

Brian Schweitzer, Governor

P. O. Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620-0901

901 (406) 444-2544

Website: www.deq.mt.gov

May 3, 2011

Mr. Scott Fradenburgh Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company Cabin Creek Compressor Station 2010 Montana Avenue Glendive, Montana 59330

Dear Mr. Frandeburgh:

Montana Air Quality Permit #2484-05 is deemed final as of May 3, 2011, by the Department of Environmental Quality (Department). This permit is for Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company's Cabin Creek Compressor Station. All conditions of the Department's Decision remain the same. Enclosed is a copy of your permit with the final date indicated.

For the Department,

Vickie Walsh

Vickie Walsh Air Permitting Program Supervisor Air Resources Management Bureau (406) 444-9741

VW:EW Enclosure

Ed Warner

Ed Warner Environmental Engineer Air Resources Management Bureau (406) 444-2467

Montana Department of Environmental Quality Permitting and Compliance Division

Montana Air Quality Permit #2484-05

Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company Cabin Creek Compressor Station 2010 Montana Avenue Glendive, Montana 59330

May 3, 2011



# MONTANA AIR QUALITY PERMIT

Issued To: Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company – Cabin Creek Compressor Station 2010 Montana Avenue Glendive, Montana 59330

MAQP: #2484-05 Application Complete: 2/18/2011 Preliminary Determination Issued: 3/28/2011 Department's Decision Issued: 4/15/2011 Permit Final: 5/3/2011 AFS #: 025-0003

A Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP), with conditions, is hereby granted to Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company – Cabin Creek Compressor Station (WBI – Cabin Creek), pursuant to Sections 75-2-204 and 211 of the Montana Code annotated (MCA), as amended, and Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.8.740, *et seq.*, as amended, for the following:

### I. Permitted Facilities

A. Plant Location

WBI – Cabin Creek operates a natural gas compressor station and associated equipment located in the SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of the SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of Section 16, Township 10 North, Range 58 East, Fallon County, Montana. The mailing address of the facility is 1651 Cabin Creek Road #1, Baker, MT 59313. A complete list of permitted equipment is contained in Section I.A of the permit analysis.

B. Current Permit Action

On February 18, 2011, the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (Department) received a complete MAQP modification application for WBI – Cabin Creek. WBI – Cabin Creek requested that five compressor engines (Units #4 through #8) be removed from the permit and be replaced with a one Caterpillar G3606LE compressor engine. The Caterpillar G3606LE is a four-stroke lean burn engine equipped with an oxidation catalyst and with a maximum rated design capacity of 1775 horsepower (hp) (maximum site rating of 1714 hp). Also included in this project is an upgrade in the facility heating system involving the removal of a 0.819 million British thermal unit per hour (MMBtu/hr), a 0.770 MMBtu/hr, and a 1.18 MMBtu/hr natural gas boiler and replacing them with two new 1.5 MMBtu/hr natural gas boilers.

## II. Conditions and Limitations

- A. Emission Limitations:
  - 1. Emissions from the 559-hp Waukesha 3521GL engine (Gen1) shall not exceed the following (ARM 17.8.752):

Nitrogen oxides  $(NO_x)^1$ 2.46 pounds per hour (lb/hr)Carbon monoxide (CO)4.06 lb/hrVolatile organic compounds (VOC)1.23 lb/hr

NOx reported as nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>).

- 2. Emissions from the 1149-hp Solar Saturn Mark II gas fired turbine (Unit #15) shall not exceed the following (ARM 17.8.752):
  - NO<sub>x</sub> 2 grams per brake horsepower-hour (g/bhp-hr) or 5.07 lb/hr
  - CO 3 g/bhp-hr or 7.60 lb/hr
  - VOC 1 g/bhp-hr or 2.53 lb/hr
- 3. Emissions from Unit #9 and Unit #10, individually, shall not exceed the following (ARM 17.8.749):

NO<sub>x</sub> 23.28 lb/hr

4. Emissions from the 1109-hp Waukesha engine (Unit #1) shall not exceed the following (ARM 17.8.749):

NO <sub>x</sub>	2.00 g/bhp-hr or 4.88 lb/hr
CO	10.00 g/bhp-hr or 24.40 lb/hr
VOC	0.075 g/bhp-hr or 0.18 lb/hr

- 5. Emissions from the 1775-hp (site rating of 1714 hp) Caterpillar G3606LE (Unit #17) shall not exceed the following (ARM 17.8.752):
  - NO<sub>x</sub> 0.70 g/bhp-hr and 2.74 lb/hr
  - CO 0.18 g/bhp-hr and 0.70 lb/hr
  - VOC 0.30 g/bhp-hr and 1.17 lb/hr
- WBI Cabin Creek shall not cause or authorize emissions to be discharged into the outdoor atmosphere from any sources or stacks installed on or before November 23, 1968, that exhibit an opacity<sup>2</sup> of 40% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.304).
- WBI Cabin Creek shall not cause or authorize emissions to be discharged into the outdoor atmosphere from any sources or stacks installed after November 23, 1968, that exhibit an opacity<sup>2</sup> of 20% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.304).
- B. Operational Limitations
  - 1. WBI Cabin Creek shall not burn, in the 1149-hp Solar Saturn Mark II gas turbine (Unit #15), any fuel that contains sulfur in excess of 0.8% by weight (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60, Subpart GG).
  - 2. Prior to initial start-up of the 1149-hp Solar Saturn Mark II natural gas fired turbine (Unit #15), WBI Cabin Creek shall install a nonselective catalytic reduction (NSCR) system on the 1109-hp Waukesha L7042GSIU (Unit #1) (ARM 17.8.749).
  - 3. WBI- Cabin Creek shall utilize lean burn engine technology and install an oxidation catalyst system on the 1775-hp Caterpillar G3606LE (Unit #17) (ARM 17.8.752).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Opacity shall be determined according to 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Method 9 Visual Determination of Opacity of Emissions from Stationary Sources.

4. Prior to initial start-up of the 1149-hp Solar Saturn Mark II natural gas fired turbine (Unit #15), WBI – Cabin Creek shall permanently modify the stack heights of each of the following units to the indicated minimum stack height requirements (ARM 17.8.749):

Unit #1 =	9.910 meters (m) above ground level
Units #9 and #10 =	14.94 m above ground level

- 5. WBI Cabin Creek shall not cause or authorize the use of any street, road, or parking lot without taking reasonable precautions to control emissions of airborne particulate matter (ARM 17.8.308).
- 6. WBI Cabin Creek shall treat all unpaved portions of the access roads, parking lots, and general plant area with water and/or chemical dust suppressant, as necessary, to maintain compliance with the reasonable precautions limitation in Section II.B.5 (ARM 17.8.749).
- 7. WBI Cabin Creek shall operate all equipment to provide the maximum air pollution control for which it was designed (ARM 17.8.752).
- 8. WBI Cabin Creek shall comply with all applicable standards, limitations, and the reporting, record keeping, and notification requirements contained in 40 CFR 60, Subpart GG, *Standards of Performance for Stationary Gas Turbines*, for any applicable turbine (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60).
- 9. WBI Cabin Creek shall comply with all applicable standards and limitations, and the reporting, recordkeeping, and notification requirements contained in 40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ, Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines and 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ, National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines, for any applicable engine (ARM 17.8.340; 40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ; ARM 17.8.342 and 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ).
- C. Testing Requirements:
  - 1. WBI Cabin Creek shall test the 1149-hp Solar Saturn Mark II gas fired turbine (Unit #15) for  $NO_x$  and CO, concurrently, and demonstrate compliance with the  $NO_x$  and CO emission limits contained in Section II.A.2. The compliance source testing shall be conducted within 180 days of initial start-up of the turbine. After the initial source test, additional testing shall be conducted on an every-5-year basis or according to another testing/monitoring schedule as may be approved by the Department (ARM 17.8.105 and 17.8.749).

The compliance source testing and monitoring for the 1149-hp Solar Saturn Mark II gas fired turbine shall be conducted as specified in 40 CFR 60.334 and 40 CFR 60.335 (40 CFR 60, Subpart GG, ARM 17.8.105, and ARM 17.8.340).

2. WBI – Cabin Creek shall test the 559-hp Waukesha 3521GL generator (Gen1) for NO<sub>x</sub> and CO, concurrently, and demonstrate compliance with the NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emission limits contained in Section II.A.1. The initial compliance source test was conducted on October 26, 1993 (ARM 17.8.105 and 17.8.749).

- 3. WBI Cabin Creek shall test Unit #9 and Unit #10 for NO<sub>x</sub> and demonstrate compliance with the NO<sub>x</sub> emission limits contained in Section II.A.3. The compliance source testing shall be conducted within 180 days of issuance of MAQP #2484-03. After the initial source test, additional testing shall be conducted on an every 5-year-basis or according to another testing/monitoring schedule as may be approved by the Department (ARM 17.8.105 and 17.8.749).
- 4. WBI Cabin Creek shall test the 1109-hp Waukesha L7042GSIU (Unit #1) for  $NO_x$  and CO, concurrently, and demonstrate compliance with the  $NO_x$  and CO emission limits contained in Section II.A.4. The compliance source testing shall be conducted within 180 days of initial start-up of the engine after installation of the NSCR system required in Section II.B.2. After the initial source test, additional source testing shall be conducted on an every-5-year basis or according to another testing/monitoring schedule as may be approved by the Department (ARM 17.8.105 and 17.8.749).
- 5. WBI Cabin Creek shall test the 1775-hp Caterpillar G3606LE (Unit #17) for NO<sub>x</sub> and CO, concurrently, and demonstrate compliance with the NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emission limits contained in Section II.A.5. The compliance source testing shall be conducted within 180 days of initial start-up of the engine after installation of the oxidation catalyst system required in Section II.B.3. After the initial source test, additional source testing shall be conducted on an every-5-year basis or according to another testing/monitoring schedule as may be approved by the Department (ARM 17.8.105 and 17.8.749).
- 6. All compliance source tests shall conform to the requirements of the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual (ARM 17.8.106).
- 7. All source tests shall be performed above 90% of the normal operating capacity of the source (ARM 17.8.749).
- 8. The Department may require further testing (ARM 17.8.105).
- D. Operational Reporting Requirement:
  - 1. WBI Cabin Creek shall supply the Department with annual production information for all emission points, as required by the Department in the annual emission inventory request. The request will include, but is not limited to, all sources of emissions identified in the emission inventory contained in the permit analysis.

Production information shall be gathered on a calendar-year basis and submitted to the Department by the date required in the emission inventory request. Information shall be in the units required by the Department. This information may be used to calculate operating fees, based on actual emissions from the facility, and/or to verify compliance with permit limitations (ARM 17.8.505).

2. WBI – Cabin Creek shall notify the Department of any construction or improvement project conducted pursuant to ARM 17.8.745(1), that would include *the addition of a new emission unit*, change in control equipment, stack height, stack diameter, stack flow, stack gas temperature, source location or fuel specifications, or would result in an increase in source capacity above its permitted operation.. The notice must be submitted to the Department, in writing, 10 days prior to start up or use of the proposed de minimis change, or as soon as reasonably practicable in the event of an unanticipated circumstance causing the de minimis change, and must include the information requested in ARM 17.8.745(1)(d) (ARM 17.8.745).

- 3. All records compiled in accordance with this permit must be maintained by WBI Cabin Creek as a permanent business record for at least 5 years following the date of the measurement, must be available at the plant site for inspection by the Department, and must be submitted to the Department upon request (ARM 17.8.749).
- 4. WBI Cabin Creek shall comply with all the applicable standards and limitations and the reporting, recordkeeping, and notification requirements of 40 CFR 60, Subpart GG (40 CFR 60, Subpart GG, ARM 17.8.340).
- 5. WBI Cabin Creek shall comply with all the applicable standards and limitations and the reporting, recordkeeping, and notification requirements of 40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ and 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ (40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ, 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ, ARM 17.8.340, and ARM 17.8.342).
- 6. For reporting purposes, the equipment should be identified using the Unit #s contained in Section I.A of the permit analysis (ARM 17.8.749).
- E. Notification
  - 1. Within 30 days before or after commencement of construction of the 1775 hp Caterpillar G3606LE (Unit #17), WBI – Cabin Creek shall notify the Department of the date of commencement of construction (ARM 17.8.749).
  - Within 15 days after the actual startup date of the 1775 hp Caterpillar G3606LE (Unit #17), WBI Cabin Creek shall notify the Department of the date of actual startup (ARM 17.8.749).
- III. General Conditions
  - A. Inspection WBI Cabin Creek shall allow the Department's representatives access to the source at all reasonable times for the purpose of making inspections or surveys, collecting samples, obtaining data, auditing any monitoring equipment such as continuous emission monitoring systems (CEMS) or continuous emission rate monitoring systems (CERMS), or observing any monitoring or testing, and otherwise conducting all necessary functions related to this permit.
  - B. Waiver The permit and the terms, conditions, and matters stated herein shall be deemed accepted if WBI Cabin Creek fails to appeal as indicated below.
  - C. Compliance with Statutes and Regulations Nothing in this permit shall be construed as relieving WBI Cabin Creek of the responsibility for complying with any applicable federal or Montana statute, rule, or standard, except as specifically provided in ARM 17.8.740, *et seq.* (ARM 17.8.756).
  - D. Enforcement Violations of limitations, conditions and requirements contained herein may constitute grounds for permit revocation, penalties or other enforcement action as specified in Section 75-2-401, *et seq.*, MCA.
  - E. Appeals Any person or persons jointly or severally adversely affected by the Department's decision may request, within 15 days after the Department renders its decision, upon affidavit setting forth the grounds therefor, a hearing before the Board of Environmental Review (Board). A hearing shall be held under the provisions of the Montana Administrative Procedures Act. The filing of a request for a hearing does not

stay the Department's decision, unless the Board issues a stay upon receipt of a petition and a finding that a stay is appropriate under Section 75-2-211(11)(b), MCA. The issuance of a stay on a permit by the Board postpones the effective date of the Department's decision until conclusion of the hearing and issuance of a final decision by the Board. If a stay is not issued by the Board, the Department's decision on the application is final 16 days after the Department's decision is made.

- F. Permit Inspection As required by ARM 17.8.755, Inspection of Permit, a copy the air quality permit shall be made available for inspection by the Department at the location of the source.
- G. Permit Fee Pursuant to Section 75-2-220, MCA, failure to pay the annual operation fee by WBI Cabin Creek may be grounds for revocation of this permit, as required by that section and rules adopted thereunder by the Board.
- H. Duration of Permit Construction or installation must begin or contractual obligations entered into that would constitute substantial loss within 3 years of permit issuance and proceed with due diligence until the project is complete or the permit shall expire (ARM 17.8.762).

# Montana Air Quality Permit (MAQP) Analysis Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company Cabin Creek Compressor Station MAQP #2484-05

#### I. Introduction/Process Description

#### A. Permitted Equipment

The following table includes a complete list of permitted equipment at the Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline – Cabin Creek Compressor Station (WBI – Cabin Creek).

Unit #	Year Installed	Make	Model	Capacity
1	1977	Waukesha	L7042GSU	1109 hp
9	1959	Ingersoll - Rand	48KVG	880 hp
10	1960	Ingersoll - Rand	48KVG	880 hp
11	1971	Solar	Saturn Ph. IV	1100 hp
12	1971	Solar	Saturn Ph. IV	1100 hp
13	1971	Solar	Saturn Ph. IV	1100 hp
14	1975	Solar	Saturn Ph. II	1200 hp
15	2003	Solar	Saturn Mark II	1149 hp
16	1975	Solar	Centaur	3800 hp
17	2011	Caterpillar	G3606LE	1775 hp
Gen1	1992	Waukesha	3521 GL	559 hp
Misc1 – Dehy Heater	1975	CE Natco	SOT-43702	15.25 MMBtu/hr
Misc2 – New Boiler	2011			1.5 MMBtu/hr
Misc3 – New Boiler	2011			1.5 MMBtu/hr
Misc4 – Boiler	1975	Eclipse	D-6	0.45 MMBtu/hr
Misc5 – Storage Tanks				
Misc6 – Heater	1985	Reliance	501	0.03 MMBtu/hr
Misc7 – Heater		Seigler	550 UN-24	0.07 MMBtu/hr
Misc8 – Heater		Janitrol	880707	0.07 MMBtu/hr
Fug – VOC Sources				
NOTES: hp	horsepower			

NOTES: hp

\_\_\_

MMBtu/hr million British thermal units per hour unknown or not applicable

#### Β. Source Description

The compressor station is used to compress natural gas to the required pressure for transportation within the natural gas transmission system. Compression of the gas is accomplished using the compressors described above.

A dry-bead dehydration unit is used to remove liquid water and/or water vapor from the produced natural gas stream to prevent the formation of hydrates in the transmission lines. Dehydration is also necessary in order to meet water dew point requirements of the gas sales contract.

### C. Permit History

On May 31, 1988, WBI - Cabin Creek was issued a permit for the operation of the Cabin Creek compressor station consisting of 16 natural gas compressor engines, located in the SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of the SE<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of Section 16, Township 10 North, Range 58 East, Fallon County, Montana. The application was given MAQP #2484.

On July 17, 1992, WBI - Cabin Creek was issued a permit to replace an existing 1961 Waukesha 1197G generator engine (248 hp) with a 1992 Waukesha 3521GL generator engine (544 hp) at their Cabin Creek facility. The old engine was removed. The application was given **MAQP #2484-01**.

The Department of Environmental Quality (Department) best available control technology (BACT) determination for MAQP #2484-01 was the use of a Waukesha, Model 3521GL Lean Combustion gas engine with emission factors of 2.0, 2.0, and 1.0 grams per brake horsepower hour (g/bhp-hr) for nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC), respectively.

WBI - Cabin Creek applied for a permit modification to increase the permitted operational horsepower and the CO emission rate for the 1992 Waukesha 3512GL generator engine (544 hp). The engine was originally permitted to operate at 1200 revolutions per minute (rpm) and the corresponding CO emission rate of 2.0 g/bhp-hr. The actual installed horsepower of the engine/generator set was site rated at 559 hp and limited to 900 rpm. This de-torquing of an engine generally increases the CO emissions; therefore, WBI - Cabin Creek could only achieve the manufacturer's guaranteed emissions under limited conditions. This emission rate was also due to increase as a result of site specific fuel analysis quality. WBI - Cabin Creek submitted a revised manufacturer's emission guarantee for CO of 3.3 g/bhp-hr based on the results of a site specific fuel analysis.

WBI - Cabin Creek also requested that the permitted emission limits be expressed in pounds per hour (lb/hr) rather than g/bhp-hr, which is consistent with the Department's revised guidelines. The revision to the guidelines for developing an emission limitation is due to varying parameters such as engine rpm, operating load (bhp), ambient air temperature, gas temperature, site elevation, fuel gas quality, air/fuel ratio (AFR), field gas conditions, etc. Rather than limit the engine to a g/bhp-hr limit, the hourly emission limit allowed for needed operational flexibility. MAQP #2484-02 replaced #2484-01.

On June 3, 2003, WBI – Cabin Creek was issued a permit for the installation and operation of an 1149-hp capacity natural gas fired turbine. WBI – Cabin Creek is a major stationary source of emissions as defined under the New Source Review Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) program; however, potential emissions from the proposed turbine did not exceed any PSD significant emission thresholds and the permit action did not trigger PSD review.

Further, WBI – Cabin Creek submitted a modeling analysis including annual  $NO_x$  ambient air impacts as well as 1- and 8-hour CO ambient impacts from the turbine. A summary of modeled impacts is contained in Section VI of the permit analysis for the permit action. Based on the ambient air modeling results initially submitted by WBI – Cabin Creek, and in accordance with the Department's "Monitoring Requirements" guidance document (October 9, 1998), the WBI – Cabin Creek facility, as initially proposed, would be required to conduct ambient monitoring because the modeled nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) concentration was above 95% of the ambient standard.

Subsequently, WBI – Cabin Creek submitted a letter to the Department requesting various permit changes to keep the source emission impacts below the applicable ambient standards for  $NO_x$  and to avoid the requirement for ambient  $NO_x$  monitoring. Specifically, under this permit action, WBI – Cabin Creek was required to install a non-selective catalytic reduction system (NSCR) on Unit #1, raise the stack heights on Unit #1 and Units #4 through #10, lower the allowable  $NO_x$  emission rates for Units #8 through #10, and limit the operating hours for Unit #4 to 3500 hours during any rolling 12-month time period. **MAQP #2484-03** includes conditional requirements for all previously cited equipment/operational modifications.

Furthermore, WBI – Cabin Creek requested that the Department modify the testing schedule for the 559 hp Waukesha 3521GL (Gen1). Previously, based on Department source testing guidance, WBI – Cabin Creek was required to test Gen1 on an every-4-year schedule. However, the Title V operating permit for WBI – Cabin Creek requires semiannual testing for this unit. Therefore, at the request of WBI – Cabin Creek, the testing requirements for Gen1 have been modified to incorporate language allowing for consistency between the MAQP and the Title V operating permit source testing schedules for this unit.

Finally, the Department updated all rule references to reflect recent rule revisions. MAQP #2484-03 replaced #2484-02.

On August 7, 2003, WBI – Cabin Creek submitted a letter of application for a modification to MAQP #2484-03. WBI requested that the stack heights for Units #1, #4, #5, #6, and #7 be lowered. Additionally, to ensure compliance with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) and the Montana Ambient Air Quality Standards (MAAQS), WBI requested hours of operation restrictions on Units #4, #5, #6, and #7.

An Air Dispersion Modeling Analysis was submitted along with the modification request by Aspen Consulting Engineering Inc. (Aspen). After reviewing the permit action request and modeling analysis, the Department determined the proposed modification could be accomplished according to Administrative Rule of Montana (ARM) 17.8.764(b) while adequately protecting the ambient standards.

In addition, according to ARM 17.8.764(c), the Department updated the emissions inventory based on emission factors, which more accurately reflect operation of the emitting units at WBI – Cabin Creek. The changes made to the emissions inventory do not affect substantive provisions of the permit. MAQP #2484-04 replaced #2484-03.

#### D. Current Permit Action

On February 18, 2011, Department received a complete MAQP modification application for WBI – Cabin Creek. WBI – Cabin Creek requested that five compressor engines (Units #4 through #8) be removed from the permit and be replaced with a one Caterpillar G3606LE compressor engine. The Caterpillar G3606LE is a four-stroke lean burn engine equipped with an oxidation catalyst and with a maximum rated design capacity of 1775 hp (maximum site rating of 1714 hp). Also included in this project is an upgrade in the facility heating system involving the removal of a 0.819 MMBtu/hr, a 0.770 MMBtu/hr, and a 1.18 MMBtu/hr natural gas boiler and replacing them with two new 1.5 MMBtu/hr natural gas boilers. The 1.18 MMBtu/hr boiler was incorrectly labeled in earlier permits as a 1.47 MMBtu/hr boiler. The table of permitted equipment in Section I.A. of the permit analysis has been updated with the equipment as listed in the current permit application and to reflect the unit number designations used by WBI – Cabin Creek. **MAQP #2484-05** replaces #2484-04.

E. Additional Information

Additional information, such as applicable rules and regulations, BACT/Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) determinations, air quality impacts, and environmental assessments, is included in the analysis associated with each change to the permit.

II. Applicable Rules and Regulations

The following are partial explanations of some applicable rules and regulations that apply to the facility. The complete rules are stated in the Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) and are available, upon request, from the Department. Upon request, the Department will provide references for location of complete copies of all applicable rules and regulations or copies where appropriate.

- A. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 1 General Provisions, including, but not limited to:
  - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.101 Definitions</u>. This rule includes a list of applicable definitions used in this chapter, unless indicated otherwise in a specific subchapter.
  - 2. <u>ARM 17.8.105 Testing Requirements</u>. Any person or persons responsible for the emission of any air contaminant into the outdoor atmosphere shall, upon written request of the Department, provide the facilities and necessary equipment (including instruments and sensing devices) and shall conduct tests, emission or ambient, for such periods of time as may be necessary using methods approved by the Department.
  - 3. <u>ARM 17.8.106 Source Testing Protocol</u>. The requirements of this rule apply to any emission source testing conducted by the Department, any source or other entity as required by any rule in this chapter, or any permit or order issued pursuant to this chapter, or the provisions of the Clean Air Act of Montana, 75-2-101, *et seq.*, Montana Code Annotated (MCA).

WBI - Cabin Creek shall comply with the requirements contained in the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual, including, but not limited, using the proper test methods and supplying the required reports. A copy of the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual is available from the Department upon request.

- 4. <u>ARM 17.8.110 Malfunctions</u>. (2) The Department must be notified promptly by telephone whenever a malfunction occurs that can be expected to create emissions in excess of any applicable emission limitation, or to continue for a period greater than 4 hours.
- 5. <u>ARM 17.8.111 Circumvention</u>. (1) No person shall cause, or permit the installation or use of, any device or any means that, without resulting in reduction of the total amount of air contaminant emitted, conceals or dilutes an emission of air contaminant that would otherwise violate an air pollution control regulation. (2) No equipment that may produce emissions shall be operated or maintained in such a manner as to create a public nuisance.

- B. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 2 Ambient Air Quality, including, but not limited to, the following:
  - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.210 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Sulfur Dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>)</u>
  - 2. ARM 17.8.211 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Nitrogen Dioxide
  - 3. ARM 17.8.212 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Carbon Monoxide
  - 4. ARM 17.8.213 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Ozone
  - 5. <u>ARM 17.8.220 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Settled Particulate Matter (PM)</u>
  - 6. ARM 17.8.221 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Visibility
  - 7. <u>ARM 17.8.223 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Particulate Matter with an</u> <u>Aerodynamic Diameter of 10 Microns or Less (PM<sub>10</sub>)</u>

WBI - Cabin Creek must maintain compliance with the applicable ambient air quality standards.

- C. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 3 Emission Standards, including, but not limited to:
  - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.304 Visible Air Contaminants</u>. This rule requires that no person may cause or authorize emissions to be discharged into the outdoor atmosphere from any source installed after November 23, 1968, that exhibit an opacity of 20% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes.
  - <u>ARM 17.8.308 Particulate Matter, Airborne</u>. (1) This rule requires an opacity limitation of 20% for all fugitive emission sources, and that reasonable precautions be taken to control emissions of airborne particulate matter. (2) Under this rule, WBI Cabin Creek shall not cause or authorize the use of any street, road, or parking lot without taking reasonable precautions to control emissions of airborne particulate matter.
  - 3. <u>ARM 17.8.309 Particulate Matter, Fuel Burning Equipment</u>. This rule requires that no person shall cause, allow, or permit to be discharged into the atmosphere particulate matter caused by the combustion of fuel in excess of the amount determined by this rule.
  - 4. <u>ARM 17.8.310 Particulate Matter, Industrial Process</u>. This rule requires that no person shall cause, allow, or permit to be discharged into the atmosphere particulate matter in excess of the amount set forth in this rule.
  - <u>ARM 17.8.340 Standard of Performance for New Stationary Sources and Emission</u> <u>Guidelines for Existing Sources</u>. This rule incorporates, by reference, 40 CFR Part 60, Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources (NSPS). WBI – Cabin Creek is an NSPS affected facility under 40 CFR Part 60 and is subject to the requirements of the following subparts:
    - a. <u>40 CFR 60, Subpart A General Provisions</u> apply to all equipment or facilities subject to an NSPS Subpart as listed below:
    - b. <u>40 CFR 60, Subpart GG Standards of Performance for Stationary Gas</u> <u>Turbines</u>. This subpart applies to any stationary gas turbine with a heat input at peak load equal to or greater than 10 MMBtu/hr which commenced construction, modification, or reconstruction after October 3, 1977. The 1149-hp Solar Saturn Mark II natural gas fired turbine (Unit #15) is an affected source under this subpart.

- c. <u>40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark</u> <u>Ignition Internal Combustion Engines</u>. This subpart applies to stationary spark ignition internal combustion engines that are constructed after June 12, 2006. The 1775-hp Caterpillar G3606LE engine (Unit #17) is an affected source under this subpart.
- <u>ARM 17.8.342 Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source</u> <u>Categories</u>. This rule incorporates, by reference, 40 CFR Part 63, National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) for Source Categories. WBI – Cabin Creek is considered an NESHAP-affected facility under 40 CFR Part 63 and is subject to the requirements of the following subparts:
  - a. <u>40 CFR 63, Subpart A General Provisions</u> apply to all equipment or facilities subject to a NESHAPs Subpart as listed below:
  - b. <u>40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) for Stationary Reciprocating Internal Combustion Engines (RICE)</u>. An owner or operator of a stationary reciprocating internal combustion engine (RICE) at a major or area source of HAP emissions is subject to this rule except if the stationary RICE is being tested at a stationary RICE test cell/stand. An area source of HAP emissions is a source that is not a major source. Units #1, #9, #10, and Gen1 are considered existing sources as defined by this subpart; therefore, they must comply with these standards by October 19, 2013. The 1775-hp Caterpillar G3606LE engine (Unit #17) is considered a new source and is required to meet the standards of this subpart by meeting the applicable standards in 40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ.
  - c. <u>40 CFR 63, Subpart HH National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air</u> <u>Pollutants from Oil and Natural Gas Production Facilities</u>. Owners or operators of oil and natural gas production facilities, as defined and applied in 40 CFR Part 63, shall comply with the standards and provisions of 40 CFR 63, Subpart HH. For area sources of HAP emissions, the affected source includes each triethylene glycol (TEG) dehydration unit located at the facility and all area sources with TEG units need to meet specific requirements of 40 CFR 63, Subpart HH. The Department determined that 40 CFR 63, Subpart HH does not apply to the WBI – Cabin Creek facility at this time because there are no TEG units.
  - d. <u>40 CFR 63, Subpart HHH National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air</u> <u>Pollutants from Natural Gas Transmission and Storage Facilities</u>. Owners or operators of natural gas transmission or storage facilities, as defined and applied in 40 CFR Part 63, shall comply with the standards and provisions of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HHH. The Department determined that 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart HHH, does not apply to the WBI – Cabin Creek facility because it is not a major source of HAPs.
- D. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 5 Air Quality Permit Application, Operation and Open Burning Fees, including, but not limited to:
  - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.504 Air Quality Permit Application Fees</u>. This rule requires that an applicant submit an air quality permit application fee concurrent with the submittal of an air quality permit application. A permit application is incomplete until the proper application fee is paid to the Department. WBI Cabin Creek submitted the appropriate permit application fee for the current permit action.

2. <u>ARM 17.8.505 Air Quality Operation Fees</u>. An annual air quality operation fee must, as a condition of continued operation, be submitted to the Department by each source of air contaminants holding an air quality permit, excluding an open burning permit, issued by the Department. The air quality operation fee is based on the actual or estimated actual amount of air pollutants emitted during the previous calendar year.

An air quality operation fee is separate and distinct from an air quality permit application fee. The annual assessment and collection of the air quality operation fee, described above, shall take place on a calendar-year basis. The Department may insert into any final permit issued after the effective date of these rules, such conditions as may be necessary to require the payment of an air quality operation fee on a calendaryear basis, including provisions that prorate the required fee amount.

- E. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 7 Permit, Construction and Operation of Air Contaminant Sources, including, but not limited to:
  - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.740 Definitions</u>. This rule is a list of applicable definitions used in this chapter, unless indicated otherwise in a specific subchapter.
  - 2. <u>ARM 17.8.743 Montana Air Quality Permits--When Required</u>. This rule requires a facility to obtain an air quality permit or permit modification if they construct, alter or use any air contaminant sources that have the potential to emit greater than 25 tons per year of any pollutant. WBI Cabin Creek has the Potential to Emit (PTE) more than 25 tons per year of NO<sub>x</sub>, VOC, and CO; therefore, an air quality permit is required.
  - 3. <u>ARM 17.8.744 Montana Air Quality Permits--General Exclusions</u>. This rule identifies the activities that are not subject to the Montana Air Quality Permit program.
  - 4. <u>ARM 17.8.745 Montana Air Quality Permits—Exclusion for De Minimis Changes</u>. This rule identifies the de minimis changes at permitted facilities that are not subject to the Montana Air Quality Permit Program.
  - 5. <u>ARM 17.8.748 New or Modified Emitting Units--Permit Application Requirements</u>. (1) This rule requires that a permit application be submitted prior to installation, alteration, or use of a source. WBI Cabin Creek submitted the required permit application for the current permit action. (7) This rule requires that the applicant notify the public by means of legal publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the area affected by the application for a permit. WBI Cabin Creek submitted an affidavit of publication of public notice for the February 4, 2011 issue of the *Fallon County Times*, a newspaper of general circulation in the Town of Baker in Fallon County, as proof of compliance with the public notice requirements.
  - 6. <u>ARM 17.8.749 Conditions for Issuance or Denial of Permit</u>. This rule requires that the permits issued by the Department must authorize the construction and operation of the facility or emitting unit subject to the conditions in the permit and the requirements of this subchapter. This rule also requires that the permit must contain any conditions necessary to assure compliance with the Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA), the Clean Air Act of Montana, and rules adopted under those acts.
  - 7. <u>ARM 17.8.752 Emission Control Requirements</u>. This rule requires a source to install the maximum air pollution control capability that is technically practicable and economically feasible, except that BACT shall be utilized. The required BACT analysis is included in Section III of this permit analysis.

- 8. <u>ARM 17.8.755 Inspection of Permit</u>. This rule requires that air quality permits shall be made available for inspection by the Department at the location of the source.
- 9. <u>ARM 17.8.756 Compliance with Other Requirements</u>. This rule states that nothing in the permit shall be construed as relieving WBI Cabin Creek of the responsibility for complying with any applicable federal or Montana statute, rule, or standard, except as specifically provided in ARM 17.8.740, *et seq*.
- 10. <u>ARM 17.8.759 Review of Permit Applications</u>. This rule describes the Department's responsibilities for processing permit applications and making permit decisions on those permit applications that do not require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
- 11. <u>ARM 17.8.762 Duration of Permit</u>. An air quality permit shall be valid until revoked or modified, as provided in this subchapter, except that a permit issued prior to construction of a new or altered source may contain a condition providing that the permit will expire unless construction is commenced within the time specified in the permit, which in no event may be less than 1 year after the permit is issued.
- 12. <u>ARM 17.8.763 Revocation of Permit</u>. An air quality permit may be revoked upon written request of the permittee, or for violations of any requirement of the Clean Air Act of Montana, rules adopted under the Clean Air Act of Montana, the FCAA, rules adopted under the FCAA, or any applicable requirement contained in the Montana State Implementation Plan (SIP).
- 13. <u>ARM 17.8.764 Administrative Amendment to Permit</u>. An air quality permit may be amended for changes in any applicable rules and standards adopted by the Board of Environmental Review (Board) or changed conditions of operation at a source or stack that do not result in an increase of emissions as a result of those changed conditions. The owner or operator of a facility may not increase the facility's emissions beyond permit limits unless the increase meets the criteria in ARM 17.8.745 for a de minimis change not requiring a permit, or unless the owner or operator applies for and receives another permit in accordance with ARM 17.8.748, ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.755, and ARM 17.8.756, and with all applicable requirements in ARM Title 17, Chapter 8, subchapters 8, 9, and 10.
- 14. <u>ARM 17.8.765 Transfer of Permit</u>. This rule states that an air quality permit may be transferred from one person to another if written notice of Intent to Transfer, including the names of the transferor and the transferee, is sent to the Department.
- F. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 8 Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality, including, but not limited to:
  - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.801 Definitions</u>. This rule is a list of applicable definitions used in this subchapter.
  - 2. <u>ARM 17.8.818 Review of Major Stationary Sources and Major Modifications--</u> <u>Source Applicability and Exemptions</u>. The requirements contained in ARM 17.8.819 through ARM 17.8.827 shall apply to any major stationary source and any major modification, with respect to each pollutant subject to regulation under the FCAA that it would emit, except as this subchapter would otherwise allow.

This facility is not a listed source, but emissions are greater than or equal to 250 tons per year; therefore, the facility is major. This modification will not cause a net emission increase greater than significance levels and therefore does not require a New Source Review Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) analysis. The total potential emissions from the new emitting units, as well as emissions from some small facility heaters that were not included in earlier versions of the MAQP, are summarized in the following table to demonstrate that their combined emissions would not exceed significant emission levels.

			to	ons per yea	ır		
Source – Source Number	PM	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC	CO	SOx
1775 hp Caterpillar – #17	0.53	0.53	0.53	11.97	5.13	2.99	0.03
New Boiler – Misc2	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.63	0.03	0.53	0.00
New Boiler – Misc3	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.63	0.03	0.53	0.00
Reliance Heater – Misc6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00
Seigler Heater – Misc7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00
Janitrol Heater – Misc8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00
<b>Total Potential Emissions</b>	0.63	0.63	0.63	13.3	5.19	4.12	0.03
PSD Significance Level	25	15	10	40	40	100	40

NOTE:

PM<sub>2.5</sub> PM with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5 microns or less

SOx sulfur oxides

The current permitting action also includes the removal of several emitting units from the facility. These units are five natural gas-fired compressor engines (one 190-hp, three 300-hp, and one 600-hp) and three natural gas-fired boilers (one 0.819 MMBtu/hr, one 0.770 MMBtu/hr, and one 1.18 MMBtu/hr). The 1.18 MMBtu/hr boiler was incorrectly labeled in earlier permits as a 1.47 MMBtu/hr boiler.

- G. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 12 Operating Permit Program Applicability, including, but not limited to:
  - 1. <u>ARM 17.8.1201 Definitions</u>. (23) Major Source under Section 7412 of the FCAA is defined as any source having:
    - a. PTE > 100 tons per year of any pollutant;
    - b. PTE > 10 tons per year of any one Hazardous Air Pollutant (HAP), PTE > 25 tons per year of a combination of all HAPs, or lesser quantity as the Department may establish by rule; or
    - c. PTE > 70 tons per year of  $PM_{10}$  in a serious  $PM_{10}$  nonattainment area.
  - <u>ARM 17.8.1204 Air Quality Operating Permit Program</u>. (1) Title V of the FCAA amendments of 1990 requires that all sources, as defined in ARM 17.8.1204(1), obtain a Title V Operating Permit. In reviewing and issuing MAQP #2484-05 for WBI Cabin Creek, the following conclusions were made.
    - a. The facility's PTE is greater than 100 tons per year for  $NO_x$ , CO, and VOC.
    - b. The facility's PTE is less than 10 tons per year for any one HAP and less than 25 tons per year for all HAPs.

- c. This source is not located in a serious  $PM_{10}$  nonattainment area.
- d. This facility is subject to current NSPS: 40 CFR 60, <u>Subpart A General</u> <u>Provisions</u>, 40 CFR 60, Subpart GG – <u>Standards of Performance for Stationary</u> <u>Gas Turbines</u>, and 40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ – <u>Standards of Performance for</u> <u>Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines</u>, as applicable to the facility.
- e. This facility is subject to current NESHAP: 40 CFR 63, Subpart A <u>General</u> <u>Provisions</u> and 40 CFR 63, Subpart ZZZZ – <u>National Emissions Standards for</u> <u>HAPs for Stationary RICE</u>, as applicable to the facility.
- f. This source is not a Title IV affected source, nor a solid waste combustion unit.
- g. This source is not an EPA designated Title V source.

Based on these facts, the Department determined that WBI – Cabin Creek is subject to the Title V operating permit program. The most recent version of WBI – Cabin Creek's Title V Operating Permit #OP2484-02 was issued final and effective on March 20, 2010.

# III. BACT Determination

A BACT determination is required for each new or modified source. WBI – Cabin Creek shall install on the new or altered source the maximum air pollution control capability, which is technically practicable and economically feasible, except that BACT shall be utilized.

A BACT analysis was submitted by WBI – Cabin Creek in MAQP application #2484-05, addressing some available methods of controlling NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emissions from the 1775 hp Caterpillar G3606LE engine. The Department reviewed these methods, as well as previous BACT determinations. The following control options have been reviewed by the Department in order to make the following BACT determination.

For control of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, the following technologies were considered and ranked by effectiveness:

- 1. Lean burn engine with oxidation catalyst, or a rich burn engine with non-selective catalytic reduction (NSCR) and air to fuel ratio (AFR) control.
- 2. Selective catalytic reduction with AFR control.
- 3. AFR control only.
- 4. No control.

For control of CO emissions, the following technologies were considered and ranked by effectiveness:

- 1. Lean burn engine with oxidation catalyst.
- 2. Rich burn engine with NSCR and AFR control.
- 3. Lean burn engine without oxidation catalyst.
- 4. AFR only.
- 5. No control.

WBI - Cabin Creek proposes to install a lean burn engine with oxidation catalyst. This is the highest level of pollution control for both NO<sub>x</sub> and CO; therefore, no further analysis is necessary and it is considered BACT. Emission factors for this engine based on manufacturer and catalyst vendor data are:

 $NO_x = 0.70 \text{ g/bhp-hr}$  CO = 0.18 g/bhp-hrVOC = 0.30 g/bhp-hr

The control options selected have controls and control costs comparable to other recently permitted similar sources and are capable of achieving the appropriate emissions standards.

# IV. Emission Inventory

			to	ons per yea	ır		
Source – Source Number	PM	<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC	CO	SOx
1109 hp Waukesha – #1	0.69	0.69	0.69	21.37	0.80	106.89	0.02
880 hp Ingersoll Rand – #9	0.60	0.60	0.60	101.97	.636	135.67	0.02
880 hp Ingersoll Rand – #10	0.60	0.60	0.60	101.97	.636	135.67	0.02
1100 hp Solar – #11	0.40	0.40	0.40	17.28	.795	25.86	0.02
1100 hp Solar – #12	0.40	0.40	0.40	17.28	.795	25.86	0.02
1100 hp Solar – #13	0.40	0.40	0.40	17.28	.795	25.86	0.02
1200 hp Solar – #14	0.44	0.44	0.44	17.23	0.19	25.79	0.02
1149 hp Solar - #15	0.34	0.34	0.34	22.19	11.09	33.28	0.39
3800 hp Solar – #16	0.84	0.84	0.84	67.45	0.33	33.73	0.07
1775 hp Caterpillar – #17	0.53	0.53	0.53	11.97	5.13	2.99	0.03
559 Hp Waukesha – Gen1	0.19	0.19	0.19	10.77	5.39	17.78	0.01
Dehydrator Heater – Misc1	0.48	0.48	0.48	9.00	0.37	2.25	0.04
New Boiler – Misc2	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.63	0.03	0.53	0.00
New Boiler – Misc3	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.63	0.03	0.53	0.00
Eclipse Boiler – Misc4	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.19	0.01	0.04	0.00
Storage Tanks – Misc5					0.00		
Reliance Heater – Misc6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00
Seigler Heater – Misc7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00
Janitrol Heater – Misc8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.00
Fugitive VOC Sources – Fug					1.48		
Total Potential Emissions	6.01	6.01	6.01	417.28	28.52	572.78	0.69

NOTE:

For natural gas combustion, all PM is assumed to be less than one (1) micron in diameter (AP-42, Table 1.4-2 footnote *c*, Section 3.1.3.3, Table 3.2.2 footnote *i*, and Table 3.2.3 footnote *j*). Therefore, total PM = total PM<sub>10</sub> = total PM<sub>2.5</sub>. PM emissions have been recalculated below for existing natural gas combusting units prior to MAQP #2484-05 to reflect filterable and condensable fractions. The PM, PM<sub>10</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> values in the Emission Inventory table reflect the sum of the filterable and condensable fractions.

1109 hp Waukesha, #1

Fuel Consumption Factor = 7300 Btu/hp-hr (Applicant Information)

Consumption Conversion = (7300 Btu/hp-hr) \* (1109 hp) \* (1 MMBtu/1E6 Btu) = 8.10 MMBtu/hrHours of Operation = 8,760 hours Filterable PM/PM<sub>10</sub>/PM<sub>2.5</sub> Emissions:

```
Emission Factor = 0.0095 lb/MMBtu (All PM < 1 mm, AP-42, Sec. 3.2, Table 3.2-3, 7/00)
Calculation: (8,760 hours) * (8.10 MMBtu/hr) * (0.0095 lb/MMBtu) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.34 ton/yr
```

Condensable PM Emissions:

```
Emission Factor = 0.00991 lb/MMBtu (AP-42, Sec. 3.2, Table 3.2-3, 7/00)
Calculation: (8,760 hours) * (8.10 MMBtu/hr) * (0.00991 lb/MMBtu) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.35 ton/yr
```

NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions:

Emission factor: Calculations:	2.00 gram/bhp-hr 2.00 gram/bhp-hr * 1	(Information from company) 1109 bhp * 0.00220 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 21.37 ton/yr
VOC Emissions:		
Emission factor: Calculations:	0.075 gram/bhp-hr 0.075 gram/bhp-hr *	(Information from company) 1109 bhp* 0.0022 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000= 0.80 ton/yr
CO Emissions:		
Emission factor: Calculations: • Prior to MAQP #24 – Cabin Creek repo	10.00 gram/bhp-hr 10.00 gram/bhp-hr * 484-03 the CO emission rate orted a CO emission rate of 1	(Permit Application #OP2484-00) 1109 bhp* 0.0022 lb/gram* 8760 hr/yr /2000 =106.89 ton/yr e in the permit emission inventory was incorrectly referenced as 1.0 g/hp-hr. WBI 10.0 g/hp-hr in the respective permit applications.
SO <sub>x</sub> Emissions:		
Emission factor:	0.002 gram/hp-hr	(AP-42, Table 3.2-1, 9/85)

0.002 gram/hp-hr \* 1109 bhp \* 0.0022 lb/gram \* 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 0.02 ton/yr

880 hp Ingersoll Rand, #9

Calculations:

Fuel Consumption Factor = 8000 Btu/hp-hr (Applicant Information) Consumption Conversion = (8000 Btu/hp-hr) \* (880 hp) \* (1 MMBtu/1E6 Btu) = 7.04 MMBtu/hr Hours of Operation = 8,760 hours

Filterable PM/PM<sub>10</sub>/PM<sub>2.5</sub> Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.0095 lb/MMBtu (All PM < 1 mm, AP-42, Sec. 3.2, Table 3.2-3, 7/00) Calculation: (8,760 hours) \* (7.04 MMBtu/hr) \* (0.0095 lb/MMBtu) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.29 ton/yr

Condensable PM Emissions:

```
Emission Factor = 0.00991 lb/MMBtu (AP-42, Sec. 3.2, Table 3.2-3, 7/00)
Calculation: (8,760 hours) * (7.04 MMBtu/hr) * (0.00991 lb/MMBtu) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.31 ton/yr
```

NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions:

Emission factor: Calculations:	23.28 lb/hr (Permit Limit) 23.28 lb/hr * 8760 hr/yr / 2000 = 101.97 ton/yr
VOC Emissions:	
Emission factor: Calculations:	.075 gram/bhp-hr (Information from company) .075 gram/bhp-hr* 880 bhp* 0.0022 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000= .636 ton/yr
CO Emissions:	
Emission factor: Calculations:	16.00 gram/bhp-hr       (Information from company)         16.00 gram/bhp-hr * 880 bhp* 0.0022 lb/gram* 8760 hr/yr /2000= 135.67 ton/yr
SO <sub>x</sub> Emissions:	
Emission factor: Calculations:	0.002 gram/hp-hr (AP-42, Table 3.2-1, 9/85) 0.002 gram/hp-hr * 880 bhp * 0.0022 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 0.02 ton/yr
880 hp Ingersoll Rand, #1	0
Fuel Consumption Fa Consumption Conver Hours of Operation =	ctor = 8000 Btu/hp-hr (Applicant Information) sion = (8000 Btu/hp-hr) * (880 hp) * (1 MMBtu/1E6 Btu) = 7.04 MMBtu/hr 8,760 hours
Filterable PM/PM <sub>10</sub> /F	PM <sub>2.5</sub> Emissions:
Emission Factor = 0 Calculation: (8,760	0.0095 lb/MMBtu (All PM < 1 mm, AP-42, Sec. 3.2, Table 3.2-3, 7/00) 0 hours) * (7.04 MMBtu/hr) * (0.0095 lb/MMBtu) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.29 ton/yr
Condensable PM Em	issions:
Emission Factor = ( Calculation: (8,760	0.00991 lb/MMBtu (AP-42, Sec. 3.2, Table 3.2-3, 7/00) ) hours) * (7.04 MMBtu/hr) * (0.00991 lb/MMBtu) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.31 ton/yr
NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions:	
Emission factor: Calculations:	23.28 lb/hr (Permit Limit) 23.28 lb/hr * 8760 hr/yr / 2000 = 101.67 ton/yr
VOC Emissions:	
Emission factor: Calculations:	.075 gram/bhp-hr (Information from company) .075 gram/bhp-hr* 880 bhp* 0.0022 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000= .636ton/yr
CO Emissions:	
Emission factor: Calculations:	16.00 gram/bhp-hr       (Information from company)         16.00 gram/bhp-hr       * 880 bhp* 0.0022 lb/gram* 8760 hr/yr /2000= 135.96 ton/yr

SO<sub>x</sub> Emissions:

Emission factor:	0.002 gram/hp-hr	(AP-42, Table 3.2-1, 9/85)
Calculations:	0.002 gram/hp-hr * 8	380 bhp * 0.0022 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 0.02 ton/yr

1100 hp Solar, #11

```
Fuel Consumption Factor = 12500 Btu/hp-hr (Applicant Information)
Consumption Conversion = (12500 Btu/hp-hr) * (1100 hp) * (1 MMBtu/1E6 Btu) = 13.75 MMBtu/hr
Hours of Operation = 8,760 hours
```

Filterable PM/PM<sub>10</sub>/PM<sub>2.5</sub> Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.0019 lb/MMBtu (All PM < 1 mm, AP-42, Sec. 3.1, Table 3.1-1, 4/00) Calculation: (8,760 hours) \* (13.75 MMBtu/hr) \* (0.0019 lb/MMBtu) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.11 ton/yr

Condensable PM Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.0047 lb/MMBtu (AP-42, Sec. 3.1, Table 3.1-1, 4/00) Calculation: (8,760 hours) \* (13.75 MMBtu/hr) \* (0.0047 lb/MMBtu) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.28 ton/yr

NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions:

Emission factor:	1.63 gram/bhp-hr	(Information from company)
Calculations:	1.63 gram/bhp-hr *	1100 bhp * 0.00220 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 17.28 ton/y

VOC Emissions:

Emission factor:	0.075 gram/bhp-hr	(Information from compar	ny)
Calculations:	0.075 gram/bhp-hr* 1	100 bhp* 0.0022 lb/gram *	8760  hr/yr / 2000 = .795  ton/yr

CO Emissions:

Emission factor:	2.44 gram/bhp-hr	(Information from company)
Calculations:	2.44 gram/bhp-hr * 1	100 bhp* 0.0022 lb/gram* 8760 hr/yr /2000= 25.86 ton/yr

SO<sub>x</sub> Emissions:

```
Emission factor:0.002 gram/hp-hr(AP-42, Table 3.2-1,9/85)Calculations:0.002 gram/hp-hr * 1100 bhp * 0.0022 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 0.02 ton/yr
```

1100 hp Solar, #12

Fuel Consumption Factor = 12500 Btu/hp-hr (Applicant Information) Consumption Conversion = (12500 Btu/hp-hr) \* (1100 hp) \* (1 MMBtu/1E6 Btu) = 13.75 MMBtu/hr Hours of Operation = 8,760 hours

Filterable PM/PM<sub>10</sub>/PM<sub>2.5</sub> Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.0019 lb/MMBtu (All PM < 1 mm, AP-42, Sec. 3.1, Table 3.1-1, 4/00) Calculation: (8,760 hours) \* (13.75 MMBtu/hr) \* (0.0019 lb/MMBtu) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.11 ton/yr

### Condensable PM Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.0047 lb/MMBtu (AP-42, Sec. 3.1, Table 3.1-1, 4/00)
Calculation: $(8,760 \text{ hours}) * (13.75 \text{ MMBtu/hr}) * (0.0047 \text{ lb/MMBtu}) * (ton/2000 \text{ lb}) = 0.28 \text{ ton/yr}$

NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions:

Emission factor: Calculations:	1.63 gram/bhp-hr(Information from company)1.63 gram/bhp-hr* 1100 bhp * 0.00220 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 17.28 ton/yr	
VOC Emissions:		
Emission factor: Calculations:	.018 gram/bhp-hr (Information from company) .018 gram/bhp-hr* 1100 bhp* 0.0022 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 0.19 ton/yr	
CO Emissions:		
Emission factor: Calculations:	2.44 gram/bhp-hr(Information from company)2.44 gram/bhp-hr * 1100 bhp* 0.0022 lb/gram* 8760 hr/yr /2000= 25.86 ton/yr	
SO <sub>x</sub> Emissions:		
Emission factor: Calculations:	0.002 gram/hp-hr (AP-42, Table 3.2-1, 9/85) 0.002 gram/hp-hr * 1100 bhp * 0.0022 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 0.02 ton/yr	
1100 hp Solar, #13		
Fuel Consumption Factor = 12500 Btu/hp-hr (Applicant Information) Consumption Conversion = (12500 Btu/hp-hr) * (1100 hp) * (1 MMBtu/1E6 Btu) = 13.75 MMBtu/hr Hours of Operation = 8,760 hours		
Filterable PM/PM <sub>10</sub> /PM <sub>2.5</sub> Emissions:		
Emission Factor = 0.0019 lb/MMBtu (All PM < 1 mm, AP-42, Sec. 3.1, Table 3.1-1, 4/00) Calculation: (8,760 hours) * (13.75 MMBtu/hr) * (0.0019 lb/MMBtu) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.11 ton/yr		
Condensable PM Emissions:		
Emission Factor = Calculation: (8,76	0.0047 lb/MMBtu (AP-42, Sec. 3.1, Table 3.1-1, 4/00) 0 hours) * (13.75 MMBtu/hr) * (0.0047 lb/MMBtu) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.28 ton/yr	
NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions:		
Emission factor: Calculations:	1.63 gram/bhp-hr(Information from company)1.63 gram/bhp-hr* 1100 bhp * 0.00220 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 17.28 ton/yr	
VOC Emissions:		
Emission factor: Calculations:	.018 gram/bhp-hr (Information from company) .018 gram/bhp-hr* 1100 bhp* 0.0022 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 0.19 ton/yr	

CO Emissions:

Emission factor: Calculations:	2.44 gram/bhp-hr(Information from company)2.44 gram/bhp-hr * 1100 bhp* 0.0022 lb/gram* 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 25.86ton/yr
SO <sub>x</sub> Emissions:	
Emission factor: Calculations:	0.002 gram/hp-hr (AP-42, Table 3.2-1. 9/85) 0.002 gram/hp-hr * 1100 bhp * 0.0022 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 0.02 ton/yr
1200 hp Solar, #14	
Fuel Consumption Factor Consumption Conversion Hours of Operation = 8,	or = 12550 Btu/hp-hr (Applicant Information) on = (12550 Btu/hp-hr) * (1200 hp) * (1 MMBtu/1E6 Btu) = 15.06 MMBtu/hr 760 hours
Filterable PM/PM <sub>10</sub> /PM	<sub>2.5</sub> Emissions:
Emission Factor = 0.0 Calculation: (8,760 h	0019 lb/MMBtu (All PM < 1 mm, AP-42, Sec. 3.1, Table 3.1-1, 4/00) ours) * (15.06 MMBtu/hr) * (0.0019 lb/MMBtu) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.13 ton/yr
Condensable PM Emiss	ions:
Emission Factor = 0.0 Calculation: (8,760 h	0047 lb/MMBtu (AP-42, Sec. 3.1, Table 3.1-1, 4/00) ours) * (15.06 MMBtu/hr) * (0.0047 lb/MMBtu) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.31 ton/yr
NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions:	
Emission factor: Calculations:	1.49 gram/bhp-hr(Information from company)1.49 gram/bhp-hr* 1200 bhp * 0.00220 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 17.23 ton/yr
VOC Emissions:	
Emission factor: Calculations:	0.016 gram/bhp-hr(Information from company)0.016 gram/bhp-hr*1200 bhp*0.0022 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000= 0.19 ton/yr
CO Emissions:	
Emission factor: Calculations:	2.23 gram/bhp-hr(Information from company)2.23 gram/bhp-hr * 1200 bhp* 0.0022 lb/gram* 8760 hr/yr /2000= 25.79 ton/yr
SO <sub>x</sub> Emissions:	
Emission factor: Calculations:	0.002 gram/hp-hr (AP-42, Table 3.2-1, 9/85) 0.002 gram/hp-hr * 1200 bhp * 0.0022 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 0.02 ton/yr
1149 hp Solar, #15	
Fuel Consumption Factor Consumption Conversion Hours of Operation = 8,	or = 10183 Btu/hp-hr (Applicant Information) on = (10183 Btu/hp-hr) * (1149 hp) * (1 MMBtu/1E6 Btu) = 11.70 MMBtu/hr 760 hours

Filterable PM/PM<sub>10</sub>/PM<sub>2.5</sub> Emissions:

Emission Factor = Calculation: (8,76	Emission Factor = 0.0019 lb/MMBtu (All PM < 1 mm, AP-42, Sec. 3.1, Table 3.1-1, 4/00) Calculation: (8,760 hours) * (11.70 MMBtu/hr) * (0.0019 lb/MMBtu) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.10 ton/yr		
Condensable PM En	Condensable PM Emissions:		
Emission Factor = Calculation: (8,76	0.0047 lb/MMBtu (AP-42, Sec. 3.1, Table 3.1-1, 4/00) 0 hours) * (11.70 MMBtu/hr) * (0.0047 lb/MMBtu) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.24 ton/yr		
NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions			
Emission Factor: Calculations:	2.0 g/bhp (Department BACT Determination – Turbines with Capacity > 360 Hp) 2.0 g/bhp * 1149 Hp * 1 lb/453.6 g * 8760 hr/yr * 0.0005 ton/lb = 22.19 ton/yr		
VOC Emissions			
Emission Factor: Calculations:	1.0 g/bhp (Department BACT Determination – Turbines with Capacity > 360 Hp) 1.0 g/bhp * 1149 Hp * 1 lb/453.6 g * 8760 hr/yr * 0.0005 ton/lb = 11.09 ton/yr		
CO Emissions			
Emission Factor: Calculations:	3.0 g/bhp (Department BACT Determination – Turbines with Capacity > 360 Hp) 3.0 g/bhp * 1149 Hp * 1 lb/453.6 g * 8760 hr/yr * 0.0005 ton/lb = 33.28 ton/yr		
SO <sub>x</sub> Emissions			
Sulfur Content: Emission Factor:	0.80% = S (40 CFR 60.333 Limit) 0.94S (AP-42, Table 3.1-2a, 04/00) 0.94 * 0.008 = 0.0075 lb/MMPtu		
Calculations:	0.0075  lb/MMBtu * 11.7  MMBtu/hr * 8760  hr/yr * 0.0005  ton/lb = 0.39  ton/yr		
3800 hp Solar, #16			
Fuel Consumption Factor = 7610 Btu/hp-hr (Applicant Information) Consumption Conversion = (7610 Btu/hp-hr) * (3800 hp) * (1 MMBtu/1E6 Btu) = 28.92 MMBtu/hr Hours of Operation = 8,760 hours			
Filterable PM/PM <sub>10</sub> /	Filterable PM/PM <sub>10</sub> /PM <sub>2.5</sub> Emissions:		
Emission Factor = Calculation: (8,76	Emission Factor = 0.0019 lb/MMBtu (All PM < 1 mm, AP-42, Sec. 3.1, Table 3.1-1, 4/00) Calculation: (8.760 hours) * (28.92 MMBtu/hr) * (0.0019 lb/MMBtu) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.24 ton/vr		

Condensable PM Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.0047 lb/MMBtu (AP-42, Sec. 3.1, Table 3.1-1, 4/00) Calculation: (8,760 hours) \* (28.92 MMBtu/hr) \* (0.0047 lb/MMBtu) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.60 ton/yr

NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions:

Emission factor:2.0 gram/bhp-hr(Information from company)Calculations:2.0 gram/bhp-hr\* 3500 bhp \* 0.00220 lb/gram \* 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 67.45 ton/yr

# VOC Emissions:

	Emission factor: Calculations:	0.009 gram/bhp-hr (Information from company) 0.009 gram/bhp-hr* 3500 bhp* 0.0022 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000= .33 ton/yr
	CO Emissions:	
	Emission factor: Calculations:	1.65 gram/bhp-hr(Information from company)1.65 gram/bhp-hr * 3500 bhp* 0.0022 lb/gram* 8760 hr/yr /2000= 33.73 ton/yr
	SO <sub>x</sub> Emissions:	
	Emission factor: Calculations:	0.002 gram/hp-hr (AP-42, Table 3.2-1, 9/85) 0.002 gram/hp-hr * 3500 bhp * 0.0022 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 0.07 ton/yr
1775	hp Caterpillar G360	6LE, #17
	Fuel Consumption Consumption Con Hours of Operation	Factor = 6822 Btu/hp-hr (Applicant Information) version = (6822 Btu/hp-hr) * (1775 hp) * (1 MMBtu/1E6 Btu) = 12.11 MMBtu/hr n = 8,760 hours
	Filterable PM/PM Emission Factor = Calculation: (8,76	<ul> <li><sup>10</sup>/PM<sub>2.5</sub> Emissions:</li> <li>0.0000771 lb/MMBtu (All PM &lt; 1 um, AP-42, Sec. 3.2, Table 3.2-2, 7/00)</li> <li><sup>50</sup> hours) * (12.11 MMBtu/hr) * (0.0000771 lb/MMBtu) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.00 ton/yr</li> </ul>
	Condensable PM I Emission Factor = Calculation: (8,76	Emissions: 0.00991 lb/MMBtu (AP-42, Sec. 3.2, Table 3.2-2, 7/00) 50 hours) * (12.11 MMBtu/hr) * (0.00991 lb/MMBtu) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.53 ton/yr
	NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions: Emission Factor = Calculation: (8,76	0.70 g/bhp-hr (Manufacturer Information) 50 hours) * (1775 hp) * (0.70 g/bhp-hr) * (0.0022 lb/g) * (ton/2000 lb) = 11.97 ton/yr
	CO Emissions: Emission Factor = Calculation: (8,76	0.18 g/bhp-hr (Manufacturer Information) i0 hours) * (1775 hp) * (0.18 g/bhp-hr) * (0.0022 lb/g) * (ton/2000 lb) = 2.99 ton/yr
	VOC Emissions:	
	Emission Factor =	0.30 g/bhp-hr (Manufacturer Information)
	Calculation: (8,76	50 hours) * (1775 hp) * (0.30 g/bhp-hr) * (0.0022 lb/g) * (ton/2000 lb) = $5.13$ ton/yr
	SO <sub>x</sub> Emissions:	
	Emission Factor =	0.000588 lb/MMBtu (AP-42, Sec. 3.2, Table 3.2-2, 7/00)
	Calculation: (8,76	i0 hours) * (12.11 MMBtu/hr) * (0.000588 lb/MMBtu) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.03 ton/yr

Fuel Consumption Factor = 7875 Btu/hp-hr (Applicant Information) Consumption Conversion = (7875 Btu/hp-hr) \* (559 hp) \* (1 MMBtu/1E6 Btu) = 4.40 MMBtu/hr Hours of Operation = 8,760 hours

Filterable PM/PM<sub>10</sub>/PM<sub>2.5</sub> Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.0000771 lb/MMBtu (All PM < 1 mm, AP-42, Sec. 3.2, Table 3.2-2, 7/00) Calculation: (8,760 hours) \* (4.40 MMBtu/hr) \* (0.0000771 lb/MMBtu) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.00 ton/yr

Condensable PM Emissions:

Emission Factor = 0.00991 lb/MMBtu (AP-42, Sec. 3.2, Table 3.2-2, 7/00) Calculation: (8,760 hours) \* (4.40 MMBtu/hr) \* (0.00991 lb/MMBtu) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.19 ton/yr

NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions:

Emission factor:	2.0 gram/bhp-hr (Manufacturers Design)
Calculations:	2.0 gram/bhp-hr * 559 bhp * 0.0022 lb/gram* 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 10.77 ton/yr /2000 = 10.7

**VOC Emissions:** 

Emission factor:	1.00 gram/bhp-hr	(Manufacturers Design)
Calculations:	1.00 gram/bhp-hr* 55	i9 bhp* 0.0022 lb/gram* 8760 hr/yr /2000= 5.39 ton/yr

CO Emissions:

Emission factor:	3.30 gram/bhp-hr (Ma	nufacturers Design)	
Calculations:	3.30 gram/bhp-hr * 559 bl	hp* 0.0022 lb/gram* 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 17.78 ton/	yr

SO<sub>x</sub> Emissions:

Emission factor:	0.002 gram/hp-hr (AP-42, Table 3.2-1, 9/85)
Calculations:	0.002 gram/hp-hr * 559 bhp * 0.0022 lb/gram * 8760 hr/yr /2000 = 0.01 ton/yr

Dehy Heater, Misc1 15.25 MMBtu/hr

Heat Content of Fuel = 1,050 MMBtu/10<sup>6</sup> cf (Applicant Information) Consumption Conversion = (15.25 MMBtu/hr) / (1050 MMBtu/10<sup>6</sup> cf) = 0.01452 10<sup>6</sup> cf/hr Maximum Hours of Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr

Filterable PM/PM<sub>10</sub>/PM<sub>2.5</sub> Emissions:

```
Emission Factor = 1.9 lb/10^6 cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, all PM<1um, 7/98)
Calculation: (0.01452 10^6 cf/hr) * (8760 hrs/yr) * (1.9 lb/10^6 cf) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.12 ton/yr
```

Condensable PM<sub>2.5</sub> Emissions:

Emission Factor = 5.7 lb/10^6 cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation: (0.01452 10^6 cf/hr) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* (5.7 lb/10^6 cf) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.36 ton/yr NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions:

	Emission Factor: Fuel Consumption: Calculations:	140 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> gas (AP-42, 1.4-2, 10/92) 128.58 10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> /yr (Application 1988) 128.58 * 10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> /yr * 140 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> gas * 0.0005 ton/lb = 9.00 ton/yr
	VOC Emissions:	
	Emission Factor: Fuel Consumption: Calculations:	5.8 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> gas (AP-42, 1.4-3, 10/92) 128.58 10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> /yr (Application 1988) 128.58 * 10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> /yr * 5.8 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> gas * 0.0005 ton/lb = 0.37 ton/yr
	CO Emissions:	
	Emission Factor: Fuel Consumption: Calculations:	$\begin{array}{l} 35 \ \mathrm{lb}/\mathrm{10^6} \ \mathrm{ft^3} \ (\mathrm{AP}\mbox{-42}, \ 1.4\mbox{-2}, \ 10/92) \\ 128.58 \ \mathrm{10^6} \ \mathrm{ft^3/yr} \qquad (\mathrm{Application} \ 1988) \\ 128.58 \ * \ 10^6 \ \mathrm{ft^3/yr} \ * \ 35 \ \mathrm{lb}/\mathrm{10^6} \ \mathrm{ft^3} \ \mathrm{gas} \ * \ 0.0005 \ \mathrm{ton/lb} = 2.25 \ \mathrm{ton/yr} \end{array}$
:	SO <sub>x</sub> Emissions:	
	Emission Factor: Fuel Consumption: Calculations:	0.6 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> gas (AP-42, 1.4-2, 10/92) 128.58 10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> /yr (Application 1988) 128.58 * 10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> /yr * 0.6 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> gas * 0.0005 ton/lb = 0.04 ton/yr
New I	Boiler, Misc2 1.	5 MMBtu/hr
	Heat Content of Fuel Consumption Convers Maximum Hours of O	= 1,050 MMBtu/10^6 cf (Applicant Information) sion = (1.5 MMBtu/hr) / (1050 MMBtu/10^6 cf) = 0.00143 10^6 cf/hr operation = 8,760 hrs/yr
	Filterable $PM/PM_{10}/P$ Emission Factor = 1.9 Calculation: (0.00143	M <sub>2.5</sub> Emissions: b/10^6 cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, all PM<1um, 7/98) 3 10^6 cf/hr) * (8760 hrs/yr) * (1.9 lb/10^6 cf) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.01 ton/yr
	Condensable PM <sub>2.5</sub> Er	nissions:
	Emission Factor = $5.7$	lb/10^6 cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98)
	Calculation: (0.00143	$3 10^{6} \text{ cf/hr}$ * (8760 hrs/yr) * (5.7 lb/10^6 cf) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.04 ton/yr
	CO Emissions:	
	Emission Factor = 84	lb/10^6 cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98)
	Calculation: (0.00143	$8 \ 10^{6} \text{ cf/hr}$ * (8760 hrs/yr) * (84 lb/10^6 cf) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.52560 ton/yr
	NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions:	
	Emission Factor $= 100$	0 lb/10^6 cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-1, Small Boilers < 100 MMBtu/hr, 7/98)
	Calculation: (0.00143	$3 \ 10^{6} \text{ cf/hr}$ (8760 hrs/yr) * (100 lb/10^6 cf) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.62571 ton/yr
	SO <sub>2</sub> Emissions:	
	Emission Factor $= 0.6$	lb/10^6 cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98)
	Calculation: (0.00143	$3 10^{6} \text{ cf/hr}$ * (8760 hrs/yr) * (0.6 lb/10^{6} cf) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.00375 ton/yr

VOC Emissions: Emission Factor = 5.5 lb/10^6 cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation: (0.00143 10^6 cf/hr) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* (5.5 lb/10^6 cf) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.03441 ton/yr

New Boiler, Misc3 1.5 MMBtu/hr

Heat Content of Fuel = 1,050 MMBtu/10<sup>6</sup> cf (Applicant Information) Consumption Conversion = (1.5 MMBtu/hr) / (1050 MMBtu/10<sup>6</sup> cf) = 0.00143 10<sup>6</sup> cf/hr Maximum Hours of Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr

Filterable PM/PM<sub>10</sub>/PM<sub>2.5</sub> Emissions: Emission Factor = 1.9 lb/10^6 cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, all PM<1um, 7/98) Calculation: (0.00143 10^6 cf/hr) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* (1.9 lb/10^6 cf) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.01 ton/yr

Condensable PM<sub>2.5</sub> Emissions: Emission Factor = 5.7 lb/10^6 cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation: (0.00143 10^6 cf/hr) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* (5.7 lb/10^6 cf) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.04 ton/yr

CO Emissions:

Emission Factor = 84 lb/10<sup>6</sup> cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation: (0.00143 10<sup>6</sup> cf/hr) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* (84 lb/10<sup>6</sup> cf) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.52560 ton/yr

NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions:

Emission Factor = 100 lb/10<sup>6</sup> cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-1, Small Boilers < 100 MMBtu/hr, 7/98) Calculation: (0.00143 10<sup>6</sup> cf/hr) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* (100 lb/10<sup>6</sup> cf) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.62571 ton/yr

SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions: Emission Factor = 0.6 lb/10<sup>6</sup> cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation: (0.00143 10<sup>6</sup> cf/hr) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* (0.6 lb/10<sup>6</sup> cf) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.00375 ton/yr

VOC Emissions: Emission Factor = 5.5 lb/10<sup>6</sup> cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation: (0.00143 10<sup>6</sup> cf/hr) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* (5.5 lb/10<sup>6</sup> cf) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.03441 ton/yr

Eclipse Heater, Misc4 450,000 Btu/hr

Heat Content of Fuel = 1,050 MMBtu/10^6 cf (Applicant Information) Consumption Conversion =  $(0.45 \text{ MMBtu/hr}) / (1050 \text{ MMBtu}/10^6 \text{ cf}) = 0.00043 10^6 \text{ cf/hr}$ Maximum Hours of Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr

Filterable PM/PM<sub>10</sub>/PM<sub>2.5</sub> Emissions:

Emission Factor = 1.9 lb/10^6 cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, all PM<1um, 7/98) Calculation: (0.00043 10^6 cf/hr) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* (1.9 lb/10^6 cf) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.00 ton/yr Condensable PM<sub>2.5</sub> Emissions:

Emission Factor = 5.7 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98)
Calculation: $(0.00043 \ 10^{6} \text{ cf/hr}) * (8760 \ \text{hrs/yr}) * (5.7 \ \text{lb/10^{6} cf}) * (ton/2000 \ \text{lb}) = 0.01 \ \text{ton/yr}$

NOx Emissions:

	Emission Factor: Fuel Consumption: Calculations:	100 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> gas (AP-42, 1.4-2, 10/92) 3.79 10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> /yr (Application 1988) 3.79 * 10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> /yr * 100 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> gas * 0.0005 ton/lb = 0.19 ton/yr
	VOC Emissions:	
	Emission Factor: Fuel Consumption: Calculations:	5.8 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> gas (AP-42, 1.4-3, 10/92) 3.79 10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> /yr (Application 1988) 3.79 * 10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> /yr * 5.8 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> gas * 0.0005 ton/lb = 0.01 ton/yr
(	CO Emissions:	
	Emission Factor: Fuel Consumption: Calculations:	21 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> gas (AP-42, 1.4-2, 10/92) 3.79 10^6 ft <sup>3</sup> /yr (Application 1988) 3.79 * 10^6 ft <sup>3</sup> /yr * 21 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> gas * 0.0005 ton/lb = 0.04 ton/yr
1	SOx Emissions:	
	Emission Factor: Fuel Consumption: Calculations:	0.6 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> gas (AP-42, 1.4-2, 10/92) 3.79 10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> /yr (Application 1988) 3.79 * 10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> /yr * 0.6 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> gas * 0.0005 ton/lb = 0.00 ton/yr
Reliance Heater, Misc6 0.03 MMBtu/hr		
Heat Content of Fuel = 1,050 MMBtu/10^6 cf (Applicant Information) Consumption Conversion = (0.03 MMBtu/hr) / (1050 MMBtu/10^6 cf) = 0.00003 10^6 cf/hr Maximum Hours of Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr		= 1,050 MMBtu/10^6 cf (Applicant Information) sion = (0.03 MMBtu/hr) / (1050 MMBtu/10^6 cf) = 0.00003 10^6 cf/hr Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr
	Filterable PM/PM <sub>10</sub> /PM <sub>2.5</sub> Emissions: Emission Factor = 1.9 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, all PM<1um, 7/98) Calculation: (0.00003 10 <sup>6</sup> cf/hr) * (8760 hrs/yr) * (1.9 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> cf) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.0002	
	Condensable $PM_{2.5}$ Emissions: Emission Factor = 5.7 lb/10^6 cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation: (0.00003 10^6 cf/hr) * (8760 hrs/yr) * (5.7 lb/10^6 cf) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.00071	
	CO Emissions:	
	Emission Factor = 84 Calculation: (0.00003	$lb/10^{6}$ cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) 3 $10^{6}$ cf/hr) * (8760 hrs/yr) * (84 lb/10 <sup>6</sup> cf) * (ton/2000 lb) = 0.01051 ton/yr
	NO <sub>x</sub> Emissions:	
	Emission Factor = 100 Calculation: (0.00003	1000000000000000000000000000000000000

SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions: Emission Factor =  $0.6 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{cf}$  (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation:  $(0.00003 \ 10^6 \text{cf/hr}) * (8760 \ \text{hrs/yr}) * (0.6 \ \text{lb}/10^6 \text{cf}) * (ton/2000 \ \text{lb}) = 0.00008 \ \text{ton/yr}$ VOC Emissions:

Emission Factor =  $5.5 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{cf}$  (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation:  $(0.00003 \ 10^6 \text{cf/hr}) * (8760 \ \text{hrs/yr}) * (5.5 \ \text{lb}/10^6 \text{cf}) * (ton/2000 \ \text{lb}) = 0.00069 \ \text{ton/yr}$ 

Seigler Heater, Misc7 0.07 MMBtu/hr

Heat Content of Fuel = 1,050 MMBtu/10<sup>6</sup> cf (Applicant Information) Consumption Conversion = (0.07 MMBtu/hr) / (1050 MMBtu/10<sup>6</sup> cf) = 0.00007 10<sup>6</sup> cf/hr Maximum Hours of Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr

Filterable  $PM/PM_{10}/PM_{2.5}$  Emissions: Emission Factor = 1.9 lb/10^6 cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, all PM<1um, 7/98) Calculation: (0.00007 10^6 cf/hr) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* (1.9 lb/10^6 cf) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.00055 ton/yr

Condensable  $PM_{2.5}$  Emissions: Emission Factor = 5.7 lb/10^6 cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation: (0.00007 10^6 cf/hr) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* (5.7 lb/10^6 cf) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.00166 ton/yr

CO Emissions: Emission Factor = 84 lb/10<sup>6</sup>cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation:  $(0.00007 \ 10^{6}$ cf/hr) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* (84 lb/10<sup>6</sup>cf) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.02453 ton/yr

NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions: Emission Factor =  $100 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{cf}$  (AP 42, Table 1.4-1, Small Boilers < 100 MMBtu/hr, 7/98) Calculation: (0.00007  $10^6 \text{cf/hr}$ ) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* ( $100 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{cf}$ ) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.02920 ton/yr

SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions: Emission Factor =  $0.6 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{cf}$  (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation:  $(0.00007 \ 10^6 \text{cf/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.6 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{cf}) * (ton/2000 \text{ lb}) = 0.00018 \text{ ton/yr}$ 

VOC Emissions: Emission Factor =  $5.5 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{cf}$  (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation: (0.00007  $10^6 \text{cf/hr}$ ) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* ( $5.5 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{cf}$ ) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.00161 ton/yr

Janitrol Heater, Misc8 0.07 MMBtu/hr

Heat Content of Fuel = 1,050 MMBtu/10^6 cf (Applicant Information) Consumption Conversion = (0.07 MMBtu/hr) / (1050 MMBtu/10^6 cf) = 0.00007 10^6 cf/hr Maximum Hours of Operation = 8,760 hrs/yr

Filterable  $PM/PM_{10}/PM_{2.5}$  Emissions: Emission Factor = 1.9 lb/10^6 cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, all PM<1um, 7/98) Calculation: (0.00007 10^6 cf/hr) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* (1.9 lb/10^6 cf) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.00055 ton/yr Condensable  $PM_{2.5}$  Emissions: Emission Factor = 5.7 lb/10^6 cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation: (0.00007 10^6 cf/hr) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* (5.7 lb/10^6 cf) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.00166 ton/yr

CO Emissions: Emission Factor = 84 lb/10<sup>6</sup>cf (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation:  $(0.00007 \ 10^{6}$ cf/hr) \* (8760 hrs/yr) \* (84 lb/10<sup>6</sup>cf) \* (ton/2000 lb) = 0.02453 ton/yr

NO<sub>x</sub> Emissions:

Emission Factor =  $100 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{cf}$  (AP 42, Table 1.4-1, Small Boilers < 100 MMBtu/hr, 7/98) Calculation:  $(0.00007 \ 10^6 \text{cf/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (100 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{cf}) * (ton/2000 \text{ lb}) = 0.02920 \text{ ton/yr}$ 

SO<sub>2</sub> Emissions: Emission Factor =  $0.6 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{cf}$  (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation:  $(0.00007 \ 10^6 \text{cf/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (0.6 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{cf}) * (ton/2000 \text{ lb}) = 0.00018 \text{ ton/yr}$ 

VOC Emissions: Emission Factor =  $5.5 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{cf}$  (AP 42, Table 1.4-2, 7/98) Calculation:  $(0.00007 \ 10^6 \text{cf/hr}) * (8760 \text{ hrs/yr}) * (5.5 \text{ lb}/10^6 \text{cf}) * (ton/2000 \text{ lb}) = 0.00161 \text{ ton/yr}$ 

# V. Existing Air Quality

The existing air quality in the proposed area of operation is unclassified or attainment for all national and Montana ambient air quality standards.

VI. Ambient Air Impact Analysis

The Department determined, based on the overall net decrease in nearly all pollutants as a result of this project, that the impact from this permitting action will be minor. The Department believes the proposed project, with conditions, will not cause or contribute to a violation of any ambient air quality standard.

# VII. Taking or Damaging Implication Analysis

As required by 2-10-105, MCA, the Department conducted the following private property taking and damaging assessment.

YES	NO	
Х		1. Does the action pertain to land or water management or environmental regulation affecting
		private real property or water rights?
	X	2. Does the action result in either a permanent or indefinite physical occupation of private
		property?
	X	3. Does the action deny a fundamental attribute of ownership? (ex.: right to exclude others,
		disposal of property)
	X	4. Does the action deprive the owner of all economically viable uses of the property?
	Х	5. Does the action require a property owner to dedicate a portion of property or to grant an
		easement? [If no, go to (6)].
		5a. Is there a reasonable, specific connection between the government requirement and
		legitimate state interests?
		5b. Is the government requirement roughly proportional to the impact of the proposed use of the

YES	NO						
		property?					
	X	6. Does the action have a severe impact on the value of the property? (consider economic					
		impact, investment-backed expectations, character of government action)					
	Х	7. Does the action damage the property by causing some physical disturbance with respect to the					
		property in excess of that sustained by the public generally?					
	X	7a. Is the impact of government action direct, peculiar, and significant?					
	X	7b. Has government action resulted in the property becoming practically inaccessible,					
		waterlogged or flooded?					
	X	7c. Has government action lowered property values by more than 30% and necessitated the					
		physical taking of adjacent property or property across a public way from the property in					
		question?					
	Х	Takings or damaging implications? (Taking or damaging implications exist if YES is checked in					
		response to question 1 and also to any one or more of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, 6, 7a, 7b,					
		7c; or if NO is checked in response to questions 5a or 5b; the shaded areas)					

Based on this analysis, the Department determined there are no taking or damaging implications associated with this permit action.

# VIII. Environmental Assessment

An environmental assessment, required by the Montana Environmental Policy Act, was completed for this project. A copy is attached.

# DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY Permitting and Compliance Division Air Resources Management Bureau P.O. Box 200901, Helena, Montana 59620 (406) 444-3490

# FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA)

Issued To: Cabin Creek Compressor Station Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company 2010 Montana Avenue Glendive, MT 59330

Montana Air Quality Permit Number: 2484-05

Preliminary Determination Issued:	3/28/2011
Department Decision Issued:	4/15/2011
Permit Final:	5/3/2011

- Legal Description of Site: WBI Cabin Creek is located in the SE¼ of the SE¼ of Section 16, Township 10 North, Range 58 East, Fallon County, Montana. The mailing address for the facility is 2010 Montana Avenue, Glendive, MT 59330. The physical address of the facility is 1661 Cabin Creek Road, Baker, MT 59313.
- 2. Description of Project: WBI Cabin Creek plans to remove five (5) existing compressor engines from the facility (Units #4 through #8) and replace them with a single Caterpillar G3606LE natural gas-fired four stroke lean burn compressor engine (Unit #17). This unit has a maximum design rating of 1775 hp but will have a maximum site rating of 1714 hp. This engine would use lean burn technology to minimize NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and an oxidation catalyst to control CO and VOC emissions. Also included in this project would be an upgrade in the facility heating system involving the removal of a 0.819 MMBtu/hr, a 0.770 MMBtu/hr, and a 1.18 MMBtu/hr natural gas boiler and replacing them with two new 1.5 MMBtu/hr natural gas boilers.
- 3. *Objectives of Project*: The objectives of this project are to install and operate equipment for natural gas transmission and to upgrade the heating and air systems at the facility.
- 4. *Alternatives Considered*: In addition to the proposed action, the Department also considered the "noaction" alternative. The "no-action" alternative would deny issuance of the air quality preconstruction permit to the proposed facility. However, the Department does not consider the "noaction" alternative to be appropriate because WBI – Cabin Creek demonstrated compliance with all applicable rules and regulations as required for permit issuance. Therefore, the "no-action" alternative was eliminated from further consideration.
- 5. *A Listing of Mitigation, Stipulations, and Other Controls*: A list of enforceable conditions, including a BACT analysis, would be included in MAQP #2484-05.
- 6. *Regulatory Effects on Private Property*: The Department considered alternatives to the conditions imposed in this permit as part of the permit development. The Department determined that the permit conditions are reasonably necessary to ensure compliance with applicable requirements and demonstrate compliance with those requirements and do not unduly restrict private property rights.

7. The following table summarizes the potential physical and biological effects of the proposed project on the human environment. The "no-action" alternative was discussed previously.

		Major	Moderate	Minor	None	Unknown	Comments Included
А	Terrestrial and Aquatic Life and Habitats			Х			Yes
В	Water Quality, Quantity, and Distribution			Х			Yes
C	Geology and Soil Quality, Stability and Moisture			Х			Yes
D	Vegetation Cover, Quantity, and Quality			Х			Yes
Е	Aesthetics				Х		Yes
F	Air Quality			Х			Yes
G	Unique Endangered, Fragile, or Limited Environmental Resources			Х			Yes
Н	Demands on Environmental Resource of Water, Air and Energy			Х			Yes
Ι	Historical and Archaeological Sites				Х		Yes
J	Cumulative and Secondary Impacts			X			Yes

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS ON POTENTIAL PHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS: The following comments have been prepared by the Department.

A. Terrestrial and Aquatic Life and Habitats

Emissions from the proposed project would affect terrestrial and aquatic life and habits in the proposed project area. WBI - Cabin Creek would operate the new equipment within an existing industrial location with less than one acre of ground disturbance to the area expected to be required for the project. While the new units would be sources of air emissions, the project would result in an overall net decrease in nearly all criteria pollutants from the facility. Overall, any impact to the terrestrial and aquatic life and habits of the proposed project area would be minor.

B. Water Quality, Quantity and Distribution

The proposed project would have a minor affect on water quality and distribution because there would be unaltered hydrostatic test water discharged on site. No potential impacts to wetlands or changes in the drainage patterns at the proposed site would be expected.

C. Geology and Soil Quality, Stability and Moisture

The proposed project would have a minor impact on the geology, soil quality, stability, and moisture of the proposed project area. WBI - Cabin Creek would operate the new equipment within an existing industrial location with less than one acre of ground disturbance to the area expected to be required for the project. The existing compressor station is located entirely on Havre loams which consist of poorly-drained soils. Since the proposed equipment would be located entirely within the existing facility boundary, no effect to the productivity of the soil would be expected.

D. Vegetation Cover, Quantity, and Quality

Emissions from the proposed project would impact vegetation cover, quantity, and quality in the proposed project area. However, as detailed in Section V and VI of the permit analysis any emissions and resulting impacts from the project would be minor. While the new units would

be sources of air emissions, the project would result in an overall net decrease in nearly all criteria pollutants from the facility. WBI - Cabin Creek would operate the new equipment within an existing industrial location with less than one acre of ground disturbance to the area expected to be required for the project. Overall, any impact to the vegetation cover, quantity, and quality of the proposed project area would be minor.

### E. Aesthetics

The proposed project would not result in any impact on the aesthetic nature of the proposed project area because the proposed equipment would operate within an existing facility and perform the same functions as the previous equipment. Further, visible emissions from the sources would be limited to 20% opacity by conditions of MAQP #2484-05.

## F. Air Quality

The proposed new units would be sources of air pollutant emissions to the ambient air. However, the project would result in an overall net decrease in nearly all criteria pollutants from the facility. Permit conditions in MAQP #2484-05 would require the use of lean burn combustion technology and an oxidation catalyst on the proposed engine to minimize the air pollutant emissions. Overall, the impact to air quality from the proposed project would be minor.

G. Unique Endangered, Fragile, or Limited Environmental Resources

The proposed project would affect unique, endangered, fragile, or limited environmental resources located in the proposed project area because the new units would be sources of air pollutant emissions. However, the project would result in an overall net decrease in nearly all criteria pollutants from the facility. The proposed equipment would operate within an existing facility and perform the same functions as the previous equipment. Less than one acre of ground disturbance would be expected during construction for the project and would occur within the existing facility boundaries. Therefore, any impact to the unique, endangered, fragile, or limited environmental resources in the proposed project area would be minor.

H. Demands on Environmental Resource of Water, Air and Energy

The proposed project would result in minor demands on environmental resources of water and air as discussed in Section 7.B and 7.F of this EA, respectively. Further, as detailed in Section V and VI of the permit analysis, project impacts on air resources in the proposed project area would be minor due to limits that would be included in MAQP #2484-05, good dispersion characteristics of the proposed project area, and the low concentration of those pollutants emitted. Finally, because the project is small by industrial standards, little energy would be required for operation and the resulting impact on energy resources would be minor.

I. Historical and Archaeological Sites

The proposed project would not result in any impact to historical and archaeological sites in the proposed project area. WBI - Cabin Creek would operate the proposed new units within the existing facility boundaries and the ground disturbance from construction would be less than one acre and also contained within the existing facility boundary.

According to previous correspondence from the Montana State Historic Preservation Office, there is low likelihood of any disturbance to any known archaeological or historic site, given the previous industrial disturbance within the area. Therefore, the operation would have no impact on any known historic or archaeological site that may be located within or near the proposed operating site.

# J. Cumulative and Secondary Impacts

Overall, the cumulative and secondary impacts from this project on the physical and biological environment in the immediate area would be minor due to the relatively low amounts of emissions from the proposed new units. WBI – Cabin Creek has previously demonstrated through air dispersion modeling that the permitted facility would maintain compliance with all applicable ambient air quality standards. These standards are designed to be protective of the physical and biological environment. The current proposed project would result in an overall net decrease in nearly all criteria pollutants from the facility since the time of that air dispersion modeling demonstration. Therefore, the Department does not expect that operations would lead to anything but potential minor cumulative impacts to the physical and biological environment. The Department believes that this facility could be expected to operate in compliance with all applicable rules, regulations, and standards as outlined in MAQP #2484-05.

8. The following table summarizes the potential economic and social effects of the proposed project on the human environment. The "no-action" alternative was discussed previously.

		Major	Moderate	Minor	None	Unknown	Comments Included
А	Social Structures and Mores				Х		Yes
В	Cultural Uniqueness and Diversity				Х		Yes
С	Local and State Tax Base and Tax Revenue			Х			Yes
D	Agricultural or Industrial Production				Х		Yes
Е	Human Health			Х			Yes
F	Access to and Quality of Recreational and Wilderness Activities				Х		Yes
G	Quantity and Distribution of Employment				Х		Yes
Н	Distribution of Population				Х		Yes
Ι	Demands for Government Services			Х			Yes
J	Industrial and Commercial Activity			Х			Yes
K	Locally Adopted Environmental Plans and Goals				Х		Yes
L	Cumulative and Secondary Impacts			Х			Yes

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS ON POTENTIAL ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL EFFECTS: The following comments have been prepared by the Department.

A. Social Structures and Mores

The proposed project would not have any effect on the social structures or mores of the proposed area of operation. Operations would take place within the existing facility boundary and the ground disturbance from construction activities would also be contained within the existing facility boundary. The predominant use of the surrounding area would not change as a result of the proposed project; thus, there would be no impact to the social structure of the area.

B. Cultural Uniqueness and Diversity

The proposed project would not have any effect on cultural uniqueness and diversity of the proposed area of operation. Operations would continue to take place within the existing facility boundary and the ground disturbance from construction activities would also be contained within the existing facility boundary. The predominant use of the surrounding area would not change as a result of the proposed project; thus there would be no impact to the cultural uniqueness and diversity of the area.

C. Local and State Tax Base and Tax Revenue

The proposed project would have a minor affect on the local and state tax base and tax revenue. The project is small by industrial standards and operations would take place within the existing facility boundary. The project would not require any new employees at WBI – Cabin Creek; however, there would be some construction activity required which may generate additional tax revenue from the purchase of related equipment and supplies.

D. Agricultural or Industrial Production

The proposed project would not have any effect on agricultural or industrial production. Operations would take place within the existing facility boundary and the ground disturbance from construction activities would also be contained within the existing facility boundary. The predominant use of the surrounding area would not change as a result of the proposed project and there would be no change in the industrial activities at the facility. Therefore, there would be no impact to agricultural or industrial production.

E. Human Health

The proposed project would involve the installation of sources air pollutant emissions. However, the project would result in an overall net decrease in nearly all criteria pollutants from the facility. WBI – Cabin Creek would be required to operate in compliance with MAQP #2484-05 which would contain conditions that would be protective of human health. Any health impacts resulting from the proposed project would be minor.

F. Access to and Quality of Recreational and Wilderness Activities

The proposed project would take place within the existing facility boundary, no affects to the access to and quality of recreational and wilderness activities would be expected.

G. Quantity and Distribution of Employment

The proposed project would not require WBI – Cabin Creek to hire any new employees; therefore, there would be no impact to the local quantity and distribution of employment.

H. Distribution of Population

The proposed project would not require the relocation of any new employees; therefore, there would be no impact to the distribution of population.

I. Demands for Government Services

Government services would be required for acquiring the appropriate permits from government agencies. In addition, the permitted source of emissions would be subject to periodic inspections by government personnel. Demands for government services would be minor.

J. Industrial and Commercial Activity

The proposed project would have a minor affect on industrial and commercial activity because it would require some construction to complete which may increase the local industrial and commercial activity. K. Locally Adopted Environmental Plans and Goals

The Department is not aware of any locally adopted environmental plans or goals in the immediate area affected by the proposed project. The state standards would be protective of the proposed project area.

L. Cumulative and Secondary Impacts

Overall, cumulative and secondary impacts from this project would result in minor impacts to the economic and social environment in the immediate area due to the relatively small size of the project. The proposed project would likely result in minor changes in production from the facility but would not result in any local increase in industrial production outside of the permitted facility. In addition, the local population and employment base would not change as a result of the proposed project; therefore, no cumulative or secondary economic or other social impacts would be expected to occur as a result of the static population structure. The Department believes that this facility could be expected to operate in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations as would be outlined in MAQP #2484-05.

Recommendation: No Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is required.

- If an EIS is not required, explain why the EA is an appropriate level of analysis: The current permitting action is for the installation and operation of a 1775 hp Caterpillar G3606LE natural gas compressor engine and two 1.5 MMBtu/hr natural gas-fired boilers. The project would also include the removal of five existing natural gas-fired compressor engines and three existing natural gas-fired boilers. MAQP #2484-05 includes conditions and limitations to ensure the facility would operate in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations. In addition, there are no significant impacts associated with this proposal.
- Other groups or agencies contacted or which may have overlapping jurisdiction: Montana Historical Society – State Historic Preservation Office, Natural Resource Information System – Montana Natural Heritage Program
- Individuals or groups contributing to this EA: Department of Environmental Quality Air Resources Management Bureau, Montana Historical Society – State Historic Preservation Office, Natural Resource Information System – Montana Natural Heritage Program

EA prepared by: Ed Warner Date: March 11, 2011