i. SO₂ (SO₂ State Implementation Plan (SIP), 40 CFR 60, Subpart J)
 - ii. O₂ (40 CFR 60, Subpart J)
 - iii. Volumetric flow rate (SO₂ SIP)
- b. FCCU Stack
 - i. SO₂ (40 CFR 60 Subpart J and ARM 17.8.749)
 - ii. Volumetric flow rate (SO₂ SIP)
 - iii. Opacity (40 CFR 60 Subpart J and ARM 17.8.749)
 - iv. CO (40 CFR 60 Subpart J and ARM 17.8.749)
 - v. NO_x (ARM 17.8.749)
 - vi. O₂ (ARM 17.8.749)
- c. Main Boiler Stack
 - i. SO₂ (SO₂ SIP; ARM 17.8.749)
 - ii. Volumetric flow rate (SO₂ SIP)
- d. Boilers #B-5 and #B-6
 - i. NO_x (40 CFR 60, Subpart Db)
 - ii. O₂ (ARM 17.8.749)
- e. Boilers and RFG Heaters/Furnaces (ARM 17.8.749):

Continuous H₂S RFG System Monitoring - Compliance with the limits of 40 CFR 60, Subpart J shall be determined by the H₂S CEMS on the fuel gas system that supplies the heaters and boilers (SO₂ SIP). Compliance with the limits listed in Sections II.C.1.e.v – vi and II.C.1.e.iii shall be determined by the H₂S CEMS on the fuel gas system that supplies the heaters and boilers. Continuous refinery fuel gas monitoring system for H₂S shall meet all performance specifications, methods and procedures. H₂S concentration monitor on the fuel gas system shall meet 40 CFR 60, Appendix B, Performance Specification 7.

- f. Refinery Main Plant Relief Flare:
 - i. Beginning November 11, 2015 and thereafter, H₂S or TRS (ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.340, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja)
 - ii. Beginning November 11, 2015 and thereafter, Flow (ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.340, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja)
 - iii. Phillips 66 shall maintain records of the extent and duration of all periods in which the FGRS for the Refinery Main Plant Relief Flare is not operated. During such periods, Phillips 66 shall also measure or estimate (as appropriate) all SO₂ emissions which result from gases being directed to and combusted in the flare. (ARM 17.8.749)
 - iv. Flow rate metering from upset or malfunctioning process units that are directed to the flare shall use approved standards, methods, accounting procedures, and engineering data. (ARM 17.8.749)
 - v. Recordkeeping requirements (see Sections II.F.1-2) (ARM 17.8.749)
- g. Jupiter Flare
 - i. Beginning November 11, 2015 and thereafter, Flow (ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.340, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja)
 - ii. Beginning November 11, 2015 and thereafter, Jupiter Sulphur shall maintain records of the duration of all periods in which the rupture disk has been breached. During such periods, Jupiter Sulphur shall also measure or estimate (as appropriate) all SO₂ emissions which result from gases being directed to and combusted in the flare. (ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.340, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja)
 - iii. Flow rate metering from upset or malfunctioning process units that are directed to the flare shall use approved standards, methods, accounting procedures, and engineering data. (ARM 17.8.749)
 - iv. Recordkeeping requirements (see Sections II.F.1-2) (ARM 17.8.749)
- 6. Enforcement of Section II.C.1 and II.C.6 requirements, where applicable, shall be determined by utilizing data taken from CEMS and other Department-approved sampling methods. However, opacity compliance may also be determined via EPA Reference Method 9 by a certified observer or monitor (ARM 17.8.749).
 - a. The above does not relieve Phillips 66 from meeting any applicable requirements of 40 CFR 60, Appendices A and B, or other stack testing that may be required by the Department.
 - b. Other stack testing may include, but is not limited to, the following air pollutants: SO₂, NO_x, ammonia (NH₃), CO, PM, PM₁₀, and VOC.

- c. Reporting requirements shall be consistent with 40 CFR Part 60, or as specified by the Department.
 - d. SO₂ SIP CEMS shall be required to be maintained such that they are available and operating at least 90% of the source operating time during any reporting period (quarterly).
7. Phillips 66 shall install, operate and maintain the applicable CEMS/CERMS listed in Sections II.E.5.a, b.ii, and c. Emission monitoring shall be subject to 40 CFR 60, Subpart J, Appendix B (Performance Specifications 1, 2, 3, 4/4A/4B, and 6) and Appendix F (Quality Assurance/Quality Control) provisions (ARM 17.8.749).
 8. Phillips 66 shall install, operate and maintain the applicable CEMS listed in Sections II.E.5.b.i, iii, iv, v, and vi. Emission monitoring shall be subject to 40 CFR 60 §60.11, 60.13 and Part 60, Appendix A, Appendix B (Performance Specifications 2 and 3 and Appendix F (Quality Assurance/Quality Control) provisions (ARM 17.8.749). With respect to Appendix F, in lieu of the requirements of 40 CFR 60 Appendix F 5.1.1, 5.1.3 and 5.1.4, Phillips 66 shall conduct either a Relative Accuracy Audit or a Relative Accuracy Test Audit once every twelve (12) calendar quarters, provided that a Cylinder Gas Audit is conducted each calendar quarter.
 - 8A. Phillips 66 shall install, operate, and maintain the applicable CEMS listed in Sections II.E.5.f.i and ii and g.i. and ii. Emission monitoring shall be subject to 40 CFR 60 § 60.11, 60.13 and Part 60 Appendix A, Appendix B (Performance Specifications 2 and 3 and Appendix F Quality Assurance/Quality Control) provisions (ARM 17.8.749).
 9. Phillips 66 shall install, operate and maintain the applicable CEMS/CERMS listed in Section II.E.5.d. Emission monitoring shall be subject to 40 CFR 60, Subpart Db; Appendix B (Performance Specifications 2, 3, 4/4A/4B, and 6). Emission monitoring shall be subject to 40 CFR 60, Appendix F or an alternate site-specific monitoring plan approved by the Department, as appropriate (ARM 17.8.749).
 10. Phillips 66 shall install, operate and maintain the applicable CEMS/CERMS listed in Sections II.E.5.f. Emission monitoring shall be subject to 40 CFR 60, Appendix B (Performance Specification 7) and Appendix F (Quality Assurance/Quality Control) provisions (the cylinder gas manufacturer's procedures for certifying these standards shall be considered adequate for Appendix F purposes) (ARM 17.8.749).
 11. CEMS are to be in operation at all times when the emission units are operating, except for quality assurance and control checks, breakdowns and repairs. In the event the primary CEMS is unable to meet minimum availability requirements, Phillips 66 shall provide a back-up or alternative monitoring system and plan such that continuous compliance can be demonstrated. The Department shall approve such contingency plans (ARM 17.8.749).
 12. Compliance testing and continuous monitor certification shall be as specified in 40 CFR 60, Appendices A and B. Test methods and procedures, where there is more than one option for any given pollutant, shall be worked out with the Department prior to commencement of testing (ARM 17.8.749).

13. Phillips 66 shall conduct compliance testing and continuous monitor certification as specified in 40 CFR 60, Appendices A and B, within 180 days of initial start up of the affected facility (ARM 17.8.749).
14. Any stack testing requirements that may be required in Sections II.E.1 to II.E.6 and II.E.8 shall be conducted according to 40 CFR 60, Appendix A and ARM 17.8.105, Testing Requirements provisions. (ARM 17.8.749).
15. All compliance source tests shall be conducted in accordance with the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual (ARM 17.8.106).
16. The Department may require further testing (ARM 17.8.105).

F. Reporting

1. Phillips 66 shall provide quarterly and/or semi-annual emission reports from all emission rate monitors. In addition to any specific NSPS or NESHAP reporting requirements, the periodic reports shall include the following (ARM 17.8.749):
 - a. Quarterly emission reporting for SO₂ from all point source locations shall consist of 24-hour calendar-day totals per calendar month;
 - b. Source or unit operating time during the reporting period;
 - c. Monitoring down time, which occurred during the reporting period;
 - d. A summary of excess emissions for each pollutant and averaging period identified in Section II.C; and
 - e. Reasons for any emissions in excess of those specifically allowed in Section II.C. with mitigative measures utilized and corrective actions taken to prevent a recurrence of the upset situation.

Phillips 66 shall submit the quarterly and/or semi-annual emission reports within 30 days of the end of each reporting period.

2. Phillips 66 shall keep the Department apprised of the status of construction, dates of performance tests, and continuous compliance status for each emission point and pollutant. Specifically, the following report and recordkeeping shall be submitted in writing (ARM 17.8.749):
 - a. Notification of date of construction commencement, cessation of construction, restarts of construction, startups, initial emission tests, monitor certification tests, etc.
 - b. Submittal for review by the Department of the emissions testing plan, results of initial compliance tests, continuous emission monitor certification tests, continuous emission monitoring and continuous emissions rate monitoring quality assurance/quality control plans, and excess emissions report within the 180-day shakedown period.

- c. Copies of emissions reports, excess emissions, and all other such items mentioned in Section II.F.2.a and b above shall be submitted to both the Billings Regional Office and the Helena office of the Department.
 - d. Monitoring data shall be maintained for a minimum of 5 years at the Phillips 66 Refinery and Jupiter sulfur recovery facilities.
 - e. All data and records that are required to be maintained must be made available upon request by representatives of the EPA.
3. Phillips 66 shall report to the Department any time in which the sour water stripper stream from the refinery is diverted away from the sulfur recovery facility. Said excess emission reports shall include the period of diversion, estimate of lost raw materials (H_2S and NH_3), and resultant pollutant emissions, including circumstances explaining the diversion of this stream. Said excess emission reports shall discuss what corrective actions will be taken to prevent recurrences of the situation and what caused the upset. These reports shall address, at a minimum, the requirements of ARM 17.8.110 (ARM 17.8.749).
 4. Phillips 66 shall document, by month, the number of PSA offgas venting occurrences and the estimated CO emissions from each venting occurrence by the No.2 H_2 Unit PSA Offgas Vent. By the 30th day of each month Phillips 66 shall total the number of PSA offgas venting occurrences and the estimated CO emissions from each venting occurrence by the No.2 H_2 Unit PSA Offgas Vent during the previous month. The information for each of the previous months shall be submitted along with the annual emission inventory (ARM 17.8.749).
 5. Phillips 66 shall document, by month, the number of PSA offgas venting occurrences and the estimated CO emissions from each venting occurrence by the No.1 H_2 Unit PSA Offgas Vent. By the 30th day of each month Phillips 66 shall total the number of PSA offgas venting occurrences and the estimated CO emissions from each venting occurrence by the No.1 H_2 Unit PSA Offgas Vent during the previous month. The information for each of the previous months shall be submitted along with the annual emission inventory (ARM 17.8.749).
 6. Phillips 66 shall report quarterly, the daily NO_x rolling 365-day average and the maximum NO_x 7-day rolling average per quarter for the FCCU stack. These reports shall also include NO_x CEMS quarterly performance (excess emissions and monitor downtime) and Appendix F (Quality Assurance and Quality Control) provisions. FCCU quarterly NO_x reporting shall be submitted in conjunction with the SO₂ SIP emissions and CEMS/CERMS reporting periods (ARM 17.8.749).
 7. Phillips 66 shall document, annually, the number of operational hours of the Backup Coke Crusher. The information shall be submitted along with the annual emission inventory required by Section II.H.1 (ARM 17.8.749),

8. Phillips 66 shall document, annually, the maximum sulfur content of the diesel fuel used by the engine associated with CG3810 for the previous calendar year. Vendor specifications or certification that the fuels met the maximum sulfur content allowed by the current motor fuel regulations (40 CFR Part 80) will satisfy this requirement. The annual information shall be used to verify compliance with the limitation in Section II.C.8.c. The information shall be submitted along with the annual emission inventory required by Section II.H.1 (ARM 17.8.749).

G. Additional Reporting Requirements - NSPS, NESHAP, and MACT:

1. Phillips 66 shall keep records and furnish reports to the Department as required by 40 CFR 60, NSPS, Subpart Kb, for requirements not overridden by 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC. These reports shall include information described in 40 CFR 60.115b (ARM 17.8.749).
2. Phillips 66 shall provide copies to the Department, upon the Department's request, of any records of tank testing results required by 40 CFR 60.113b and monitoring of operations required by 40 CFR 60.116b. Records will be available according to the time period requirements as described in 40 CFR 60.115b and 40 CFR 60.116b (ARM 17.8.749).
3. Phillips 66 shall keep records and furnish reports to the Department as required by 40 CFR 60, Subpart QQQ, for requirements not overridden by 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC (ARM 17.8.749).
4. Phillips 66 shall provide copies to the Department, upon the Department's request, of any records of testing results, monitoring operations, recordkeeping and report results as specified under 40 CFR 60, Subpart QQQ, Sections 60.693-2, 60.696, 60.697, and 60.698, for requirements not overridden by 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC (ARM 17.8.749).
5. Phillips 66 shall monitor the exhaust vent stream from the wastewater CPI separators carbon-adsorption system (T-169 & T-170 carbon canisters) on a regular schedule according to the requirements contained in 40 CFR 60, Subpart QQQ, Section 60.695(a)(3)(ii) and 40 CFR 61 Subpart FF, Section 61.354(d). The existing carbon shall be replaced with fresh carbon immediately when carbon breakthrough is indicated. The device shall be monitored on a daily basis, when the wastewater treatment is operational. The time period may be revised by the Department in the event that the carbon absorption system is upgraded or physically altered (ARM 17.8.749).
6. Phillips 66 shall supply the Department's Permitting and Compliance Division with the reports as required by 40 CFR 61, Subpart FF, NESHAP for Benzene Waste Operations, for requirements not overridden by 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC (ARM 17.8.749).

7. Phillips 66 shall keep all records and furnish all reports to the Department as required by 40 CFR 63, Subpart R, NESHAPs for Gasoline Distribution Facilities. These reports shall include information described in 40 CFR 63.424, 63.427, and 63.428 (ARM 17.8.749).
8. Phillips 66 shall keep all records and furnish all reports to the Department as required by 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC, NESHAPs for Petroleum Refineries (MACT I) (ARM 17.8.749).
9. Phillips 66 shall keep all records and furnish all reports to the Department as required by 40 CFR 63, Subpart UUU, NESHAPs for Petroleum Refineries: Catalytic Cracking Units, Catalytic Reforming Units, and Sulfur Recovery Units (MACT II) (ARM 17.8.749).
10. Phillips 66 shall keep all records and furnish all reports to the Department as required by 40 CFR 63, Subpart EEEE, NESHAPs for Organic Liquids Distribution (Non-Gasoline) (ARM 17.8.749).

H. Operational Reporting Requirements

1. Phillips 66 shall supply the Department with annual production information for all emission points, as required by the Department in the annual emission inventory request. The request will include, but is not limited to, all sources of emissions identified in the most recent emission inventory report and sources identified in this permit.

Production information shall be gathered on a calendar-year basis and submitted to the Department by the date required in the emission inventory request. Information shall be in the units required by the Department. This information is required for the annual emission inventory and to verify compliance with permit limitations. The information supplied shall include the following (ARM 17.8.505):

- a. Sources – Phillips 66

Emission Point	Source	Consumption
Refinery		
1	Boilers - Four (4): #B-1, #B-2, #B-5, #B-6	MMscf of gas, %H ₂ S, gal of fuel oil, %S
2	Heaters [“22-Fuel-Gas-Heaters”]: #1 #2 #4 #5 Coke Heater (H-3901) #10: No.2 HDS #11: No.2 HDS Debutanizer Reboiler #12: No.2 HDS Main Frac. Reboiler #13: Catalytic Reforming	MMscf of gas, %H ₂ S
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		

Emission Point	Source	Consumption
14 15 16 17 18 20 21 28 29 35 43	Unit #2 #14: Catalytic Reforming Unit #2 #15 #16: Saturated Gas Stabilizer Reboiler and PB Merox Disulfide Offgas #17 #18 #19 #20 #21 #23: Catalytic Reforming Unit #2 #24 Recycle Hydrogen Heater (H-8401) Fractionator Feed Heater (H-8402) No. 1 H ₂ Reformer Heater (H-9401) No. 2 H ₂ Reformer Heater (H-9701)	
22	FCCU	Tons of SO ₂ /yr
23	Refinery Main Plant Relief Flare	Tons of SO ₂ /yr
24	Storage Tanks	Tons of VOC losses/yr
25	Bulk Loading	Gallons of Gasoline and Gallons of Distillate Throughput
26	Fugitive VOC Emissions	i. The number of the following fugitive VOC emission sources in service subject to 40 CFR 60, Subparts GGG or GGGa. a. Gas valves b. Light liquid valves c. Heavy liquid valves d. Hydrogen valves e. Open-end valves f. Flanges g. Pump seals/light liquid h. Pump seals/heavy liquid
		ii. The number of the following fugitive VOC emission sources in service not subject to 40 CFR 60, Subparts GGG or GGGa. a. Valves b. Flanges c. Pump seals d. Compressor seals e. Relief valves f. Oil/water separators iii. Process drains

Emission Point	Source	Consumption
		iv. Wastewater handling v. Coker drill water handling
27	CPI Separator Tanks	Gallons of wastewater throughput
30	No.1 Hydrogen Plant SMR Heater (22.0 MMscfd)	MMscf of natural gas MMscf of PSA gas
32	Saturate Gas Plant	Monitoring and Maintenance Records
41 42	No.5 HDS Charge Heater No.5 HDS Stabilizer Reboiler Heater	MMscf of gas, %H ₂ S
45 46	No.2 H ₂ Unit PSA Offgas Vent Tons of CO/yr No.1 H ₂ Unit PSA Offgas Vent	Tons CO/yr
47	Temporary Natural Gas Boiler	Hours of operation and MMscf of natural gas
51	Engine CG3810 (Backup Coke Crusher)	Maximum sulfur content of the diesel fuel used.
52	Delayed Coking Unit- Vent VOC	Cycles per year
	Delayed Coking Unit- Drum Coke Cutting VOC	Cycles per year
54	Railcar Clarified Oil Loading	Clarified Oil
Jupiter		
1	Main ATS Stack a. ATS unit b. Elemental sulfur unit	Tons of Product Produced
2	Jupiter Flare – a. Ammonium sulfide unit	Tons of Product Produced

2. For reporting purposes, the equipment should be identified using the emission point numbers specified (ARM 17.8.749).
3. Phillips 66 shall notify the Department of any construction or improvement project conducted pursuant to ARM 17.8.745, that would include a change in control equipment, stack height, stack diameter, stack flow, stack gas temperature, source location or fuel specifications, or would result in an increase in source capacity above its permitted operation or the addition of a new emission unit. The notice must be submitted to the Department, in writing, 10 days prior to start up or use of the proposed de minimis change, or as soon as reasonably practicable in the event of an unanticipated circumstance causing the de minimis change, and must include the information requested in ARM 17.8.745(1)(d) (ARM 17.8.745).

I. Notification

Phillips 66 shall provide the Department with written notification of the following dates within the specified time periods:

1. Pretest information forms must be completed and received by the Department no later than 25 working days prior to any proposed test date, according to the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual (ARM 17.8.106).
2. The Department must be notified of any proposed test date 10 working days before that date, according to the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual (ARM 17.8.106).
3. For every time the Temporary Boiler is brought onsite, Phillips 66 shall provide written notification to the Department of the initiation of operation within 15 days. The notification will include the year of construction, and natural gas firing rate (ARM 17.8.749).

J. **Vacuum Improvement Project (effective upon startup of the specified unit):**

1. Modified Small Crude Unit Heater (H-1):

a. Conditions and Limitations:

1. Phillips 66 shall not burn in the Small Crude Unit Heater (H-1) any fuel that contains H₂S in excess of 162 ppmv determined hourly on a 3-hour rolling average basis and H₂S in excess of 50 ppmv determined daily on a 365 successive calendar day rolling average basis. (ARM 17.8.749)
2. NO_x emissions from the Small Crude Unit Heater shall not exceed 0.030 lb/MMBtu on a higher heating value basis. The averaging period intended for this condition is an averaging period as would be utilized in an approved source test protocol accepted in accord with the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual. (ARM 17.8.749)
3. Emissions from the Small Crude Unit Heater (H-1) shall not exhibit an opacity of 10% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes. (ARM 17.8.749)
4. Phillips 66 shall comply with all requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart J, as applicable to the Small Crude Unit Heater (H-1). (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60 Subpart J)
5. Phillips 66 shall comply with all requirements of 40 CFR 63 Subpart DDDDD as applicable to the Small Crude Unit Heater (H-1) as an existing process heater designed to burn gas category 1. (ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63 Subpart DDDDD)

6. Emissions from the Small Crude Unit Heater (H-1) shall be included in the following combined SO₂ emissions limitation applicable to the sum of emissions from all process heaters located at the refinery (ARM 17.8.749, originating from Billings/Laurel SO₂ SIP):
 - a. 87.0 lb/block 3-hr period
 - b. 696 lb per calendar day
 - c. 254,040 lb per calendar year
- b. Testing and Compliance Demonstration:
 1. Within 180 days of startup of the modified Small Crude Unit Heater (H-1), Phillips 66 shall test the Small Crude Unit Heater (H-1) for NO_x and CO, concurrently. The test shall include determination of Btu fired during the test, as well as the mass based emissions rates, and comparison to emissions factors utilized in the permit application for MAQP #2619-32. Thereafter, Phillips 66 shall test the Small Crude Unit (H-1) for NO_x and CO, concurrently, to determine emissions on a mass based emissions rate basis, as required by the Department. (ARM 17.8.749)
 2. Phillips 66 shall monitor the H₂S concentration in fuel gas utilizing the fuel gas monitoring methodologies described in 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja. (ARM 17.8.749)
 3. Within 90 days of startup of the modified Small Crude Unit Heater (H-1), Phillips 66 shall conduct an initial visual observation of the Small Crude Unit Heater (H-1). Visual observation shall occur during normal operation in daylight hours. The observer need not be certified to perform Method 9 testing, however, the observer must be trained and knowledgeable regarding the effects of background contrast, ambient lighting, observer position relative to lighting, wind, and the presence of uncombined water (condensing water vapor) on the visibility of emissions. Phillips 66 shall record the date, time, observers printed and signed name and affiliation, estimated distance and direction to the stack, estimated wind direction, and results of the observation (no visible emissions or presence of visible emissions). Visual observation shall be no less than 3 six minute periods within any one hour. If the visual observation notes no visible emissions, no further testing shall be required to fulfill this initial startup test. If visual emissions are observed, Phillips 66 shall conduct a Method 9 source test as soon as reasonably possible. Thereafter, Phillips 66 shall conduct Method 9 source tests as required by the Department. (ARM 17.8.749)
 4. Phillips 66 shall conduct emissions testing of the Small Crude Unit Heater (H-1) as requested by the Department. (ARM 17.8.749)

c. Notification:

1. Phillips 66 shall provide the Department written notification of startup of the modified Small Crude Unit Heater (H-1) within 30 days of startup, as determined by the earlier of postmark or email date (ARM 17.8.749).

2. Modified Large Crude Unit Heater (H-24):

a. Conditions and Limitations:

1. Phillips 66 shall not burn in the Large Crude Unit Heater (H-24) any fuel that contains H₂S in excess of 162 ppmv determined hourly on a 3-hour rolling average basis (ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.340, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja) and H₂S in excess of 50 ppmv determined daily on a 365 successive calendar day rolling average basis. (ARM 17.8.752)

2. Phillips 66 shall equip the Large Crude Unit Heater (H-24) with Ultra-Low NO_x burners, replacing the current burners. NO_x emissions from the Large Crude Unit Heater (H-24) shall not exceed 0.040 lb/MMBtu on a 30-day rolling average basis. (ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.340, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja)

3. Phillips 66 shall minimize VOC, CO and PM emissions through complying with applicable requirements of 40 CFR 63 Subpart DDDDD (ARM 17.8.752). Phillips 66 shall comply with all requirements of 40 CFR 63 Subpart DDDDD as applicable to the Large Crude Unit Heater (H-24) as a reconstructed process heater designed to burn gas category 1. (ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63 Subpart DDDDD)

4. Emissions from the Large Crude Unit Heater (H-24) shall not exhibit an opacity of 10% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes. (ARM 17.8.752)

5. Phillips 66 shall comply with all applicable requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja as applicable to the Large Crude Unit Heater. (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja)

6. Emissions from the Large Crude Unit Heater (H-24) shall be included in the following combined SO₂ emissions limitation applicable to the sum of emissions from all process heaters located at the refinery (ARM 17.8.749):

- a. 87.0 lb/block 3-hr period
- b. 696 lb per calendar day
- c. 254,040 lb per calendar year

b. Testing and Compliance Demonstration:

1. Phillips 66 shall install, operate, calibrate and maintain CEMS for continuously monitoring and recording the concentration (dry basis, 0-percent excess air) of NO_x emissions into the atmosphere and shall determine the F factor of the fuel gas stream no less frequently than once per day. F factor determination and CEMS equipment, operation, calibration, performance evaluation, and emissions recording shall be accomplished utilizing the methodologies described and referenced in 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja, and shall include O₂ monitoring. (ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.340, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja)
2. Phillips 66 shall test the Large Crude Unit Heater (H-24) for NO_x and CO, concurrently, within 180 days after startup of the modified Large Crude Unit Heater (H-24). The test shall include determining the BTU fired during the test, as well as the mass based emission rates and comparison to emissions factors utilized in the permit application for MAQP #2619-32. Thereafter, Phillips 66 shall test the Large Crude Unit Heater (H-24) for CO, concurrently with NO_x, to determine emissions on a mass rate basis, as required by the Department. (ARM 17.8.749)
3. Phillips 66 shall monitor the H₂S concentration in fuel gas utilizing the fuel gas monitoring methodologies described in 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja. (ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.340, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja)
4. Within 90 days of startup of the modified Large Crude Unit Heater (H-24), Phillips 66 shall conduct an initial visual observation of the Large Crude Unit Heater (H-24). Visual observation shall occur during normal operation in daylight hours. The observer need not be certified to perform Method 9 testing, however, the observer must be trained and knowledgeable regarding the effects of background contrast, ambient lighting, observer position relative to lighting, wind, and the presence of uncombined water (condensing water vapor) on the visibility of emissions. Phillips 66 shall record the date, time, observers printed and signed name and affiliation, estimated distance and direction to the stack, estimated wind direction, and results of the observation (no visible emissions or presence of visible emissions). Visual observation shall be no less than 3 six minute periods in any one hour. If the visual observation notes no visible emissions, no further testing shall be required to fulfill this initial startup test. If visual emissions are observed, Phillips 66 shall conduct a Method 9 source test as soon as reasonably possible. Thereafter, Phillips 66 shall conduct visual observation or Method 9 source tests as required by the Department. (ARM 17.8.749)

5. Phillips 66 shall conduct emissions testing of the Large Crude Unit Heater (H-24) as requested by the Department. (ARM 17.8.749)

c. Notification:

1. Phillips 66 shall provide the Department written notification of startup of the modified Large Crude Unit Heater (H-24) within 30 days of startup, as determined by the earlier of postmark or email date. (ARM 17.8.749)

3. New Vacuum Furnace (H-17)

a. Conditions and Limitations:

1. At no time shall Phillips 66 have emissions from both the existing and new Vacuum Furnace. Phillips 66 shall permanently remove from service the existing Vacuum Furnace. The existing Vacuum Furnace shall be made physically incapable of service, and/or removed from the site. (ARM 17.8.749)
2. Phillips 66 shall not burn in the Vacuum Furnace (H-17) fuel gas containing H₂S in excess of 162 ppmv determined hourly on a 3-hour rolling average basis (ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.340, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja) and 50 ppmv determined daily on a 365 successive calendar day rolling average basis. (ARM 17.8.752)
3. NO_x emissions from the Vacuum Furnace (H-17) shall not exceed 0.030 lb/MMBtu on a higher heating value basis, determined daily on a 30-day rolling average basis. (ARM 17.8.752)
4. Phillips 66 shall minimize VOC, CO and PM emissions through complying with applicable requirements of 40 CFR 63 Subpart DDDDD (ARM 17.8.752). Phillips 66 shall comply with all requirements of 40 CFR 63 Subpart DDDDD as applicable to the Vacuum Furnace (H-17) as a new gas category 1 process heater (ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63 Subpart DDDDD).
5. Emissions from the Vacuum Furnace (H-17) shall not exhibit an opacity of 10% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes. (ARM 17.8.752)
6. Phillips 66 shall comply with all applicable requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja, as applicable to the Vacuum Furnace (H-17). (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja)
7. Emissions from the Vacuum Furnace (H-17) shall be included in the following combined SO₂ emissions limitation applicable to

the sum of emissions from all process heaters located at the refinery (ARM 17.8.749):

- a. 87.0 lb/block 3-hr period
 - b. 696 lb per calendar day
 - c. 254,040 lb per calendar year
- b. Testing and Compliance Demonstration:
1. Phillips 66 shall monitor the H₂S concentration in fuel gas utilizing the fuel gas monitoring methodologies described in 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja. (ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.340, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja)
 2. Phillips 66 shall install, operate, calibrate and maintain CEMS for continuously monitoring and recording the concentration (dry basis, 0-percent excess air) of NO_x emissions into the atmosphere and shall determine the F factor of the fuel gas stream no less frequently than once per day. F factor determination and CEMS equipment, operation, calibration, performance evaluation, and emissions recording shall be accomplished utilizing the methodologies described and referenced in 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja, and shall include O₂ monitoring. (ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.340, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja)
 3. Phillips 66 shall test the Vacuum Furnace (H-17) for NO_x and CO, concurrently, within 180 days after startup of the new Vacuum Furnace (H-17). The test shall include determination of Btu fired during the test, as well as the mass based emissions rates and comparison to emissions factors utilized in the permit application for MAQP #2619-32. Thereafter, Phillips 66 shall test the Vacuum Furnace (H-17) for CO, concurrently with NO_x, to determine emissions on a mass rate basis, as required by the Department. (ARM 17.8.749)
 4. Within 90 days of startup of the Vacuum Furnace (H-17), Phillips 66 shall conduct an initial visual observation of the Vacuum Furnace (H-17). Visual observation shall occur during normal operation in daylight hours. The observer need not be certified to perform Method 9 testing, however, the observer must be trained and knowledgeable regarding the effects of background contrast, ambient lighting, observer position relative to lighting, wind, and the presence of uncombined water (condensing water vapor) on the visibility of emissions. Phillips 66 shall record the date, time, observers printed and signed name and affiliation, estimated distance and direction to the stack, estimated wind direction, and results of the observation (no visible emissions or presence of visible emissions). Visual observation shall be no less than 3 six minute periods in any one hour. If the visual observation notes no visible emissions, no further testing shall be required to fulfill

this initial startup test. If visual emissions are observed, Phillips 66 shall conduct a Method 9 source test as soon as reasonably possible. Thereafter, Phillips 66 shall conduct Method 9 source tests as required by the Department. (ARM 17.8.749)

5. Phillips 66 shall conduct emissions testing of the Vacuum Furnace (H-17) as requested by the Department (ARM 17.8.749).
 6. Emissions from the Vacuum Furnace (H-17) shall be included in the following combined SO₂ emissions limitation applicable to the sum of emissions from all process heaters located at the refinery (ARM 17.8.749):
 - a. 87.0 lb/block 3-hr period
 - b. 696 lb per calendar day
 - c. 254,040 lb per calendar year
- c. Notification:
1. Phillips 66 shall provide the Department written notification of the date of startup of the new Vacuum Furnace Heater (H-17) within 30 days of startup, as determined by the earlier of postmark or email date. (ARM 17.8.749)
 2. Phillips 66 shall provide the Department written notification of the date of removal from service the existing Vacuum Furnace Heater within 30 days of removal from service. (ARM 17.8.749)
4. Modified No. 1 H₂ Unit Reformer Heater (H-9401):
- a. Conditions and Limitations:
1. The No. 1 H₂ Unit Reformer Heater (H-9401) shall burn only natural gas, PSA off-gas, and/or cryo off-gas, which are inherently low sulfur fuels (ARM 17.8.749).
 2. NO_x emissions from the No. 1 H₂ Unit Reformer Heater (H-9401) shall not exceed 0.030 lb/MMBtu on a higher heating value basis. The averaging period intended for this condition is an averaging period as would be utilized in an approved source test protocol accepted in accord with the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual (ARM 17.8.749).
 3. Phillips 66 shall minimize VOC, CO and PM emissions through complying with applicable requirements of 40 CFR 63 Subpart DDDDD (ARM 17.8.752). Phillips 66 shall comply with all requirements of 40 CFR 63 Subpart DDDDD as applicable to the No. 1 H₂ Unit Reformer Heater (H-9401) as an existing process heater designed to burn gas category 1 (ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63 Subpart DDDDD).

4. Phillips 66 shall comply with all requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart J, as applicable to the No. 1 H₂ Unit Reformer Heater (H-9401). (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60 Subpart J)
 5. Emissions from the No. 1 H₂ Unit Reformer Heater (H-9401) shall be included in the following combined SO₂ emissions limitation applicable to the sum of emissions from all process heaters located at the refinery (ARM 17.8.749):
 - a. 87.0 lb/block 3-hr period
 - b. 696 lb per calendar day
 - c. 254,040 lb per calendar year
- b. Testing and Compliance Demonstration:
1. Phillips 66 shall test the No. 1 H₂ Unit Reformer Heater (H-9401) for NO_x and CO, concurrently, within 180 days after startup of the modified No. 1 H₂ Unit Reformer Heater (H-9401). The test shall include determination of Btu fired during the test, as well as the mass based emissions rates and comparison to emissions factors utilized in the permit application for MAQP #2619-32. Thereafter, Phillips 66 shall test the No. 1 H₂ Unit Reformer Heater (H-9401) for NO_x and CO concurrently, on a mass based emissions rate basis, as required by the Department. (ARM 17.8.749)
 2. Phillips 66 shall conduct emissions testing of the No. 1 H₂ Unit Reformer Heater (H-9401) as requested by the Department. (ARM 17.8.749)
- c. Notification:
1. Phillips 66 shall provide the Department written notification of startup of the modified No. 1 H₂ Unit Reformer Heater (H-9401) within 30 days of startup, as determined by the earlier of postmark or email date. (ARM 17.8.749)
5. Jupiter Sulfur Recovery Units (Modified #1, Existing #2, and New #3)
- a. Conditions and Limitations:
1. Emissions from the Jupiter Main Stack No. 1 shall not exceed the following (ARM 17.8.749):
 - a. SO₂ emissions: 25 lb/hr, 167 ppmvd at 0% O₂ on a rolling 12-hour average basis
 - b. CO emissions: 4.22 lb/hr
 - c. NO_x emissions: 14.84 lb/hr
 - d. PM₁₀ emissions: 1.61 lb/hr
 - e. PM_{2.5} emissions: 1.61 lb/hr

- f. Ammonia emissions: 13.36 lb/hr
 - g. Opacity: 20% averaged over 6 consecutive minutes
2. Sulfur Recovery Unit #3 (SRU #3) shall be installed with its own separate emissions stack (Jupiter Main Stack No. 2). (ARM 17.8.749)
 3. CO emissions from SRU #3 shall not exceed 4.22 lb/hr. (ARM 17.8.752)
 4. NO_x emissions from SRU #3 shall not exceed 14.84 lb/hr. (ARM 17.8.752)
 5. PM₁₀ emissions from SRU #3 shall not exceed 1.61 lb/hr. (ARM 17.8.752)
 6. PM_{2.5} emissions from SRU #3 shall not exceed 1.61 lb/hr. (ARM 17.8.752)
 7. SO₂ emissions from SRU #3 shall not exceed 18.33 lb/hr. (ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.752).
 8. Opacity emissions from SRU #3 shall not exceed 20% averaged over 6 consecutive minutes. (ARM 17.8.752 and ARM 17.8.304)
 9. Ammonia emissions from SRU #3 shall not exceed 13.36 lb/hr. (ARM 17.8.749)
 10. Phillips 66 shall control SO₂ emissions from SRU #3 by using an oxidation tail gas scrubber process. SO₂ emissions from the SRU #3 shall not exceed 167 ppmvd (dry basis, at 3% excess oxygen), based on a rolling 12-hour average. (ARM 17.8.752)
 11. Phillips 66 shall comply with all applicable requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja, as applicable to SRU #1 and SRU #3. (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja)
 12. SRU #2 shall be considered subject to 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja conditions as a modified unit. (ARM 17.8.749)
 13. Phillips 66 shall comply with all applicable requirements of 40 CFR 63 Subpart UUU, as applicable to SRU #1, SRU #2, and SRU #3. (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63 Subpart UUU)
 14. Emissions from the Jupiter Main Stack No. 1 and No. 2, combined, shall not exceed the following (ARM 17.8.749 for PSD Avoidance Purposes):
 - a. SO₂ emissions from the Jupiter Main Stack No. 1 and Jupiter Main Stack No. 2 combined shall not exceed 50.00 tons per year, determined monthly on a rolling 12 month basis;

- b. NO_x emissions from the Jupiter Main Stack No. 1 and Jupiter Main Stack No. 2 combined shall not exceed 65.00 tons per year, determined monthly on a rolling 12 month basis;
 - c. CO emissions from the Jupiter Main Stack No. 1 and Jupiter Main Stack No. 2 combined shall not exceed 18.46 tons per year, determined monthly on a rolling 12 month basis;
 - d. PM₁₀ emissions from the Jupiter Main Stack No. 1 and Jupiter Main Stack No. 2 combined shall not exceed 7.06 tons per year, determined monthly on a rolling 12 month basis;
 - e. PM_{2.5} emissions from the Jupiter Main Stack No. 1 and Jupiter Main Stack No. 2 combined shall not exceed 7.06 tons per year, determined monthly on a rolling 12 month basis;
 - f. Ammonia emissions from the Jupiter Main Stack No. 1 and Jupiter Main Stack No. 2 shall not exceed 117 tons per year, determined monthly on a rolling 12 month basis
- b. Testing and Compliance Demonstration:
1. Phillips 66 shall install, operate, calibrate, and maintain an instrument for continuously monitoring and recording the concentration (dry basis, zero percent excess air) of any SO₂ emissions into the atmosphere on Jupiter Main Stack No. 1 and Jupiter Main Stack No. 2. The monitors shall include an oxygen monitor for correcting the data for excess air, and flow rate monitors. The CEMS shall meet all applicable requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja, which also references 40 CFR 60.13(c) and Performance Specification 2 of Appendix B of 40 CFR 60. (ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.340, and 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja)
 2. Daily SO₂ and flow rate data from the Jupiter Main Stack No. 1 and Jupiter Main Stack No. 2 CEMS shall be reported quarterly. The quarterly report shall include the combined monthly and rolling 12-month sum SO₂ emissions for each calendar month. (ARM 17.8.749)
 3. Phillips 66 shall perform NO_x and CO testing concurrent with the SO₂ relative accuracy evaluations required for CEMS performance testing on the Jupiter Main Stack No. 1 and Jupiter Main Stack No. 2 to determine a NO_x and CO emissions factor for use in estimating emissions. Phillips 66 shall perform additional NO_x and/or CO testing as required by the Department. (ARM 17.8.749)

4. NO_x emissions shall be estimated and recorded monthly, and the rolling 12 month sum calculated and recorded. These data shall be reported with the SO₂ quarterly report. (ARM 17.8.749)
 5. CO emissions shall be estimated and recorded monthly, and the rolling 12 month sum calculated and recorded. These data shall be reported with the SO₂ quarterly report. (ARM 17.8.749)
 6. PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} emissions shall be estimated and recorded monthly, and the rolling 12 month sum calculated and recorded. These data shall be reported with the SO₂ quarterly report. (ARM 17.8.749)
 7. Ammonia emissions shall be estimated based on mass balance equations, and recorded monthly, along with the rolling 12 month sum for each month. These data shall be reported with the SO₂ quarterly report. (ARM 17.8.749)
6. Piping and Wastewater Component Type Fugitive Emissions
- a. Conditions and Limitations:
 1. Phillips 66 shall comply with all applicable requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart GGGa as applicable to the equipment in the Small CTU, Large CTU, Vacuum Unit, No. 2 HDS Unit, and No. 4 HDS Unit. (ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60 Subpart GGGa)
 2. Phillips 66 shall comply with all applicable requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart QQQ as applicable to the new individual drain system and the aggregate facility as described in the subpart, installed in the Vacuum Unit. (ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60 Subpart QQQ).
 3. Phillips 66 shall comply with all applicable requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart QQQ as applicable to the modified individual drain system in the No. 2 HDS Unit. (ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60 Subpart QQQ)
 4. Phillips 66 shall comply with all applicable requirements of 40 CFR 63 Subpart CC including as applicable to piping components in the Large Crude Topping/Vacuum Unit, the Small Crude Topping Unit, the No. 2 HDS Unit, and the No. 4 HDS Unit (ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60 Subpart GGGa; ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63 Subpart CC).

5. Phillips 66 shall comply with 40 CFR 61 Subpart FF as applicable to individual drain systems. (ARM 17.8.341 and 40 CFR 61 Subpart FF)

b. Notification:

1. Phillips 66 shall provide written notification of completion, and provide the Department with a final estimated count of components, organized by component type and associated Unit (Large Crude Topping/Vacuum Unit, the Small Crude Topping Unit, the No. 2 HDS Unit, and the No. 4 HDS Unit), within 180 days of completion of piping associated with each unit, as determined by the earlier of email date or postmark date. (ARM 17.8.749)

7. New API Separator Tanks (2 new tanks)

a. Conditions and Limitations:

1. The separator bays of the two New API Separator Tanks shall be covered and sealed and the vapor from these bays shall be routed to a VOC control device to control VOC emissions with at least a 95% control efficiency. (ARM 17.8.752) The VOC control device shall be an activated carbon canister. (ARM 17.8.749)
2. Phillips 66 shall comply with all applicable requirements of 40 CFR 60 Subpart QQQ as applicable to the two (2) New API Separator Tanks. (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60 Subpart QQQ)
3. Phillips 66 shall comply with 40 CFR 63 Subpart CC as applicable to the two New API Separator Tanks. (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63 Subpart CC)
4. Phillips 66 shall comply with 40 CFR 61 Subpart FF as applicable to the New API Separator Tanks (ARM 17.8.341 and 40 CFR 61 Subpart FF).
5. Phillips 66 shall permanently remove from current service the Desalter Break Tanks (T-4510 and T4511), the Primary Oil Water Separator (T-163), and the CPI Oil Water Separator (T-169 and T-170). (ARM 17.8.749)

b. Notification:

1. Phillips 66 shall provide the Department written notification of startup of the New API Separator Tanks within 30 days of startup, as determined by the earlier of postmark or email date. (ARM 17.8.749)

2. Phillips 66 shall provide the Department written notification of removal from service the Coker Break Tanks (T-4512 and T-4513), the Primary Oil Water Separator (T-163), and the CPI Oil Water Separator (T-169 and T-170). (ARM 17.8.749)

8. New Cooling Tower

a. Conditions and Limitations:

1. Phillips 66 shall limit PM, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} emissions from the New Wet Cooling Tower EPN 53 using a high efficiency drift eliminator. The cooling tower shall be designed for no more than a 0.0010% drift rate. (ARM 17.8.752)
2. The maximum conductivity of water in the cooling tower shall not exceed 3,130 microsiemens per centimeter (µS/cm) at 25 degrees celcius. (ARM 17.8.749)
3. Phillips 66 shall comply with 40 CFR 63 Subpart CC as applicable to all heat exchange systems, as defined in this subpart. (ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63 Subpart CC)
4. Phillips 66 shall comply with 40 CFR 63 Subpart Q as applicable to the New Cooling Tower. (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63 Subpart Q)

b. Testing and Demonstration:

1. Phillips 66 shall maintain documentation, written and provided by the vendor/manufacturer, of the final and approved specification sheet clearly indicating the design drift rate of the New Wet Cooling Tower EPN 53. (ARM 17.8.749)
2. Phillips 66 shall test a representative grab sample of cooling water tower water for conductivity at least once per calendar quarter, or according to another schedule as may be approved by the Department. Method 120.1 conductivity test procedures, as found for use under 40 CFR 136, or other methods as may be approved by the Department in advance, shall be utilized. (ARM 17.8.749)

c. Notification:

1. Phillips 66 shall provide the Department written notification of startup of the New Wet Cooling Tower within 30 days of startup, as determined by the earlier of postmark or email date. (ARM 17.8.749)

9. New Jupiter Cooling Tower CT-615A/B/C

a. Conditions and Limitations:

1. Phillips 66 shall limit PM, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} emissions from the New Jupiter Cooling Tower CT-615A/B/C using a high efficiency drift eliminator. The cooling tower shall be designed for no more than a 0.0010% drift rate. (ARM 17.8.752)
 2. The maximum conductivity of water in the cooling tower shall not exceed 3,130 microsiemens per centimeter (µS/cm) at 25 degrees celcius. (ARM 17.8.749)
 3. Phillips 66 shall comply with 40 CFR 63 Subpart CC as applicable to all heat exchange systems, as defined in this subpart. (ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.342, and 40 CFR 63 Subpart CC)
 4. Phillips 66 shall comply with 40 CFR 63 Subpart Q as applicable to the New Jupiter Cooling Tower CT-615A/B/C. (ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63 Subpart Q)
- b. Testing and Demonstration:
1. Phillips 66 shall maintain documentation, written and provided by the vendor/manufacturer, of the guaranteed design drift rate of the Jupiter Cooling Tower CT-615A/B/C. (ARM 17.8.749)
 2. Phillips 66 shall test a representative grab sample of cooling water tower water for conductivity at least once per calendar quarter, or according to another schedule as may be approved by the Department. Method 120.1 conductivity test procedures, as found for use under 40 CFR 136, or other methods as may be approved by the Department in advance, shall be utilized. (ARM 17.8.749)
- c. Notification:
1. Phillips 66 shall notify the Department of startup of the New Jupiter Cooling Tower CT-615A/B/C within 30 days of startup, as determined by the earlier of postmark or email date. (ARM 17.8.749)

SECTION III: General Conditions

- A. Inspection - The recipient shall allow the Department's representatives access to the source at all reasonable times for the purpose of making inspections or surveys, collecting samples, obtaining data, auditing any monitoring equipment (CEMS, CERMS) or observing any monitoring or testing, and otherwise conducting all necessary functions related to this permit.
- B. Waiver - The permit and all the terms, conditions, and matters stated herein shall be deemed accepted if the recipient fails to appeal as indicated below.

- C. Compliance with Statutes and Regulations - Nothing in this permit shall be construed as relieving the permittee of the responsibility for complying with any applicable federal or Montana statute, rule, or standard, except as specifically provided in ARM 17.8.740, *et seq.* (ARM 17.8.756).
- D. Enforcement - Violations of limitations, conditions and requirements contained herein may constitute grounds for permit revocation, penalties, or other enforcement as specified in Section 75-2-401 *et seq.*, MCA.
- E. Appeals – Any person or persons jointly or severally adversely affected by the Department’s decision may request, within 15 days after the Department renders its decision, upon affidavit setting forth the grounds therefore, a hearing before the Board of Environmental Review (Board). A hearing shall be held under the provisions of the Montana Administrative Procedures Act. The filing of a request for a hearing does not stay the Department’s decision, unless the Board issues a stay upon receipt of a petition and a finding that a stay is appropriate under Section 75-2-211(11)(b), MCA. The issuance of a stay on a permit by the Board postpones the effective date of the Department’s decision until conclusion of the hearing and issuance of a final decision by the Board. If a stay is not issued by the Board, the Department’s decision on the application is final 16 days after the Department’s decision is made.
- F. Permit Inspection - As required by ARM 17.8.755, Inspection of Permit, a copy of the air quality permit shall be made available for inspection by the Department at the location of the source.
- G. Duration of Permit – Construction or installation must begin or contractual obligations entered into that would constitute substantial loss within 3 years of permit issuance and proceed with due diligence until the project is complete or the permit shall expire (ARM 17.8.762).
- H. Permit Fees - Pursuant to Section 75-2-220, MCA, failure to pay the annual operation fee by the permittee may be grounds for revocation of this permit, as required by that section and rules adopted thereunder by the Board.

Montana Air Quality Permit Analysis
 Phillips 66 Company, Billings Refinery
 Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP) #2619-34

I. Introduction/Process Description

A. Source Description – Phillips 66

The Phillips 66 Company, Billings Refinery (Phillips 66) is located at 401 South 23rd Street, Billings, Montana, in the NW¹/₄ of Section 2, Township 1 South, Range 26 East, in Yellowstone County. The refinery property is adjacent to the City of Billings and is next to Interstate 90 and the Yellowstone River. Residential properties exist on the west side of the refinery and the United States Postal Service has an office located on the south side of the property.

The refinery has the capability to process an annual average of approximately 72,500 barrels per day of crude oil and produces a wide range of petroleum products, including propane, gasoline, kerosene/jet fuel, diesel, and petroleum coke. All previously permitted equipment, limitations, conditions, and reporting requirements stated in MAQPs #1719, #2565, #2669, #2619, and #2619A were included in MAQP #2619-02.

Emission Point	Source
Refinery	
1	Boilers - Four (4): #B-1, #B-2, #B-5, #B-6
2	Heaters [“22-Fuel-Gas-Heaters”]: #1
3	#2
4	#4
5	#5
6	Coke Heater (H-3901)
7	#10: No.2 HDS
8	#11: No.2 HDS Debutanizer Reboiler
9	#12: No.2 HDS Main Frac. Reboiler
10	#13: Catalytic Reforming Unit #2
11	#14: Catalytic Reforming Unit #2
12	#15
13	#16: Saturated Gas Stabilizer Reboiler and PB Merox Disulfide Offgas
14	#17
15	#18
16	#19
17	#20
18	#21
20	#23: Catalytic Reforming Unit #2
21	#24
28	Recycle Hydrogen Heater (H-8401)
29	Fractionator Feed Heater (H-8402)
35	No. 1 H ₂ Reformer Heater (H-9401)
43	No. 2 H ₂ Reformer Heater (H-9701)
22	FCCU

Emission Point	Source
23	Refinery Main Plant Relief Flare
24	Storage Tanks
25	Bulk Loading
26	Fugitive VOC Emissions
27	Corrugated Plate Interceptor (CPI) Separator Tanks
30	No.1 Hydrogen Plant SMR Heater (H-9401) (22.0 million standard cubic feet per day (MMscfd))
32	Saturate Gas Plant
41	No.5 HDS Charge Heater
42	No.5 HDS Stabilizer Reboiler Heater
45	No.2 H ₂ Unit PSA Offgas Vent
46	No.1 H ₂ Unit PSA Offgas Vent
47	Temporary Natural Gas Boiler
51	Engine associated with CG3810 used for operation of the Backup Coke Crusher
52	Delayed Coking Unit

B. Source Description – Jupiter Sulphur, LLC

Jupiter Sulphur, LLC (Jupiter) operates a sulfur recovery operation, within the petroleum refinery area described above, at 2201 7th Avenue South, Billings, Montana. The facility is operated as a joint venture, of which Phillips 66 is a partner. Phillips 66 is responsible for maintaining air permit compliance at Jupiter’s sulfur recovery facility.

Jupiter’s total sulfur recovery capacity is 295 Long Tons per Day (LT/D) of sulfur. The Jupiter facility consists of three primary units: the Ammonium Thiosulfate (ATS) Plant, the Ammonium Sulfide Unit (ASD), and the Claus Sulfur and Tail Gas Treating Units (TGTUs).

Jupiter's new Claus Sulfur and TGTUs shall have three parallel single-stage high-efficiency gas filters for final particulate and sulfur dioxide (SO₂) control. All emissions from these three primary processes are vented to Jupiter's main stack.

Emission Point	Source
1	Main ATS Stack a. ATS unit b. Elemental sulfur unit
2	Jupiter Flare – a. Ammonium sulfide unit

C. Permit History

On October 29, 1982, Conoco Inc. (Conoco) received an air quality permit for an emergency flare stack to be equipped and operated with steam injection. This application was given **MAQP #1719**.

On June 2, 1989, Conoco received an air quality permit to convert an existing 5,000-barrel cone roof tank (#49) to an internal floating roof with double seals. This conversion was necessary in order to switch service from diesel to aviation gasoline storage. The application was given **MAQP #2565**.

On January 29, 1991, Conoco received an air quality permit to construct and operate two 2,000-barrel desalter wastewater break tanks equipped with external floating roofs and double-rim seals. The new tanks were to augment the refinery's ability to control fugitive Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) emissions and enhance recovery of oily water from the existing wastewater treatment system. The application was given **MAQP #2669**.

On April 19, 1990, Conoco received an air quality permit to construct new equipment and modify existing equipment at the refinery and to construct a sulfur recovery facility, operated by Kerley Enterprises under the control of Conoco, as part of the overall Conoco project. The application was given **MAQP #2619**.

Conoco was permitted to construct a new 13,000-barrels-per-stream-day delayed petroleum coker unit, cryogenic gas plant, gasoline treating unit, and hydrogen system additions. Also, modifications to the existing crude and vacuum distillation units, hydrodesulfurization units, amine treating units and wastewater treatment system were permitted.

Conoco was also permitted to construct a sulfur recovery facility (SRU)/ATS to be operated by Kerley Enterprises. This facility is operated in conjunction with the new installations and modifications at the Conoco Refinery. This facility was permitted with the capability of utilizing 109.9 LT/D of equivalent sulfur obtained from the Conoco Refinery for the manufacture of elemental sulfur and sulfur-containing fertilizer solutions (i.e., ATS).

On December 4, 1991, Conoco was issued **MAQP #2619A** for the construction of a 1,000-barrel hydrocarbon storage tank (T-162). The new tank stores recovered hydrocarbon product from the contaminated groundwater aquifer beneath the Conoco Refinery. Over the years, surface discharges at the refinery contaminated the groundwater with oily hydrocarbon products. The purpose of this project was to recover hydrocarbon product (oil) from the groundwater aquifer beneath the refinery. The hydrocarbon product (oil) is pumped out of a cone of depression within the contaminated groundwater aquifer. Groundwater, less the recovered hydrocarbon product, is returned to the aquifer. The application addressed the increase in VOC emissions from the storage of recovered hydrocarbon product.

On March 5, 1993, Conoco was issued **MAQP #2619-02** for the construction and operation of a 5.0-MMscf-per-day hydrogen plant and to replace their existing American Petroleum Institute (API) separator system with a CPI separator system. This permit was an alteration to Conoco's existing MAQP #2619 and included all previously permitted equipment, limitations, conditions, and reporting requirements stated in MAQPs #1719, #2565, #2669, #2619, and #2619A.

The natural gas feedstock to the new hydrogen plant produces 99.9% pure hydrogen. This hydrogen and hydrogen from the existing catalytic reformers is routed to the refinery hydrotreaters to reduce fuel product sulfur content. The Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) produced is routed to the Jupiter SRU/ATS, operated by Kerley Enterprises, which produces sulfur and fertilizer products.

The two new CPI separator tanks with carbon canister total VOC controls were constructed to comply with 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 60, Subpart QQQ, and 40 CFR 61, Subpart FF regulations. The CPI separators were vented to two carbon canisters in series. Each carbon canister was designed and operated to reduce VOC emissions by 95% or greater, with no detectable emissions. This CPI separator system replaced the existing API separator system.

As per a letter received by the Department of Environmental Quality (Department), on December 22, 1992, ownership of the Kerley Enterprises facility was transferred to Jupiter Sulphur, Inc. as of December 31, 1992.

On September 14, 1993, Conoco was issued **MAQP #2619-03** for the construction and operation of a gas oil hydrotreater and associated hydrogen plant at the Billings Refinery. The new hydrotreater desulfurizes a mixture of Fluid Catalytic Cracker Unit (FCCU) feed gas oils, which allows the FCCU to produce low-sulfur gasoline. This low-sulfur gasoline was required by January 1, 1995, to satisfy Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) gasoline sulfur provisions of the Federal 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments. Hydrogen requirements are met by the installation of a hydrogen plant, and sulfur recovery capacity was provided by installing additional elemental liquid sulfur production facilities at the Jupiter Sulphur, Inc. plant adjacent to the refinery.

The Gas Oil Hydrodesulfurizer (GOHDS) was designed to meet the primary objective of removing sulfur from the FCCU feedstock. A combination of gas oils feed the Gas Oil Hydrotreater. The gas oils are mixed with hydrogen, heated, and passed over a catalyst bed where desulfurization occurs. The gas oil is then fractionated into several products, cooled, and sent to storage. A steam-methane reforming hydrogen plant produces makeup hydrogen for the unit. Any unconsumed hydrogen is amine treated for hydrogen H₂S removal and recycled.

The new project did not increase refinery capacity. The project did not constitute a major modification for purposes of the New Source Review - Prevention of Significant Deterioration (NSR-PSD) program since net emissions did not increase in significant amounts as defined by the Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.8.801(20)(a).

The additional fugitive VOC emissions from this project were calculated by totaling the fugitive sources on the process units. These sources included flanges, valves, relief valves, process drains, compressor seal degassing vents and accumulator vents and open-ended lines. The fugitive source tabulation was then used with actual refinery emission factors obtained from the Conoco Refinery in Ponca City, Oklahoma. Furthermore, it was intended that each non-control valve in VOC service would be repacked with graphite packing to Conoco standards before installation. All control valves for the GOHDS project would be Enviro-Seal valves or equivalent. The Enviro-Seal valves have a performance specification that exceeds the Subpart GGG standards. The VOC emissions will be validated by 40 CFR 60, Subpart GGG, emission monitoring.

The Jupiter Sulphur, Inc. Recovery Facility consists of three primary units: the existing ATS Plant, the existing ATS Unit and the new Claus Sulfur and TGTU. The addition of the new units increased the total sulfur recovery capacity of the facility from 110 to 170 LT/D of sulfur.

The existing ATS plant consisted of a thermal Claus reaction-type boiler. The exit gas from this Claus boiler is incinerated in the ATS Unit. The SO₂ from the incinerator is absorbed and converted to ammonium bisulfite (ABS). The ABS is then used to absorb and react with H₂S to produce the ATS product. Up to 110 LT/D of sulfur can be processed by the ATS Plant to produce sulfur and ATS.

The ASD consists of an absorption column, which absorbs the sulfur as H₂S in the acid gas feed and reacts with NH₃ and water. When the new Claus Sulfur Unit was added, the Sulfur Recovery Facility was modified to incinerate any off gas from this unit in the TGTU and ATS Plant. This eliminates off-gas flow to, and emissions from, the flare. Up to 110 LT/D of sulfur can be processed by the ASD to produce ammonium sulfide solution.

The proposed Claus Sulfur Unit consisted of a thermal Claus reaction furnace, followed by a waste heat boiler and three catalytic Claus reaction beds. The Claus tail gas is then incinerated before entering the TGTU. In this new unit, SO₂ from the incinerator was absorbed and converted to ABS. This ABS is then transferred to the ATS Unit for conversion to ATS. Up to 110 LT/D of sulfur can be processed by the new Claus Sulfur Unit to produce sulfur and ABS. The ABS from the TGTU is dilute, containing a significant amount of water that was generated from the Claus reaction. To prevent making a dilute ATS from this "weak" ABS, a new ATS Reactor was added to the ATS Unit. This ATS Reactor combines "weak" ABS, additional ABS, and sulfur to make a full-strength ATS solution.

An important feature of the Jupiter Sulphur, Inc. facility is its capability to process Conoco Inc.'s sour gases at all times. A maximum of 170 LT/D of sulfur is recovered and each of the three units has a capacity of 110 LT/D. If any one of the three is out of service, then the other two can easily handle the load. While the process has 100% redundancy, any two of the three units must be running to handle the design load. The process uses high-efficiency gas filters, which employ a water-flushed coalescer cartridge to reduce particulate, as well as sulfur compounds.

On November 11, 1993, Conoco was issued **MAQP #2619-04** to construct and operate a new compressor station and associated equipment at the Billings Refinery. The C-23 compressor station project involved the recommissioning of an out-of-service compressor and associated equipment components having fugitive VOC emissions. The project also involved the installation of new equipment components having fugitive VOC emissions. The recommissioned compressor was originally installed in 1948. The compressor underwent some minor refurbishing, but did not trigger "reconstruction" as defined in 40 CFR 60.15.

The purpose of the C-23 compressor station project was to improve the economics of the refinery's wet gas (gas streams containing recoverable liquid products) processing through increased yields and more efficient operation in the refinery's large and small Crude Topping Units (CTUs) and the Alkylation Unit. The project also improved safety in the operations of the two CTUs, Alkylation Unit, and Gas Recovery Plant (GRP). As a result of this project, the vapor pressure of the alkylate product (produced by the Alkylation Unit) was lowered.

On February 2, 1994, Conoco was issued **MAQP #2619-05** to construct and operate a butane defluorinator within the alkylation unit at the refinery. Installation of an alumina (Al_2O_3) bed defluorinator system was to remove residual hydrofluoric acid (HF) and organic fluorides from the butane stream produced by the Alkylation Unit. This reduced the fluorine level of the butane from ~ 500 parts per million by weight (ppmw) to ~ 1 ppmw, which allows the butane to be recycled back to the refinery's Butamer Unit for conversion into isobutane. Refer to the permit application for a more thorough description of the process and proposed changes.

The Alkylation Unit Butane Defluorinator Project resulted in: (1) changes in operation of the alkylate stabilization train of the Alkylation Unit to yield defluorinated butane instead of fluorinated and lower vapor pressure alkylate products; (2) changes in operation of the refinery's gasoline blending to restructure butane blending and lower the vapor pressure of the gasoline pool; (3) minimized butane sales; (4) minimized butane burning as refinery fuel gas; and (5) economized gasoline blending of butane.

On March 28, 1994, Conoco was issued **MAQP #2619-06** to construct and operate equipment to support a new PMA Unit at the refinery. The PMA project allowed Conoco to produce asphalt that meets the new federal specifications and to become a supplier of PMA for the region.

Installation of a 9.5-million British thermal units per hour (MMBtu/hr) natural gas-fired process heater to heat an oil heat transfer fluid supplies heat to bring the asphalt base to 400°F. This allows a polymer material to be mixed with it to produce PMA. A hot oil transfer pump was installed to circulate hot oil through the system. A heat exchanger (X-364) from the shutdown Propane De-asphalting (PDA) Unit was moved and installed to aid in the heating of the asphalt base. Two existing 5,000-bbl asphalt storage tanks were converted to PMA mixing and curing tanks. This required the installation of additional agitators, a polymer pellet loading (blower) system and conversion of the tank steamcoil heating system to hot oil heated by the new process heater. New asphalt transfer lines, a new asphalt transfer pump, and a new 5,000-bbl PMA storage tank (to replace the demolished T-50) were installed to keep the PMA

separated from other asphalt products. This permit alteration also addressed the items submitted in a letter dated November 23, 1993, for supplemental information and a request for permit clarification for Conoco's MAQP #2619-03. This permit clarifies all these items, as appropriate, including the issues relating to the redesign of the SRU stack and the addition of heated air to the stack. Reference Section VI, Air Quality Impacts.

On July 28, 1995, Conoco was issued **MAQP #2619-07** for the construction and operation of new equipment within the refinery's Alkylation (Alky) and Gas Recovery Plant/No.1 Amine Units. The project was referred to as the Alkylation Unit Depropanizer Project.

The existing Alkylation Unit was replaced with a new tower. The new depropanizer is located where the No.1 Bio-pond was located. Piping and valves were added, and existing equipment was located next to the new depropanizer. The old depropanizer was retained in place and may be used in the future in non- HF service.

The decommissioned PDA Unit evaporator tower (W-3) was converted to a water wash tower to remove entrained amine from the Alky PB (Propane/Butene) olefins upstream of the PB merox prewash. New piping, valves, and instrumentation were added around W-3.

The change in air emissions associated with this project was an increase in fugitive VOC emissions, as well as additional emission of fluorides due to the installation of the new depropanizer piping and valves.

The changes made by this project were not subject to NSR-PSD review since the sum of the emission rate increases were below PSD significant emission rates for applicable pollutants.

The drains installed or reused tie into parts of the refinery's wastewater sewer system that are already subject to Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources (NSPS), Subpart QQQ (Wastewater Treatment System VOC Emissions in Petroleum Refineries) and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP), Subpart FF (Benzene Waste Operations). These drains were equipped with tight fitting caps and have hard pipe connections to meet the required control specifications.

On July 24, 1996, Conoco was issued **MAQP #2619-08** to change the daily SO₂ emissions limit of the 19 existing process heaters, as well as combining the 19 heaters, the Coker heater (H-3901), and the GOHDS heaters (H-8401 and H-8402) into one SO₂ point source within the Refinery. The project is referred to as the Existing Heater Optimization Project.

The 19 process heaters being discussed in this application are the process heaters (excluding H-3 and H-7) that were in operation prior to the construction of the Delayed Coker/Sulfur Reduction Project, which became fully operational in May of 1992. The 19 heaters are: H-1, H-2, H-4, H-5, H-10, H-11, H-12, H-13, H-14, H-15, H-16, H-17, H-18, H-19, H-20, H-21, H-22, H-23, and H-24. These 19 heaters are pooled together and regulated as one source referred to as the "19-Heater" source. Also included in this discussion are the Coker heater (H-3901) and the GOHDS heaters (H-8401 and H-8402).

The existing 19 heaters have a "bubbled" SO₂ permit emission limit of 30.0 tons per year (TPY) (164 lb/day) and a limitation of fuel gas H₂S content of 160 parts per million by volume (ppmv) (0.1 grains per dry standard cubic foot (gr/dscf)). With both these limitations intact, all of these heaters cannot simultaneously operate at their maximum design firing rates. This can cause un-optimized operation of the Refinery during unfavorable climatical conditions or during peak heater demand periods.

To allow all 19 heaters to simultaneously operate at their maximum firing rates, the allowable short term SO₂ emission limit for the "bubbled" 19 heaters must be increased. The (19) Refinery Fuel Gas Heaters/Furnaces lb/day SO₂ emission limitation was based on MMBtu/hr from the emission inventory database (AFS), and higher fuel heat value (1,015 British thermal units per standard cubic foot (Btu/scf)) from the 1990 Base-Year Carbon Monoxide Emission Inventory. By using these parameters, the daily "bubble" SO₂ permit limit can be raised to 386 lb/day, as was indicated in the Preliminary Determination. Conoco requested the daily limit be increased to 612 lb/day, which is equivalent to the rate used in the Billings SO₂ State Implementation Plan (SIP) modeling (111.7 TPY). The annual "bubble" SO₂ limit of 30.0 TPY was maintained.

The Department received comments from Conoco, in which Conoco contends that the maximum heat input (MMBtu/hr) from the AFS does not accurately reflect the real maximum firing rates of the heaters. After further review of the files, the Department established the total maximum firing rate for the (19) Refinery Fuel Gas Heaters/Furnaces to be 785.5 MMBtu/hr. This total maximum firing rate was identified by Conoco during the permit review of the Coker permit (MAQP #2619). The maximum heat input of 785.5 MMBtu/hr and the fuel heat of 958 Btu/scf are used to calculate a new daily "bubble" SO₂ permit limit of 529.17 lb/day.

The change in air emissions of other criteria pollutants (carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxide (NO_x), particulate matter (PM), and VOC) associated with this project are zero, since the Potentials to Emit (PTE) were not changed. With the current 164-lb/day SO₂ limit, simultaneous maximum firing of these heaters can be accomplished if the fuel gas H₂S content stays below 49.75 ppmv. Conoco's amine systems produce fuel gas averaging (on an annual basis) of about 25 ppmv H₂S content or less (see 1993 and 1994 Refinery EIS's). Since the emissions of CO, NO_x, and VOC produced are not a function of H₂S content, and Conoco's current amine system can generate appropriate fuel gas to stay at or below the 164 lb/day SO₂ limit, the maximum potentials of these pollutants are obtainable and were not affected by this project. The PM limits for these heaters are 80 times higher than the amount generated by fuel gas combustion devices (see ARM 17.8.340); therefore, the PM emissions potential was not affected as well.

Even though Conoco's past annual average fuel gas H₂S content was below 37.8 ppmv, there was still potential to run into operational limitations in peak fuel gas demand periods. The amine systems may not be able to keep the fuel gas H₂S under 49.75 ppmv, rendering the refinery to operate at un-optimized rates. This was the reason for the request to raise the daily SO₂ emissions limit for the "19-Heater" source.

Since the proposed change to the heaters' SO₂ emissions limit does not reflect an annual increase in PTE, the project is not subject to PSD permitting review (threshold for SO₂ is 40 TPY).

In light of the SO₂ problem in the Billings-Laurel air shed, any change resulting in an increase of SO₂ emissions must have its impact determined to see if any National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) will be violated as a result of the project. SO₂ modeling was completed by the Department to develop a revised SO₂ SIP for the Billings-Laurel area (see the Billings/Laurel SO₂ SIP Compliance Demonstration Report dated November 15, 1994). The "19-Heater source" was modeled using an SO₂ emission rate equivalent to 111.7 TPY to determine its SO₂ impact on the Billings-Laurel air shed. The results of this modeling showed there were no exceedances of the SO₂ NAAQS or the Montana standards resulting from its operation. Therefore, an increase in the permit limit from 164 lb/day to 612 lb/day of SO₂ did not result in any violations of SO₂ NAAQS or Montana standards; however, the daily emission limit set based on the NSPS limit of 0.1 grains per dry standard cubic foot (gr/dscf) (160 ppmv H₂S) is more restrictive than the SIP limit. The daily emission limit, based on NSPS, is 529.17 lb/day for the existing 19 heaters/furnaces.

With the change of a daily SO₂ permit limit for the "19-Heater" source, Conoco also requested that the "19-Heater" source, the Coker heater (H-3901), and the GOHDS heaters (H-8401 and H-8402) be combined into one permitted source called the "Fuel-Gas-Heaters" source. Using the existing daily SO₂ permit limits for the Coker heater and GOHDS heaters, an overall SO₂ emissions limit "bubble" of 614 lb/day would apply to the "22-Fuel-Gas-Heaters" source. The annual limit for the "22-Fuel-Gas-Heaters" source has not changed and is 45.50 TPY (30.00 + 9.60 + 2.90 + 3.00).

On April 19, 1997, Conoco was issued **MAQP #2619-09** to "bubble" or combine the allowable hourly and annual NO_x emission limits for the Coker Heater, Recycle Hydrogen Heater, Fractionator Feed Heater, and Hydrogen Plant Heaters. The NO_x emission limits for these heaters were established on a pounds-per-million-Btu basis, and will be maintained.

By "bubbling" or combining the allowable hourly and annual NO_x emission limits for the Coker Heater, Recycle Hydrogen Heater, Fractionator Feed Heater, and Hydrogen Plant Heaters allows Conoco more operational flexibility with regard to heater firing rates and heater optimization. The Coker heater still has an hourly NO_x emission limit to prevent any significant impacts. This permit alteration does not allow an increase in the annual NO_x emissions. MAQP #2619-09 replaced MAQP #2619-08.

On July 30, 1997, **MAQP #2619-10** was issued to Conoco in order to comply with 40 CFR 63, Subpart R, National Emission Standards for Gasoline Distribution Facilities. Conoco installed a gasoline vapor collection system and enclosed flare for the reduction of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) resulting from the loading of gasoline. The vapor combustion unit (VCU) was added to the bulk gasoline and distillate loading rack. The gasoline vapors were collected from the trucks during loading, then routed to an enclosed flare, where combustion occurs. The project results in overall reductions in the amount of actual emissions of VOCs (94.8 TPY), with a slight increase in CO (2.1 TPY) and NO_x (0.8 TPY) emissions. The actual

reduction in potential emissions of VOCs is 899.5 TPY, while CO increases to 19.7 TPY and NO_x increases to 7.9 TPY emissions.

In addition, Conoco requested an administrative change be made to Section II.F.5, which brought the permit requirements in alignment with the monitoring requirements specified by 40 CFR 60, Subpart QQQ, and 40 CFR 61, Subpart FF.

Because Conoco's Bulk gasoline and distillate loading tank VCU is defined as an incinerator under Montana Code Annotated (MCA) 75-2-215, a determination that the emissions from the VCU constitutes a negligible risk to public health is required prior to the issuance of a permit to the facility. Conoco and the Department identified the following HAPs from the flare, which were used in the health risk assessment. These constituents are typical components of gasoline.

1. Benzene
2. Ethyl Benzene
3. Hexane
4. Methyl Tert Butyl Ether
5. Toluene
6. Xylenes

The reference concentrations for Ethyl Benzene, Hexane, and Methyl Tert Butyl Ether were obtained from EPA's IRIS database. The risk information for the remaining HAPs is contained in the January 1992 CAPCOA Risk Assessment Guidelines. The model performed by Conoco for the HAPs identified above, demonstrate compliance with the negligible risk requirement. MAQP #2619-10 replaced MAQP #2619-09.

On December 10, 1997, Conoco requested a modification to allow the continuous incineration of a PB Merox Unit off-gas stream in the firebox of Heater #16. MAQP #2619-10 required the production of SO₂ from the sulfur containing compounds in the PB Merox Unit off-gas stream to be calculated and counted against the current SO₂ limitations applicable to the (22) Refinery Fuel Gas Heaters/Furnaces group. During a review of process piping and instrumentation diagrams, Conoco identified a PB Merox Unit off-gas stream incinerated in the firebox of Heater #16. A subsequent analysis of this off-gas stream revealed the presence of sulfur-containing compounds in low concentrations. The bulk of this low-pressure off-gas stream is nitrogen with some oxygen, hydrocarbons, and sulfur-containing compounds (disulfides, mercaptans). SO₂ produced from the continuous incineration of this stream has been calculated at approximately 1 TPY. This off-gas stream is piped from the top of the disulfide separator through a small knock-out drum and directly into the firebox of Heater #16.

Conoco proposed to sample the PB Merox Unit disulfide separator gas stream on a monthly basis to determine the total sulfur (ppmw) present. This analysis, combined with the off-gas stream flow rate, is used to calculate the production of SO₂. After a year of sampling time and with the approval of the Department, Conoco may propose to reduce the sampling frequency of the PB Merox disulfide separator off-gas stream to once per quarter if the variability in the sulfur content is small (250 ppmw).

In addition, to be consistent with the wording as specified by 40 CFR 63, Subpart R, the Department replaced all references to "tank trucks" with "cargo tank" and all references to "truck loading rack" with "loading rack". Also, the first sentence in Section II.F.5 was deleted from the permit. Conoco had requested an administrative change be made to Section II.F.5, during the permitting action of #2619-10, which would bring the permit requirements in alignment with the monitoring requirements specified by 40 CFR 60, Subpart QQQ, and 40 CFR 61, Subpart FF. The Department approved the request and the correction was made, but the first sentence was inadvertently left in the permit. **MAQP #2619-11** replaced MAQP #2619-10.

On June 6, 2000, the Department issued **MAQP #2619-12** for replacement of the B-101 thermal reactor at the Jupiter Sulphur facility. The existing B-101 thermal reactor had come to the end of its useful life and had to be replaced. The replacement B-101 thermal reactor was physically located approximately 50 feet to the north of the existing thermal reactor, due to the excessive complications that would be encountered to dismantle the old equipment and construct the new equipment in the same space. Once the piping was rerouted to the new equipment the old equipment was incapable of use and will be demolished. Given this construction scenario, the Department determined that a permit condition limiting the operation to only one thermal reactor at a time was necessary. There was no increase in emissions due to this action. MAQP #2619-12 replaced MAQP #2619-11.

Conoco submitted comments on the Preliminary Determination (PD) of MAQP #2619-12. The following is the result of these comments:

In previously issued permits, Section II.A.4 listed storage tanks #4510 and #4511 as having external floating roofs with primary seal, which were liquid mounted stainless steel shoes and secondary seal equipped with a Teflon curtain or equivalent. Conoco stated that these two tanks were actually equipped with internal floating roofs with double-rim seals or a liquid-mounted seal system for VOC loss control.

Section II.A.7.g.ii always listed the CPI separators as primary separators, when in fact they are secondary.

The Department accepted the comments and made the changes, accordingly, in the Department decision version of the permit.

On March 1, 2001, the Department issued **MAQP #2619-13** for the installation and operation of 19 diesel-powered, temporary generators. These generators are necessary because of the high cost of electricity and supplement 18 MW of the refinery's electrical load, and 1 MW of Jupiter's electrical load. The generators are located south of the coke loading facility along with two new aboveground 20,000-gallon diesel storage tanks. The operation of the generators will not occur beyond 2 years and is not expected to last for an extended period of time, but rather only for the length of time necessary for Conoco to acquire a permanent, more economical supply of power.

Because these generators are only to be used when commercial power is too expensive to obtain, the amount of emissions expected during the actual operation of these generators is minor. In addition, the installation of these generators

qualified as a "temporary source" under the PSD permitting program because the permit limited the operation of these generators to a time period of less than 2 years. Therefore, Conoco was not required to comply with ARM 17.8.804, 17.8.820, 17.8.822, and 17.8.824. Even though the portable generators were considered temporary, the Department required compliance with Best Available Control Technology (BACT) and public notice requirements; therefore, compliance with ARM 17.8.819 and 17.8.826 was ensured. In addition, Conoco is responsible for complying with all applicable ambient air quality standards. MAQP #2619-13 replaced MAQP #2619-12.

On April 13, 2001, the Department issued **MAQP #2619-14** for the 1982 Saturate Gas Plant Project, submitted by Conoco as a retroactive permit application. During an independent compliance awareness review that was performed in 2000, Conoco discovered that the Saturate Gas Plant should have gone through the permitting process prior to it being constructed. At the time of construction, the project likely would have required a PSD permit. However, the current PTE for the project facility is well below the PSD VOC significance threshold. In addition, the Saturate Gas Plant currently participates in a federally-required leak detection and repair (LDAR) program, which would meet any BACT requirements, if PSD applied. The Department agreed that a permitting action in the form of a preconstruction permit application for the Saturate Gas Plant Project was necessary and sufficient to address the discrepancy. MAQP #2619-14 replaced MAQP #2619-13.

On June 29, 2002, the Department issued **MAQP #2619-15** to clarify language regarding the Appendix F Quality Assurance requirements for the fuel gas H₂S measurement system and to include certain limits and standards associated with the Consent Decree lodged on December 20, 2001, respectively. In addition, the Department modified the permit to eliminate references to the now repealed odor rule (ARM 17.8.315), to correct the reference on conditions improperly referencing the incinerator rule (ARM 17.8.316), and to eliminate the limits on the main boiler that were less stringent than the current limit established by the Consent Decree. MAQP #2619-15 replaced MAQP #2619-14.

The Department received a request from Conoco on August 27, 2002, for the alteration of air quality MAQP #2619-15 to incorporate the Low Sulfur Gasoline (LSG) Project into the refinery's equipment and operations. The LSG Project was being proposed to assist in complying with EPA's Tier 2 regulations. The project included the installation of a new storage vessel and minor modifications to the No.2 hydrodesulfurization (HDS) unit, GOHDS unit, and hydrogen (H₂) unit in order to accommodate hydrotreating additional gasoline and gas oil streams that were currently not hydrotreated prior to being blended or processed in the FCCU. The new storage vessel was designed to store offspec gasoline during occasions when the GOHDS unit was offline.

In addition, on August 28, 2002, Conoco requested to eliminate the footnote contained in Section II.B.1.b of MAQP #2619-15 stating, "Emissions [of the SRU Flare] occur only during times that the ATS unit is not operating." Further, Conoco requested to change the SO₂ emission limitations of 25 pounds per hour (lbs/hr) for each of the SRU Flare and SRU/ATS Main Stack to a 25-lbs/hr limit on the combination of the SRU Flare and SRU/ATS Main Stack. Following discussion between Conoco and the Department regarding comments received within the Department and from EPA, Conoco requested an extension to delay issuance of the Department Decision to December 9, 2002. Following additional discussion, Conoco and the Department agreed to leave the footnote in the permit for the issuance of **MAQP #2619-16** and to revisit the issue at another time. MAQP #2619-16 replaced MAQP #2619-15.

A letter from ConocoPhillips dated December 9, 2002, and received by the Department on December 10, 2002, notified the Department that Conoco had changed its name to ConocoPhillips. In a letter dated February 3, 2003, ConocoPhillips also requested the removal of the conditions regarding the temporary power generators because the permit terms for the temporary generators were "not to exceed 2 years" and the generators had been removed from the facility. The permit action changed the name on this permit from Conoco to ConocoPhillips and removed permit terms regarding temporary generators. **MAQP #2619-17** was also updated to reflect current permit language and rule references used by the Department. MAQP #2619-17 replaced MAQP #2619-16.

On December 11, 2003, the Department received a MAQP Application from ConocoPhillips to modify MAQP #2619-17 to replace the existing 143.8-MMBtu/hr boilers, B-5 and B-6, with new 183-MMBtu/hr boilers equipped with low NO_x burners (LNB) and flue gas recirculation (FGR) commonly referred to as ultra-low NO_x burners (ULNB), new B-5 and new B-6 (previously referred to as B-7 and B-8), to meet the NO_x emission reduction requirements stipulated in the EPA Consent Decree. On December 23, 2003, the Department deemed the application complete. This permitting action contained NO_x emissions that exceed PSD significance levels. The replacement of the boilers resulted in an actual NO_x reduction of approximately 89 tons per year. However, the EPA Consent Decree stipulated that reductions were not creditable for PSD purposes. MAQP #2619 was also updated to reflect current permit language and rule references used by the Department. **MAQP #2619-18** replaced MAQP #2619-17.

On February 3, 2004, the Department received a MAQP Application from ConocoPhillips to modify MAQP #2619-18 to add a new HDS Unit (No.5), a new sour water stripper (No.3 Sour Water Stripper (SWS)), and a new H₂ Unit. On March 1, 2004, the Department deemed the application complete upon submittal of additional information. The addition of these new units added three new heaters, 41, 42, and 43, each equipped with low LNB FGR commonly referred to as ULNB. Additionally, ConocoPhillips proposed to retrofit existing external floating roof tank T-110 with a cover to allow nitrogen blanketing of the tank, to install a new storage vessel (No.5 HDS Feed storage tank) under emission point 24 above, to store feed and off-specification material for the No.5 HDS Unit, and to provide the No.1 H₂ Unit with the flexibility to burn refinery fuel gas (RFG). The new equipment was added to meet the new EPA-required highway Ultra Low Sulfur Diesel (ULSD) fuel

sulfur standard of 100% of highway diesel that meets the 15 parts per million (ppm) highway diesel fuel maximum sulfur specification by June 1, 2006. By meeting the June 1, 2006, deadline, ConocoPhillips may claim a 2-year extension for the phase in of the requirements of the Tier Two Gasoline/Sulfur Rulemaking. This permitting action resulted in NO_x and VOC emissions that exceed PSD significance levels. Other changes were also contained in this permit. Previously in permit condition II.A.1 it was stated that the emergency flare tip must be based at 148-foot elevation. After a physical survey of the emergency flare it was determined that the actual height of the flare tip is 141.5-foot elevation. After verifying that the impacts of the height discrepancy were negligible, the Department changed permit condition II.A.1 from 148-feet of elevation to 142-feet plus or minus 2 feet of elevation and changed the reference from ARM 17.8.752 to ARM 17.8.749. **MAQP #2619-19** was updated to reflect current permit language and rule references used by the Department. MAQP #2619-19 replaced MAQP #2619-18.

On June 15, 2004, the Department received an Administrative Amendment request from ConocoPhillips to modify MAQP #2619-19 to correct the averaging time for equipment subject to the 0.073 gr/dscf H₂S content of fuel gas burned limit. The averaging time was corrected from a rolling 3-hour time period to a rolling 12-month time period. The heaters subject to the 0.073 gr/dscf limit per rolling 12-month time period are subject to the Standards of Performance for NSPS, Subpart J limit of 0.10 gr/dscf per rolling 3-hour time period. **MAQP #2619-20** replaced MAQP #2619-19.

On March 15, 2005, the Department received a complete MAQP Application from ConocoPhillips to modify MAQP #2619-20 to update the HDS Unit (No.5), sour water stripper (No.3 SWS), and H₂ Unit added in ULSD MAQP Modification #2619-19. Due to the final project design and vendor specifications, and further review of the EPA compiled emission factor data, the facility's emission generating activities, and MAQP #2619-19, ConocoPhillips proposed the following changes:

1. Deaerator Vent (44) at the No.2 H₂ Unit is to be deleted
2. No. 2 H₂ Unit PSA Offgas Vent (45) is to be added
3. CO emission factors for the three new heaters to be changed from AP-42 Section 1.4 (October 1996) to vendor guaranteed emission factors
4. Particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less (PM₁₀) exhaust emission factors for the combustion of PSA vent gas in the No.1 H₂ Heater and the No.2 H₂ Reformer Heater to be changed from AFSCF, EPA 450/4-90-003 p.23 to AP-42, Section 1.4 (July 1998)
5. The dimensions, secondary rim seal, and specific deck fittings data for the No.5 HDS Feed Tank to be updated. The tank is proposed to store material with a maximum true vapor pressure of 11.1 pounds per square inch at atmosphere (psia).
6. Specific deck fittings for existing Tank-110 to be revised. The tank is proposed to store material with a maximum true vapor pressure of 11.1 psia.

7. The existing No.1 H₂ Unit PSA Offgas Vent (46) to be added to the permit. This unit is not affected by the ULSD project, but is included with this submittal as a reconciliation issue.
8. The NO_x emissions limitations cited for each of the three new ULSD Project heaters are requested to be clarified as “per rolling 12-month time period.”
9. The CO emissions limitations cited for each of the three new ULSD Project heaters be replaced and cited with the appropriate updated values and associated averaging periods.
10. The nomenclature for Boilers B-7 and B-8 be changed to new B-5 and new B-6 respectively.
11. In accordance with Paragraph 54 of the Consent Decree the FCCU became subject to the SO₂ portions of Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources (NSPS), Subpart J on February 1, 2005.
12. 40 CFR 63, Subpart DDDDD (National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Industrial, Commercial, and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters) has been finalized. The regulatory applicability analysis has been updated for the three new heaters.

MAQP #2619-21 replaced MAQP #2619-20.

On January 15, 2007, the Department received a complete application which included the request to incorporate the following permit conditions, which were requested in separate letters:

- Refinery Main Plant Relief Flare – to clarify that the flare is subject to NSPS 40 CFR 60, Subparts A and J (as requested September 28, 2004)
- FCCU – to clarify that the FCCU is subject to CO and SO₂ portions of Subpart J (requested September 26, 2003, and February 8, 2005, respectively, and partly addressed in MAQP #2619-21)
- FCCU - to clarify that the FCCU was subject to an SO₂ emission limit of 25 parts per million, on a volume, dry basis (ppmvd), corrected to 0% oxygen (O₂), on a rolling 365-day basis, and subject to an SO₂ emission limit of 50 ppmvd, corrected to 0% O₂, on a rolling 7-day basis, and clarify the 7-day SO₂ 50 ppmvd emission limit established for the FCCU shall not apply during periods of hydrotreater outages (requested February 1, 2006)
- Temporary Boiler Installation – to allow the installation and operation, for up to 8 weeks per year, of a temporary natural gas-fired boiler not to exceed 51 MMBtu/hr, as requested January 4, 2007

The permit was also updated to reflect the current style that the Department issues permits. **MAQP #2619-22** replaced MAQP #2619-21.

The Department received two requests from ConocoPhillips for modifications to the permit in conformance with requirements contained in their Consent Decree (Civil Action #H-01-4430):

- 5/31/07 – request to clarify that the Jupiter Sulfur Plant Flare (Jupiter Flare) is subject to 40 CFR 60, Subparts A and J; and
- 8/29/07 – request to clarify that the FCCU is subject to a PM emission limit of 1 lb per 1,000 lb of coke burned, and that it is an affected facility subject to 40 CFR 60, Subparts A and J, including the 30% opacity limitation. The requirement to maintain less than 20% opacity was then removed, since the FCCU became subject to the 30% Subpart J opacity limit which supersedes the ARM 17.8.304 opacity limit.

The Department amended the permit, as requested. In addition, the references to 40 CFR 63, Subpart DDDDD were changed to reflect that this regulation has become “state-only” since, although the federal rule was vacated on July 30, 2007, this MACT was incorporated by reference in ARM 17.8.342. Lastly, reference to Tank T-4524 was corrected to T-4523 (wastewater surge tank) and regulatory applicability changed from 40 CFR 60, Subpart Kb to Subpart QQQ, and the LSG tank identification was corrected to T-2909. **MAQP #2619-23** replaced MAQP #2619-22.

On August 21, 2008, the Department received a complete NSR-PSD permit application from ConocoPhillips. ConocoPhillips is proposing to replace the existing Small and Large Crude Units and the existing Vacuum Unit with a new, more efficient Crude and Vacuum Unit. This project is referred to as the New Crude and Vacuum Unit (NCVU) project. The NCVU project will enable ConocoPhillips’ Billings refinery to process both conventional crude oils and SynBit/oil sands crude oils and increase crude distillation capacity about 25%. The NCVU project will require modifications and optimization of the following existing process units: No. 2 HDS Unit, Saturate Gas Plant, No. 2 and No. 3 Amine Units, No. 5 HDS Unit, Coker Unit, No. 1 and 2 H₂ Plants, Hydrogen Purification Unit (HPU), Raw Water Demineralizer System, Jupiter SRU/ATS Plant, and the FCCU. The primary objectives of the NCVU Project are to improve crude fractionation and energy efficiency of the refinery, and to increase crude processing capacity and crude feed flexibility to reduce feed costs. As a result of the NCVU Project, the Jupiter Plant feed rate capacity will need to be increased to approximately 235 LTD of sulfur. With the submittal of this complete application, the minor source baseline dates for SO₂, PM, and PM₁₀ have now been triggered in the Billings area as of August 21, 2008. The minor source baseline date for NO_x was already established by Yellowstone Energy Limited Partnership (formerly Billings Generation Inc.) on November 8, 1991.

In addition, the Department clarified the permit language for the bulk loading rack VCU regarding the products that may be loaded in the event the VCU is inoperable. **MAQP #2619-24** replaced MAQP #2619-23.

On June 12, 2009, the Department received a request from ConocoPhillips to administratively amend MAQP #2619-24 to include certain limits and standards. This amendment was in response to requirements contained in the Consent Decree (CD) that ConocoPhillips has entered into with EPA along with the Department. The CD was set forth on December 20, 2001.

As a result of the requirements set forth within the CD, ConocoPhillips had requested the following limits and standards (agreed to by EPA) to be included in the MAQP:

The NO_x emissions from the FCCU shall have a limit of 49.2 parts per million, volumetric dry (ppmvd), corrected to 0% O₂, on a rolling 365-day average and 69.5 ppmvd, corrected to 0% O₂, on a rolling 7-day average. Per Paragraph 27 of the above-referenced CD, the 7-day NO_x emission limit established for the FCC shall not apply during periods of hydrotreater outages at the refinery, provided that ConocoPhillips is maintaining and operating its FCC (including associated air pollution control equipment) in a manner consistent with good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions in accordance with the EPA-approved good air pollution control practices plan.

As a result of this request, **MAQP #2619-25** replaced MAQP #2619-24.

On December 6, 2010, the Department received a request from ConocoPhillips to administratively amend MAQP #2619-25 to include certain limits, standards, and obligations in response to agency requests and the requirements of Paragraph 210(a) contained in the ConocoPhillips CD. ConocoPhillips also requested to include conditions pertaining to facility-related Supplemental Environmental Projects (SEP), although not specifically required by the ConocoPhillips CD. ConocoPhillips later rescinded the request to include these SEP conditions within this permit action. ConocoPhillips additionally requested removal of references to Tank #162 (Ground Water Interceptor System (GWIS) Recovered Oil Tank) as this tank has been taken out of service. With knowledge of forthcoming additional information and administrative amendment requests, in concurrence with ConocoPhillips, the Department withheld preparation and issuance of a revised MAQP; however, this action was assigned MAQP #2619-26.

On July 28, 2011, the Department received a request from ConocoPhillips to administratively amend MAQP #2619-25 to include the following language (underlined):

NO_x emissions shall not exceed 49.2 ppmvd corrected to 0% O₂, on a rolling 365-day average and 69.5 ppmvd, corrected to 0% O₂, on a rolling 7-day average. The 7-day NO_x emission limit shall not apply during periods of hydrotreater outages, provided that ConocoPhillips is maintaining and operating the FCCU (including associated air pollution control equipment) consistent with good air pollution control practices for minimizing emissions in accordance with the EPA-approved good air pollution control practices plan. For days in which the FCCU is not operating, no NO_x value shall be used in the average, and those periods shall be skipped in determining the 7-day and 365-day averages (ConocoPhillips Consent Decree, Paragraph 27, as amended).

ConocoPhillips requested this addition in language as a result of an April 29, 2011 letter from EPA, which contained the formal approval of the FCC NOx emission limits required by the CD. The letter included EPA's expectations as to how these NOx emission concentration averages are to be calculated.

This amendment to MAQP #2619-25 included the requested changes from the December 6, 2010, and July 28, 2011, administrative amendment requests.

As a result of both of these requests, **MAQP #2619-27** replaced MAQP #2619-25.

On September 13, 2011, October 7, 2011, October 25, 2011, and October 31, 2011, the Department received elements to fulfill a complete air quality permit application from ConocoPhillips. ConocoPhillips requested a modification to their existing air quality permit to incorporate conditions and limitations associated with the proposed installation of a Backup Coke Crusher. A Backup Coke Crusher is necessary to ensure crushed coke is available at all times for the facility, particularly during instances when the main Coke Crusher is not operational as a result of mechanical failure and/or maintenance activities. The components of the Backup Coke Crusher include the coke crushing unit as well as a diesel fired engine and compressor.

This permit action incorporated all limitations and conditions associated with the proposed Backup Coke Crusher. **MAQP #2619-28** replaced MAQP #2619-27.

On May 3, 2012, the Department received a request to administratively amend MAQP #2619-28 to incorporate a change in the ConocoPhillips Company name. On May 1, 2012, the downstream portions of the ConocoPhillips Company were spun-off as a separate company named Phillips 66 Company (Phillips 66). As a result of the spin-off, the former ConocoPhillips Billings Refinery is now the Phillips 66 Billings Refinery. The permit action incorporated the name change throughout, and **MAQP #2619-29** replaced MAQP #2619-28

On October 9, 2012, the Department received an Administrative Amendment Request to delete conditions regarding the New Crude and Vacuum Unit because the project was cancelled, clarification of various rule applicabilities and other minor edits. A letter outlining the requested changes in bullet point fashion is on file with the Department. **MAQP #2619-30** replaced MAQP #2619-29.

On May 1, 2014, the Department received an Administrative Amendment request from Phillips 66. Phillips 66 is in the process of taking steps to close out the Consent Decree with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the State of Montana. Phillips 66 requested that limits and standards from the Consent Decree which are required to live on beyond the life of the Consent Decree be present in the permit, with authority for those conditions to rest outside of regulatory reference to the Consent Decree itself. The action removed references to the Consent Decree as a regulatory basis. The changes taking place in this action are tabulated below. Following the first table is a table which contains additional information regarding all conditions in the MAQP which are believed to have originated through the Consent Decree. **MAQP #2619-31** replaced MAQP #2619-30.

MAQP #2619-31 Table 1: Changes taking place in this action

MAQP #2619-30 Condition	Source	Pollutant	Obligation	CD Paragraph	Prior Permit Reference	New Regulatory Reference
II.E.5.c.i	Boiler Stack	SO ₂	CEMS	71	CD	17.8.749
II.C.1.d.ii	FCC	SO ₂	7-day & 365-day limits	40	CD	17.8.749
II.C.1.d.vi	FCC	NO _x	7-day & 365-day limits	17	CD	17.8.749
II.C.1.d.iv	FCC	CO	365-day limit	50	CD	17.8.749
II.C.1.d.v	FCC	CO	1-hr limit	49	CD	17.8.749
II.C.1.d.vii	FCC	PM	1 lb/1000 lb coke burn	46, 47(a)	CD	17.8.749
II.A.1.c.v	FCC	----	NSPS J and A applicability	54	CD	17.8.749
II.C.1.d.iii	FCC	SO ₂	NSPS J limit	54	CD	17.8.749
II.C.1.d.vii	FCC	PM	NSPS J limit	54	CD	17.8.749
II.C.1.d.viii	FCC	Opacity	NSPS J limit	54	CD	17.8.749
II.E.5.b.v	FCC	NO _x	CEMS	28	CD	17.8.749
II.E.5.b.iv	FCC	CO	CEMS	49	CD	17.8.749
II.E.5.b.vi	FCC	O ₂	CEMS	28, 37	CD	17.8.749
II.E.5.b.i	FCC	SO ₂	CEMS	37	CD	17.8.749
II.E.5.b.iii	FCC	Opacity	COMS	47(b)	CD	17.8.749
II.E.4	FCC	PM	Particulate Emissions Test-annual	47(a)	CD	17.8.749
II.B.1	Flare-Refinery	SO ₂	RCFAs & FGRS	162	CD	17.8.749
II.A.1.c.iii	Flare-Refinery	SO ₂	NSPS J and A applicability	161	CD	17.8.749
II.A.1.c.iv	Flare-Jupiter	SO ₂	NSPS J and A applicability	155	CD	17.8.749
II.A.1.c.i	Heaters/Boilers	SO ₂	NSPS J applicability	69	none	17.8.749
II.C.1.e.i	Heaters	SO ₂	No fuel oil burning	**	none	17.8.749
II.C.1.e.iii	Heaters	SO ₂	Limit of 0.10 gr/dscf H ₂ S in fuel gas	69	none	17.8.749
II.C.1.f.iv	Boilers	SO ₂	Limit of 0.10 gr/dscf H ₂ S in fuel gas	69	none	17.8.749
II.C.1.f.ii	Boilers	SO ₂	300 ton/365-day rolling avg.***	71	CD	17.8.749
absent	Flare-Jupiter	SO ₂	RCFAs for NSPS J	179	none	17.8.749

*** Condition existed in MAQP prior to Consent Decree

** Not in Consent Decree but requested as part of this action

MAQP #2619-31 Table 2: All conditions originating from Consent Decree

<u>Source</u>	<u>CD Limit or Obligation</u>	<u>MAQP #2619-30 Permit Condition</u>	<u>Compliance Demonstration</u>
FCCU	365-Day Rolling Average NO _x Emission = 49.2 ppmvd @ 0% O ₂ 7-Day Rolling Average NO _x Emission = 69.5 ppmvd @ 0% O ₂ Hydrotreater Outages (7-Day Limit Shall Not Apply)	Sec. II.C.1.d.vi	Sec. II.E.5.b.v Sec. II.E.b.vi Sec. II.E.7 Sec. II.E.8
FCCU	365-Day Rolling Average SO ₂ Emission = 25 ppmvd @ 0% O ₂ 7-Day Rolling Average SO ₂ Emission = 50 ppmvd @ 0% O ₂ Hydrotreater Outages (7-Day Limit Shall Not Apply)	Sec. II.C.1.d.ii	Sec. II.E.5.b.i Sec. II.E.b.vi Sec. II.E.7
FCCU	PM Emission = 1 lb/1000 lbs coke burned	Sec. II.C.1.d.vii	Sec. II.E.4
FCCU	1-Hour Average CO Emission = 500 ppmvd @ 0% O ₂ (Startup, Shutdown, or Malfunctions not used in determining compliance with this limit. - 2nd Amendment) 365-Day Rolling Average CO Emission = 150 ppmvd @ 0% O ₂	Sec. II.C.1.d.v Sec. II.C.1.d.iv	Sec.II.E.5.b.iv Sec. II.E.7
FCCU	Must comply with NSPS Subpart A and J - SO ₂	Sec. II.A.1.a (General Condition) Sec. II.A.1.c.v (General Condition) Sec. II.C.1.d.iii (Emission Limit)	Sec. II.A.1.a (General Condition) Sec. II.A.1.c.v (General Condition) Sec.II.E.5.b.i (Emission Monitoring) Sec. II.E.7 (Emission Monitoring)
FCCU	Must comply with NSPS Subpart A and J - PM	Sec. II.A.1.a (General Condition) Sec. II.A.1.c.v (General Condition) Sec. II.C.1.d.vii (CD Emission Limit)	Sec. II.A.1.a (General Condition) Sec. II.A.1.c.v (General Condition) Sec.II.E.4 (Emission Testing)

<u>Source</u>	<u>CD Limit or Obligation</u>	<u>MAQP #2619-30 Permit Condition</u>	<u>Compliance Demonstration</u>
FCCU	Must comply with NSPS Subpart A and J - CO	Sec. II.A.1.a (General Condition) Sec. II.A.1.c.v (General Condition) Sec. II.C.1.d.v (CD Emission Limit)	Sec. II.A.1.a (General Condition) Sec. II.A.1.c.v (General Condition) Sec.II.E.5.b.iv (Emission Monitoring) Sec. II.E.7 (Emission Monitoring)
FCCU	Must comply with NSPS Subpart A and J - Opacity	Sec. II.A.1.a (General Condition) Sec. II.A.1.c.v (General Condition) Sec. II.C.1.d.viii (Emission Limit)	Sec. II.A.1.a (General Condition) Sec. II.A.1.c.v (General Condition) Sec.II.E.5.b.iii (Emission Monitoring) Sec. II.E.7 (Emission Monitoring)
Boilers	Must comply with NSPS Subpart J (SO ₂ , CO & PM) 365-Day Rolling Average SO ₂ Emissions = 300 tpy (Fuel-Oil Burning Only)	Sec. II.A.1.c.i (General Condition) Sec. II.C.1.f.ii (Emission Limit) Sec. II.C.1.f.iii (Emission Limit)	Sec. II.A.1.c.i (General Condition) Sec. II.E.5.c.i (Emission Monitoring) Sec. II.E.7 (Emission Monitoring) Sec. II.E.5.e (Emission Monitoring)
Heaters	Must comply with NSPS Subpart J (SO ₂ , CO & PM) 365-Day Rolling Average SO ₂ Emissions = 300 tpy (Fuel-Oil Burning Only)	Sec. II.A.1.c.i (General Condition) Sec. II.C.1.e.i (Operating Condition) Sec. II.C.1.f.iii (Emission Limit)	Sec. II.E.5.e (Emission Monitoring)
SRU/Ammonium Sulfide Unit Flare (Jupiter Flare)	Must comply with NSPS Subpart A and J.	Sec. II.A.1.a (General Condition) Sec. II.A.1.c.iv (General Condition) Sec. II.C.7 (Operating Condition)	Sec. II.E.5.f

<u>Source</u>	<u>CD Limit or Obligation</u>	<u>MAQP #2619-30 Permit Condition</u>	<u>Compliance Demonstration</u>
Main Plant Flare (Refinery)	Must comply with NSPS Subpart A and J.	Sec. II.A.1.a <i>(General Condition)</i> Sec. II.A.1.c.iii <i>(General Condition)</i> Sec. II.B.1 <i>(Control Requirement)</i> Sec. II.C.6.a <i>(Operating Condition)</i>	Sec. II.E.5.f
Jupiter SRU/ATS Main Stack	Must comply with NSPS Subpart A and J.	Sec. II.A.1.a <i>(General Condition)</i> Sec. II.A.1.c.ii <i>(General Condition)</i>	
Main Plant Flare (Refinery)	Root Cause Failure Analysis	Sec. II.C.6	

On September 16, 2014, the Department received an application from Phillips 66 to propose physical and operational changes to process units and auxiliary facilities at the refinery in order to provide more optimized operations for a broader spectrum of crude oil slates. This application was assigned **MAQP #2619-32**. Changes were primarily related to certain crude distillation, hydrogen production and recovery, fuel gas amine treatment, wastewater treatment, and sulfur recovery equipment and operations. A detailed list of project-affected equipment with a description of the changes proposed is presented below:

Summary of Project-Impacted Emissions Units			
Emissions Unit	Type of Unit (Existing/New)	Maximum Capacity	Project Impact
Small Crude Unit Heater, H-1	Existing	55.92 MMBtu/hr (HHV)	The tubes in the Small Crude Unit Heater, H-1 will be replaced with upgraded metallurgy tubes. Phillips 66 has not sought to treat this change as qualifying for one of the exemptions from what is a physical change or change in the method of operation under relevant PSD regulations.
Vacuum Furnace, H-17 – Existing Furnace	Existing	n/a	This emissions unit will be discontinued from service and replaced by a new process heater, as noted below.
Vacuum Furnace, H-17 – Replacement Furnace	New	75 MMBtu/hr (HHV)	This emissions unit will be constructed to replace the refinery's existing Vacuum Furnace, H-17, which, as noted above, will be removed from service.

Summary of Project-Impacted Emissions Units			
Emissions Unit	Type of Unit (Existing/New)	Maximum Capacity	Project Impact
FCCU Preheater, H-18	Existing	77 MMBtu/hr (HHV)	The actual feed rate to this process heater is anticipated to increase as a result of the project because the actual feed rate (and the gas oil content of the feedstock) to the No. 4 HDS Unit, which provides the feed to this heater, is anticipated to increase due to the project. Phillips 66 estimated that the anticipated increase in the annual average feed rate to this process heater caused by the project would result in an increase in the heater's actual annual average firing rate equal to approximately 10% of its annual average potential to emit firing rate. This estimated increase in actual firing rate will make use of existing firing rate capacity that is not currently being utilized. The project does not propose to increase the firing rate capacity or the potential to emit emission rates of this heater.
Large Crude Unit Heater, H-24	Existing	108.36 MMBtu/hr (HHV)	This emissions unit will be physically modified, including the installation of upgraded metallurgy tubes to replace the existing tubes in the heater and the installation of ULNBs to replace the existing burners in the heater.
FCCU Stack	Existing	8,285.50 million barrels per year (gas oil feed)	Phillips 66 estimated that the project would result in an increase in the actual FCCU catalyst regenerator coke burn rate equal to approximately 12% of its annual average potential to emit coke burn rate. This coke burn rate increase will be associated with the actual increase in throughput and slightly heavier gas oil feedstock expected for the FCCU. The increase in throughput and gas oil feedstock density for the FCCU will occur because the No. 4 HDS Unit, which provides the feed to the FCCU, is estimated to experience an increase in the gas oil content of its feed, as well as an overall increase in its actual feed rate, as a result of the project. These changes to the No. 4 HDS Unit feed will occur because of the improved separation capabilities of the new Vacuum Unit Fractionator (W-57). The estimated increase in actual FCCU catalyst regenerator coke burn rate will make use of existing coke burn rate capacity that is not currently being utilized. The project does not propose to increase the coke burn rate capacity or the potential to emit emission rates of the FCCU catalyst regenerator.
Storage Tanks	Existing		Certain storage tanks at the refinery are anticipated to experience an increase in actual annual throughput primarily because of the improved straight run diesel and gas oil separation operations that will occur as a result of the project. This improvement in straight run diesel and gas oil separation will generally result in an increase in the throughput for diesel and gas oil storage tanks at the refinery. On the other hand, certain storage tanks at the refinery will experience a decrease in actual annual throughput as a result of the project. The refinery storage tanks expected to experience a decrease in throughput are those tanks that generally store lighter (higher vapor pressure) materials, such as gasoline and gasoline blendstocks. These actual throughput decreases have not been evaluated for PSD applicability determination purposes (<i>i.e.</i> , any emissions decreases that may result due to these throughput decreases have not been estimated because Phillips 66 does not intend to make such emissions decreases creditable). Additionally, the Desalter Break Tanks (T-4510 and T-4511) at the refinery will be removed from service and replaced by two new API separator bays.

Summary of Project-Impacted Emissions Units			
Emissions Unit	Type of Unit (Existing/New)	Maximum Capacity	Project Impact
Fugitive VOC Emissions	Existing-New		New piping fugitive components (<i>e.g.</i> , pumps, compressors, pressure relief devices, open-ended valves or lines, valves, and flanges or other connectors) are expected to be added to the refinery as a result of the project due to certain piping and equipment additions that will occur as part of the project. Also, new process drains and junction boxes are anticipated to be added to the refinery as part of the project. Furthermore, the Primary OWS (T-163) at the refinery will be removed from service and replaced by two new API separator bays.
CPI Separator Tanks	Existing		The OWSs (CPI OWSs (T-169 and T-170)) representing this emissions unit are planned to be removed from service and replaced by two new API separator bays.
No. 4 HDS Recycle Hydrogen Heater, H-8401	Existing	31.20 MMBtu/hr (HHV)	The actual feed rate to this process heater is anticipated to increase as a result of the project because the improved separation to be provided by the new Vacuum Unit Fractionator (W-57) will result in an increase in the actual feed rate to the No. 4 HDS Unit. Phillips 66 estimated that the anticipated increase in the annual average feed rate to this process heater caused by the project would result in an increase in the heater's actual annual average firing rate equal to approximately 10% of its annual average potential to emit firing rate. This estimated increase in actual firing rate will make use of existing firing rate capacity that is not currently being utilized. The project does not propose to increase the firing rate capacity or the potential to emit emission rates of this heater.
No. 4 HDS Fractionator Feed Heater, H-8402	Existing	31.70 MMBtu/hr (HHV)	The actual feed rate to this process heater is anticipated to increase as a result of the project because the improved separation to be provided by the new Vacuum Unit Fractionator (W-57) will result in an increase in the actual feed rate to the No. 4 HDS Unit. Phillips 66 estimated that the anticipated increase in the annual average feed rate to this process heater caused by the project would result in an increase in the heater's actual annual average firing rate equal to approximately 10% of its annual average potential to emit firing rate. This estimated increase in actual firing rate will make use of existing firing rate capacity that is not currently being utilized. The project does not propose to increase the firing rate capacity or the potential to emit emission rates of this heater.
No. 1 H ₂ Unit Reformer Heater, H-9401	Existing	179.20 MMBtu/hr PSA Gas, HHV 76.80 MMBtu/hr Natural Gas/Cryo Gas, HHV	Modifications will be made to the burners in the No. 1 H ₂ Unit Reformer Heater, H-9401 (EPN 35) to improve the flame pattern of these burners and to reduce hot spots on the tubes located in this heater. The type of burner modification may include changing the angle of the burners relative to this heater's tubes. Phillips 66 has not sought to treat this change as qualifying for one of the exemptions from what is a physical change or change in the method of operation under relevant PSD regulations.
Coke Handling	Existing		Based on engineering calculations, the actual annual coke production rate of the Coker Unit is expected to increase as a result of the project due to the heavier vacuum residuum that will be sent to the Coker Unit after the implementation of the project. Therefore, the actual annual amount of coke handled at the refinery is expected to increase as a result of the project.

Summary of Project-Impacted Emissions Units			
Emissions Unit	Type of Unit (Existing/New)	Maximum Capacity	Project Impact
No. 5 HDS Charge Heater, H-9501	Existing	25.0 MMBtu/hr (HHV)	The actual feed rate to this process heater is anticipated to increase as a result of the project primarily because the improved separation to be provided by the new Vacuum Unit Fractionator (W-57) will result in more diesel range material being routed to the No. 5 HDS Unit rather than the No. 4 HDS Unit. Phillips 66 estimated that the anticipated increase in the annual average feed rate to this process heater caused by the project would result in an increase in the heater's actual annual average firing rate equal to approximately 10% of its annual average potential to emit firing rate. This estimated increase in actual firing rate will make use of existing firing rate capacity that is not currently being utilized. The project does not propose to increase the firing rate capacity or the potential to emit emission rates of this heater.
No. 5 HDS Stabilizer Reboiler Heater, H-9502	Existing	49.00 MMBtu/hr (HHV)	The actual feed rate to this process heater is anticipated to increase as a result of the project primarily because the improved separation to be provided by the new Vacuum Unit Fractionator (W-57) will result in more diesel range material being routed to the No. 5 HDS Unit rather than the No. 4 HDS Unit. Phillips 66 estimated that the anticipated increase in the annual average feed rate to this process heater caused by the project would result in an increase in the heater's actual annual average firing rate equal to approximately 10% of its annual average potential to emit firing rate. This estimated increase in actual firing rate will make use of existing firing rate capacity that is not currently being utilized. The project does not propose to increase the firing rate capacity or the potential to emit emission rates of this heater.
No. 2 H ₂ Unit Reformer Heater, H-9701	Existing	111.35 MMBtu/hr PSA Gas, HHV 79.65 MMBtu/hr Natural Gas/Cryo Gas, HHV	The actual feed rate to this process heater is anticipated to increase as a result of the project in order to provide a portion of the increase in hydrogen production expected to be required by the project. Phillips 66 estimated that the anticipated increase in the annual average feed rate to this process heater caused by the project would result in an increase in the heater's actual annual average firing rate equal to approximately 15% of its annual average potential to emit firing rate. This estimated increase in actual firing rate will make use of existing firing rate capacity that is not currently being utilized. The project does not propose to increase the firing rate capacity or the potential to emit emission rates of this heater.
Coker Vent and Coke Cutting	Existing		Based on engineering calculations, the actual annual coke production rate of the Coker Unit is expected to increase as a result of the project due to the heavier vacuum residuum that will be sent to the Coker Unit after the implementation of the project. In association with this annual coke production rate increase is a decrease in coke drum cycle time. Therefore, the actual annual number of coke drum opening and coke cutting events is expected to increase as a result of the project.
Cooling Tower	New	7,000 gallons per minute	This cooling tower will be newly constructed to accommodate the increase in cooling water demand estimated to be required by the modified Vacuum Unit.
Railcar Clarified Oil Loading	Existing		The existing railcar clarified oil loading operation at the refinery is anticipated to experience an increase in annual throughput relative to the current annual throughput at which this operation typically operates due to the higher annual operating rate expected for the FCCU as a result of the project.

Summary of Project-Impacted Emissions Units			
Emissions Unit	Type of Unit (Existing/New)	Maximum Capacity	Project Impact
API Separator Tanks	New	132,058 thousand gallons per year	The OWSs representing this emissions unit will replace the following equipment currently located at the refinery: (1) Desalter Break Tanks (T-4510 and T-4511); (2) Primary OWS (T-163); and (3) CPI OWSs (T-169 and T-170).
Jupiter Main Stack No. 1	Existing		SRU No. 1, which emits through this stack, will experience multiple physical changes to accommodate a portion of the increased amount of sulfur-containing compounds that will be routed to the Jupiter Plant as a result of the project.
Jupiter Main Stack No. 2	New		SRU No. 3, which will emit through this stack, will be newly constructed as part of the project to accommodate a portion of the increased amount of sulfur-containing compounds that will be routed to the Jupiter Plant as a result of the project.
Jupiter Cooling Tower, CT-615A/B/C	New	7,500 gallons per minute	This cooling tower will be newly constructed to accommodate the increase in cooling water demand estimated to be required by the Jupiter Plant as a result of the project.
Jupiter Cooling Tower CT-120	New	11,500 gallons per minute	This cooling tower will replace the existing cooling tower located at the Jupiter Plant. This Cooling Tower was approved via de minimis after initial permitting of the Vacuum Improvement Project. As required by the de minimis provisions of ARM 17.8.745, review occurred to ensure the emissions from the cooling tower would not have triggered need for PSD permitting for the Vacuum Improvement Project.
Jupiter Sulfur Storage Tanks	Existing-New		The two existing atmospheric sulfur storage tanks (V-117 and V-355) at the refinery may experience an increase in actual annual throughput due to improved sulfur recovery operations of the respective SRUs associated with these tanks and an increase in sulfur loading to the same respective SRUs. Additionally, a new atmospheric sulfur storage tank (V-370) is proposed to be installed at the refinery as part of the project.
Jupiter Railcar and Tank Truck Sulfur Loading	Existing-New		The existing railcar and tank truck sulfur loading arms at the refinery may experience an increase in actual annual throughput as a result of the project. Additionally, one new railcar sulfur loading arm and one new tank truck sulfur loading arm are planned to be installed at the refinery as part of the project.

On September 21, 2015, the Department received an administrative amendment request from Phillips 66 to clarify certain provisions and emission limits that were initially adopted under the consent decree. The revisions also address the triggering of 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja for certain units, including flares. Per 40 CFR 60 Subpart Ja, flares which have triggered Subpart Ja and were meeting Subpart J requirements pursuant to a federal consent decree, will continue to meet those requirements until November 11, 2015, at which time all the requirements of Subpart Ja will apply. The requested permit changes included clarification of how the modified flares will comply before and after November 11, 2015. MAQP #2619-33 replaced MAQP #2619-32.

D. Current Permit Action

On March 14, 2016, the Department received from Phillips 66 a request for administrative amendment of the MAQP. Changes requested include updating information regarding the cooling towers to be installed as part of the Vacuum Improvement Project to reflect changes made and approved through the de minimis provisions of the Administrative Rules of Montana 17.8.745, and to correct an error regarding identification of tanks which will be removed from service as part of the Vacuum Improvement Project. Lastly, the letter received on March 14th provided notice regarding a change in stack height for the Large Crude Unit Heater H-24, from 152 feet to 195 feet 10 inches. No revision to the MAQP was necessary for the stack height change and a separate de minimis approval letter was sent to Phillips 66 regarding this change. MAQP #2619-34 will replace MAQP #2619-33.

E. Additional Information

Additional information, such as applicable rules and regulations, BACT/Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) determinations, air quality impacts, and environmental assessments, is included in the analysis associated with each change to the permit.

II. Applicable Rules and Regulations

The following are partial explanations of some applicable rules and regulations that apply to the facility. The complete rules are stated in the ARM and are available, upon request, from the Department. Upon request, the Department will provide references for locations of complete copies of all applicable rules and regulations or copies where appropriate.

A. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 1 - General Provisions, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.101 Definitions. This rule includes a list of applicable definitions used in this chapter, unless indicated otherwise in a specific subchapter.
2. ARM 17.8.105 Testing Requirements. Any person or persons responsible for the emission of any air contaminant into the outdoor atmosphere shall, upon written request of the Department, provide the facilities and necessary equipment, including instruments and sensing devices, and shall conduct tests, emission or ambient, for such periods of time as may be necessary using methods approved by the Department. Phillips 66 shall also comply with monitoring and testing requirements of this permit.
3. ARM 17.8.106 Source Testing Protocol. The requirements of this rule apply to any emission source testing conducted by the Department, any source, or other entity as required by any rule in this chapter, or any permit or order issued pursuant to this chapter, or the provisions of the Clean Air Act of Montana, 75-2-101, *et seq.*, MCA.

Phillips 66 shall comply with all requirements contained in the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual, including, but not limited to, using the proper test methods and supplying the required reports. A copy of the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual is available from the Department upon request.

4. ARM 17.8.110 Malfunctions. (2) The Department must be notified promptly by telephone whenever a malfunction occurs that can be expected to create emissions in excess of any applicable emission limitation or to continue for a period greater than 4 hours.
5. ARM 17.8.111 Circumvention. (1) No person shall cause or permit the installation or use of any device or any means which, without resulting in reduction in the total amount of air contaminant emitted, conceals or dilutes an emission of air contaminant that would otherwise violate an air pollution control regulation. (2) No equipment that may produce emissions shall be operated or maintained in such a manner that a public nuisance is created.

B. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 2 - Ambient Air Quality, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.204 Ambient Air Monitoring
2. ARM 17.8.210 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Sulfur Dioxide
3. ARM 17.8.211 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Nitrogen Dioxide
4. ARM 17.8.212 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Carbon Monoxide
5. ARM 17.8.213 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Ozone
6. ARM 17.8.214 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Hydrogen Sulfide
7. ARM 17.8.221 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Visibility
8. ARM 17.8.223 Ambient Air Quality Standard for PM₁₀

Phillips 66 must comply with the applicable ambient air quality standards. See Section V Ambient Air Impact Analysis.

C. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 3 - Emission Standards, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.304 Visible Air Contaminants. This rule requires that no person may cause or authorize emissions to be discharged to an outdoor atmosphere from any source installed after November 23, 1968, that exhibit an opacity of 20% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes.
2. ARM 17.8.308 Particulate Matter, Airborne. (1) This rule requires an opacity limitation of less than 20% for all fugitive emission sources and that reasonable precautions be taken to control emissions of airborne particulate matter. (2) Under this rule, Phillips 66 shall not cause or authorize the use of any street, road, or parking lot without taking reasonable precautions to control emissions of airborne particulate matter.
3. ARM 17.8.309 Particulate Matter, Fuel Burning Equipment. This rule requires that no person shall cause, allow or permit to be discharged into the atmosphere particulate matter caused by the combustion of fuel in excess of the amount determined by this rule.

4. ARM 17.8.310 Particulate Matter, Industrial Process. This rule requires that no person shall cause, allow, or permit to be discharged into the atmosphere particulate matter in excess of the amount set forth in this rule.
5. ARM 17.8.316 Incinerators. This rule requires that no person may cause or authorize emissions to be discharged into the outdoor atmosphere from any incinerator, particulate matter in excess of 0.10 grains per standard cubic foot of dry flue gas, adjusted to 12% carbon dioxide and calculated as if no auxiliary fuel had been used. Further, no person shall cause or authorize to be discharged into the outdoor atmosphere from any incinerator emissions that exhibit an opacity of 10% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes.
6. ARM 17.8.322 Sulfur Oxide Emissions--Sulfur in Fuel. (4) Commencing July 1, 1972, no person shall burn liquid or solid fuels containing sulfur in excess of 1 pound of sulfur per million Btu fired. (5) Commencing July 1, 1971, no person shall burn any gaseous fuel containing sulfur compounds in excess of 50 grains per 100 cubic feet of gaseous fuel, calculated as hydrogen sulfide at standard conditions. Phillips 66 will burn RFG gas, PSA gas, or natural gas, which will meet this limitation.
7. ARM 17.8.324 Hydrocarbon Emissions--Petroleum Products. (3) No person shall load or permit the loading of gasoline into any stationary tank with a capacity of 250 gallons or more from any tank truck or trailer, except through a permanent submerged fill pipe, unless such tank is equipped with a vapor loss control device as described in (1) of this rule.
8. ARM 17.8.340 Standard of Performance for New Stationary Sources and Emission Guidelines for Existing Sources. This rule incorporates, by reference, 40 CFR Part 60, NSPS. Phillips 66 is considered an NSPS affected facility under 40 CFR Part 60 and is subject to NSPS Subparts including, but not limited to:
 - a. Subpart A, General Provisions, applies to all equipment or facilities subject to an NSPS Subpart as listed below.
 - b. Subpart Db, Standards of Performance for Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units shall apply to all affected boilers at the facility which were constructed after June 19, 1984, are larger than 100 MMBtu/hr, and combust fossil fuel.
 - c. Subpart Dc, Standards of Performance for Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units shall apply to all affected boilers at the facility which were constructed after June 9, 1989, are between 10 MMBtu/hr and 100 MMBtu/hr, and combust fossil fuel.
 - d. Subpart J, Standards of Performance for Petroleum Refineries, shall apply to:
 1. All of the heaters and boilers at the Phillips 66 refinery (except those subject to Subpart Ja);

2. The Claus units at the Jupiter sulfur recovery facility (until it becomes subject to Subpart Ja);
 3. The Fluid Catalytic Cracking Unit (FCCU) (CO, SO₂, PM and opacity provisions (ARM 17.8.749); and
 4. Any other affected equipment
- e. Subpart Ja, Standards for Performance for Petroleum Refineries for Which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification Commenced After May 14, 2007, shall apply to:
1. New Vacuum Furnace H-17 resulting from the Vacuum Improvement Project permitted in MAQP 2619-32 (upon startup of H-17)
 2. Large Crude Unit Heater H-24 resulting from the Vacuum Improvement Project permitted in MAQP 2619-32 (upon startup after reconstruction of H-24)
 3. Jupiter Sulfur Plant Flare (Jupiter Flare, also known as the SRU/Ammonium Sulfide Unit Flare). This flare was modified per the NSPS definition after June 24, 2008. Upon modification, the flare became immediately subject to NSPS Subpart Ja. The emission limits, work practices and monitoring provisions of Ja for modified flares subject to a federal consent decree do not go into effect until November 11, 2015. Therefore, the flare shall comply with all applicable requirements for emergency flares with the exception of 60.103a(c-e and h) and 107a(g). Beginning November 11, 2015 the flare shall comply with all applicable requirements;
 4. Sulfur Recovery Unit No. 1 resulting from the Vacuum Improvement Project permitted in MAQP 2619-32 (upon startup after modification due to the Vacuum Improvement Project). NSPS Subpart Ja defines affected “sulfur recovery plant” to include multiple sulfur recovery units if each of the units share the same source of sour gas. All SRUs at the Refinery share the same source of sour gas. Upon startup of the new SRU #3, the facility’s Sulfur Recovery Plant will be modified per 40 CFR 60 because the hourly maximum achievable SO₂ emissions of this facility will increase after the project. As a result, the post-project sulfur recovery plant (SRU No. 1, 2, and 3, including the sulfur pits associated with these units) is subject to Subpart Ja. Further, the PSD analysis associated with the Vacuum Improvement Project relied on all Sulfur Recovery Units being subject to the requirements of NSPS Ja.

5. Sulfur Recovery Unit No. 2 resulting from the Vacuum Improvement Project permitted in MAQP 2619-32 (upon startup due to the Vacuum Improvement Project). NSPS Subpart Ja defines affected “sulfur recovery plant” to include multiple sulfur recovery units if each of the units share the same source of sour gas. All SRUs at the Refinery share the same source of sour gas. Upon startup of the new SRU #3, the facility’s Sulfur Recovery Plant will be modified per 40 CFR 60 because the hourly maximum achievable SO₂ emissions of this facility will increase after the project. As a result, the post-project sulfur recovery plant (SRU No. 1, 2, and 3, including the sulfur pits associated with these units) is subject to Subpart Ja. Further, the PSD analysis associated with the Vacuum Improvement Project relied on all Sulfur Recovery Units being subject to the requirements of NSPS Ja.
 6. Sulfur Recovery Unit No. 3 resulting from the Vacuum Improvement Project permitted in MAQP 2619-32
 7. Delayed Coking Unit
 8. Refinery Main Plant Relief Flare. This flare was modified per the NSPS definition after June 24, 2008. Upon modification, the flare became immediately subject to NSPS Subpart Ja. The emission limits, work practices and monitoring provision of Ja for modified flares subject to a federal consent decree do not go into effect until November 11, 2015. Therefore, the flare shall comply with all applicable requirements with exception of 60.103a (c-e and h) and 107a(a)(2). Beginning November 11, 2015 the flare shall comply with all applicable requirements.
 9. Any other affected equipment
- f. Subpart Ka, Standards of Performance for Storage Vessels for Petroleum Liquids, shall apply to all volatile organic storage vessels (including petroleum liquid storage vessels) for which construction, reconstruction or modification commenced after May 18, 1978, and prior to July 23, 1984, for equipment not overridden by 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC. These requirements shall be as specified in 40 CFR 60.110a through 60.115a. The affected tanks include, but are not limited to:

<u>Tank ID</u>	<u>Contents</u>
T-100*	Asphalt
T-101*	Asphalt
T-102	Naphtha
T-104*	Vacuum Resid

* *Currently exempt from all emission control provisions due to vapor pressure of materials stored.*

- g. Subpart Kb, Standards of Performance for Volatile Organic Liquid Storage Vessels, shall apply to all volatile organic storage vessels (including petroleum liquid storage vessels) for which construction, reconstruction or modification commenced after July 23, 1984, for equipment not overridden by 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC. These requirements shall be as specified in 40 CFR Part 60.110b through 60.117b. The affected tanks include, but are not limited to, the following:

<u>Tank ID</u>	<u>Contents</u>
T-35	Slop oil
T-36	(currently out of service)
T-72	Gasoline
T-107*	Residue
T-110	Material with a max true vapor pressure of 11.1 psia
T-0851	(No. 5 HDS Feed Storage Tank)
T-1102	(Crude Oil Storage Tank)
T-2909	Gasoline – Low Sulfur

* *Currently exempt from all emission control provisions due to vapor pressure of materials stored.*

- h. Subpart UU, Standards of Performance for Asphalt Processing and Asphalt Roofing Manufacture, shall apply to, but not be limited to, asphalt storage tank T-3201, and any other applicable storage tanks that commenced construction or modification after May 26, 1981. Asphalt storage tank T-3201 shall comply with the standards in 40 CFR 60.472(c), and 0% opacity, except for one consecutive 15-minute period in any 24-hour period when transfer lines are being blown for clearing. The PMA unit will be operating at 400°F, well under the asphalt's smoking temperature of 450°F; therefore, the tank vent opacity will always have 0% opacity. There are no record-keeping requirements under this subpart. However, any malfunction must be reported as required under ARM 17.8.110, Malfunctions.
- i. Subpart GGG, Standards of Performance for Equipment Leaks of VOC in Petroleum Refineries, shall apply to, but not be limited to, the delayed coker unit, cryogenic unit, hydrogen membrane unit, gasoline merox unit, crude vacuum unit (until no longer in service), gas oil hydrotreater unit (consisting of a reaction section, fractionation section, and an amine treating section), No.1 Hydrogen Unit (22.0-MMscfd hydrogen plant feed system), Alkylation Unit Butane Defluorinator Project (consisting of heat exchangers X-453, X-223, X-450, X-451, X-452; pump P-646; and vessels D-130, D-359, D-360), Alkylation Unit Depropanizer Project, new fugitive components associated with boilers B-5 and B-6; the fugitive components associated with the No.2 H₂ Unit and the No.5 HDS Unit; C3901 Coker Unit Wet Gas Compressor; C-5301 Flare Gas Recovery Unit Liquid Ring Compressor; C-5302 Flare Gas Recovery

unit Liquid Ring Compressor; C-8301 Cryo Unit Inlet Gas Compressor; C-8302 Cryo Unit Refrigerant Compressor; C-8303 Cryo unit Regeneration Gas Compressor; and any other applicable equipment constructed or modified after January 4, 1983.

The C-8401 No. 4 HDS Makeup/Recycle Hydrogen Compressor, C-7401 Hydrogen Makeup/Reformer Hydrogen Compressor, C-9401 Hydrogen Plant Feed Gas Compressor, C-9501 Makeup/Recycle Gas Compressor, and C-9701 Feed Gas Compressor are in hydrogen service.

- j. Subpart GGGa – Standards of Performance for Equipment Leaks of VOC in Petroleum Refineries for Which Construction, Reconstruction, or Modification Commenced After November 7, 2006, shall apply to the C-8402 Makeup/Recycle Hydrogen Compressor; and any other applicable equipment constructed, reconstructed, or modified after November 7, 2006.
 - k. Subpart QQQ - Standards of Performance for VOC Emissions from Petroleum Refining Wastewater Systems shall apply to, but not be limited to, the coker unit drain system, desalter wastewater break tanks, CPI separators, gas oil hydrotreater, No.1 Hydrogen Unit (20.0-MMscfd hydrogen plant), C-23 compressor station, Alkylation Unit Butane Defluorinator Project, Alkylation Unit Depropanizer Project, the new individual drain system in the No.2 H₂ Unit, the aggregate facility of the Vacuum Unit including the main oily wastewater sump through and including the two new parallel API OWSs and Tank T-164 as proposed in MAQP 1821-32 and the No.5 HDS Unit, Tank T-4523, and any other applicable equipment, for equipment not overridden by 40 CFR 63, Subpart CC.
 - l. Subpart IIII - Standards of Performance for Stationary Compression Ignition Internal Combustion Engines shall apply to, but not be limited to diesel fired engine used for operation of the Backup Coke Crusher.
 - m. All other applicable subparts and referenced test methods.
9. ARM 17.8.341 Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants. Phillips 66 shall comply with the standards and provisions of 40 CFR Part 61, as listed below:
- a. Subpart A, General Provisions applies to all equipment or facilities subject to a NESHAP Subpart as listed below.
 - b. Subpart FF, National Emission Standards for Benzene Waste Operations shall apply to, but not be limited to, all new or recommissioned wastewater sewer drains associated with the Alkylation Unit Depropanizer Project, the refinery's existing sewer system (including maintenance and water draw down activities of the

LSG tank involving liquids that may include small concentrations of benzene), the new individual drain system for the waste streams associated with the No.2 H₂ Unit and the No.5 HDS Unit, Tanks 34 and 35.

- c. Subpart M, National Emission Standard for Asbestos shall apply to, but not be limited to, the demolition and/or renovation of regulated asbestos containing material.

10. ARM 17.8.342 Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories. The source, as defined and applied in 40 CFR Part 63, shall comply with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 63, as listed below:

- a. Subpart A, General Provisions, applies to all NESHAP source categories subject to a Subpart as listed below.
- b. Subpart R, National Emission Standards for Gasoline Distribution Facilities, shall apply to, but not limited to, the Bulk Loading Rack.
- c. Subpart CC, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants from Petroleum Refineries (Refinery MACT I).
- d. Subpart UUU, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Petroleum Refineries: Catalytic Cracking Units, Catalytic Reforming Units, and Sulfur Recovery Units (Refinery MACT II), shall apply to, but not be limited to, the FCCU, and the Catalytic Reforming Unit #2. Subpart UUU does not apply to the Catalytic Reforming Unit #1 as long as the reformer is dormant or the catalyst is regenerated off-site.
- e. Subpart EEEE National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants: Organic Liquids Distribution (Non-Gasoline); shall apply to, but not be limited to, Proto storage tanks.
- f. Subpart ZZZZ – National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines, shall apply to, but not be limited to the diesel-fired engine used for operation of the Backup Coke Crusher, the Cryo Backup Air Compressor engine, the Boiler House Air Compressor engine, the Pump for Storm Water to Holding Pond engine, and the Boiler House Backup Air Compressor engine.

D. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 4 - Stack Height and Dispersion Techniques, including, but not limited to:

- 1. ARM 17.8.401 Definitions. This rule includes a list of definitions used in this chapter, unless indicated otherwise in a specific subchapter.
- 2. ARM 17.8.402 Requirements. Phillips 66 must demonstrate compliance with the ambient air quality standards with a stack height that does not exceed Good Engineering Practices (GEP).

- E. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 5 - Air Quality Permit Application, Operation and Open Burning Fees, including, but not limited to:
1. ARM 17.8.504 Air Quality Permit Application Fees. This rule requires that an applicant submit an air quality permit application fee concurrent with the submittal of an air quality permit application. A permit application is incomplete until the proper application fee is paid to the Department. The current permit action is an administrative amendment and as such, no fee is required for the current permit action.
 2. ARM 17.8.505 Air Quality Operation Fees. An annual air quality operation fee must, as a condition of continued operation, be submitted to the Department by each source of air contaminants holding an air quality permit, excluding an open burning permit, issued by the Department. The air quality operation fee is based on the actual or estimated actual amount of air pollutants emitted during the previous calendar year.

An air quality operation fee is separate and distinct from an air quality permit application fee. The annual assessment and collection of the air quality operation fee, described above, shall take place on a calendar-year basis. The Department may insert into any final permit issued after the effective date of these rules, such conditions as may be necessary to require the payment of an air quality operation fee on a calendar-year basis, including provisions that prorate the required fee amount.
- F. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 7 - Permit, Construction, and Operation of Air Contaminant Sources, including, but not limited to:
1. ARM 17.8.740 Definitions. This rule is a list of applicable definitions used in this chapter, unless indicated otherwise in a specific subchapter.
 2. ARM 17.8.743 Montana Air Quality Permits--When Required. This rule requires a person to obtain an air quality permit or permit alteration to construct, alter or use any air contaminant sources that have the PTE greater than 25 tons per year of any pollutant. Phillips 66 has the PTE greater than 25 tons per year of PM, PM₁₀, NO_x, CO, VOC, and SO₂; therefore, an air quality permit is required.
 3. ARM 17.8.744 Montana Air Quality Permits--General Exclusions. This rule identifies the activities that are not subject to the Montana Air Quality Permit program.
 4. ARM 17.8.745 Montana Air Quality Permits--Exclusion for De Minimis Changes. This rule identifies the de minimis changes at permitted facilities that do not require a permit under the Montana Air Quality Permit Program.
 5. ARM 17.8.748 New or Modified Emitting Units--Permit Application Requirements. (1) This rule requires that a permit application be submitted prior to installation, alteration, or use of a source. A permit application was not required for the current permit action because the permit change is

considered an administrative permit change. (7) This rule requires that the applicant notify the public by means of legal publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the area affected by the application for a permit. Public notice was not required for the current permit action because the current permit action is an administrative amendment.

6. ARM 17.8.749 Conditions for Issuance or Denial of Permit. This rule requires that the permits issued by the Department must authorize the construction and operation of the facility or emitting unit subject to the conditions in the permit and the requirements of this subchapter. This rule also requires that the permit must contain any conditions necessary to assure compliance with the Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA), the Clean Air Act of Montana, and rules adopted under those acts.
7. ARM 17.8.752 Emission Control Requirements. This rule requires a source to install the maximum air pollution control capability that is technically practicable and economically feasible, except that BACT shall be utilized. The required BACT analysis is included in Section III of this permit analysis.
8. ARM 17.8.755 Inspection of Permit. This rule requires that air quality permits shall be made available for inspection by the Department at the location of the source.
9. ARM 17.8.756 Compliance with Other Requirements. This rule states that nothing in the permit shall be construed as relieving Phillips 66 of the responsibility for complying with any applicable federal or Montana statute, rule, or standard, except as specifically provided in ARM 17.8.740, *et seq.*
10. ARM 17.8.759 Review of Permit Applications. This rule describes the Department's responsibilities for processing permit applications and making permit decisions on those permit applications that do not require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
11. ARM 17.8.762 Duration of Permit. An air quality permit shall be valid until revoked or modified, as provided in this subchapter, except that a permit issued prior to construction of a new or modified source may contain a condition providing that the permit will expire unless construction is commenced within the time specified in the permit, which in no event may be less than 1 year after the permit is issued.
12. ARM 17.8.763 Revocation of Permit. An air quality permit may be revoked upon written request of the permittee, or for violations of any requirement of the Clean Air Act of Montana, rules adopted under the Clean Air Act of Montana, the FCAA, rules adopted under the FCAA, or any applicable requirement contained in the Montana State Implementation Plan (SIP).
13. ARM 17.8.764 Administrative Amendment to Permit. An air quality permit may be amended for changes in any applicable rules and standards adopted by the Board of Environmental Review (Board) or changed conditions of operation at a source or stack that do not result in an increase of emissions as a result of those changed conditions. The owner or operator of a facility may

not increase the facility's emissions beyond permit limits unless the increase meets the criteria in ARM 17.8.745 for a de minimis change not requiring a permit, or unless the owner or operator applies for and receives another permit in accordance with ARM 17.8.748, ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.755, and ARM 17.8.756, and with all applicable requirements in ARM Title 17, Chapter 8, Subchapters 8, 9, and 10.

14. ARM 17.8.765 Transfer of Permit. This rule states that an air quality permit may be transferred from one person to another if written notice of intent to transfer, including the names of the transferor and the transferee, is sent to the Department.
15. ARM 17.8.770 Additional Requirements for Incinerators. This rule specifies the additional information that must be submitted to the Department for incineration facilities subject to 75-2-215, MCA.

G. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 8 - Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.801 Definitions. This rule is a list of applicable definitions used in this subchapter.
2. ARM 17.8.818 Review of Major Stationary Sources and Major Modifications --Source Applicability and Exemptions. The requirements contained in ARM 17.8.819 through ARM 17.8.827 shall apply to any major stationary source and any major modification with respect to each pollutant subject to regulation under the FCAA that it would emit, except as this subchapter would otherwise allow.

Phillips 66's existing petroleum refinery in Billings is defined as a "major stationary source" because it is a listed source with the PTE more than 100 tons per year of several pollutants (PM, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, SO₂, NO_x, CO, and VOCs).

The current permit action does not propose a significant net emissions increase, and therefore, is not subject to review as a major modification.

H. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 10 – Preconstruction Permit Requirements for Major Stationary Sources of Modifications Located Within Attainment or Unclassified Areas, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.1004 When Montana Air Quality Permit Required. (1) Any new major stationary source or major modification which would locate anywhere in an area designated as attainment or unclassified for a NAAQS under 40 CFR 81.327 and which would cause or contribute to a violation of a NAAQS for any pollutant at any locality that does not or would not meet the NAAQS for that pollutant, shall obtain from the Department a MAQP prior to construction in accordance with subchapters 7 and 8 and all requirements contained in this subchapter if applicable.

This current permit action does not constitute a major modification.

Therefore, the requirements of this subchapter do not apply to this action.

I. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 12 - Operating Permit Program Applicability, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.1201 Definitions. (23) Major Source under Section 7412 of the FCAA is defined as any stationary source having:
 - a. PTE > 100 TPY of any pollutant;
 - b. PTE > 10 TPY of any one HAP, PTE > 25 TPY of a combination of all HAPs, or a lesser quantity as the Department may establish by rule; or
 - c. PTE > 70 TPY of PM₁₀ in a serious PM₁₀ nonattainment area.
2. ARM 17.8.1204 Air Quality Operating Permit Program Applicability. (1) Title V of the FCAA Amendments of 1990 requires that all sources, as defined in ARM 17.8.1204 (1), obtain a Title V Operating Permit. In reviewing and issuing MAQP #2619-34 for Phillips 66, the following conclusions were made:
 - a. The facility's PTE is greater than 100 TPY for several pollutants.
 - b. The facility's PTE is greater than 10 TPY for any one HAP and greater than 25 TPY of all HAPs.
 - c. This source is not located in a serious PM₁₀ nonattainment area.
 - d. This facility is subject to NSPS requirements.
 - e. This facility is subject to NESHAP standards.
 - f. This source is not a Title IV affected source, nor a solid waste combustion unit.
 - g. This source is not an EPA designated Title V source.

Based on these facts, the Department determined that Phillips 66 is subject to the Title V operating permit program.

III. BACT Determination

A BACT determination is required for each new or modified source. Phillips 66 shall install on the new or modified source the maximum air pollution control capability that is technically practicable and economically feasible, except that BACT shall be used.

A BACT determination was not required for the current permit action because the permit change is considered an administrative permit change.

IV. Existing Air Quality

Phillips 66 is located at 401 South 23rd Street in Billings, Montana in the NW ¼ of Section 2, Township 1 South, Range 26 East, in Yellowstone County. The Laurel SO₂ nonattainment area is about 31.9 kilometers (19.8 miles) southwest from the center of the main operating facility. The Billings SO₂ nonattainment area ends at Interstate Highway I-90, which borders the facility’s east boundary.

On July 25, 2013, a portion of Yellowstone County was designated nonattainment for the 2010 revised National Ambient Air Quality Standards or NAAQS for SO₂. Although Montana disagreed with EPA’s conclusion that a nonattainment area in Yellowstone county was appropriate, in accord to EPA’s March 24, 2011 Memorandum regarding “Area Designations for the 2010 Revised Primary Sulfur Dioxide National Ambient Air Quality Standards”, the Department submitted a 5 factor analysis limiting the extent of the non-attainment area boundary based on scientific analyses. The purpose of the 5 factor analysis was to demonstrate that an appropriate nonattainment area boundary would differ from the otherwise default geopolitical boundary of the entirety of Yellowstone County. This demonstration, submitted in Montana's April 3, 2013 letter to EPA, discussed in detail the air quality data, emissions-related data, meteorology, topography, and the jurisdictional boundaries within the area.

The Department concluded, and EPA agreed, that under a variety of operating scenarios amongst the 7 major SO₂ emitters in the area the observed SO₂ NAAQS violation at the Coburn Road SO₂ Monitoring Station was not attributable to Phillips 66. The Department and EPA’s analyses concluded that the Phillips 66 Billings Refinery, including the associated Jupiter facility, did not cause or contribute to the NAAQS violation and as such it is inappropriate to include the facility within the nonattainment area boundary.

V. Taking or Damaging Implication Analysis

As required by 2-10-105, MCA, the Department conducted the following private property taking and damaging assessment.

YES	NO	
X		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation affecting private real property or water rights?
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private property?
	X	3. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership? (ex.: right to exclude others, disposal of property)
	X	4. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?
	X	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant an easement? [If no, go to (6)].
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and legitimate state interests?
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the property?
	X	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property? (consider economic impact, investment-backed expectations, character of government action)
	X	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with respect to the property in excess of that sustained by the public generally?
	X	7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?
	X	7b. Has government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible, waterlogged or

YES	NO	
		flooded?
	X	7c. Has government action lowered property values by more than 30% and necessitated the physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in question?
	X	Takings or damaging implications? (Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is checked in response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b, 7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b; the shaded areas)

Based on this analysis, the Department determined there are no taking or damaging implications associated with this permit action.

VII. Environmental Assessment

This permitting action is considered an administrative action; therefore, an environmental assessment is not required.

Analysis Prepared By: Shawn Juers

Date: March 15, 2016