

## AIR QUALITY PERMIT

Issued To: Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.  
PO Box 39  
Columbia Falls, MT 59912-0039

Permit #3824-00  
Application Complete: 5/11/06  
Preliminary Determination Issued: 5/19/06  
Department Decision Issued: 6/6/06  
Permit Final: 6/22/06  
AFS #777-3824

An air quality permit, with conditions, is hereby granted to Schellinger Construction Co., Inc. (Schellinger), pursuant to Sections 75-2-204 and 211, Montana Code Annotated (MCA), as amended, and the Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.8.740, *et seq.*, as amended, for the following:

### Section I: Permitted Facilities

#### A. Permitted Equipment

Schellinger operates a portable crushing/screening facility at various locations throughout Montana. A complete list of the permitted equipment is contained in Section I.A of the permit analysis.

#### B. Plant Location

Schellinger operates a portable crushing/screening facility that will initially be located in Section 16, Township 29 North, Range 22 West, in Flathead County, Montana. However, Permit #3824-00 applies while operating at any location in Montana, except within those areas having a Department of Environmental Quality (Department)-approved permitting program or those areas considered tribal lands. *A Missoula County air quality permit will be required for locations within Missoula County, Montana.*

Addendum #1 applies to the Schellinger facility while operating at any location in or within 10 kilometers (km) of nonattainment areas for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less (PM<sub>10</sub>) during the summer months (April 1 – September 30) and at sites approved by the Department during the winter months (October 1 – March 31), including the initial site location. A complete list of the permitted equipment is contained in Section I.A of the permit analysis.

### Section II: Limitations and Conditions

#### A. Operational Limitations and Conditions

1. Schellinger shall not cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere, from any Standards of Performance for New Stationary Source (NSPS)-affected crusher, any visible emissions that exhibit an opacity of 15% or greater averaged over six consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Subpart 000).
2. Schellinger shall not cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere from any other NSPS-affected equipment, such as screens or conveyor transfers, any visible emissions that exhibit an opacity of 10% or greater averaged over six consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.340, ARM 17.8.752, and 40 CFR 60, Subpart 000).
3. Schellinger shall not cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere, from any

non-NSPS-affected equipment, any visible emissions that exhibit an opacity of 20% or greater averaged over six consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.308 and ARM 17.8.752).

4. Water and water spray bars shall be available on site at all times and operated, as necessary, to maintain compliance with the opacity limitations in Sections II.A.1, II.A.2, and II.A.3 (ARM 17.8.752).
  5. Schellinger shall not cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere from any street, road, or parking lot, any visible fugitive emissions that exhibit an opacity of 20% or greater (ARM 17.8.308 and ARM 17.8.752).
  6. Schellinger shall treat all unpaved portions of the haul roads, access roads, parking lots, or general plant area with water and/or chemical dust suppressant as necessary to maintain compliance with the reasonable precautions limitation in Section II.A.5 (ARM 17.8.752).
  7. Schellinger shall not operate more than one screen at any given time and the total maximum rated design capacity of the screen shall not exceed 450 tons per hour (TPH) (ARM 17.8.749).
  8. Screening production from the facility shall be limited to 3,942,000 tons during any rolling 12-month time period (ARM 17.8.749).
  9. Schellinger shall not operate more than one crusher at any given time and the maximum rated design capacity of the crusher shall not exceed 450 TPH (ARM 17.8.749).
  10. Crushing production from the facility shall be limited to 3,942,000 tons during any rolling 12-month time period (ARM 17.8.749).
  11. If the permitted equipment is used in conjunction with any other equipment owned or operated by Schellinger, at the same site, production shall be limited to correspond with an emission level that does not exceed 250 tons of emissions during any rolling 12-month time period. Any calculations used to establish production levels shall be approved by the Department (ARM 17.8.749).
  12. Schellinger shall comply with all applicable standards and limitations, and the reporting, recordkeeping, testing, and notification requirements contained in 40 CFR 60, Subpart OOO for any applicable crushing/screening operation and associated equipment (ARM 17.8.340 and 40 CFR 60, Subpart OOO).
- B. Testing Requirements
1. Within 60 days after achieving the maximum production rate, but no later than 180 days after initial startup, an Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Method 9 opacity test and/or other methods and procedures, as specified in 40 CFR Part 60.675, must be performed on any NSPS affected equipment to demonstrate compliance with the emissions limitations contained in Sections II.A.1 and II.A.2 (ARM 17.8.340, 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart A and Subpart OOO).
  2. All compliance source tests shall conform to the requirements of the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual (ARM 17.8.106).
  3. The Department may require further testing (ARM 17.8.105).

C. Operational Reporting Requirements

1. If this portable crushing/screening plant is moved to another location, an Intent to Transfer Form must be sent to the Department. In addition, a Public Notice Form for Change of Location must be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the area to which the transfer is to be made, at least 15 days prior to the move. The Intent to Transfer Form and the proof of publication (affidavit) of the Public Notice Form for Change of Location must be submitted to the Department prior to the move. These forms are available from the Department (ARM 17.8.765).
2. Schellinger shall maintain on-site records showing daily hours of operation and daily production rates for the last 12 months. All records compiled in accordance with this permit shall be maintained by Schellinger as a permanent business record for at least 5 years following the date of the measurement, must be submitted to the Department upon request, and must be available at the plant site for inspection by the Department (ARM 17.8.749).
3. Schellinger shall supply the Department with annual production information for all emission points, as required, by the Department in the annual emission inventory request. The request will include, but is not limited to, all sources of emissions identified in the most recent emission inventory report and sources identified in Section I.A of the permit analysis.

Production information shall be gathered on a calendar-year basis and submitted to the Department by the date required in the emission inventory request. Information shall be in units as required by the Department. This information may be used for calculating operating fees, based on actual emissions from the facility, and/or to verify compliance with permit limitations (ARM 17.8.505).

4. Schellinger shall notify the Department of any construction or improvement project conducted, pursuant to ARM 17.8.745, that would include a change in control equipment, stack height, stack diameter, stack flow, stack gas temperature, source location, or fuel specifications, or would result in an increase in source capacity above its permitted operation or the addition of a new emission unit. The notice must be submitted to the Department, in writing, 10 days prior to start-up or use of the proposed de minimis change, or as soon as reasonably practicable in the event of an unanticipated circumstance causing the de minimis change, and must include the information requested in ARM 17.8.745(1)(d) (ARM 17.8.745).
5. Schellinger shall document, by month, the screening production from the facility. By the 25<sup>th</sup> day of each month, Schellinger shall calculate the sum total of the screening production from the facility for the previous month. The monthly information will be used to verify compliance with the rolling 12-month limitation in Section II.A.8. The information for each of the previous months shall be submitted along with the annual emission inventory (ARM 17.8.749).
6. Schellinger shall document, by month, the crushing production from the facility. By the 25<sup>th</sup> day of each month, Schellinger shall calculate the crushing production from the facility for the previous month. The monthly information will be used to verify compliance with the rolling 12-month limitation in Section II.A.10. The information for each of the previous months shall be submitted along with the annual emission inventory (ARM 17.8.749).

Section III: General Conditions

- A. Inspection - Schellinger shall allow the Department's representatives access to the source at all reasonable times for the purpose of making inspections or surveys, collecting samples, obtaining data, auditing any monitoring equipment (CEMS, CERMS) or observing any monitoring or testing, and otherwise conducting all necessary functions related to this permit.
- B. Waiver - The permit and all the terms, conditions, and matters stated herein shall be deemed accepted if Schellinger fails to appeal as indicated below.
- C. Compliance with Statutes and Regulations - Nothing in this permit shall be construed as relieving Schellinger of the responsibility for complying with any applicable federal or Montana statute, rule or standard, except as specifically provided in ARM 17.8.740, *et seq.* (ARM 17.8.756).
- D. Enforcement - Violations of limitations, conditions and requirements contained herein may constitute grounds for permit revocation, penalties or other enforcement as specified in Section 75-2-401 *et seq.*, MCA.
- E. Appeals – Any person or persons jointly or severally adversely affected by the Department's decision may request, within 15 days after the Department renders its decision, upon affidavit setting forth the grounds therefore, a hearing before the Board of Environmental Review (Board). A hearing shall be held under the provisions of the Montana Administrative Procedures Act. The filing of a request for a hearing does not stay the Department's decision, unless the Board issues a stay upon receipt of a petition and a finding that a stay is appropriate under Section 75-2-211(11)(b), MCA. The issuance of a stay on a permit by the Board postpones the effective date of the Department's decision until conclusion of the hearing and issuance of a final decision by the Board. If a stay is not issued by the Board, the Department's decision on the application is final 16 days after the Department's decision is made.
- F. Permit Inspection - As required by ARM 17.8.755, Inspection of Permit, a copy of the air quality permit shall be made available for inspection by Department personnel at the location of the permitted source.
- G. Permit Fees - Pursuant to Section 75-2-220, MCA, as amended by the 1991 Legislature, failure to pay the annual operation fee by Schellinger may be grounds for revocation of this permit, as required by that section and rules adopted thereunder by the Board.
- H. Construction Commencement - Construction must begin within 3 years of permit issuance and proceed with due diligence until the project is complete or the permit shall be revoked (ARM 17.8.762).
- I. The Department may modify the conditions of this permit based on local conditions of any future site. These factors may include, but are not limited to, local terrain, meteorological conditions, proximity to residences, etc.
- J. Schellinger shall comply with the conditions contained in this permit while operating at any location in Montana, except within those areas having a Department-approved permitting program.

PERMIT ANALYSIS  
Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.  
Permit Number 3824-00

I. Introduction/Process Description

A. Permitted Equipment

Schellinger Construction Co., Inc. (Schellinger) owns and operates a portable crushing/screening facility consisting of a feeder, 1973 Pioneer Jaw Crusher, a JCI 6 x 16 2-deck screen, and associated equipment.

The proposed initial location for the facility is Section 16, Township 29 North, Range 22 West, in Flathead County, Montana. Permit #3824-00 will apply to the source while operating at any location in Montana, except within those areas having a Department of Environmental Quality (Department)-approved permitting program or those areas considered tribal lands. *A Missoula County air quality permit will be required for locations within Missoula County, Montana.*

Addendum #1 applies to the Schellinger facility while operating at any location in or within 10 kilometers (km) of nonattainment areas for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less (PM<sub>10</sub>) during the summer months (April 1 – September 30) and at sites approved by the Department during the winter months (October 1 – March 31), including the initial site location.

B. Process Description

Schellinger proposes to use this crushing/screening plant and associated equipment to crush sand and gravel materials for use in various construction operations. For a typical operational setup, materials are loaded into the crushing/screening plant by a feeder, transferred by conveyor, and passed through the crusher. Materials are crushed by the crusher and sent to the screens. Materials are screened, separated, and sent to stockpile for sale and use in construction operations.

II. Applicable Rules and Regulations

The following are partial explanations of some applicable rules and regulations that apply to the facility. The complete rules are stated in the Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) and are available, upon request, from the Department. Upon request, the Department will provide references for locations of complete copies of all applicable rules and regulations or copies where appropriate.

A. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 1 - General Provisions, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.101 Definitions. This rule is a list of applicable definitions used in this subchapter, unless indicated otherwise in a specific subchapter.
2. ARM 17.8.105 Testing Requirements. Any person or persons responsible for the emission of any air contaminant into the outdoor atmosphere shall, upon written request of the Department, provide the facilities and necessary equipment (including instruments and sensing devices) and shall conduct tests, emission or ambient, for such periods of time as may be necessary using methods approved by the Department.

3. ARM 17.8.106 Source Testing Protocol. The requirements of this rule apply to any emission source testing conducted by the Department, any source, or other entity as required by any rule in this chapter, or any permit or order issued pursuant to this chapter, or the provisions of the Clean Air Act of Montana, 75-2-101, *et seq.*, Montana Code Annotated (MCA).

Schellinger shall comply with all requirements contained in the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual, including, but not limited to, using the proper test methods and supplying the required reports. A copy of the Montana Source Test Protocol and Procedures Manual is available from the Department upon request.

4. ARM 17.8.110 Malfunctions. (2) The Department must be notified promptly by telephone whenever a malfunction occurs that can be expected to create emissions in excess of any applicable emission limitation or to continue for a period greater than 4 hours.
5. ARM 17.8.111 Circumvention. (1) No person shall cause or permit the installation or use of any device or any means which, without resulting in reduction in the total amount of air contaminant emitted, conceals or dilutes an emission of air contaminant that would otherwise violate an air pollution control regulation. (2) No equipment that may produce emissions shall be operated or maintained in such a manner that a public nuisance is created.

B. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 2 - Ambient Air Quality, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.210 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Sulfur Dioxide
2. ARM 17.8.211 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Nitrogen Dioxide
3. ARM 17.8.212 Ambient Air Quality Standards for Carbon Monoxide
4. ARM 17.8.220 Ambient Air Quality Standard for Settled Particulate Matter
5. ARM 17.8.223 Ambient Air Quality Standard for PM<sub>10</sub>

Schellinger must comply with the applicable ambient air quality standards.

C. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 3 - Emission Standards, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.304 Visible Air Contaminants. This rule requires that no person may cause or authorize emissions to be discharged into the outdoor atmosphere from any source installed after November 23, 1968, that exhibit an opacity of 20% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes.
2. ARM 17.8.308 Particulate Matter, Airborne. (1) This rule requires an opacity limitation of less than 20% for all fugitive emission sources and that reasonable precautions be taken to control emissions of airborne particulate matter. (2) Under this rule, Schellinger shall not cause or authorize the use of any street, road, or parking lot without taking reasonable precautions to control emissions of airborne particulate matter.
3. ARM 17.8.309 Particulate Matter, Fuel Burning Equipment. This rule requires that no person shall cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere particulate matter caused by the combustion of fuel in excess of the amount determined by this rule.

4. ARM 17.8.310 Particulate Matter, Industrial Processes. This rule requires that no person shall cause or allow to be discharged into the atmosphere particulate matter in excess of the amount set forth in this rule.
5. ARM 17.8.322 Sulfur Oxide Emissions--Sulfur in Fuel. This rule requires that no person shall burn liquid, solid, or gaseous fuel in excess of the amount set forth in this rule.
6. ARM 17.8.324 Hydrocarbon Emissions--Petroleum Products. (3) No person shall load or permit the loading of gasoline into any stationary tank with a capacity of 250 gallons or more from any tank truck or trailer, except through a permanent submerged fill pipe, unless such tank truck or trailer is equipped with a vapor loss control device as described in (1) of this rule.
7. ARM 17.8.340 Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources. This rule incorporates, by reference, 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 60, Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources (NSPS). The owner or operator of any stationary source or modification, as defined and applied in 40 CFR Part 60, NSPS, shall comply with the standards and provisions of 40 CFR Part 60.

40 CFR Part 60, Subpart OOO-Non-Metallic Mineral Processing Plants govern portable sand & gravel operations. In order for a crushing/screening plant to be subject to this NSPS requirements, two specific criteria must be met. First, the crushing/screening plant must meet the definition of an affected facility and have a capacity greater than 150 TPH and, second, the equipment in question must have been constructed, reconstructed, or modified after August 31, 1983. Based on the information submitted by Schellinger, the crushing/screening equipment is not NSPS-affected because the equipment was constructed prior to 1983 (40 CFR Part 60, Subpart A-General Provisions, and Subpart OOO-Non-Metallic Mineral Processing Plants).

D. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 5 - Air Quality Permit Application, Operation, and Open Burning Fees, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.504 Air Quality Permit Application Fees. This rule requires that Schellinger submit an air quality permit application fee concurrent with the submittal of an air quality permit application. A permit application is incomplete until the proper application fee is paid to the Department. Schellinger submitted the required permit application fee for the current permit action.
2. ARM 17.8.505 Air Quality Operation Fees. An annual air quality operation fee must, as a condition of continued operation, be submitted to the Department by each source of air contaminants holding an air quality permit, excluding an open burning permit, issued by the Department. This operation fee is based on the actual or estimated actual amount of air pollutants emitted during the previous calendar year.

An air quality operation fee is separate and distinct from an air quality permit application fee. The annual assessment and collection of the air quality operation fee, described above, shall take place on a calendar-year basis. The Department may insert into any final permit issued after the effective date of these rules, such conditions as may be necessary to require the payment of an air quality operation fee on a calendar-year basis, including provisions that pro-rate the required fee amount.

E. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 7 - Permit, Construction, and Operation of Air Contaminant

Sources, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.740 Definitions. This rule is a list of applicable definitions used in this chapter, unless indicated otherwise in a specific subchapter.
2. ARM 17.8.743 Montana Air Quality Permits--When Required. This rule requires a facility to obtain an air quality permit or permit alteration to construct, alter, or use any asphalt plant, crusher, or screen that has the uncontrolled Potential to Emit (PTE) greater than 15 tons per year of any pollutant. Schellinger has a PTE greater than 15 tons per year of total particulate matter (PM); therefore, an air quality permit is required.
3. ARM 17.8.744 Montana Air Quality Permits--General Exclusions. This rule identifies the activities that are not subject to the Montana Air Quality Permit Program.
4. ARM 17.8.745 Montana Air Quality Permits--Exclusion for De Minimis Changes. This rule identifies the de minimis changes at permitted facilities that do not require a permit under the Montana Air Quality Permit Program.
5. ARM 17.8.748 New or Modified Emitting Units--Permit Application Requirements. (1) This rule requires that a permit application be submitted prior to installation, modification, or use of a source. Schellinger submitted the required permit application for the current permit action. (7) This rule requires that the applicant notify the public by means of legal publication in a newspaper of general circulation in the area affected by the application for a permit. Schellinger submitted an affidavit of publication of public notice for the May 9, 2006, issue of the *Daily Interlake*, a newspaper of general circulation in the city of Kalispell in Flathead County, as proof of compliance with the public notice requirements.
6. ARM 17.8.749 Conditions for Issuance or Denial of Permit. This rule requires that the permits issued by the Department must authorize the construction and operation of the facility or emitting unit subject to the conditions in the permit and the requirements of this subchapter. This rule also requires that the permit must contain any conditions necessary to assure compliance with the Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA), the Clean Air Act of Montana, and rules adopted under those acts.
7. ARM 17.8.752 Emission Control Requirements. This rule requires a source to install the maximum air pollution control capability that is technically practicable and economically feasible, except that Best Available Control Technology (BACT) shall be utilized. The required BACT analysis is included in Section III of this permit analysis.
8. ARM 17.8.755 Inspection of Permit. This rule requires that air quality permits shall be made available for inspection by the Department at the location of the source.
9. ARM 17.8.756 Compliance with Other Requirements. This rule states that nothing in the permit shall be construed as relieving Schellinger of the responsibility for complying with any applicable federal or Montana statute, rule, or standard, except as specifically provided in ARM 17.8.740, *et seq.*



10. ARM 17.8.759 Review of Permit Applications. This rule describes the Department's responsibilities for processing permit applications and making permit decisions on those permit applications that do not require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.
11. ARM 17.8.762 Duration of Permit. An air quality permit shall be valid until revoked or modified, as provided in this subchapter, except that a permit issued prior to construction of a new or altered source may contain a condition providing that the permit will expire unless construction is commenced within the time specified in the permit, which in no event may be less than 1 year after the permit is issued.
12. ARM 17.8.763 Revocation of Permit. An air quality permit may be revoked upon written request of Schellinger, or for violations of any requirement of the Clean Air Act of Montana, rules adopted under the Clean Air Act of Montana, the FCAA, rules adopted under the FCAA, or any applicable requirement contained in the Montana State Implementation Plan (SIP).
13. ARM 17.8.764 Administrative Amendment to Permit. An air quality permit may be amended for changes in any applicable rules and standards adopted by the Board of Environmental Review (Board) or changed conditions of operation at a source or stack that do not result in an increase of emissions as a result of those changed conditions. The owner or operator of a facility may not increase the facility's emissions beyond permit limits unless the increase meets the criteria in ARM 17.8.745 for a de minimis change not requiring a permit, or unless the owner or operator applies for and receives another permit in accordance with ARM 17.8.748, ARM 17.8.749, ARM 17.8.752, ARM 17.8.755, and ARM 17.8.756, and with all applicable requirements in ARM Title 17, Chapter 8, Subchapters 8, 9, and 10.
14. ARM 17.8.765 Transfer of Permit. (1) This rule states that an air quality permit may be transferred from one location to another if the Department receives a complete notice of Intent to Transfer location, the facility will operate in the new location for less than 1 year, the facility will comply with the FCAA and the Clean Air Act of Montana, and the facility complies with other applicable rules. (2) This rule states that an air quality permit may be transferred from one person to another if written notice of Intent to Transfer, including the names of the transferor and the transferee, is sent to the Department.

F. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 8 - Prevention of Significant Deterioration of Air Quality, including, but not limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.801 Definitions. This rule is a list of applicable definitions used in this subchapter.
2. ARM 17.8.818 Review of Major Stationary Sources and Major Modifications-- Source Applicability and Exemptions. The requirements contained in ARM 17.8.819 through ARM 17.8.827 shall apply to any major stationary source and any major modification with respect to each pollutant subject to regulation under the FCAA that it would emit, except as this subchapter would otherwise allow.

This facility is not a major stationary source because it is not a listed source and does not have a PTE greater than 250 tons per year (excluding fugitive emissions) of any air pollutant.

G. ARM 17.8, Subchapter 12 - Operating Permit Program Applicability, including, but not

limited to:

1. ARM 17.8.1201 Definitions. (23) Major Source under Section 7412 of the FCAA is defined as any stationary source having:
  - a. PTE > 100 tons/year of any pollutant.
  - b. PTE > 10 tons/year of any one Hazardous Air Pollutant (HAP), PTE > 25 tons/year of a combination of all HAPs, or lesser quantity as the Department may establish by rule.
  - c. PTE > 70 tons/year of PM<sub>10</sub> in a serious PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment area.
  
2. ARM 17.8.1204 Air Quality Operating Permit Program Applicability. (1) Title V of the FCAA Amendments of 1990 requires that all sources, as defined in ARM 17.8.1204 (1), obtain a Title V Operating Permit. In reviewing and issuing Air Quality Permit #3824-00 for the Schellinger facility, the following conclusions were made:
  - a. The facility's PTE is less than 100 tons/year for any pollutant.
  - b. The facility's PTE is less than 10 tons/year for any one HAP and less than 25 tons/year of all HAPs.
  - c. This source is not located in a serious PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment area and does not have the potential to emit 70 tons/year of PM<sub>10</sub> (ARM 17.8.1201(23)(c)).
  - d. This facility is not subject to any current NESHAP standards.
  - e. The facility is not currently subject to NSPS standards (including 40 CFR Subpart OOO, Non-Metallic Mineral Processing Plants).
  - f. This source is not a Title IV affected source, nor a solid waste combustion unit.
  - g. This source is not an EPA designated Title V source.

Based on these facts, the Department determined that this facility would be a minor source of emissions, as defined under the Title V Operating Permit Program, and is not subject to Title V Operating Permit requirements.

### III. BACT Analysis

A BACT determination is required for any new or altered source. Schellinger shall install on the new or altered source the maximum air pollution control capability that is technologically practicable and economically feasible, except that BACT shall be used.

A. Area Source Fugitive Emissions and Crushing/Screening Emissions

Two types of emissions controls are readily available and used for dust suppression of fugitive emissions at the site and the surrounding area of operations, and for equipment emissions from the crushing/screening operation. These two control methods are water and chemical dust suppressant. Chemical dust suppressant could be used on the area surrounding the crushing/screening operation and for emissions from the crushing/screening operation. However, because water is more readily available, is more cost effective, is equally effective as chemical dust suppressant, and is more environmentally friendly, water has been identified as the most appropriate method of pollution control of particulate emissions for the general plant area. In addition, water suppression has been required of recently permitted similar sources. Schellinger may, however, use chemical dust suppressant to assist in controlling particulate emissions from the surrounding plant area.

Schellinger shall not cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere from any NSPS-affected crusher, any visible emissions that exhibit an opacity of 15% or greater averaged over six consecutive minutes. Also, Schellinger shall not cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere from any affected screen, conveyor transfers, or other NSPS-affected equipment, any visible emissions that exhibit an opacity of 10% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes. Further, Schellinger shall not cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere from any non-NSPS affected equipment, any visible emissions that exhibit an opacity of 20% or greater averaged over 6 consecutive minutes.

Schellinger must also take reasonable precautions to limit the fugitive emissions of airborne particulate matter from haul roads, access roads, parking areas, and the general area of operation. Schellinger is required to have water spray bars and water available on site (at all times) and to apply the water, as necessary, to maintain compliance with the opacity and reasonable precaution limitations. Schellinger may also use chemical dust suppression, in order to maintain compliance with emission limitations in Section I.A of Permit #3824-00. The Department determined that using water spray bars, water, and chemical dust suppressant to maintain compliance with the opacity requirements and reasonable precaution limitations constitutes BACT for the crushing/screening operation.

IV. Emission Inventory

Source	Tons/Year (After Control)					
	PM	PM <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC	CO	SO <sub>x</sub>
Jaw Crusher (up to 450 TPH)	2.37	1.05				
2-Deck Screen (up to 450 TPH)	4.34	1.45				
Truck Unloading (up to 450 TPH)	0.04	0.04				
Material Transfer (6 Material Transfers, 450 TPH)	1.66	0.53				
Pile Forming (2 Pile Forming, 450 TPH)	12.61	5.91				
Haul Roads	12.68	3.60				
<b>Total</b>	<b>33.70</b>	<b>12.58</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

A complete emission inventory for Permit #3824-00 is included below:

**CRUSHERS - (SCC 3-05-030-03, controlled)**

**Jaw Crusher (450 TPH)**

Process Rate: 450 tons/hr  
Hours of operation: 8760 hr/yr

PM Emissions (controlled):

Emission Factor: 0.0012 lbs/ton (AP-42 Section 11.19.2-2, 8/2004)  
Calculations: 0.0012 lbs/ton \* 450 tons/hr = 0.54 lbs/hr  
0.54 lbs/hr \* 8760 hr/yr \* 0.0005 ton/lb = 2.37 tons/yr

PM-10 Emissions (controlled):

Emission Factor: 0.00054 lbs/ton (AP-42 Section 11.19.2-2, 8/2004)  
Calculations: 0.00054 lbs/ton \* 450 tons/hr = 0.24 lbs/hr  
0.24 lbs/hr \* 8760 hr/yr \* 0.0005 ton/lb = 1.05 tons/yr

**SCREENS - (SCC 3-05-020-02,-03, controlled)**

**Screen (450 TPH)**

Process Rate: 450 tons/hr  
Hours of operation: 8760 hr/yr

PM Emissions (controlled):

Emission Factor: 0.0022 lbs/ton (AP-42 Section 11.19.2-2, 8/2004)  
Calculations: 0.0022 lbs/ton \* 450 tons/hr = 0.99 lbs/hr  
0.99 lbs/hr \* 8760 hr/yr \* 0.0005 ton/lb = 4.34 tons/yr

PM-10 Emissions (controlled):

Emission Factor: 0.00074 lbs/ton (AP-42 Section 11.19.2-2, 8/2004)  
Calculations: 0.00074 lbs/ton \* 450 tons/hr = 0.33 lbs/hr  
0.33 lbs/hr \* 8760 hr/yr \* 0.0005 ton/lb = 1.45 tons/yr

**Material Transfer (SCC 3-05-020-06, controlled)**

**Truck Unloading (1)**

Process Rate: 450 tons/hr  
Number of Loads: 1 Load  
Hours of operation: 8760 hr/yr

PM Emissions (controlled):

Emission Factor: 1.60E-05 lbs/ton (AP-42 Section 11.19.2-2, 8/2004)  
Calculations: 0.000016 lbs/ton \* 450 tons/hr \* 1 Load = 0.01 lbs/hr  
0.01 lbs/hr \* 8760 hr/yr \* 0.0005 tons/lb = 0.04 tons/yr

PM-10 Emissions (controlled):

Emission Factor: 1.60E-05 lbs/ton (AP-42 Section 11.19.2-2, 8/2004)  
Calculations: 0.000016 lbs/ton \* 450 tons/hr \* 1 Load = 0.01 lbs/hr  
0.01 lbs/hr \* 8760 hr/yr \* 0.0005 tons/lb = 0.04 tons/yr

**Material Transfer (6)**

Process Rate: 450 tons/hr  
 Number of Transfers: 6 Transfers  
 Hours of operation: 8760 hr/yr

## PM Emissions (controlled):

Emission Factor: 0.00014 lbs/ton (AP-42 Section 11.19.2-2, 8/2004)  
 Calculations: 0.00014 lbs/ton \* 450 tons/hr \* 6 Transfers = 0.38 lbs/hr  
 0.38 lbs/hr \* 8760 hr/yr \* 0.0005 ton/lb = 1.66 tons/yr

## PM-10 Emissions (controlled):

Emission Factor: 4.60E-05 lbs/ton (AP-42 Section 11.19.2-2, 8/2004)  
 Calculations: 0.000046 lbs/ton \* 450 tons/hr \* 6 Transfers = 0.12 lbs/hr  
 0.12 lbs/hr \* 8760 hr/yr \* 0.0005 ton/lb = 0.53 tons/yr

**Pile Forming (2)**

Process Rate: 450 tons/hr  
 Number of Piles: 2 Piles  
 Hours of operation: 8760 hr/yr

## PM Emissions (controlled):

Emission Factor: 0.0032 lbs/ton (AP-42 Section 13.2.4 (1/95))  
 Calculations: 0.0032 lbs/ton \* 450 tons/hr \* 2 Piles = 2.88 lbs/hr  
 2.88 lbs/hr \* 8760 hr/yr \* 0.0005 tons/lb = 12.61 tons/yr

## PM-10 Emissions (controlled):

Emission Factor: 0.0015 lbs/ton (AP-42 Section 13.2.4 (1/95))  
 Calculations: 0.0015 lbs/ton \* 450 tons/hr \* 2 Piles = 1.35 lbs/hr  
 1.35 lbs/hr \* 8760 hr/yr \* 0.0005 tons/lb = 5.91 tons/yr

**Haul Roads**

Vehicle miles travelled (estimate) 5 VMT/day  
 Control Efficiency is included in Emission Factor

## PM Emissions (controlled):

Emission Factor (Rated Load Capacity <50 tons): 13.90 Lbs/VMT (AP-42 Section 13.2.2 (12/03))  
 Calculations: (5 VMT/day)(13.90 Lbs/VMT)= 69.5 lb/day  
 12.68 tons/yr

## PM-10 Emissions (controlled):

Emission Factor (Rated Load Capacity <50 tons): 3.95 Lbs/VMT (AP-42 Section 13.2.2 (12/03))  
 Calculations: (5 VMT/day)(3.95 Lbs/VMT) 19.75 lb/day  
 3.60 tons/yr

**V. Existing Air Quality**

Permit #3824-00 is issued for the operation of a portable crushing/screening facility to be originally located in Section 16, Township 29 North, Range 22 West, in Flathead County, Montana. This facility would be allowed to operate at this proposed site and any other areas designated as attainment or unclassified for all National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS); excluding those counties that have a Department-approved permitting program or those areas considered Tribal Lands. *A Missoula County air quality permit would be required for locations within Missoula County, Montana.*

Addendum #1 applies to the Schellinger facility while operating at any location in or within 10 kilometers (km) of nonattainment areas for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less (PM<sub>10</sub>) during the summer months (April 1 – September 30) and at sites approved by the Department during the winter months (October 1 – March 31), including the initial site location. A complete list of the permitted equipment is contained in Section I.A of the permit analysis.

VI. Air Quality Impacts

This permit is for a portable crushing/screening plant to be located at various locations around Montana. This permit contains operational conditions and limitations that would protect air quality for this site and the surrounding area. Also, this facility is a portable source that would operate on an intermittent and temporary basis, so any effects to air quality will be minor and short-lived. Further, the amount of controlled particulate emissions generated by this project should not cause concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub> in the ambient air that exceed the set standard.

VII. Taking or Damaging Implication Analysis

As required by 2-10-101 through 105, MCA, the Department conducted a private property taking and damaging assessment and determined there are no taking or damaging implications.

VIII. Environmental Assessment

An environmental assessment, required by the Montana Environmental Policy Act, was completed for this project. A copy is attached.

Addendum #1  
Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.  
Permit #3824-00

An addendum to air quality Permit #3824-00 is issued to Schellinger Construction Co., Inc. (Schellinger), pursuant to Sections 75-2-204 and 75-2-211 of the Montana Code Annotated (MCA), as amended, and the Administrative Rules of Montana (ARM) 17.8.765, as amended, for the following:

I. Permitted Equipment

Schellinger owns and operates a portable crushing/screening facility consisting of one portable crusher (up to 450 tons per hour (TPH)), one screen (up to 450 TPH), and associated equipment. Schellinger operates at various locations throughout Montana, including in or within 10 kilometers (km) of the following certain particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less (PM<sub>10</sub>) nonattainment areas: Butte, Columbia Falls, Kalispell, Libby, Thompson Falls, and Whitefish.

II. Seasonal and Site Restrictions

Addendum #1 applies to the Schellinger facility while operating at any location in or within 10 km of certain PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas. Additionally, seasonal and site restrictions apply to the facility as follows:

- A. During the winter season (October 1-March 31) – The only location(s) in or within 10 km of certain PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment area where Schellinger may operate is:
- Section 16, Township 29 North, Range 22 West, in Flathead County; and
  - Any other site that may be approved, in writing, by the Department of Environmental Quality (Department).
- B. During the summer season (April 1-September 30) – Schellinger may operate at any location in or within 10 km of the Butte, Columbia Falls, Libby, Kalispell, Thompson Falls, and Whitefish PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas.
- C. Schellinger shall comply with the limitations and conditions contained in Addendum #1 to Permit #3824-00. Addendum #1 shall be valid until revoked or modified. The Department reserves the authority to modify Addendum #1 at any time based on local conditions of any future site. These conditions may include, but are not limited to, local terrain, meteorological conditions, proximity to residences or other businesses, etc.

III. Limitations and Conditions

A. Operational Limitations and Conditions

1. Water and water spray bars shall be available on site at all times and operated on the crushers, screens, and all transfer points whenever necessary to maintain compliance with the opacity limitations (ARM 17.8.749).
2. All visible emissions from the crushing/screening plant may not exhibit an opacity of 10% or greater averaged over six consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.749).
3. Schellinger shall not cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere from any other equipment, such as transfer points, any visible emissions that exhibit an opacity of 10% or greater averaged over six consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.749).

4. Schellinger shall not cause or authorize to be discharged into the atmosphere from haul roads, access roads, parking lots, or the general plant property any visible fugitive emissions that exhibit an opacity of 10% or greater averaged over six consecutive minutes (ARM 17.8.749).
5. Schellinger shall treat all unpaved portions of the haul roads, access roads, parking lots, and general plant area with water and/or chemical dust suppressant as necessary to maintain compliance with the 10% opacity limitation (ARM 17.8.749).
6. Total crushing production shall not exceed 10,800 tons during any rolling 24-hour time period (ARM 17.8.749).
7. Total screening production shall be limited to 10,800 tons during any rolling 24-hour time period (ARM 17.8.749).

B. Operational Reporting Requirements

1. Schellinger shall provide the Department with written notification of job completion within 10 working days of job completion (ARM 17.8.749).
2. Schellinger shall provide the Department with written notice of relocation of the permitted equipment within 15 working days before the physical transfer of the equipment (ARM 17.8.765).
3. Production information for the sites covered by this addendum must be submitted to the Department with the annual emission inventory request or within 30 days of completion of the project. The information must include (ARM 17.8.749):
  - a. Tons of material crushed at each site;
  - b. Tons of material screened by each screen at each site;
  - c. Tons of bulk material loaded at each site;
  - d. Daily hours of operation at each site;
  - e. Fugitive dust information consisting of a listing of all plant vehicles including the following for each vehicle type:
    - i. Number of vehicles;
    - ii. Vehicle type;
    - iii. Vehicle weight, loaded;
    - iv. Vehicle weight, unloaded;
    - v. Number of tires on vehicle;
    - vi. Average trip length;
    - vii. Number of trips per day per vehicle;
    - viii. Average vehicle speed;
    - ix. Area of activity; and
    - x. Vehicle fuel usage (gasoline or diesel) annual total.
  - f. Fugitive dust control for haul roads and general plant area:
    - i. Hours of operation of water trucks; and
    - ii. Application schedule for chemical dust suppressant, if



applicable.

4. Schellinger shall document, by day, the total crushing production during the summer and winter seasons. Schellinger shall sum the total crushing production during the previous 24 hours to verify compliance with the limitations in Section III.A.6. A written report of compliance verification and the emissions inventory shall be submitted to the Department annually. The report for the previous calendar year shall be submitted along with the annual emission inventory (ARM 17.8.749).
  
5. Schellinger shall document, by day, the total screening production during the summer and winter seasons. Schellinger shall sum the combined total screening production during the previous 24 hours to verify compliance with the limitations in Section III.A.7. A written report of compliance verification and the emissions inventory shall be submitted to the Department annually. The report for the previous calendar year shall be submitted along with the annual emission inventory (ARM 17.8.749).

Addendum #1 Analysis  
Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.  
Permit #3824-00

I. Permitted Equipment

Schellinger owns and operates a portable crushing/screening facility consisting of one portable crusher (up to 450 tons per hour (TPH)), one screen (up to 450 TPH), and associated equipment. Schellinger operates at various locations throughout Montana, including in or within 10 kilometers (km) of the following certain particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10 microns or less (PM<sub>10</sub>) nonattainment areas: Butte, Columbia Falls, Kalispell, Libby, Thompson Falls, and Whitefish.

II. Source Description

Schellinger proposes to use this crushing/screening plant and associated equipment to crush sand and gravel materials for use in various construction operations. For a typical operational setup, materials are loaded into the crushing/screening plant by a hopper and transferred by conveyor and passed through the crusher. Materials are crushed, by the crusher and sent to the two screens. Materials are screened, separated, and sent to stockpile for sale and use in construction operations.

III. Applicable Rules and Regulations

The following are partial quotations of some applicable rules and regulations that apply to the facility. The complete rules are stated in the ARM and are available, upon request, from the Department. Upon request, the Department will provide references for locations of complete copies of all applicable rules and regulations or copies where appropriate.

ARM 17.8, Subchapter 7 - Permit, Construction and Operation of Air Contaminant Sources, including, but not limited to:

- A. ARM 17.8.749 Conditions for Issuance of Permit. This rule requires that the source demonstrate compliance with applicable rules and standards before a permit can be issued. Also, a permit may be issued with such conditions as are necessary to assure compliance with all applicable rules and standards. Schellinger demonstrated compliance with all applicable rules and standards as required for permit issuance.
  
- B. ARM 17.8.764 Modification of Permit. An air quality permit may be modified for changes in any applicable rules and standards adopted by the Board of Environmental Review (Board) or changed conditions of operation at a source or stack which do not result in an increase in emissions because of the changed conditions. A source may not increase its emissions beyond those found in its permit unless the source applies for and receives another permit.

- C. ARM 17.8.765 Transfer of Permit. An air quality permit may be transferred from one location to another if:
1. Written notice of Intent to Transfer location and proof of public notice are sent to the Department;
  2. The source will operate in the new location for a period of less than 1 year; and
  3. The source will not have any significant impact on any nonattainment area or any Class I area.

Schellinger must submit proof of compliance with the transfer and public notice requirements when Schellinger transfers to any of the locations covered by this addendum and will only be allowed to stay in the new location for a period of less than 1 year. Also, the conditions and limitations in Addendum #1 to Permit #3824-00 will prevent Schellinger from having a significant impact on PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas.

#### IV. Emission Inventory

Source	Lb/Day					
	PM	PM <sub>10</sub>	NO <sub>x</sub>	VOC	CO	SO <sub>x</sub>
Crusher (up to 450 TPH)	12.96	5.76				
Screen (up to 450 TPH)	23.76	7.92				
Material Transfer (6 MT)	0.24	0.24				
Pile Forming (2 piles)	9.12	2.88				
Bulk Loading	69.12	32.40				
Haul Roads	69.60	19.68				
Total	184.80	68.88	0	0	0	0

#### V. Existing Air Quality

On July 1, 1987, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated new National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for PM<sub>10</sub>. Due to exceedances of the national standards for PM<sub>10</sub>, the cities of Kalispell (and the nearby Evergreen area), Columbia Falls, Butte, Whitefish, Libby, Missoula, and Thompson Falls were designated by EPA as nonattainment for PM<sub>10</sub>. As a result of this designation, EPA required the Department and the City-County Health Departments submit PM<sub>10</sub> State Implementation Plans (SIP). The SIPs consisted of emission control plans that controlled fugitive dust emissions from roads, parking lots, construction, and demolition, since technical studies determined these sources to be the major contributors to PM<sub>10</sub> emissions.

Addendum #1 to Permit #3824-00 is for a portable crushing/screening plant to locate at sites in or within 10 km of certain PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas during the winter season (October 1 through March 31) at only the locations listed in Section II.A of Addendum #1. Addendum #1 of Permit #3824-00 would also allow for summertime operations (April 1 – September 30) at any location in or within 10 km of the Butte, Columbia Falls, Libby, Kalispell, Thompson Falls, and Whitefish PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas.

#### VI. Air Quality Impacts

Schellinger applied for an air quality permit to operate a portable crushing/screening plant to be located at various locations throughout Montana. Permit #3824-00 and Addendum #1 will cover the Schellinger crushing/screening plant while operating at any location within Montana, excluding those counties that have a Department-approved permitting program and those areas considered tribal lands. Based on the information provided, the amount of controlled emissions generated by this facility will not exceed any ambient air quality standard. In addition, this

source is portable and any air quality impacts will be minimal.

VII. Taking or Damaging Implication Analysis

As required by 2-10-101 through 105, Montana Code Annotated (MCA), the Department conducted a private property taking and damaging assessment and determined there are no taking or damaging implications.

VIII. Environmental Assessment

An environmental assessment, required by the Montana Environmental Policy Act, was completed for this project. A copy is attached.

Analysis Prepared By: Christine Weaver

Date: May 12, 2006

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY  
Permitting and Compliance Division  
Air Resources Management Bureau  
1520 East Sixth Avenue  
P.O. Box 200901  
Helena, Montana 59620-0901  
(406) 444-3490

**FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (EA)**

*Issued For:* Schellinger Construction Co., Inc.  
PO Box 39  
Columbia Falls, MT 59912-0039

*Permit Number:* 3824-00

*Preliminary Determination Issued:* 5/19/06

*Department Decision Issued:* 6/06/06

*Permit Final:* 6/22/06

1. *Legal Description of Site:* Schellinger submitted an application to operate a portable aggregate crushing/screening plant in Section 16, Township 29 North, Range 22 West, in Flathead County, Montana. Permit #3824-00 would apply while operating at any location in Montana, except within those areas having a Department-approved permitting program, those areas considered tribal lands, or those areas in or within 10 km of PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas. *A Missoula County air quality permit would be required for locations within Missoula County, Montana.* An addendum to this air quality permit would be required for locations in or within 10 km of PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment areas.
2. *Description of Project:* The permit applicant proposes the construction and operation of a portable aggregate crushing/screening facility consisting of a 1973 Pioneer Jaw Crusher, a 2-deck 6 x 16 screen, and associated equipment. Schellinger proposes to use this crushing/screening plant to crush sand and gravel materials for use in various construction operations. For a typical operational setup, materials are loaded into the crushing/screening plant by a feeder, transferred by conveyor, and passed through the crusher. Materials are crushed by the crusher and sent to the screens. Materials are screened, separated, and sent to stockpile for sale and use in construction operations.
3. *Objectives of Project:* The object of the project would be to produce business and revenue for the company through the sale and use of aggregate. The issuance of Permit #3824-00 would allow Schellinger to operate the permitted equipment at various locations throughout Montana, including the proposed initial site location.
4. *Additional Project Site Information:* In many cases, this crushing/screening operation may move to a general site location or open cut pit, which has been previously permitted through the Industrial and Energy Minerals Bureau (IEMB). If this were the case, additional information for the site would be found in the Mined Land Reclamation Permit for that specific site.
5. *Alternatives Considered:* In addition to the proposed action, the Department considered the "no-action" alternative. The "no-action" alternative would deny issuance of the Montana Air Quality permit to the proposed facility. However, the Department does not consider the "no-action" alternative to be appropriate because Schellinger demonstrated compliance with all applicable rules and regulations as required for permit issuance. Therefore, the "no-action" alternative was eliminated from further consideration.

6. *A Listing of Mitigation, Stipulations, and Other Controls:* A list of the enforceable permit conditions and a permit analysis, including a BACT analysis, would be contained in Permit #3824-00.
7. *Regulatory Effects on Private Property Rights:* The Department considered alternatives to the conditions imposed in this permit as part of the permit development. The Department determined the permit conditions would be reasonably necessary to ensure compliance with applicable requirements and to demonstrate compliance with those requirements and would not unduly restrict private property rights.
8. *The following table summarizes the potential physical and biological effects of the proposed project on the human environment. The “no action alternative” was discussed previously.*

		Major	Moderate	Minor	None	Unknown	Comments Included
A.	Terrestrial and Aquatic Life and Habitats			X			yes
B.	Water Quality, Quantity, and Distribution			X			yes
C.	Geology and Soil Quality, Stability, and Moisture			X			yes
D.	Vegetation Cover, Quantity, and Quality			X			yes
E.	Aesthetics			X			yes
F.	Air Quality			X			yes
G.	Unique Endangered, Fragile, or Limited Environmental Resource			X			yes
H.	Demands on Environmental Resource of Water, Air, and Energy			X			yes
I.	Historical and Archaeological Sites				X		yes
J.	Cumulative and Secondary Impacts			X			yes

**Summary of Comments on Potential Physical and Biological Effects:** The following comments have been prepared by the Department.

**A. Terrestrial and Aquatic Life and Habitats**

Terrestrials would use the same area as the crushing/screening operations as well as the gravel pit area. The initial site is located in an existing gravel pit. Impacts on terrestrials and aquatic life could result from storm water runoff and pollutant deposition, but such impacts would be minor, as the crushing/screening operations would be considered a minor source of emissions and would have intermittent and seasonal operations. Since only minor amounts of pollution would be generated and corresponding emissions would be widely dispersed before settling upon surrounding soils and vegetation (as described in Section 8.D of this EA), impacts would be minor. Therefore, only minor and temporary effects to terrestrial and aquatic life and habitat would be expected from the proposed crushing/screening operation.

**B. Water Quality, Quantity, and Distribution**

Water would be required for dust suppression on the surrounding roadways and areas of operation and for pollution control for equipment operations. However, water use would only cause minor, if any, impacts to water resources in these areas because only a relatively small volume of water would be required. Only minor surface and groundwater quality impacts would be expected because only small amounts of water would be required to control air pollutant emissions and the deposition of air

pollutant emissions would be minor (as described in Section 8.F of this EA).

C. Geology and Soil Quality, Stability, and Moisture

The crushing/screening operations would have only minor impacts on geology and soil quality, stability, and moisture of soils. Only minor impacts from deposition of air pollutants on soils would result (as described in Section 8.F of this EA) and only minor amounts of water would be used, as necessary, for pollution control. Thus, only minimal water runoff would occur. Therefore, any effects upon geology and soil quality, stability, and moisture from air pollutant emissions from equipment operations would be minor and short-lived.

D. Vegetation Cover, Quantity, and Quality

Minor impacts would occur on vegetative cover, quality, and quantity because the facility would be a small industrial operation and source of emissions. However, the facility would be a relatively minor source of emissions and the pollutants would be greatly dispersed (as described in Section 8.F of this EA); therefore, deposition on vegetation from the proposed project would be minor. Also, because the water usage would be minimal (as described in Section 8.B of this EA) and the associated soil disturbance from the application of water and water runoff would be minimal (as described in Section 8.C of this EA), corresponding vegetative impacts would be minor.

E. Aesthetics

The crushing/screening operation would be visible and would create noise while operating at the initially proposed site. However, the equipment would be located in an existing pit, and Permit #3824-00 would include conditions to control emissions, including visible emissions, from the operation. The crushing/screening operation would be portable, would operate on an intermittent and seasonal basis, and would be a small industrial source. Therefore, any visual aesthetic impacts would be short-lived and minor.

F. Air Quality

Air quality impacts from the proposed project would be minor because the facility would be relatively small and operate on an intermittent and temporary basis. Permit #3824-00 would include conditions limiting the facility's opacity; require water and water spray bars be available on site and used to ensure compliance with opacity standards; and limit the facility's crushing/screening production.

Further, the Department determined that the crushing/screening facility would be a minor source of emissions as defined under the Title V Operating Permit Program because the source's PTE was below the major source threshold level of 100 tons per year for any regulated pollutant. Pollutant deposition from the facility would be minimal because the pollutants emitted would be well controlled, widely dispersed (from factors such as wind speed and wind direction), and would have minimal deposition on the surrounding area. Therefore, air quality impacts from operating the crushing/screening equipment in this area would be minor.

G. Unique Endangered, Fragile, or Limited Environmental Resources

The Department, in an effort to assess any potential impacts to any unique endangered, fragile, or limited environmental resources in the initial proposed area of operation (Section 16, Township 29 North, Range 22 West, in Flathead County, Montana), contacted the Montana Natural Heritage Program (MNHP). Search results concluded there is one known species of concern within the area. The search area, in this case, is defined by the township and range of the proposed site, with an additional one-mile buffer. The species of concern is the lynx.

While the Lynx habitat is delineated as within 0.1 mile from the defined area, these animals may have many miles of potential habitat. Specific effects of operating the crushing/screening operation in this area would be minor since the facility is relatively small in size, and would have only temporary operations in the area. Pollution controls would be required by this permit to ensure that emissions from the crushing/screening operation would be minimal. The Department determined that any effects upon the Lynx would be minor and short-lived.

H. Demands on Environmental Resources of Water, Air, and Energy

Due to the relatively small size of the facility, the crushing/screening operation would only require small quantities of water, air, and energy for proper operation. Only small quantities of water would be required to control the emissions being generated at the site. In addition, impacts to air resources would be minor because the source is a minor source of emissions, with intermittent and seasonal operations, and because air pollutants generated by the facility would be widely dispersed (as described in Section 8.F of this EA). Energy would be supplied by existing power sources (electric power lines or diesel generators permitted in other permits). Overall, any impacts to water, air, and energy resources would be minor.

I. Historical and Archaeological Sites

The Department contacted the Montana Historical Society - State Historical Preservation Office (SHPO) in an effort to identify any historical and/or archaeological sites that may be present in the proposed area of construction/operation. Search results concluded that there are no previously recorded historical or archaeological resources of concern within the area proposed for initial operations. According to the Montana State Historic Preservation Office, there would be a low likelihood of adverse disturbance to any known archaeological or historic site. Therefore, no impacts upon historical or archaeological sites would be expected as a result of operating the proposed crushing/screening plant.

J. Cumulative and Secondary Impacts

The crushing/screening operation would cause minor cumulative and secondary impacts to the physical and biological aspects of the human environment because the facility would generate relatively small amounts of emissions of PM and PM<sub>10</sub>. Emissions and noise generated from the equipment would, at most, result in only minor impacts to the area of operations because the crushing/screening plant would be relatively small, seasonal, and temporary. The initial proposed project would be short-term in nature, and have minor cumulative effects upon resource within the area. This facility, in combination with other emissions from Schellinger's equipment operations would not be permitted to exceed 250 tons per year of non-fugitive emissions. Overall, cumulative and secondary impacts to the physical and biological aspects of the human environment would be minor.



9. The following table summarizes the potential economic and social effects of the proposed project on the human environment. The “no action alternative” was discussed previously.

		Major	Moderate	Minor	None	Unknown	Comments Included
A.	Social Structures and Mores				X		yes
B.	Cultural Uniqueness and Diversity				X		yes
C.	Local and State Tax Base and Tax Revenue			X			yes
D.	Agricultural or Industrial Production			X			yes
E.	Human Health			X			yes
F.	Access to and Quality of Recreational and Wilderness Activities			X			yes
G.	Quantity and Distribution of Employment			X			yes
H.	Distribution of Population				X		yes
I.	Demands for Government Services			X			yes
J.	Industrial and Commercial Activity			X			yes
K.	Locally Adopted Environmental Plans and Goals			X			yes
L.	Cumulative and Secondary Impacts			X			yes

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS ON POTENTIAL ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL EFFECTS:** The following comments have been prepared by the Department.

**A. Social Structures and Mores**

The crushing/screening operation would cause no disruption to the social structures and mores in the area because the source would be a minor industrial source of emissions, and would only have temporary and intermittent operations. Further, the facility would be required to operate according to the conditions that would be placed in Permit #3824-00, which would limit the effects to social structures and mores.

**B. Cultural Uniqueness and Diversity**

The cultural uniqueness and diversity of this area would not be impacted by the proposed crushing/screening operation because the facility would be a portable source, with seasonal and intermittent operations, only.

**C. Local and State Tax Base and Tax Revenue**

The crushing/screening operations would have little, if any, impact on the local and state tax base and tax revenue because the facility would be a minor industrial source of emissions and would have seasonal and intermittent operations. Only minor impacts to the local and state tax base and revenue could be expected from the employees and facility production. Furthermore, the impacts to local tax base and revenue would be minor because the source would be portable and the money generated for taxes would be widespread.

D. Agricultural or Industrial Production

The crushing/screening operations would have only a minor impact on local industrial production since the facility would be a minor source of aggregate production and air emissions, by industrial standards. Because minimal deposition of air pollutants would occur on the surrounding land (as described in Section 8.F of this EA), only minor and temporary effects on the surrounding vegetation (i.e. agricultural production) would occur. In addition, the facility operations would be small and temporary in nature and would be permitted with operational conditions and limitations that would minimize impacts upon the surrounding area.

E. Human Health

Permit #3824-00 would incorporate conditions to ensure that the crushing/screening facility would be operated in compliance with all applicable air quality rules and standards. These rules and standards are designed to be protective of human health. As described in Section 8.F. of this EA, the air emissions from this facility would be minimized by the use of water spray and other process limits that would be required by Permit #3824-00. Also, the facility would be operating on a temporary basis and pollutants would disperse from the ventilation of emissions at this site (see Section 8.F of this EA). Therefore, only minor impacts would be expected on human health from the proposed crushing/screening facility.

F. Access to and Quality of Recreational and Wilderness Activities

There are no known access routes to recreational or wilderness activities near the site. Noise from the facility would be minimal because the facility would be small. Also, the facility would operate on a seasonal and intermittent basis and would be a relatively minor industrial source of emissions. Therefore, any changes in the quality of recreational and wilderness activities created by operating the equipment at this site would be expected to be minor and intermittent.

G. Quantity and Distribution of Employment

The portable crushing/screening operation would be relatively small, would have seasonal and intermittent operations, and would continue to require the employees currently employed. Therefore, only a minor effect upon the quantity and distribution of employment in this area would be expected.

H. Distribution of Population

The portable crushing/screening operation would be small and would continue to require the same number of employees currently employed. No individuals would be expected to permanently relocate to this area of operation as a result of operating the crushing/screening facility. Therefore, the crushing/screening facility would not impact the normal population distribution in the initial area of operation or any future operating site.

I. Demands of Government Services

Minor increases would be seen in traffic on existing roadways in the area while the crushing/screening operation is in progress. In addition, government services would be required for acquiring the appropriate permits for the proposed project and to verify compliance with the permits that would be issued. However, demands for government services would be minor, due to the relatively small size and seasonal nature of the crushing/screening facility.

J. Industrial and Commercial Activity

The crushing/screening operation would represent only a minor increase in the industrial activity in the proposed area of operation because the source would be a relatively small industrial source that would be portable and temporary in nature. No additional industrial or commercial activity would be expected as a result of the proposed operation.

K. Locally Adopted Environmental Plans and Goals

Schellinger would be allowed, by Permit #3824-00, to operate in areas designated by EPA as attainment or unclassified for ambient air quality. An Addendum was obtained to operate in or within 10 km of a PM<sub>10</sub> nonattainment area. Permit #3824-00 would contain production and opacity limits for protecting air quality and to keep facility emissions in compliance with any applicable ambient air quality standards, as a locally adopted environmental plan or goal for operating at this proposed site. Because the facility would be a small and portable source and would have intermittent and seasonal operations, any impacts from the facility would be minor and short-lived.

L. Cumulative and Secondary Impacts

The crushing/screening operations would only cause minor cumulative and secondary impacts to the social and economic aspects of the human environment in the immediate area of operation because the source would be a portable and temporary source. Further, no other industrial operations are expected to result from the permitting of this facility. Minor increases in traffic would have minor effects on local traffic in the immediate area. Because the source is relatively small and temporary, only minor economic impacts to the local economy would be expected from operating the facility. Further, this facility may be operated in conjunction with other equipment owned and operated by Schellinger, but any cumulative impacts upon the social and economic aspects of the human environment would be minor and short-lived. Thus, only minor and temporary cumulative effects would result to the local economy.

*Recommendation:* An EIS is not required.

*If an EIS is not required, explain why the EA is an appropriate level of analysis:* All potential effects resulting from construction and operation of the proposed facility are minor; therefore, an EIS is not required.

*Other groups or agencies contacted or which may have overlapping jurisdiction:* Montana Department of Environmental Quality - Permitting and Compliance Division (Industrial and Energy Minerals Bureau); Montana Natural Heritage Program; and the State Historic Preservation Office (Montana Historical Society).

*Individuals or groups contributing to this EA:* Montana Department of Environmental Quality (Air Resources Management Bureau); Montana State Historic Preservation Office (Montana Historical Society), and Montana Natural Heritage Program.

*EA prepared by:* Christine Weaver

*Date:* May 12, 2006